

**A NEW PROJECTION ALGORITHM FOR SOLVING
A SYSTEM OF NONLINEAR EQUATIONS
WITH CONVEX CONSTRAINTS**

LIAN ZHENG

ABSTRACT. We present a new algorithm for solving a system of nonlinear equations with convex constraints which combines proximal point and projection methodologies. Compared with the existing projection methods for solving the problem, we use a different system of linear equations to obtain the proximal point; and moreover, at the step of getting next iterate, our projection way and projection region are also different. Based on the Armijo-type line search procedure, a new hyperplane is introduced. Using the separate property of hyperplane, the new algorithm is proved to be globally convergent under much weaker assumptions than monotone or more generally pseudomonotone. We study the convergence rate of the iterative sequence under very mild error bound conditions.

1. Introduction

Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous mapping and $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty closed convex set. Consider the problem of finding $x^* \in C$ such that

$$(1) \quad F(x^*) = 0.$$

Let S denote the solution set of problem (1). Throughout this paper, we assume that S is nonempty and F has the property that

$$(2) \quad \langle F(y), y - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in C \text{ and all } x^* \in S,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^n . The property (2) holds if F is monotone or more generally pseudomonotone on C in the sense of Karamardian [8].

Nonlinear equations have wide applications in reality. For example, many problems arising from chemical technology, economy and communications can

Received March 8, 2012; Revised September 3, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 90C25, 90C33.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear equations, projection algorithm, global convergence, convergence rate.

This work was supported by the Educational Science Foundation of Chongqing, Chongqing of China (grant KJ111309).

be transformed into nonlinear equations (see [1, 2, 10, 16]). The popular methods for problem (1) with smooth mapping F may be the Levenberg-Marquardt type method and the trust region method. Under a certain condition, the Levenberg-Marquardt method can be regarded as a special trust region method. Many papers also consider the relations between Levenberg-Marquardt method and trust region method. For more details, please see [3, 4, 5, 13, 19].

Based on this fact that the well-known projection algorithm is a very efficient approach for solving variational inequalities, see [6, 7, 17]. Recently, the literature [15] proposed a projection method for solving problem (1), which possesses a very nice global convergence property without the differentiability or locally Lipschitz continuity assumptions. The numerical performances given in [15] show that the projection method for solving problem (1) is really efficient and has strong stability. More recently, the literatures [9, 14] proposed, respectively, a modified version for the method by changing the projection region in order to accelerate the convergence rate. However, the literatures [9, 15, 14] require the mapping F is monotone, which seems too stringent a requirement for the purpose of ensuring global convergence property of the projection method.

In this paper, we would introduce a different projection algorithm inspired by He's work for solving variational inequalities in [7]. In the proof of global convergence of our method, the underlying mapping F need only to satisfy the property (2) which is much weaker than monotone or more generally pseudomonotone. Moreover, under weaker assumptions than those of [12, 15, 14], the local rate of convergence of the iterative sequence is established.

The remaining part of this paper is distributed as follows. In the next section, we give some preliminaries and the details of the algorithm. The global convergence analysis of the method is proved in Section 3. The convergence rate of the method will be established in the last section.

2. Preliminaries and algorithms

For a nonempty closed convex set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the projection of x onto Ω is defined as:

$$\Pi_{\Omega}(x) = \arg \min\{\|y - x\| \mid y \in \Omega\}.$$

We have the following properties on the projection operator, see [18].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex set. Then it holds that*

$$\|x - \Pi_{\Omega}(y)\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \|y - \Pi_{\Omega}(y)\|^2, \forall x \in \Omega, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let C be a closed convex set in \mathbb{R}^n , h be a real-valued function on \mathbb{R}^n and K be the set $\{x \in C : h(x) \leq 0\}$. If K is nonempty and h is Lipschitz continuous on C with modulus $\theta > 0$, then*

$$\text{dist}(x, K) \geq \theta^{-1} \max\{h(x), 0\} \text{ for all } x \in C,$$

where $\text{dist}(x, K)$ denotes the distance from x to K .

Proof. See [7, Lemma 2.3]. □

Algorithm 2.1. Choose $x_0 \in C$, $\sigma \in [0, 1)$, $\lambda, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $a, b \geq 0$, $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$, $\bar{\mu} > 1$. Set $k = 0$.

