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#### Abstract

In this note, we completely characterize the reducing subspaces of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ on $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$ where $\alpha>-1$ and $N, M$ are positive integers with $N \neq M$, and show that the minimal reducing subspaces of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ on the unweighted Bergman space and on the weighted Bergman space are different.


## 1. Introduction

Let $D$ denote the open unit disk in the complex plane. For $-1<\alpha<+\infty$, $L^{2}\left(D, d A_{\alpha}\right)$ is the space of functions on $D$ which are square integrable with respect to the measure $d A_{\alpha}(z)=(\alpha+1)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d A(z)$, where $d A$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue area measure on $D . L^{2}\left(D, d A_{\alpha}\right)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle f, g\rangle_{\alpha}=\int_{D} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d A_{\alpha}$. The weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ is the closed subspace of $L^{2}\left(D, d A_{\alpha}\right)$ consisting of analytic functions on $D$. If $\alpha=0, A_{0}^{2}$ is the Bergman space. We write $A^{2}=A_{0}^{2}$. It is known that $\left\{\frac{z^{n}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|_{\alpha}}\right\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$ is an orthogonal basis of $A_{\alpha}^{2}(D)$. Let $\gamma_{n}=\left\|z^{n}\right\|_{\alpha}=\sqrt{\frac{n!\Gamma(2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(2+\alpha+n)}}$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$. Therefore,

$$
\|f\|_{\alpha}^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \gamma_{n}^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty
$$

with $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in A_{\alpha}^{2}(D)$.
Denote the unit polydisk by $D^{n}$. The weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$ is then the space of all holomorphic functions on $L^{2}\left(D^{n}, d v_{\alpha}\right)$, where $d v_{\alpha}(z)=$ $d A_{\alpha}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots d A_{\alpha}\left(z_{n}\right)$. For multi-index $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right), \beta \succeq 0$ means that

[^0]$\beta_{i} \geq 0$ for any $i \geq 0$. Denote by $z^{\beta}=z_{1}^{\beta_{1}} z_{2}^{\beta_{2}} \cdots z_{n}^{\beta_{n}}$ and
$$
e_{\beta}=\frac{z^{\beta}}{\gamma_{\beta_{1}} \cdots \gamma_{\beta_{n}}}
$$
then $\left\{e_{\beta}\right\}_{\beta}$ is an orthogonal basis in $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$.
Let $P$ be the Bergman orthogonal projection from $L^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$ onto $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$. For a bounded measurable function $f \in L^{\infty}\left(D^{n}\right)$, the Toeplitz operator with symbol $f$ is defined by $T_{f} h=P(f h)$ for every $h \in A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$.

Recall that in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a (closed) subspace $\mathcal{M}$ is called a reducing subspace of the operator $T$ if $T(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $T^{*}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. A nontrivial reducing subspace $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be minimal if the only reducing subspaces contained in $\mathcal{M}$ are $\mathcal{M}$ and $\{0\}$. On the Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}(D)$, the reducing subspaces of the Toeplitz operators with finite Blaschke product simples are well studied (see $[1,2,8]$ for example). On $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$, Y. Lu and X. Zhou [4] characterized the reducing subspaces of Toeplitz operators $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{N}}, T_{z_{1}^{N}}$ and $T_{z_{2}^{N}}$.

In this note, we consider the reducing subspaces of the Toeplitz operators $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ on $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$ and $T_{z_{i}^{N} z_{j}^{M}}$ on $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$, where $N, M \geq 1$ are integers and $1 \leq i<j \leq n$. Usually, the Toeplitz operators on the unweighted Bergman space and the weighted Bergman space have similar properties (see [5, 6, 7, 9] for example). However, we obtain that the minimal reducing subspaces of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ with $N \neq M$ on $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)(\alpha \neq 0)$ are less then that on $A^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$ (see Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.2).

