

## **$n$ -ARY HYPERGROUPS ASSOCIATED WITH $n$ -ARY RELATIONS**

SEID MOHAMMAD ANVARIYEH AND SOMAYYEH MOMENI

ABSTRACT. The notion of  $n$ -ary algebraic hyperstructures is a generalization of ordinary algebraic hyperstructures. In this paper, we associate an  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f)$  with an  $(n + 1)$ -ary relation  $\rho_{n+1}$  defined on a non-empty set  $H$ . Then, we obtain some basic results in this respect. In particular, we investigate when it is an  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group, an  $n$ -ary hypergroup or a join  $n$ -ary space.

### **1. Introduction and basic definitions**

Algebraic hyperstructures represent a natural extension of classical algebraic structures and they were introduced by Marty [14]. The connections between hyperstructures and binary relations have been analyzed by many researchers, such as Corsini [1], Corsini and Leoreanu [2], De Salvo and Lo Faro [7, 8], Leoreanu and Leoreanu [13], Rosenberg [16], Rasouli and Davvaz [15], Spartalis [17], Spartalis and Mamaloukas [18] and so on.  $n$ -ary generalizations of algebraic structures is the most natural way for further development and deeper understanding of their fundamental properties. In [6], Davvaz and Vougiouklis introduced the concept of  $n$ -ary hypergroups as a generalization of hypergroups in the sense of Marty. Also, we can consider  $n$ -ary hypergroups as a nice generalization of  $n$ -ary groups. In [11], Leoreanu-Fotea and Davvaz introduced and studied the notion of a partial  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid associated with a binary relation. Some important results concerning Rosenberg partial hypergroupoids, induced by relations, are generalized to the case of  $n$ -ary hypergroupoids. Then,  $n$ -ary hypergroups associated with union, intersection, products of relations and also mutually associative  $n$ -ary hypergroupoids are analyzed. Also, in [5], they investigated binary relations on ternary semihypergroups and studied some basic properties of binary relations on them. Davvaz and et al. in [4] considered a class of algebraic hypersystems which represent a generalization of semigroups, semihypergroups and  $n$ -ary semigroups. In

---

Received October 26, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20N20.

*Key words and phrases.* hypergroup, binary relation,  $n$ -ary hypergroup,  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group, join  $n$ -ary space.

[12], Leoreanu-Fotea and Davvaz studied the rough sets within the context of the commutative  $n$ -ary hypergroups. In [3], Cristea and Stefanescu extended some results on the hypergroups connected with binary relations to the case of  $n$ -ary relations. In particular, they established some connections between hypergroupoids associated with  $n$ -ary relations and hypergroupoids associated with binary or ternary relations.

Let  $H$  be a non-empty set and  $f$  a mapping  $f : H^n \rightarrow \wp^*(H)$ , where  $\wp^*(H)$  is the set of all non-empty subsets of  $H$ . Then,  $f$  is called an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation on  $H$ . We denote by  $H^n$  the Cartesian product  $H \times \cdots \times H$ , where  $H$  appears  $n$  times and an element of  $H^n$  will be denoted by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , such that  $x_i \in H$  for any  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . In general, a mapping  $f : H^n \rightarrow \wp^*(H)$  is called an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation and  $n$  is called the arity of hyperoperation. Let  $f$  be an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation on  $H$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be non-empty subsets of  $H$ . We define  $f(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \cup\{f(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . We shall use the following abbreviated notation: the sequence  $x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j$  will be denoted by  $x_i^j$ . Also, for every  $a \in H$ , we write  $f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) = f(a^{(n)})$  and for  $j < i$ ,  $x_i^j$  is the empty set. In this conven-

tion  $f(x_1, \dots, x_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$  will be written  $f(x_1^i, y_{i+1}^j, x_{j+1}^n)$ . A non-empty set  $H$  with an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $f : H^n \rightarrow \wp^*(H)$  will be called an  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid and will be denoted by  $(H, f)$ . An  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f)$  is commutative if for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$  and for every  $a_1^n \in H$ , we have  $f(a_1^n) = f(a_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(n)})$ . An  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f)$  is called an  $n$ -ary semihypergroup if for any  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $a_1^{2n-1} \in H$ , we have

$$f(a_1^{i-1}, f(a_i^{n+i-1}), a_{n+i}^{2n-1}) = f(a_1^{j-1}, f(a_j^{n+j-1}), a_{n+j}^{2n-1}) \quad (\text{associative law}).$$