Step 1. Compute $F(x_k)$. If $F(x_k) = 0$, stop; Otherwise

Step 2. Choose $\mu_k \in [1, \bar{\mu}]$. Compute $\bar{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$(3) \quad F(x_k) + \mu_k(\bar{x}_k - x_k) = r_k,$$

where

$$(4) \quad \|r_k\| \leq \sigma \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|.$$

Stop if $\bar{x}_k = x_k$; Otherwise

Step 3. Compute $y_k = x_k + t_k(\bar{x}_k - x_k)$, where $t_k = \beta^{m_k}$ and m_k is the smallest nonnegative integer m satisfying

$$(5) \quad \langle F(x_k + \beta^m(\bar{x}_k - x_k)), x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq \lambda(\mu_k - \sigma) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2.$$

Step 4. Compute

$$x_{k+1} = \Pi_{C_k}(x_k),$$

where $C_k = \{x \in C : h_k(x) \leq 0\}$ and

$$(6) \quad h_k(x) = \langle aF(x_k) + bF(y_k), x - y_k \rangle + at_k \langle F(x_k), \bar{x}_k - x_k \rangle.$$

Let $k = k + 1$ and return to Step 1.

Remark 2.1. Let $\mu > 0$ and $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous function. When taking

$$F(x) = r_\mu(x) = x - \Pi_C(x - \mu G(x)), \bar{x}_k = \Pi_C(x_k - \mu G(x_k)),$$

and $\mu_k \in [1, 1 + \sigma]$, our Algorithm 2.1 degrades into the following algorithm.

Algorithm 2.2. Choose $x_0 \in C$, $\theta, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $a, b \geq 0$, $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$. Set $k = 0$.

Step 1. Compute $r_\mu(x_k)$. If $r_\mu(x_k) = 0$, stop; Otherwise

Step 2. Compute $y_k = x_k - t_k r_\mu(x_k)$, where $t_k = \beta^{m_k}$ and m_k is the smallest nonnegative integer m satisfying

$$(7) \quad \langle r_\mu(x_k - \beta^m r_\mu(x_k)), r_\mu(x_k) \rangle \geq \theta \|r_\mu(x_k)\|^2.$$

Step 3. Compute

$$x_{k+1} = \Pi_{C_k}(x_k),$$

where $C_k = \{x \in C : h_k(x) \leq 0\}$ and

$$(8) \quad h_k(x) = \langle ar_\mu(x_k) + br_\mu(y_k), x - y_k \rangle - at_k \|r_\mu(x_k)\|^2.$$

Let $k = k + 1$ and return to Step 1.

Remark 2.2. A well-known fact is that the solution set of the following variational inequality to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$(9) \quad \langle G(x^*), y - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in C,$$

coincides with the root of the natural residual function $r_\mu(\cdot)$. Therefore, our Algorithm 2.2 present a new projection algorithm for problem (9).

Now we analyze the feasibility of Algorithm 2.1. It is obvious that \bar{x}_k satisfying conditions (3) and (4) exists. In fact, when we take $\bar{x}_k = x_k - \frac{1}{\mu_k} F(x_k)$, then \bar{x}_k satisfies (3) and (4). Next, we need only to show the feasibility of (5).

Lemma 2.3. *For all nonnegative integer k , there exists a nonnegative integer m_k satisfying (5).*

Proof. If $\bar{x}_k = x_k$, then it follows from (4) that $r_k = 0$, and by (3), we have $F(x_k) = 0$. Which means Algorithm 2.1 terminates with x_k being a solution of problem (1).

Now, we assume that $\bar{x}_k \neq x_k$ for all k . By the definition of r_k , we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle F(x_k), x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle &= \mu_k (x_k - \bar{x}_k)^T (x_k - \bar{x}_k) + (x_k - \bar{x}_k)^T \cdot r_k \\ &\geq \mu_k \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - \|r_k\| \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\| \\ &\geq (\mu_k - \sigma) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we suppose that the conclusion of Lemma 2.3 doesn't hold, then there exists a nonnegative integer k_0 such that (5) is not satisfied for any nonnegative integer m , i.e.,

$$\langle F(x_{k_0} + \beta^m (\bar{x}_{k_0} - x_{k_0})), x_{k_0} - \bar{x}_{k_0} \rangle < \lambda (\mu_{k_0} - \sigma) \|x_{k_0} - \bar{x}_{k_0}\|^2, \quad \forall m.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and by the continuity of F , we have

$$\langle F(x_{k_0}), x_{k_0} - \bar{x}_{k_0} \rangle \leq \lambda (\mu_{k_0} - \sigma) \|x_{k_0} - \bar{x}_{k_0}\|^2.$$

From (10) and $\bar{x}_k \neq x_k$, we conclude that $\lambda \geq 1$, which contradicts the fact that $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. This completes the proof. \square

3. Convergence analysis

In this section, we first prove two lemmas which are important for the convergence analysis, and then analyze the global convergence of Algorithm 2.1.