## 2. The results on the Bergman space

Let $M, N$ be integers with $M, N \geq 1$ and $M \neq N$. In this section, we consider the minimal reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ on $A^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$. Here $\gamma_{k}=$ $\left\|z^{k}\right\|_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{k+1}}$. Let $\rho_{1}(k)=\frac{(k+1) N}{M}-1$ and $\rho_{2}(k)=\frac{(k+1) M}{N}-1$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{n m}=$ $\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}, z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)}\right\}$ and $P_{n m}$ be the orthogonal projection from $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{n m}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $n, m, h$ be nonnegative integers. Then the following statements hold:
(a) if $\rho_{1}(m)$ is an integer, then $\rho_{1}(m+h M)=\rho_{1}(m)+h N$ is an integer for every $h \geq 0$;
(b) if $\rho_{2}(n)$ is an integer, then $\rho_{2}(n+h N)=\rho_{2}(n)+h M$ is an integer for every $h \geq 0$;
(c) if $\rho_{1}(m)$ and $\rho_{2}(n)$ are positive integers, then $\gamma_{\rho_{1}(m)} \gamma_{\rho_{2}(n)}=\gamma_{m} \gamma_{n}$;
(d) $\rho_{1}\left(\rho_{2}(n)\right)=n$ and $\rho_{2}\left(\rho_{1}(m)\right)=m$.

Proof. Notice that if $\rho_{1}(m)$ and $\rho_{2}(n)$ are positive integers, then $\gamma_{\rho_{1}(m)}=$ $\sqrt{\frac{M}{N}} \gamma_{m}$ and $\gamma_{\rho_{2}(n)}=\sqrt{\frac{N}{M}} \gamma_{n}$. So (c) holds. By the direct calculation, (a), (b) and (d) are obvious.

Theorem 2.2. Let $n, m$ be integers such that $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M-1$, and both of $\rho_{1}(m)$ and $\rho_{2}(n)$ are integers. Then for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\mathcal{M}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{a z_{1}^{n+h N} z_{2}^{m+h M}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m+h M)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n+h N)} ; h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

is a minimal reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ on the polydisk.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1(a) and (b), it is easy to check that $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}\right) & =\sum_{\beta \succeq 0}\left\langle T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}^{*} z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}, e^{\beta}\right\rangle e^{\beta} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\gamma_{k}^{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}}{\gamma_{k-N}^{2} \gamma_{l-M}^{2}} z_{1}^{k-N} z_{2}^{l-M}, & \text { if } \quad k \geq N, l \geq M, \\
0, & \text { if } & \text { others. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $h \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{n+h N} z_{2}^{m+h M}\right) \\
= & \frac{\gamma_{n+h N}^{2} \gamma_{m+h M}^{2}}{\gamma_{n+(h-1) N}^{2} \gamma_{m+(h-1) M}^{2}} z_{1}^{n+(h-1) N} z_{2}^{m+(h-1) M} \\
& T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m+h M)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n+h N)}\right) \\
= & \frac{\gamma_{\rho_{1}(m+h M)}^{2} \gamma_{\rho_{2}(n+h N)}^{2}}{\gamma_{\rho_{1}(m+h M)-N}^{2} \gamma_{\rho_{2}(n+h N)-M}^{2}} z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m+h M)-N} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n+h N)-M} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.1(c), it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}^{*}\left(a z_{1}^{n+h N} z_{2}^{m+h M}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m+h M)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n+h N)}\right) \\
= & \mu\left(a z_{1}^{n+h N-N} z_{2}^{m+h M-M}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m+h M-M)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n+h N-N)}\right) \in \mathcal{M},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu=\frac{\gamma_{n+h N}^{2} \gamma_{m+h M}^{2}}{\gamma_{n+(h-1) N}^{2} \gamma_{m+(h-1) M}^{2}}=\frac{\gamma_{\rho_{1}(m+h M)}^{2} \gamma_{\rho_{2}(n+h N)}^{2}}{\gamma_{\rho_{1}(m+h M)-N}^{2} \gamma_{\rho_{2}(n+h N)-M}^{2}}$.
Since $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ (or $0 \leq m \leq M-1$ ), we get $\rho_{2}(n)<M$ (or $\rho_{1}(m)<$ $N$, respectively). Therefore, $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}^{*}\left(a z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)}\right)=0 \in \mathcal{M}$. So $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}^{*}(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathcal{M}$, which finishes the proof.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $\mathcal{M} \neq 0$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ in $A^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$. Let $f=\sum_{(k, l) \succeq 0} a_{k, l} z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l} \in \mathcal{M}$. For each nonnegative integers $n$, $m$ with $a_{n m} \neq 0$, the following statements hold:
(I) if $\rho_{1}(m), \rho_{2}(n)$ are integers and $a_{\rho_{1}(m) \rho_{2}(n)} \neq 0$, then

