

The Polynomial Numerical Index of $L_p(\mu)$

SUNG GUEN KIM

Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Republic of Korea

e-mail : `sgk317@knu.ac.kr`

ABSTRACT. We show that for $1 < p < \infty, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n^{(k)}(l_p) = \inf\{n^{(k)}(l_p^m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and that for any positive measure μ , $n^{(k)}(L_p(\mu)) \geq n^{(k)}(l_p)$. We also prove that for every $Q \in \mathcal{P}^k(l_p : l_p)$ ($1 < p < \infty$), if $v(Q) = 0$, then $\|Q\| = 0$.

1. Introduction

Given a complex or real Banach space E we write B_E for the closed unit ball and S_E for the unit sphere of E . The dual space of E is denoted by E^* . For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a mapping $P : E \rightarrow E$ is called a (continuous) k -homogeneous polynomial if there is a k -multilinear (continuous) mapping $A : E \times \cdots \times E \rightarrow E$ such that $P(x) = A(x, \dots, x)$ for every $x \in E$. $\mathcal{P}^k(E : E)$ denotes the Banach space of all k -homogeneous continuous polynomials from E into itself with the norm $\|P\| = \sup_{x \in B_E} \|P(x)\|$. We refer to [6] for background of polynomials on a Banach space. Let

$$\Pi(E) := \{(x, x^*) : x \in S_E, x^* \in S_{E^*}, x^*(x) = 1\}.$$

The *numerical radius* of P is defined [3] by

$$v(P) := \sup\{|x^*(Px)| : (x, x^*) \in \Pi(E)\}.$$

The *polynomial numerical index of order k* of E is defined [4] by

$$\begin{aligned} n^{(k)}(E) &:= \inf\{v(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}^k(E : E), \|P\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{M \geq 0 : \|P\| \leq M v(P) \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P}^k(E : E)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, $n^{(1)}(E)$ is the classical numerical index of E . Note that $0 \leq n^{(k)}(E) \leq 1$, and $n^{(k)}(E) > 0$ if and only if $v(\cdot)$ is a norm on $\mathcal{P}^k(E : E)$ equivalent to the usual

Received July 11, 2012; accepted August 23, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A22, 46G20, 46G25.

Key words and phrases: Homogeneous polynomials, polynomial numerical index.

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0009854).

norm. It is obvious that if E_1, E_2 are isometrically isomorphic Banach spaces, then $n^{(k)}(E_1) = n^{(k)}(E_2)$.

The concept of the classical numerical index (in our terminology, the polynomial numerical index of order 1) was first suggested by G. Lumer [12]. In [4] the authors proved $n^{(k)}(C(K)) = 1$ when $C(K)$ is the complex spaces and some inequality $n^{(k)}(E) \leq n^{(k-1)}(E) \leq \frac{k^{(k+\frac{1}{k-1})}}{(k-1)^{k-1}} n^{(k)}(E)$ for every Banach space E . It was shown that $n^{(k)}(E^{**}) \leq n^{(k)}(E)$. The authors [10] found a lower bound for the polynomial numerical index of real lush spaces. They used this bound to compute the polynomial numerical index of order 2 of the real spaces c_0, ℓ_1 and ℓ_∞ . In fact, they showed that for the real spaces $X = c_0, l_1, l_\infty, n^{(2)}(X) = 1/2$. They also presented an example of a real Banach space X whose polynomial numerical indices are positive while the ones of its bidual are zero. We refer to ([1-5, 7-12]) for some results about the polynomial numerical index. For general information and background on numerical ranges, we refer to [1-2].

In this paper, we show that for $1 < p < \infty, k, m \in \mathbb{N}, n^{(k)}(l_p) = \inf\{n^{(k)}(l_p^m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and that for any positive measure $\mu, n^{(k)}(L_p(\mu)) \geq n^{(k)}(l_p)$. We also prove that for every $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^k l_p : l_p)$ ($1 < p < \infty$), if $v(Q) = 0$, then $\|Q\| = 0$.