An  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f)$ , in which the equation  $b \in f(a_1^{i-1}, x_i, a_{i+1}^n)$  has a solution  $x_i \in H$  for every  $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b \in H$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , is called a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup. A quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup  $(H, f)$  with the associative law is called an  $n$ -ary hypergroup. An  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f)$  is called an  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -semigroup if the following weak associative axiom holds:

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{2n-1} f(x_1^{i-1}, f(x_i^{n+i-1}), x_{n+i}^{2n-1}) \neq \emptyset$$

for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1} \in H$ . An  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -semigroup  $(H, f)$  in which is a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup is called an  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group. Note that the notion of  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group is a generalization of  $H_v$ -group [20, 21].

## 2. $n$ -ary relations

In this section, we present some basic results about the  $n$ -ary relations. Suppose that  $H$  is a non-empty set and  $\rho \subseteq H^n$  is an  $n$ -ary relation on  $H$ . We recall the following definition from [3].

**Definition 2.1.** The relation  $\rho$  is said to be

- (1) reflexive, if for any  $x \in H$ , the  $n$ -tuple  $(x, \dots, x) \in \rho$ ;
- (2)  $n$ -transitive if it has the following property: if  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \rho$ ,  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \rho$  hold and if there exist natural numbers  $i_0 > j_0$  such that  $1 < i_0 \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j_0 < n$ ,  $x_{i_0} = y_{j_0}$ , then the  $n$ -tuple  $(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}, y_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, y_{j_n}) \in \rho$ , for any natural number  $1 \leq k < n$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_k, j_{k+1}, \dots, j_n$  such that  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k < i_0, j_0 < j_{k+1} < \dots < j_n \leq n$ ;
- (3) symmetric if  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \rho$  implies  $(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1) \in \rho$ ;
- (4) strongly symmetric if  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \rho$  implies  $(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \in \rho$  for any permutation  $\sigma$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ;
- (5)  $n$ -ary preordering on  $H$  if it is reflexive and  $n$ -transitive;
- (6)  $n$ -equivalence on  $H$  if it is reflexive, strongly symmetric and  $n$ -transitive.

**Example 1.** Let  $H = \mathbb{C}$  (complex numbers) and  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \rho$  when  $|x_1| = |x_2| = \dots = |x_n|$ . Then,  $\rho$  is reflexive, strongly symmetric and  $n$ -transitive.

**Example 2.** Let  $H = \mathbb{N}$  (natural numbers) and  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \rho$  when  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ . It is easily to see that  $\rho$  is  $n$ -transitive but it is not reflexive and strongly symmetric.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\rho$  be an  $n$ -ary relation on a set  $H$ . For any  $x \in H$  and any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n - (i + 1)\}$ , we define:

$$L_i(x) = \{y \in H \mid \exists u_1, \dots, u_{n-2} \in H : (y, u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, x, u_i, \dots, u_{n-2}) \in \rho \vee (u_1, \dots, u_k, y, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{k+i-1}, x, u_{k+i}, \dots, u_{n-2}) \in \rho\},$$

and

$$R_i(x) = \{y \in H \mid \exists u_1, \dots, u_{n-2} \in H : (x, u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, y, u_i, \dots, u_{n-2}) \in \rho \vee (u_1, \dots, u_k, x, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{k+i-1}, y, u_{k+i}, \dots, u_{n-2}) \in \rho\}.$$

**Example 3.** In Example 1, for any  $x \in H$  and  $i \in \{2, \dots, n - 1\}$ , we have

$$L_i(x) = R_i(x) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = |x|\}.$$

**Example 4.** In Example 2, for any  $x \in H$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$L_i(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{N} \mid y < x + i\},$$

$$R_i(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{N} \mid y > x + i\}.$$

*Remark 1.* Let  $\rho$  be an  $n$ -ary relation on a set  $H$ . Then, it is obvious that

- (1)  $y \in L_i(x)$  if and only if  $x \in R_i(y)$  for any  $(x, y) \in H^2$  and any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- (2)  $L_i(H) = \bigcup_{x \in H} L_i(x) \neq H$  if and only if there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $R_i(y) = \emptyset$ ,
- (3)  $R_i(H) = \bigcup_{x \in H} R_i(x) \neq H$  if and only if there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $L_i(y) = \emptyset$ ,
- (4)  $x \notin L_i(H)$  if and only if  $R_i(x) = \emptyset$ ,
- (5)  $x \notin R_i(H)$  if and only if  $L_i(x) = \emptyset$ .