$$
a_{n m} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}+a_{\rho_{1}(m) \rho_{2}(n)} z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)} \in \mathcal{M}
$$

(II) if at least one of $\rho_{1}(m), \rho_{2}(n)$ is not an integer, or $a_{\rho_{1}(m) \rho_{2}(n)}=0$, then $z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m} \in M$.

Proof. For every integer $h \geq 0$, denote by $T_{h}=T_{z_{1}^{h N} z_{2}^{h M}}$. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{h}^{*} T_{h}\left(z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right)=\frac{\gamma_{h N+n}^{2} \gamma_{h M+m}^{2}}{\gamma_{n}^{2} \gamma_{m}^{2}} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m} \in \mathcal{M}, \forall n, m \geq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the orthogonal projection from $A_{\alpha}^{2}(D)$ onto $\mathcal{M}$, then for nonnegative integers $n, m, k, l$,

$$
\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} T_{h}^{*} T_{h} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}, z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{h}^{*} T_{h} P_{\mathcal{M}} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}, z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}, T_{h}^{*} T_{h} z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}\right\rangle
$$

Thus $\frac{\gamma_{h N+k}^{2} \gamma_{h M+l}^{2}}{\gamma_{k}^{2} \gamma_{l}^{2}}=\frac{\gamma_{h N+n}^{2} \gamma_{h M+m}^{2}}{\gamma_{n}^{2} \gamma_{m}^{2}}$. Equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(k+1)(l+1)}{(n+1)(m+1)}=\frac{(k+h N+1)(l+h M+1)}{(n+h N+1)(m+h M+1)}, h \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $(k, l)=(n, m)$ or $(k, l)=\left(\rho_{1}(m), \rho_{2}(n)\right)$. In fact, let $h \rightarrow+\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k+1)(l+1)=(n+1)(m+1) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $(k+h N+1)(l+h M+1)=(n+h N+1)(m+h M+1)$. Since $g(\lambda)=(k+\lambda N+1)(l+\lambda M+1)-(n+\lambda N+1)(m+\lambda M+1)$ is an analytic polynormal on $\mathbb{C}, g(\lambda)=0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The coefficient of $\lambda$ must be zero. We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(n-k)=N(l-m) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (2.3) implies the claim.
Therefore, $P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{n m}$. Hence,

$$
P_{n m} P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right)=P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right)
$$

Since $P_{\mathcal{M}} f=f$ for every $f \in \mathcal{M}$, we arrive to

$$
\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{n m} f, z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right\rangle=\left\langle f, P_{n m} P_{\mathcal{M}} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right\rangle=\left\langle f, P_{\mathcal{M}} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right\rangle=\left\langle P_{n m} f, z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right\rangle
$$

Notice that $\rho_{2}\left(\rho_{1}(m)\right)=m, \rho_{1}\left(\rho_{2}(n)\right)=n$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\rho_{1}(m) \rho_{2}(n)}=\mathcal{H}_{n m}$. Replacing $n, m$ by $\rho_{1}(m)$ and $\rho_{2}(n)$, respectively, it is easy to get that

$$
\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{n m} f, z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)}\right\rangle=\left\langle P_{n m} f, z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)}\right\rangle
$$