2. Results

For $1 < p < \infty$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}, l_p^m$ denotes \mathbb{K}^m endowed with the usual p -norm, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . We may consider l_p^m as a subspace of l_p . Let $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the canonical basis of l_p and $\{e_n^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the biorthogonal functionals associated to $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Note that in general if X is a Banach space and Y is a subspace of X there is no comparison between $n^{(k)}(X)$ and $n^{(k)}(Y)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then $n^{(k)}(l_p) = \inf\{n^{(k)}(l_p^m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and the sequence $\{n^{(k)}(l_p^m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing.*

Proof. We proceed by steps. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $P_{\{1, \dots, m\}} : l_p \rightarrow l_p^m$ by $P_{\{1, \dots, m\}}(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j e_j$.

Step 1: The sequence $\{n^{(k)}(l_p^m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing.

Proof of Step 1. Let $Q \in S_{\mathcal{P}(^k l_p^m : l_p^m)}$. We define $\tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(^k l_p^{m+1} : l_p^{m+1})$ by $\tilde{Q}(x) = (Q \circ P_{\{1, \dots, m\}}(x), 0)$ for $x \in l_p^{m+1}$. It is obvious that $\tilde{Q} \in S_{\mathcal{P}(^k l_p^{m+1} : l_p^{m+1})}$.

Claim A: $v(Q) = v(\tilde{Q})$

Let $(x, x^*) \in \Pi(l_p^m)$. Then $((x, 0), (x^*, 0)) \in \Pi(l_p^{m+1})$ and

$$(*) \quad |x^*(Q(x))| = |(x^*, 0)(\tilde{Q}((x, 0)))| \leq v(\tilde{Q}).$$

By taking supremum in the left side of (*) over $(x, x^*) \in \Pi(l_p^m)$, we have $v(Q) \leq v(\tilde{Q})$. For the reverse inequality let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $z_0 := \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} a_j e_j \in$

$S_{l_p^{m+1}}$ such that $(z_0, \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \text{sign}(a_j)|a_j|^{p-1}e_j^*) \in \Pi(l_p^{m+1})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
v(\tilde{Q}) - \epsilon &< \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \text{sign}(a_j)|a_j|^{p-1}e_j^*(\tilde{Q}(z_0)) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \text{sign}(a_j)|a_j|^{p-1}e_j^*(Q(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j e_j)) \right| \\
&= C^{k+p-1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \text{sign}(a_j) \left| \frac{a_j}{C} \right|^{p-1} e_j^*(Q(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{a_j}{C} e_j)) \right| \\
&\quad (\text{where } C := (\sum_{j=1}^m |a_j|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 1) \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \text{sign}(a_j) \left| \frac{a_j}{C} \right|^{p-1} e_j^*(Q(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{a_j}{C} e_j)) \right| \\
&\leq v(Q), \text{ because } (\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{a_j}{C} e_j, \sum_{j=1}^m \text{sign}(a_j) \left| \frac{a_j}{C} \right|^{p-1} e_j^*) \in \Pi(l_p^m),
\end{aligned}$$

which show $v(\tilde{Q}) \leq v(Q)$. Thus $v(Q) = v(\tilde{Q})$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{(k)}(l_p^m) &= \inf_{Q \in S_{\mathcal{P}(k l_p^m, l_p^m)}} v(Q) \\
&= \inf_{Q \in S_{\mathcal{P}(k l_p^m, l_p^m)}} v(\tilde{Q}) \\
&\geq \inf_{R \in S_{\mathcal{P}(k l_p^{m+1}, l_p^{m+1})}} v(R) \\
&= n^{(k)}(l_p^{m+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: $n^{(k)}(l_p) \leq n^{(k)}(l_p^m)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Proof of Step 2. Let $Q \in S_{\mathcal{P}(k l_p^m, l_p^m)}$. We define $\tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(k l_p : l_p)$ by $\tilde{Q}(z) = (Q \circ P_{\{1, \dots, m\}}(z), 0, 0, \dots)$ for $z \in l_p$. It is obvious that $\tilde{Q} \in S_{\mathcal{P}(k l_p, l_p)}$. By the same argument as in Step 1, we have $v(\tilde{Q}) \leq v(Q)$. Thus it follows.