Indeed,  $\bigcup_{x \in H} L_i(x) \neq H$  if there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $y \notin \bigcup_{x \in H} L_i(x)$ , which is equivalent to the fact there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $y \notin L_i(x)$  for any  $x \in H$ , equivalent to the fact that there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $R_i(y) = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\rho$  be an  $n$ -ary relation on the non-empty set  $H$ . Set  $m = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ . We define on  $H$  the following  $n$ -ary hyperoperation:

$$f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m L_i(x_i) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m R_i(x_{n-i+1}).$$

We notice that if  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid, then  $L_i(x) \neq \emptyset$  or  $R_i(x) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $x \in H$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\rho$  be an  $n$ -ary relation on the non-empty set  $H$ . The  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup if and only if for any  $x \in H$  and any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $L_i(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $R_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let for any  $x \in H$  and for any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $L_i(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $R_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then,  $L_i(H) = H$  and  $R_i(H) = H$ . So, for every  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f_\rho(H, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= L_1(H) \cup L_2(x_2) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) = H, \\ & f_\rho(x_1, H, \dots, x_n) \\ &= L_1(x_1) \cup L_2(H) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) = H, \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & f_\rho(x_1, \dots, H, x_n) \\ &= L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup R_2(H) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) = H, \\ & f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, H) \\ &= L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(H) \cup R_2(x_{n-1}) \cup \dots \\ & \quad \cup R_{m-1}(x_{n-m+2}) \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) = H. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(H, f_\rho)$  is reproductive, so it is a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup.

Conversely, suppose that  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup and for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , there exists  $x \in H$  such that  $L_i(x) = \emptyset$  or  $R_i(x) = \emptyset$ .

If  $L_i(x) = \emptyset$ , then  $x \notin R_i(H)$ . Also, it is easy to see that for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $x \notin L_j(x)$  (also  $x \notin R_j(x)$ ). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x &\notin L_1(x) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x) \cup R_1(x) \cup \dots \cup R_i(H) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x) \\ &= f_\rho(x, \dots, H, \dots, x) = H, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H$  is in the  $i$ -place and this contradicts the reproducibility law. If  $R_i(x) = \emptyset$ , the similar argument implies a contradiction.  $\square$

**Example 5.** Let  $H = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and

$$\rho = \{(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, 2), (3, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}, 3), (2, \underbrace{3, \dots, 3}_{n-2}, 1),$$

$$\left(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-1}, 3\right), \left(3, \underbrace{4, \dots, 4}_{n-1}\right), \left(\underbrace{4, \dots, 4}_{n-1}, 1\right).$$

Now, for any  $x \in H$  and  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we have

	$L_1$	$L_2$	$L_3$	$\dots$	$L_m$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$\dots$	$R_m$
1	$\{1,3,4\}$	$\{1,3,4\}$	$\{1,3,4\}$	$\dots$	$\{1,3,4\}$	$\{1,2,3\}$	$\{1,2,3\}$	$\dots$	$\{1,2,3\}$
2	$\{1,2\}$	$\{1,2\}$	$\{1,2\}$	$\dots$	$\{1,2\}$	$\{2,3\}$	$\{2,3\}$	$\dots$	$\{2,3\}$
3	$\{1,2\}$	$\{1,2\}$	$\{1,2\}$	$\dots$	$\{1,2\}$	$\{1,4\}$	$\{1,4\}$	$\dots$	$\{1,4\}$
4	$\{3,4\}$	$\{3,4\}$	$\{3,4\}$	$\dots$	$\{3,4\}$	$\{1,4\}$	$\{1,4\}$	$\dots$	$\{1,4\}$

$$L_i(H) = L_i(1) \cup L_i(2) \cup L_i(3) \cup L_i(4) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\},$$