Moreover, $\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{n m} f, z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle P_{n m} f, z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}\right\rangle=0$ for any $(k, l) \neq\left(\rho_{1}(m), \rho_{2}(n)\right)$ and $(k, l) \neq(n, m)$. Hence $P_{n m} f=P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{n m}(f) \in \mathcal{M}$. So we get the result.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $\mathcal{M} \neq\{0\}$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ in the Bergman space $A^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$. Then there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and nonnegative integers $m, n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M-1$, such that $\mathcal{M}$ contains a reducing subspace as follows

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{a z_{1}^{h N+n} z_{2}^{h M+m}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m+h N)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n+h M)}: h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

where $\rho_{1}(m+h N)=\frac{(m+h N+1) M}{N}-1$ and $\rho_{2}(n+h M)=\frac{(n+h M+1) N}{M}-1$. In particular, if $\rho_{1}(m)$ (or $\left.\rho_{2}(n)\right)$ is not a positive integer, then $b=0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}$ is minimal if and only if $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b}$.

Proof. (I) If $\mathcal{M} \neq 0$, there exist nonzero function $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k, l$, such that $P_{k l} f \neq 0$. Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$
g_{k l}=P_{k l} f=a z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(l)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(k)} \in \mathcal{M}
$$

Observe that there is a positive integer $h_{0}$ such that $a z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)}=$ $\left(T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}^{*}\right)^{h_{0}}\left(g_{k l}\right) \neq 0,\left(T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}^{*}\right)^{h_{0}+1}\left(g_{k l}\right)=0$, where $n=k-h_{0} N, m=l-h_{0} M$. Clearly, $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M-1$. So Theorem 2.2 shows that $a z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)} \in \mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.
(II) Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is minimal. As in (I), there is a nonzero function $a z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}+$ $b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then the following statements hold:
(a) if $z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m} \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{n+h N} z_{2}^{m+h M}, h \geq 0\right\}$;
(b) if $\rho_{1}(m), \rho_{2}(n)$ are integers, and $z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)} \in \mathcal{M}$, then

$$
\mathcal{M}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)+h N} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)+h M}, h \geq 0\right\}
$$

(c) if none of $z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}$ and $z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)}$ is in $\mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b}$ with $a b \neq 0$.
So we finish the proof.
Remark 2.5. Note that

$$
z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m+h N)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n+h M)}=z_{1}^{m+\frac{(M-N)(m+1)}{N}} z_{2}^{n+\frac{(N-M)(n+1)}{M}} z_{1}^{h M} z_{2}^{h N} .
$$

If $N=M$, then $\rho_{1}(n)=m$ and $\rho_{2}(m)=n$. Y. Lu and X. Zhou [4] showed that $\operatorname{Span}\left\{\left(z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{m}+z_{1}^{m} z_{2}^{k}\right)\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{h N}: h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{m}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{h N}: h=\right.$ $0,1,2, \ldots\}$ are the only minimal reducing subspaces of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{N}}$. Let $a b \neq 0$ with $a \neq b$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b}$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ when $N \neq M$, but is not a reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{N}}$.

## 3. The results on the weighted Bergman space

Let $-1<\alpha<+\infty$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. In this section, we consider the reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ on the weighted Bergman Space $A_{\alpha}^{2}(D)$. Here $\gamma_{n}=\left\|z^{n}\right\|_{\alpha}=$ $\sqrt{\frac{n!\Gamma(2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(2+\alpha+n)}}$. We begin with a useful lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $M, N, n, m, k, l$ be nonnegative integers with $l>m, n>k$ and $M, N \geq 1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{h N+k}^{2} \gamma_{h M+l}^{2}=\gamma_{h N+n}^{2} \gamma_{h M+m}^{2}, \quad h \geq 0, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $N=M, l=n$ and $m=k$.
Proof. First, note that the equality (3.1) holds if and only if for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{n-k}(\lambda N+j+k) \prod_{j=1}^{l-m}(\lambda M+2+\alpha+l-j) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\prod_{j=1}^{n-k}(\lambda N+2+\alpha+n-j) \prod_{j=1}^{l-m}(\lambda M+j+m)
$$

By computing the coefficient of $\lambda^{n-k+l-m-1}$ in the equality (3.2), we obtain $M \sum_{j=1}^{n-k}(j+k)+N \sum_{j=1}^{l-m}(2+\alpha+l-j)=M \sum_{j=1}^{n-k}(2+\alpha+n-j)+N \sum_{j=1}^{l-m}(j+$ $m)$. It follows that $M(n-k)=N(l-m)$.