Lemma 3.1. *If the sequences $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ are generated by Algorithm 2.1, $\{x_k\}$ is bounded and F is continuous, then $\{y_k\}$ is also bounded.*

Proof. By (3) and (4), we have

$$\|F(x_k) + \mu_k (\bar{x}_k - x_k)\| \leq \sigma \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|.$$

Since

$$\mu_k \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\| - \|F(x_k)\| \leq \|F(x_k) + \mu_k (\bar{x}_k - x_k)\|.$$

It follows that

$$(\mu_k - \sigma) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\| \leq \|F(x_k)\|.$$

By the boundedness of $\{x_k\}$, the continuity of F and $\mu_k \geq 1 > \sigma$, we conclude that $\{\bar{x}_k\}$ is bounded and hence $\{y_k\}$ is bounded. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let x^* be a solution of problem (1), condition (2) hold and the function h_k be defined by (6). Then*

$$(11) \quad h_k(x_k) \geq t_k b \lambda (\mu_k - \sigma) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \text{ and } h_k(x^*) \leq 0.$$

In particular, if $x_k \neq \bar{x}_k$, then $h_k(x_k) > 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(x_k) &= \langle aF(x_k) + bF(y_k), x_k - y_k \rangle + at_k \langle F(x_k), \bar{x}_k - x_k \rangle \\ &= \langle aF(x_k), t_k(x_k - \bar{x}_k) \rangle + b \langle F(y_k), x_k - y_k \rangle + at_k \langle F(x_k), \bar{x}_k - x_k \rangle \\ (12) \quad &= b \langle F(y_k), x_k - y_k \rangle \\ &= t_k b \langle F(y_k), x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle \\ (13) \quad &\geq t_k b \lambda (\mu_k - \sigma) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from (5).

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(x^*) &= \langle aF(x_k) + bF(y_k), x^* - y_k \rangle + at_k \langle F(x_k), \bar{x}_k - x_k \rangle \\ &= a \langle F(x_k), x^* - y_k \rangle + b \langle F(y_k), x^* - y_k \rangle + at_k \langle F(x_k), \bar{x}_k - x_k \rangle \\ &\leq a \langle F(x_k), x^* - x_k - t_k(\bar{x}_k - x_k) \rangle + at_k \langle F(x_k), \bar{x}_k - x_k \rangle \\ &= a \langle F(x_k), x^* - x_k \rangle \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the two inequalities follow from condition (2).

If $x_k \neq \bar{x}_k$, then $h_k(x_k) > 0$ because $\sigma < 1 \leq \mu_k$. The proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.1. Lemma 3.2 means that the hyperplane

$$H_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle aF(x_k) + bF(y_k), x - y_k \rangle + at_k \langle F(x_k), \bar{x}_k - x_k \rangle = 0\}$$

strictly separates the current iterate from the solutions of problem (1).

From the proof of Lemma 3.2, we know that $-(aF(x_k) + bF(y_k))$ is a descent direction of the function $\frac{1}{2} \|x - x^*\|^2$ at the point x_k if $x_k \neq \bar{x}_k$, where $x^* \in S$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle aF(x_k) + bF(y_k), x_k - x^* \rangle \\ &= a \langle F(x_k), x_k - x^* \rangle + b \langle F(y_k), x_k - x^* \rangle \\ &= a \langle F(x_k), x_k - x^* \rangle + b \langle F(y_k), x_k - y_k \rangle + b \langle F(y_k), y_k - x^* \rangle \\ &\geq b \langle F(y_k), x_k - y_k \rangle \\ &\geq t_k b \lambda (\mu_k - \sigma) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Where the first inequality follows from condition (2), the second one follows from (12) and (13), and the last one follows $x_k \neq \bar{x}_k$.

We next prove our main convergence result. Certainly, if Algorithm 2.1 terminates at Step k , then x_k is a solution of problem (1). So, in the following analysis, we assume that Algorithm 2.1 always generates an infinite sequence.