Step 3: $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} n^{(k)}(l_p^m) = n^{(k)}(l_p)$

Proof of Step 3. Let $Q \in S_{\mathcal{P}(k l_p, l_p)}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $Q_m \in \mathcal{P}(k l_p^m : l_p^m)$ by $Q_m(x) = P_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \circ Q(x, 0, 0, \dots)$ for $x \in l_p^m$. It is obvious that $\|Q_m\| \leq 1$, $\|Q_m\| \leq \|Q_{m+1}\|$ and $v(Q_m) \leq v(Q)$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\tilde{Q}_m \in \mathcal{P}(k l_p : l_p)$ by $\tilde{Q}_m(z) = (Q_m \circ P_{\{1, \dots, m\}}(z), 0, 0, \dots)$ for $z \in l_p$. By the argument in Step 1, $v(\tilde{Q}_m) = v(Q_m)$.

Claim B: $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_m\| = 1$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $x_0 \in S_{l_p}$ such that $\|Q(x_0)\| > 1 - \epsilon$. By continuity of Q at x_0 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Q_m \circ P_{\{1, \dots, m\}}(x_0) - Q(x_0)\| \\ &= \|P_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \circ Q \circ P_{\{1, \dots, m\}}(x_0) - P_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \circ Q(x_0)\| + \|P_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \circ Q(x_0) - Q(x_0)\| \\ &\leq \|Q \circ P_{\{1, \dots, m\}}(x_0) - Q(x_0)\| + \|P_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \circ Q(x_0) - Q(x_0)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|Q_m \circ P_{\{1, \dots, m\}}(x_0) - Q(x_0)\| < \epsilon$ for all $m \geq N_0$. Then for all $m \geq N_0$, $1 \geq \|Q_m\| \geq \|Q_m \circ P_{\{1, \dots, m\}}(x_0)\| > 1 - 2\epsilon$, which shows Claim B.

Claim C: $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v(Q_m) = v(Q)$

There exists $(y_0, y^*) \in \Pi(l_p)$ such that $|y^*(Q(y_0))| > v(Q) - \epsilon$. Let $y_0 := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j e_j$. Then $y^* = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{sign}(b_j) |b_j|^{p-1} e_j^*$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $y_0^{(m)} := \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} b_j e_j + (\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} |b_j|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} e_m$ and $y_m^* := \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \text{sign}(b_j) |b_j|^{p-1} e_j^* + (\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} |b_j|^p)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} e_m^*$. It is obvious that $(y_0^{(m)}, y_m^*) \in \Pi(l_p)$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|y_0 - y_0^{(m)}\| = 0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|y^* - y_m^*\|$. Note that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m^*(Q(y_0^{(m)})) = y^*(Q(y_0))$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & |y_m^*(Q(y_0^{(m)})) - y^*(Q(y_0))| \\ &\leq |y_m^*(Q(y_0^{(m)})) - y^*(Q(y_0^{(m)}))| + |y^*(Q(y_0^{(m)})) - y^*(Q(y_0))| \\ &\leq \|y_m^* - y^*\| \|Q(y_0^{(m)})\| + \|Q(y_0^{(m)}) - Q(y_0)\| \\ &\leq \|y_m^* - y^*\| + \|Q(y_0^{(m)}) - Q(y_0)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|y_m^*(Q(y_0^{(m)}))| > v(Q) - \epsilon$ for all $m \geq N_1$. It is easy to show that for all $m \geq N_1$, $y_{N_1}^*(\tilde{Q}_m(y_0^{(N_1)})) = y_{N_1}^*(Q(y_0^{(N_1)}))$. It follows that for all $m \geq N_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(Q) - \epsilon &< |y_{N_1}^*(Q(y_0^{(N_1)}))| \\ &= |y_{N_1}^*(\tilde{Q}_m(y_0^{(N_1)}))| \\ &\leq v(\tilde{Q}_m) = v(Q_m) \\ &\leq v(Q), \end{aligned}$$