Second, we prove that if $\alpha$ is not an integer, then the following statements hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m+1) N=(k+1) M \text { and }(l+1+\alpha) N=(n+1+\alpha) M \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Let $\lambda_{1}=-\frac{k+1}{N}$. Then $\lambda_{1} N+k+1=0$ and $\lambda_{1} N+2+\alpha+n-j \neq 0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n-k$, because $\lambda_{1} N+2+\alpha+n-j$ is not an integer. Therefore, the equality (3.2) implies that $\prod_{j=1}^{l-m}\left(\lambda_{1} M+j+m\right)=0$. That is, there exists $1 \leq h_{1} \leq l-m$ such that $\lambda_{1} M+m+h_{1}=0$. So, $h_{1}=\frac{k+1}{N} M-m \geq 1$. It follows that $(m+1) N \leq(k+1) M$.
(b) Let $\lambda_{2}=-\frac{m+1}{M}$. Then $\lambda_{2} M+m+1=0$. Similarly, we can get an integer $h_{2}$ such that $1 \leq h_{2} \leq l-m$ and $\lambda_{2} N+k+h_{2}=0$, which implies that $h_{2}=\frac{m+1}{M} N-k \geq 1$. Thus $(m+1) N \geq(k+1) M$.

Comparing (a) with (b), we arrive at $(m+1) N=(k+1) M$.
(c) Let $\mu_{1}=-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{N}$. Then $\mu_{1} N+n+1+\alpha=0, \mu_{1} N+k+j \neq 0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n-k$. Therefore, $\prod_{j=1}^{l-m}\left(\mu_{1} M+2+\alpha+l-j\right)=0$. That is, there exists $1 \leq h_{3} \leq l-m$ such that $\mu_{1} M+2+\alpha+l-h_{3}=0$. So, $h_{3}=-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{N} M+(2+\alpha+l) \geq 1$, i.e., $(l+1+\alpha) N \geq(n+1+\alpha) M$.
(d) Let $\mu_{2}=-\frac{l+1+\alpha}{M}$. Then $\mu_{2} M+l+1+\alpha=0$. As in (c), there exists $1 \leq h_{4} \leq n-k$ such that $\mu_{2} N+\alpha+2+n-h_{4}=0$. So, $1 \leq h_{4}=$ $-\frac{l+1+\alpha}{M} N+(2+\alpha+n) \leq n-k$ and $(l+1+\alpha) N \leq(n+1+\alpha) M$.

Comparing (c) with (d), we arrive at $(l+1+\alpha) N=(n+1+\alpha) M$.
Third, we prove that if $\alpha$ is an positive integer, then (3.3) holds. In fact, if $1+\alpha \geq 2$ is an integer, then (3.2) can be simplified into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{j=1}^{k_{1}}(\lambda N+j+k) \prod_{j=1}^{m_{1}}(\lambda M+2+\alpha+l-j) \\
= & \prod_{j=1}^{k_{1}}(\lambda N+2+\alpha+n-j) \prod_{j=1}^{m_{1}}(\lambda M+j+m), \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2 \leq k_{1} \leq n-k, 2 \leq m_{1} \leq l-m, 2+\alpha+n-k_{1}>k_{1}+k$ and $2+\alpha+l-m_{1}>m_{1}+m$. By the same technique as in second part of the proof, we can get the equalities in (3.3).