Theorem 3.1. *If F is continuous on C and condition (2) holds, then the sequence $\{x_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ generated by Algorithm 2.1 globally converges to a solution of problem (1).*

Proof. Let x^* be a solution of problem (1). Since $x_{k+1} = \Pi_{C_k}(x_k)$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|^2 \\ &= \|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \text{dist}^2(x_k, C_k), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the sequence $\{\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|\}$ is nonincreasing, and hence is a convergent sequence. Therefore, $\{x_k\}$ is bounded and

$$(15) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_k, C_k) = 0.$$

From Lemma 3.1 and the continuity of F , we have $\{F(x_k)\}$ and $\{F(y_k)\}$ are bounded, that is, for some $M > 0$,

$$\|aF(x_k) + bF(y_k)\| \leq M, \forall k.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} |h_k(x) - h_k(y)| &= |\langle aF(x_k) + bF(y_k), x - y \rangle| \\ &\leq \|aF(x_k) + bF(y_k)\| \|x - y\| \\ &\leq M \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that h_k is Lipschitz continuous on C with modulus M . Applying Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.2 and noting that $x_k \notin C_k$, we obtain that

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x_k, C_k) &\geq M^{-1} h_k(x_k) \\ &\geq M^{-1} b \lambda (\mu_k - \sigma) t_k \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2, \quad \forall k. \end{aligned}$$

By (15) and (16), it holds that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 = 0.$$

If $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k > 0$, then we must have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 = 0.$$

Hence, by (4), we have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k = 0.$$

Since $\{x_k\}$ is bounded, the sequence has at least one cluster point. Let \hat{x} be a cluster point of $\{x_k\}$ and $\{x_{k_i}\}$ be the corresponding convergent subsequence. By the definition of r_k , one has

$$r_{k_i} = F(x_{k_i}) + \mu_{k_i} (\bar{x}_{k_i} - x_{k_i}),$$

letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have $F(\hat{x}) = 0$ because F is continuous and $\{\mu_k\}$ is bounded. This implies that \hat{x} is a solution of problem (1). Replacing x^* by \hat{x} in (14), we obtain that the sequence $\{\|x_k - \hat{x}\|\}$ is nonincreasing and hence converges. Moreover, the subsequence $\{\|x_{k_i} - \hat{x}\|\}$ converges to zero, which shows that the whole sequence $\{\|x_k - \hat{x}\|\}$ converges to zero, and hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = \hat{x}$.

Suppose now that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = 0$. Let \bar{x} be any accumulation point of $\{x_k\}$, there exists some subsequence $\{x_{k_j}\}$ converging to \bar{x} . By the choice of t_k , (5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mu_{k_j} - \sigma)\|x_{k_j} - \bar{x}_{k_j}\|^2 &> \langle F(x_{k_j} + \beta^{m_{k_j}-1}(\bar{x}_{k_j} - x_{k_j})), x_{k_j} - \bar{x}_{k_j} \rangle \\ (17) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \langle F(x_{k_j} + \frac{1}{\beta}t_{k_j}(\bar{x}_{k_j} - x_{k_j})), x_{k_j} - \bar{x}_{k_j} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From (10) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mu_{k_j} - \sigma)\|x_{k_j} - \bar{x}_{k_j}\|^2 + \langle F(x_{k_j} + \frac{1}{\beta}t_{k_j}(\bar{x}_{k_j} - x_{k_j})) - F(x_{k_j}), x_{k_j} - \bar{x}_{k_j} \rangle \\ &< \lambda(\mu_{k_j} - \sigma)\|x_{k_j} - \bar{x}_{k_j}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ and using the continuity of F , we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k_j} - \bar{x}_{k_j}\|^2 \leq \lambda \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k_j} - \bar{x}_{k_j}\|^2.$$

Since $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k_j} - \bar{x}_{k_j}\| = 0.$$

Using the similar argument to that of the first case, we conclude that every accumulate point of $\{x_k\}$ is a solution of problem (1). \square

4. Convergence rate

By Theorem 3.1, we know that the generated sequence $\{x^k\}$ converges to a solution of problem (1). Throughout this section, we always assume that $x^k \rightarrow x^*$, where $x^* \in S$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. To establish the result on the convergence rate of the iterative sequence generated by Algorithm 2.1, we need the following conditions (18) and (19).