which show $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v(Q_m) = v(Q)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (**) \quad v(Q) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v(Q_m) \\ &= \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} [v(\frac{Q_m}{\|Q_m\|}) \|Q_m\|] \\ &= \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} v(\frac{Q_m}{\|Q_m\|}) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_m\| \\ &= \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} v(\frac{Q_m}{\|Q_m\|}) \quad (\text{by claim B}) \\ &\geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} n^{(k)}(l_p^m) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum in the left side of (**) over $Q \in S_{\mathcal{P}(k l_p : l_p)}$, we have $n^{(k)}(l_p) \geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} n^{(k)}(l_p^m)$. By Step 2, we have $n^{(k)}(l_p) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} n^{(k)}(l_p^m)$. Thus $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} n^{(k)}(l_p^m) = n^{(k)}(l_p)$. Therefore, we complete the proof. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}(k l_p : l_p)$. Then $v(Q) = 0$ if and only if $\|Q\| = 0$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that if $v(Q) = 0$, then $Q = 0$. We will show that $Q_m := P_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \circ Q|_{\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\} : l_p^m \rightarrow l_p^m}$ is the zero polynomial for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Write

$$Q_m\left(\sum_{k=1}^m x_k e_k\right) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_m = m, 0 \leq k_1, \dots, k_m \leq m} \frac{m!}{k_1! \dots k_m!} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_m^{k_m} A_m(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_m}),$$

where A_m is the corresponding symmetric k -linear mapping to the k -homogeneous polynomial Q_m . Let $a_{k_1 \dots k_m} := A_m(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_m}) \in l_p^m$.

Let $p_1 := 0$ and p_n be the n -th prime ($n \geq 2$). Let $0 \leq t \leq 1$ be fixed and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Put

$$y := \frac{t^{\sqrt{p_1}} e_1 + t^{\sqrt{p_2}} e_2 + \dots + t^{\sqrt{p_m}} e_m}{(1 + t^{p\sqrt{p_2}} + \dots + t^{p\sqrt{p_m}})^{1/p}}$$

and

$$y^* := \frac{t^{(p-1)\sqrt{p_1}} e_1^* + t^{(p-1)\sqrt{p_2}} e_2^* + \dots + t^{(p-1)\sqrt{p_m}} e_m^*}{(1 + t^{p\sqrt{p_2}} + \dots + t^{p\sqrt{p_m}})^{1/q}}.$$

Then $(y, y^*) \in \Pi(l_p^m)$.

Claim: $a_{k_1 \dots k_m} = 0$ for every k_1, \dots, k_m

It follows that for every $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= y^*(Q_m(y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + t^{p\sqrt{p_2}} + \dots + t^{p\sqrt{p_m}})^{1/q + k/p}} \times \\ &\quad (t^{(p-1)\sqrt{p_1}} e_1^* + t^{(p-1)\sqrt{p_2}} e_2^* + \dots + t^{(p-1)\sqrt{p_m}} e_m^*) \\ &\quad (Q_m(t^{\sqrt{p_1}} e_1 + t^{\sqrt{p_2}} e_2 + \dots + t^{\sqrt{p_m}} e_m)), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (t^{(p-1)\sqrt{p_1}} e_1^* + t^{(p-1)\sqrt{p_2}} e_2^* + \dots + t^{(p-1)\sqrt{p_m}} e_m^*) \\ &\quad (Q_m(t^{\sqrt{p_1}} e_1 + t^{\sqrt{p_2}} e_2 + \dots + t^{\sqrt{p_m}} e_m)) \\ &= \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_m = m, 0 \leq k_1, \dots, k_m \leq m} t^{\sqrt{p_{k_2}} + \dots + \sqrt{p_{k_m}}} \frac{m!}{k_1! \dots k_m!} e_1^*(a_{k_1 \dots k_m}) \\ &+ \sum_{2 \leq j \leq m} \left[\sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_m = m, 0 \leq k_1, \dots, k_m \leq m} t^{\sqrt{p_{k_2}} + \dots + \sqrt{p_{k_m}} + (p-1)\sqrt{p_{k_j}}} \frac{m!}{k_1! \dots k_m!} e_j^*(a_{k_1 \dots k_m}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the elements of the set