Finally, combining the equalities (3.3) with $M(n-k)=N(l-m)$, it is easy to get $\alpha N=\alpha M$. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, we have $N=M, l=n, k=m$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\alpha \neq 0, M, N \geq 1$ with $M \neq N$. Suppose $\mathcal{M} \neq\{0\}$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ in the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$. Then
there exist nonnegative integers $n, m$ with $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M-1$ such that

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n m}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{h N+n} z_{2}^{h M+m}: h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M} .
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{M}$ is minimal if and only if there exist $n, m$ as in assumption such that $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_{n m}$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{M} \neq\{0\}$ is a reducing subspace. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3 , there exist integers $n, m$ such that $P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right) \neq 0$ and

$$
\frac{\gamma_{h N+k}^{2} \gamma_{h M+l}^{2}}{\gamma_{k}^{2} \gamma_{l}^{2}}=\frac{\gamma_{h N+n}^{2} \gamma_{h M+m}^{2}}{\gamma_{n}^{2} \gamma_{m}^{2}}, \forall h \geq 0
$$

whenever $\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right), z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}\right\rangle \neq 0$. Considering that $\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{+\infty}$ is strictly decreasing and $\frac{\gamma_{h N+k}^{2} \gamma_{h M+l}^{2}}{\gamma_{h N+n}^{2} \gamma_{h M+m}^{2}} \rightarrow 1$ as $h \rightarrow+\infty$ [3], we obtain that $\gamma_{k}^{2} \gamma_{l}^{2}=\gamma_{n}^{2} \gamma_{m}^{2}$ and $\gamma_{h N+k}^{2} \gamma_{h M+l}^{2}=\gamma_{h N+n}^{2} \gamma_{h M+m}^{2}, h \geq 0$. This means that one of the following statements holds:
(1) $l=m, n=k$;
(2) $l>m$ and $n>k$;
(3) $l<m$ and $n<k$.

Since $N \neq M$, Lemma 3.1 implies that (2) does not hold. By the same technique, (3) does not hold. So, (1) holds, that is, there exists $c_{n m} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right)=c_{n m} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}$. For $f=\sum_{(k, l) \succeq 0} a_{k l} z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l} \in \mathcal{M}$, we claim that if $a_{n m} \neq 0$, then $c_{n m} \neq 0$. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n m} f & =Q_{n m} P_{\mathcal{M}}(f)=Q_{n m}\left(\sum_{(k, l) \succeq 0} P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(a_{k l} z_{1}^{k} z_{2}^{l}\right)\right) \\
& =c_{n m} a_{n m} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}=c_{n m} Q_{n m} f,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q_{n m}$ is the orthogonal projection from $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$ onto $\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right\}$. Therefore, $c_{n m}=1 \neq 0$.

Hence $z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m} \in \mathcal{M}$. Choose an integer $h_{0}$ such that $0 \leq n-h_{0} N \leq N-1$, $m-h_{0} M \geq 0$ or $0 \leq m-h_{0} M \leq M-1, n-h_{0} N \geq 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, $\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{n+\left(h-h_{0}\right) N} z_{2}^{m+\left(h-h_{0}\right) M}: h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a minimal reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$. The proof is complete.

Remark 3.3. By the proof of above theorem, we know that on the weighted Bergman space, either $\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ or $\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ holds.

Theorem 3.4. Let $N, M \geq 1$ and $N \neq M$. Every nonzero reducing subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ in $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$ for every $\alpha>-1$ is a direct (orthogonal) sum of some minimal reducing subspaces.

Proof. We prove the theorem in two cases.
Case one: $\alpha \neq 0$. Let us denote

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n m}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{h N+n} z_{2}^{h M+m}: h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\},
$$

where $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M-1$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\mathcal{M}_{n m} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ if and only if there exist some $f \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\left\langle f, z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right\rangle \neq 0$. Let $E_{1}=\{(n, m) \succeq$ $0 ; n \leq N-1$ or $m \leq M-1,\left\langle f, z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}\right\rangle \neq 0$ for some $\left.f \in \mathcal{M}\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{M}=$ $\bigoplus_{(n, m) \in E_{1}} \mathcal{M}_{n m}$.