For $x^* \in S$, there exist three positive constants δ, c and L such that

$$(18) \qquad \text{cdist}(x, S) \leq \|F(x)\|, \quad \forall x \in N(x^*, \delta),$$

and

$$(19) \qquad \|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in N(x^*, \delta),$$

where $\text{dist}(x, S)$ denotes the distance from x to the solution set S , and

$$N(x^*, \delta) = \{x \in R^n \mid \|x - x^*\| \leq \delta\}.$$

If F is differentiable and $\nabla F(\cdot)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous with modulus θ , then there exists a positive constant L_1 such that

$$(20) \qquad \|F(y) - F(x) - \nabla F(x)(y - x)\| \leq L_1\|y - x\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in N(x^*, \delta).$$

In fact, by the mean value theorem of vector valued function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(y) - F(x) - \nabla F(x)(y - x)\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^1 \nabla F(\tau y + (1 - \tau)x)(y - x) d\tau - \int_0^1 \nabla F(x)(y - x) d\tau \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|\nabla F(\tau y + (1 - \tau)x) - \nabla F(x)\| \|y - x\| d\tau \\ &\leq \theta \|y - x\|^2 \int_0^1 \tau d\tau \\ &= L_1 \|y - x\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $L_1 = \theta/2$. In (20), replacing $\nabla F(x)$ with G_k which is a positive semi-definite matrix, we obtain

$$(21) \quad \|F(y) - F(x) - G_k(y - x)\| \leq L_1 \|y - x\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in N(x^*, \delta).$$

Under the condition (20) or (21), it is easily shown that the condition (19) holds. In other words, (19) is weaker than (20) or (21). In 1998, the literature [12] showed that their proposed method converged superlinearly when the underlying function F is differentiable with $\nabla F(x^*)$ nonsingular and ∇F is locally Lipschitz continuous. It is known that the local error bound condition given in (18) is weaker than the nonsingular. Recently, under the conditions (18) and (21), the literature [15] obtained the local linear rate of convergence of the proposed method.

Next, we analyze the convergence rate of the iterative sequence under the conditions (18) and (19).

Theorem 4.1. *In addition to the assumptions in the Theorem 3.1, if conditions (18)-(19) hold, then there is a constant $\omega > 0$ such that for sufficiently large k ,*

$$\text{dist}(x_k, S) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega k + \text{dist}^{-2}(x_0, S)}}$$

Proof. By (10), we have

$$(22) \quad (\mu_k - \sigma) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \leq \langle F(x_k), x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle,$$

letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, from the continuity of F , Theorem 3.1 and $\mu_k \geq 1 > \sigma$, it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\| = 0.$$

Therefore, $x_k + \beta^{-1} t_k (\bar{x}_k - x_k) \in N(x^*, \delta)$ for k sufficiently large because the sequence $\{x_k\}$ converges to x^* .

By the construction of t_k , we have

$$(23) \quad \langle F(x_k + \beta^{-1} t_k (\bar{x}_k - x_k)), x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle < \lambda (\mu_k - \sigma) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2.$$

From (22) and (23), we obtain

$$(24) \quad \langle F(x_k) - F(x_k + \beta^{-1} t_k (\bar{x}_k - x_k)), x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle > (1 - \lambda) (\mu_k - \sigma) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the condition (19), for k large enough it holds that

$$(25) \quad \langle F(x_k) - F(x_k + \beta^{-1}t_k(\bar{x}_k - x_k)), x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle \leq L\beta^{-1}t_k\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2.$$

By (24) and (25) and $\mu_k \geq 1$, for k sufficiently large we obtain

$$(26) \quad t_k > \beta(1 - \lambda)(1 - \sigma)/L.$$

By (3) and $1 \leq \mu_k \leq \bar{\mu}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x_k)\| &\leq \|r_k\| + \mu_k\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\| \\ &\leq (\sigma + \mu_k)\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\| \\ &\leq (\sigma + \bar{\mu})\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the condition (18), we have

$$(27) \quad \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\| \geq \frac{c}{\sigma + \bar{\mu}} \text{dist}(x_k, S).$$