$$\left\{ \sqrt{p_{k_2}} + \cdots + \sqrt{p_{k_m}}, \sqrt{p_{k_2}} + \cdots + \sqrt{p_{k_m}} + (p-1)\sqrt{p_{k_j}} : \right. \\ \left. k_1 + \cdots + k_m = m, 0 \leq k_1, \dots, k_m \leq m, 2 \leq j \leq m \right\}$$

are different. Thus $e_j^*(a_{k_1 \dots k_m}) = 0$ for every $1 \leq j \leq m$, which show $a_{k_1 \dots k_m} = 0$ for every k_1, \dots, k_m . Therefore, $Q_m = 0$. Let $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k \in l_p$ be fixed. By continuity of Q at x , we have

$$Q(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Q_m(x) = 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then for every $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $n^{(k)}(l_p^m) > 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $n^{(k)}(l_p^m) = 0$ for some $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the unit sphere of the finite dimensional space $\mathcal{P}(k l_p^m : l_p^m)$ is compact, there exists some $Q \in \mathcal{P}(k l_p^m : l_p^m)$ such that $\|Q\| = 1$ and $v(Q) = 0$. Theorem 2.2 shows that $Q = 0$, which is impossible. \square

Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and μ a positive measure on Ω . We denote by \mathcal{P} the collection of all partitions π of Ω into finitely many pairwise disjoint members of Σ with finite strictly positive measures. We order this collection by $\pi_1 \leq \pi_2$ whenever each member of π_1 is the union of members of π_2 . So \mathcal{P} is a directed set. For each $\pi = \{E_1, \dots, E_m\} \in \mathcal{P}$, we associate the subspace V_π of $L_p(\mu)$ defined by $V_\pi = \{\sum_{i=1}^m a_i 1_{E_i} : a_i \in \mathbb{K}\}$. By P_π we denote the projection of $L_p(\mu)$ onto V_π defined by

$$P_\pi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} f(t) dt \right] 1_{E_i}$$

for all $f \in L_p(\mu)$. V denotes the union of all subspaces V_π of $L_p(\mu)$. We recall that V is a dense subspace of $L_p(\mu)$, thus, for each $f \in L_p(\mu)$, the sequence $\{P_\pi(f)\}_\pi$ converges to f in $L_p(\mu)$.

We recall the following well-known result.

Theorem 2.4 *For $1 < p < \infty$ and for every partition $\pi = \{E_1, \dots, E_m\} \in \mathcal{P}$, the subspace V_π is isometrically isomorphic to l_p^m . Thus $n^{(k)}(V_\pi) = n^{(k)}(l_p^m)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any positive measure μ ,*