Case two: $\alpha=0$. For $n, m \geq 0$, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathcal{M}$ contains the minimal reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{a z_{1}^{h N+n} z_{2}^{h M+m}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m+h N)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n+h M)}: h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\} .
$$

In fact,
(1) If $z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m} \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{n, m, 1,0}=\mathcal{M}_{n m}$.
(2) If $z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)} \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{n, m, 0,1}=\mathcal{M}_{\rho_{1}(m) \rho_{2}(n)}$.
(3) If neither $z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}$ nor $z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)}$ are in $\mathcal{M}$, and there exists $f \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $P_{n m} f \neq 0$, then Theorem 2.4 implies that $\mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a minimal reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$, where $P_{n m} f=a z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}+$ $b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)}$. It follows that $P_{n m} g=\lambda\left(a z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}+b z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(m)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(n)}\right)$ for every $g \in \mathcal{M}$ with $P_{n m} g \neq 0$.
(4) If $P_{n m} f=0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $a=0, b=0$, i.e., $\mathcal{M}_{n, m, 0,0}=\{0\}$.
Let $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b}$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ is a reducing subspace. Continuing this process, since $A^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)=\bigoplus_{(n, m) \succeq 0} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m}$, it is not different to prove that $\mathcal{M}$ is the direct (orthogonal) sum of some minimal reducing subspaces as $\mathcal{M}_{n, m, a, b}$.

In [8], Kehe Zhu shows that a reducing subspace of $T_{z^{N}}$ on $A^{2}(D)$ is the direct (orthogonal) sum of at most $N$ minimal reducing subspaces. However, the reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{N} z_{2}^{M}}$ on $A^{2}\left(D^{2}\right)$ may be the direct (orthogonal) sum of infinity numbers of minimal reducing subspaces. For example, $\mathcal{M}=$ $\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{1+2 h} f\left(z_{2}\right) ; f \in A_{\alpha}^{2}(D), h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_{1}^{2} z_{2}^{3}}$ and $\mathcal{M}=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{M}_{n}$, where $\mathcal{M}_{n}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{1+2 h} z_{2}^{n+3 h} ; h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$.

## 4. The results on the polydisk $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$

In this section, we consider the reducing subspace of $T_{z_{i}^{N} z_{j}^{M}}$ in the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$ with $N \neq M$.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $\mathcal{M} \neq\{0\}$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_{i}^{N} z_{j}^{M}}(N, M \geq$ $1, N \neq M, i \neq j)$ in the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$. Then the following statements hold:
(a) if $\alpha=0$, then there exist functions $g_{1}, g_{2} \in A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n-2}\right)$ and integers $l, m$ with $0 \leq l \leq N-1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M-1$, such that $\mathcal{M}$ contains the reducing subspace

$$
\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\left(g_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right) z_{1}^{h N+l} z_{2}^{h M+m}+g_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right) z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(l+h N)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(m+h M)}\right) ; h \geq 0\right\}
$$

(b) if $\alpha \neq 0$, then there exist a function $g \in A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n-2}\right)$ and integers $l$, $m$ with $0 \leq l \leq N-1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M-1$ such that $\mathcal{M}$ contains the reducing subspace

$$
\mathcal{M}_{l m g}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{i}^{h N+l} z_{j}^{h M+m} g\left(z^{\prime}\right): h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

where $z^{\prime}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$.
Moreover, $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ is the only minimal reducing subspace of $T_{z_{i}^{N} z_{j}^{M}}$ on $A^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text {lmg }}$ is the only minimal reducing subspace of $T_{z_{i}^{N} z_{j}^{M}}$ on $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $i=1$ and $j=2$. Denote by $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ the orthogonal projection from $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$ onto $\mathcal{M}$. Let $z^{K}=z_{1}^{k_{1}} z_{2}^{k_{2}} \cdots z_{n}^{k_{n}}$ with $P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(z^{K}\right) \neq 0$. Let $T_{h}=T_{z_{1}^{h N} z_{2}^{h M}}$. Then $\left\langle T_{h}^{*} T_{h} P_{\mathcal{M}} z^{K}, z^{L}\right\rangle=\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} T_{h}^{*} T_{h} z^{K}, z^{L}\right\rangle$ for any $z^{L}=z_{1}^{l_{1}} z_{2}^{l_{2}} \cdots z_{n}^{l_{n}}$. Observe that