Let $z_k \in S$ be the closest solution to x_k . That is, $\|x_k - z_k\| = \text{dist}(x_k, S)$. By (14), (16), (26) and (27), we obtain that for sufficiently large k ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{dist}^2(x_{k+1}, S) \\ &\leq \|x_{k+1} - z_k\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_k - z_k\|^2 - M^{-2}b^2\lambda^2(\mu_k - \sigma)^2t_k^2\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^4 \\ &< \|x_k - z_k\|^2 - M^{-2}L^{-2}b^2\beta^2\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2(1 - \sigma)^4\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^4 \\ &\leq \text{dist}^2(x_k, S) - M^{-2}L^{-2}(\sigma + \bar{\mu})^{-4}b^2c^4\beta^2\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2(1 - \sigma)^4\text{dist}^4(x_k, S). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\omega = M^{-2}L^{-2}(\sigma + \bar{\mu})^{-4}c^4b^2\beta^2\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2(1 - \sigma)^4.$$

Applying Lemma 6 in [11, Chapter 2], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x_k, S) &\leq \text{dist}(x_0, S) / \sqrt{\omega k \text{dist}^2(x_0, S) + 1} \\ &= 1 / \sqrt{\omega k + \text{dist}^{-2}(x_0, S)}. \end{aligned}$$

The completes the proof. □

References

- [1] S. P. Dirkse and M. C. Ferris, *MCPLIB: A collection of nonlinear mixed complementarity problems*, *Optim. Methods Software* **5** (1995), 319–345.
- [2] M. E. EL-Hawary, *Optimal Power Flow: Solution Techniques, Requirement and Challenges*, IEEE Service Center, Piscataway, NJ, 1996.
- [3] J. Y. Fan, *Convergence rate of the trust region method for nonlinear equations under local error bound condition*, *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **34** (2006), no. 2, 215–227.
- [4] J. Y. Fan and J. Y. Pan, *An improved trust region algorithm for nonlinear equations*, *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **48** (2011), no. 1, 59–70.
- [5] J. Y. Fan and Y. X. Yuan, *On the quadratic convergence of the Levenberg-Marquardt method without nonsingularity assumption*, *Computing* **74** (2005), no. 1, 23–39.

- [6] D. R. Han, *A hybrid entropic proximal decomposition method with self-adaptive strategy for solving variational inequality problems*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **55** (2008), no. 1, 101–115.
- [7] Y. R. He, *A new double projection algorithm for variational inequalities*, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **185** (2006), no. 1, 166–173.
- [8] S. Karamardian, *Complementarity problems over cones with monotone and pseudomonotone maps*, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **18** (1976), no. 4, 445–454.
- [9] F. M. Ma and C. W. Wang, *Modified projection method for solving a system of monotone equations with convex constraints*, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **34** (2010), no. 1-2, 47–56.
- [10] K. Meintjes and A. P. Morgan, *A methodology for solving chemical equilibrium system*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **22** (1987), no. 4, 333–361.
- [11] B. T. Polyak, *Introduction to Optimization*, Optimization Software Inc., Publications Division, New York, 1987 (Translated from Russian, with a foreword by Dimitri P. Bertsekas).
- [12] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter, *A globally convergent inexact Newton method for systems of monotone equations*, In: Fukushima M., Qi L. (eds) *Reformulation: piecewise smooth, semismooth and smoothing methods*. Kluwer, Holanda (1998), 355–369.
- [13] X. J. Tong and L. Qi, *On the convergence of a trust-region method for solving constrained nonlinear equations with degenerate solution*, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **123** (2004), no. 1, 187–211.
- [14] C. W. Wang and Y. J. Wang, *A superlinearly convergent projection method for constrained systems of nonlinear equations*, *J. Global Optim.* **44** (2009), no. 2, 283–296.
- [15] C. W. Wang, Y. J. Wang, and C. L. Xu, *A projection method for a system of nonlinear monotone equations with convex constraints*, *Math. Methods Oper. Res.* **66** (2007), no. 1, 33–46.
- [16] A. J. Wood and B. F. Wollenberg, *Power Generations, Operations, and Control*, Wiley, New York, 1996.
- [17] N. Xiu and J. Zhang, *Some recent advances in projection-type methods for variational inequalities*, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **152** (2003), no. 1-2, 559–585.
- [18] E. H. Zarantonello, *Projections on Convex Sets in Hilbert Spaces and Spectral Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [19] J. L. Zhang and Y. Wang, *A new trust region method for nonlinear equations*, *Math. Methods Oper. Res.* **58** (2003), no. 2, 283–298.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 YANGTZE NORMAL UNIVERSITY
 FULING, CHONGQING 408100, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zhenglian66@yahoo.com.cn