$$n^{(k)}(L_p(\mu)) \geq n^{(k)}(l_p).$$

Proof. Let $Q \in S_{\mathcal{P}(k L_p(\mu): L_p(\mu))}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $x_0 \in S_{L_p(\mu)}$ such that $\|Q(x_0)\| > 1 - \epsilon$. By uniform continuity of Q on the closed unit ball of $L_p(\mu)$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in B_{L_p(\mu)}$ with $\|x - y\| < \delta$ implies that $\|Q(x) - Q(y)\| < \epsilon$. Choose $\pi_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\|x_0 - P_{\pi_0}(x_0)\| < \delta$. Since $\|P_{\pi_0}(x_0)\| \leq 1$, we have $\|Q(x_0) - Q \circ P_{\pi_0}(x_0)\| < \epsilon$. Thus $\|Q \circ P_{\pi_0}(x_0)\| > \|Q(x_0)\| - \epsilon > 1 - 2\epsilon$. Thus we can choose $\pi_1 = \{E_1, \dots, E_m\} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$\pi_1 \geq \pi_0$ and $\|P_{\pi_1} \circ Q \circ P_{\pi_0}(x_0)\| > 1 - 2\epsilon$. We define $R \in \mathcal{P}({}^k V_{\pi_1} : V_{\pi_1})$ by $R(P_{\pi_1}(x)) = P_{\pi_1} \circ Q \circ P_{\pi_1}(x)$ for $x \in L_p(\mu)$. Obviously $\|R\| \leq 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\#) \quad \|R\| &\geq \left\| R\left(\frac{P_{\pi_0}(x_0)}{\|P_{\pi_0}(x_0)\|}\right) \right\| = \frac{\|R(P_{\pi_0}(x_0))\|}{\|P_{\pi_0}(x_0)\|^k} \\ &\geq \|R(P_{\pi_0}(x_0))\| \\ &\geq \|P_{\pi_1} \circ Q \circ P_{\pi_0}(x_0)\| \\ &> 1 - 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\#\#) \quad v(R) &\geq n^{(k)}(V_{\pi_1}) \|R\| \\ &> n^{(k)}(V_{\pi_1}) (1 - 2\epsilon) \text{ (by } \#) \\ &= n^{(k)}(l_p^m) (1 - 2\epsilon) \text{ (by Theorem 2.4)} \\ &\geq n^{(k)}(l_p) (1 - 2\epsilon) \text{ (by Theorem 2.1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since V_{π_1} is a finite dimensional space, there exists $(y_0, y^*) \in \Pi(V_{\pi_1})$ such that $v(R) = |y^*(R(y_0))|$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v(R) &= |y^*(R(y_0))| = |y^*(P_{\pi_1} \circ Q(y_0))| \\ &= |P_{\pi_1}^* \circ y^*(Q(y_0))| \\ &\leq v(Q), \text{ because } (y_0, P_{\pi_1}^* \circ y^*) \in \Pi(V_{\pi_1}). \end{aligned}$$

By $(\#\#)$, we have $(\#\#\#) \quad v(Q) \geq v(R) > n^{(k)}(l_p) (1 - 2\epsilon)$. By taking infimum in the left side of $(\#\#\#)$ over $Q \in S_{\mathcal{P}({}^k L_p(\mu):L_p(\mu))}$, we conclude the proof. \square

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank the referee for his comments and suggestions in improving this paper in both substance and presentation.

References

- [1] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **2**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1971.
- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges II*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **10**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973.
- [3] Y. S. Choi and S. G. Kim, *Norm or numerical radius attaining multilinear mappings and polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc., **54** (1996), 135-147.
- [4] Y. S. Choi, D. Garcia, S. G. Kim and M. Maestre, *The polynomial numerical index of a Banach space*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **49** (2006), 39-52.

- [5] Y. S. Choi, D. Garcia, S. G. Kim and M. Maestre, *Composition, numerical range and Aron-Berner extension*, Math. Scand., **103** (2008), 97-110.
- [6] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.
- [7] J. Duncan, C. M. McGregor, J. D. Pryce and A. J. White, *The numerical index of a normed space*, J. London Math. Soc., **2** (1970), 481-488.
- [8] S. G. Kim, *Norm and numerical radius of 2-homogeneous polynomials on the real space l_p^2 , ($1 < p < \infty$)*, Kyungpook Math. J., **48** (2008), 387-393.
- [9] S. G. Kim, *Three kinds of numerical indices of a Banach space*, Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad., **112A** (2012), 21-35.
- [10] S. G. Kim, M. Martin and J. Meri, *On the polynomial numerical index of the real spaces c_0, ℓ_1, ℓ_∞* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **337** (2008), 98-106.
- [11] H. J. Lee, *Banach spaces with polynomial numerical index 1*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., **40** (2008), 193-198.
- [12] G. Lumer, *Semi-inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **100** (1961), 29-43.