$$
\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} z^{K}, T_{h}^{*} T_{h} z^{L}\right\rangle=\frac{\gamma_{h N+l_{1}}^{2} \gamma_{h M+l_{2}}^{2}}{\gamma_{l_{1}}^{2} \gamma_{l_{2}}^{2}}\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} z^{K}, z^{L}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\left\langle T_{h}^{*} T_{h} z^{K}, P_{\mathcal{M}} z^{L}\right\rangle=\frac{\gamma_{h N+k_{1}}^{2} \gamma_{h M+k_{2}}^{2}}{\gamma_{k_{1}}^{2} \gamma_{k_{2}}^{2}}\left\langle z^{K}, P_{\mathcal{M}} z^{L}\right\rangle
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{\gamma_{h N+k_{1}}^{2} \gamma_{h M+k_{2}}^{2}}{\gamma_{k_{1}}^{2} \gamma_{k_{2}}^{2}}=\frac{\gamma_{h N+l_{1}}^{2} \gamma_{h M+l_{2}}^{2}}{\gamma_{l_{1}}^{2} \gamma_{l_{2}}^{2}}, \forall h \geq 0
$$

whenever $\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} z^{K}, z^{L}\right\rangle \neq 0$.
If $\alpha=0$, then as in Lemma 2.3 we have $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ or $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=$ $\left(\rho_{1}\left(k_{2}\right), \rho_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)$ where $\rho_{1}\left(k_{2}\right), \rho_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)$ are integers. Thus $P_{\mathcal{M}} z_{1}^{\rho_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)} z^{\prime K^{\prime}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{M}} z^{K}$ are in $z_{1}^{k_{1}} z_{2}^{k_{2}} A^{2}\left(D^{n-2}\right)+z_{1}^{\rho_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)} A^{2}\left(D^{n-2}\right)$, where $z^{\prime}=\left(z_{3}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, z_{n}\right)$ and $K^{\prime}=\left(k_{3}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$. Let $P_{k_{1} k_{2}}$ be the orthogonal projection from $A^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$ onto

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{k_{1}} z_{2}^{k_{2}} A^{2}\left(D^{n-2}\right)+z_{1}^{\rho_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)} A^{2}\left(D^{n-2}\right) ; h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

Then $P_{k_{1} k_{2}} P_{\mathcal{M}} z^{K}=P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{k_{1} k_{2}} z^{K}$. For each $f \in \mathcal{M}$ with $f \neq 0$, there are integers $l, m \geq 0$ such that $P_{l m} f \neq 0$. By the similar technique, we can proof that $\left\langle P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{m l} f, z^{K}\right\rangle=\left\langle P_{m l} f, z^{K}\right\rangle$ for any $K \succeq 0$, i.e., $P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{m l} f=P_{m l} f$. So, there exist $f_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ and $g_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \in A^{2}\left(D^{n-2}\right)$ such that $P_{m l} f=g_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right) z_{1}^{m} z_{2}^{l}+$ $g_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right) z_{1}^{\rho_{1}(l)} z_{2}^{\rho_{2}(m)} \in \mathcal{M}$, which implies that (a) holds.

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then we arrive at $P_{\mathcal{M}} z^{K} \in z_{1}^{k_{1}} z_{2}^{k_{2}} A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n-2}\right)$. Denote by $P_{k_{1} k_{2}}^{\prime}$ the orthogonal projection from $A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(D^{n}\right)$ onto

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left\{z_{1}^{k_{1}} z_{2}^{k_{2}} A^{2}\left(D^{n-2}\right) ; h=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

Then $P_{k_{1} k_{2}}^{\prime}(f)=P_{k_{1} k_{2}}^{\prime} P_{\mathcal{M}}(f)=P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{k_{1} k_{2}}^{\prime}(f) \in \mathcal{M}$ for each $f \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence (b) holds. The rest of the proof is obvious.
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