$$R_i(H) = R_i(1) \cup R_i(2) \cup R_i(3) \cup R_i(4) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & f_\rho(H, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (L_1(H) = \bigcup_{x \in H} L_1(x)) \cup L_2(x_2) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \\ & \quad \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) \\ &= \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cup L_2(x_2) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) = H, \\ & \quad f_\rho(x_1, H, \dots, x_n) \\ &= L_1(x_1) \cup (L_2(H) = \bigcup_{x \in H} L_2(x)) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \\ & \quad \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) \\ &= L_1(x_1) \cup \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) = H, \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad f_\rho(x_1, \dots, H, x_n) \\ &= L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup (R_2(H) = \bigcup_{x \in H} R_2(x)) \cup \\ & \quad \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) \\ &= L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) = H, \\ & \quad f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, H) \\ &= L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup (R_1(H) = \bigcup_{x \in H} R_1(x)) \cup R_2(x_{n-1}) \cup \\ & \quad \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) \\ &= L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cup R_2(x_{n-1}) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) = H. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\rho$  be an  $n$ -ary relation on the non-empty set  $H$ . The  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group if and only if, for any  $x \in H$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $L_i(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $R_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group, then it is a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup and by Theorem 2.4, it follows that for any  $x \in H$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $L_i(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $R_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ .

Conversely, suppose that for any  $x \in H$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $L_i(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $R_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ . By Theorem 2.4, it follows that  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup. It remains to prove that the  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $f_\rho$  is weakly associative. For this, we show that, for any  $x_1^{2n-1} \in H$ ,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{2n-1} f_\rho(x_1^{i-1}, f_\rho(x_i^{n+i-1}), x_{n+i}^{2n-1}) \neq \emptyset.$$

We have

( $i_1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & f_\rho(f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= \{L_1(u) \cup L_2(x_{n+1}) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_{n+m-1}) \cup R_1(x_{2n-1}) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{2n-m}) \mid \\ & \quad u \in L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1})\} \\ &\supseteq \{L_1(u) \mid u \in L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1})\} \\ &\supseteq \{L_1(u) \mid u \in R_1(x_n)\} = \{L_1(u) \mid x_n \in L_1(u)\} \ni x_n, \end{aligned}$$

( $i_2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= \{L_1(x_1) \cup L_2(u) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_{n+m-1}) \cup R_1(x_{2n-1}) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{2n-m}) \mid \\ & \quad u \in L_1(x_2) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_{m+1}) \cup R_1(x_{n+1}) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+2})\} \\ &\supseteq \{L_1(u) \mid u \in L_1(x_2) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_{m+1}) \cup R_1(x_{n+1}) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+2})\} \\ &\supseteq \{L_2(u) \mid u \in R_2(x_n)\} = \{L_2(u) \mid x_n \in L_2(u)\} \ni x_n, \end{aligned}$$

$\vdots$

( $i_{n-1}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, f_\rho(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{2n-2}), x_{2n-1}) \\ &= \{L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_{2n-1}) \cup R_2(u) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) \mid \\ & \quad u \in L_1(x_{n-1}) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_{n+m-2}) \cup R_1(x_{2n-2}) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{2n-m-1})\} \\ &\supseteq \{R_2(u) \mid u \in L_1(x_{n-1}) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_{n+m-2}) \cup R_1(x_{2n-2}) \cup \\ & \quad \dots \cup R_m(x_{2n-m-1})\} \\ &\supseteq \{R_2(u) \mid u \in L_2(x_n)\} = \{R_2(u) \mid x_n \in R_2(u)\} \ni x_n, \end{aligned}$$

( $i_n$ )

$$f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, f_\rho(x_n, \dots, x_{2n-1}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \{L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(u) \cup R_2(x_{n-1}) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) \mid \\
 &\quad u \in L_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_{n+m-1}) \cup R_1(x_{2n-1}) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{2n-m})\} \\
 &\supseteq \{R_1(u) \mid u \in L_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_{n+m-1}) \cup R_1(x_{2n-1}) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{2n-m})\} \\
 &\supseteq \{R_1(u) \mid u \in L_1(x_n)\} = \{R_1(u) \mid x_n \in R_1(u)\} \ni x_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group. □

**Example 6.** Let  $H = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\rho = \{(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}, 2, 1), (2, \underbrace{3, \dots, 3}_{n-1}), (\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_n)\}$

be an  $n$ -ary relation on  $H$ . Now, we have:

	$L_1$	$L_2$	$L_3$	$\dots$	$L_m$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$\dots$	$R_m$
1	{1, 2}	{1}	{1}	$\dots$	{1}	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	$\dots$	{1, 2}
2	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	$\dots$	{1, 2}	{1, 2, 3}	{2, 3}	$\dots$	{2, 3}
3	{2}	{2, 3}	{2, 3}	$\dots$	{2, 3}	{3}	{3}	$\dots$	{3}

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_\rho(f_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1})) &= f_\rho(\{1, 2\}, 1, \dots, 1) = \{1, 2\}, \\
 f_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, f_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n)) &= f_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, \{1, 2\}) = \{1, 2, 3\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This example shows that for every  $x \in H$  and for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $L_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $R_i(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group but it is not an  $n$ -ary hypergroup.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $\rho$  be an  $n$ -ary relation on a set  $H$ . The  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group if and only if it is a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup.*

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\rho$  be an  $n$ -ary preordering on a set  $H$ . Then, for any  $a, x, u \in H$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ , such that  $a \in L_i(u)$  [ $a \in R_i(u)$ ] and  $u \in L_i(x)$  [ $u \in R_i(x)$ ], it follows that  $a \in L_i(x)$  [ $a \in R_i(x)$ ].*

*Proof.* Let  $a, x, u \in H$  such that  $a \in L_i(u)$  and  $u \in L_i(x)$ . Then, there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2} \in H$  such that  $(a, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, u, a_i, \dots, a_{n-2}) \in \rho$  or  $(a_1, \dots, a_k, a, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+i-1}, u, a_{k+i}, \dots, a_{n-2}) \in \rho$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n - i - 1\}$ . Also, we have  $(u, b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, x, b_i, \dots, b_{n-2}) \in \rho$  or  $(b_1, \dots, b_h, u, b_{h+1}, \dots, b_{h+i-1}, x, b_{h+i}, \dots, b_{n-2}) \in \rho$  for any  $h \in \{1, \dots, n - i - 1\}$ . In the all of the situations, by  $n$ -transitivity, we have  $a \in L_i(x)$ . In the similar way from  $a \in R_i(u)$  and  $u \in R_i(x)$  implies  $a \in R_i(x)$ . □

**Definition 2.8** ([11]). Let  $(H, f_\rho)$  be a commutative  $n$ -ary hypergroup. For  $a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in H$ , we denote  $a/b_1^{n-1} = \{x \mid a \in f_\rho(x, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})\}$ . We say that the commutative  $n$ -ary hypergroup  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a join  $n$ -ary space, if for any  $a, c, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1} \in H$ , the following implication holds:

$$a/b_1^{n-1} \cap c/d_1^{n-1} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow f_\rho(a, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \cap f_\rho(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Example 7.** Let  $\rho = \{(x, x, \dots, x) | x \in H\}$  be the diagonal  $n$ -ary relation on a set  $H$ . Then,  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a join  $n$ -ary space. In fact, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $x \in H$ , we obtain  $L_i(x) = R_i(x) = \{x\}$  and thus, for any  $x_1^n \in H$ , it follows that  $f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_\rho(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Also, for any  $x_1^n \in H$ ,  $f_\rho(H, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f_\rho(x_1, H, x_3, \dots, x_n) = \dots = f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, H) = H$ . Moreover, for any  $x_1^{2n-1} \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (i_1) \quad & f_\rho(f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= f_\rho(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}\}, \\ (i_2) \quad & f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= f_\rho(x_1, \{x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}\}, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}\}, \\ & \vdots \\ (i_n) \quad & f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, f_\rho(x_n, \dots, x_{2n-1})) \\ &= f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \{x_n, \dots, x_{2n-1}\}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a commutative  $n$ -ary hypergroup. It remains to prove that, for any  $a, c, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1} \in H$ ,

$$a/b_1^{n-1} \cap c/d_1^{n-1} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow f_\rho(a, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \cap f_\rho(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c) \neq \emptyset.$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & a/a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2} \\ &= \{x \in H | a \in f_\rho(x, a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2})\} \\ &= \{x \in H | a \in L_1(x) \cup L_2(a) \cup L_3(b_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(b_{m-2}) \cup R_1(b_{n-2}) \cup \\ & \quad \dots \cup R_m(b_{n-m-1})\} \\ &= H, \\ & a/b_1, a, b_2, \dots, b_{n-2} \\ &= \{x \in H | a \in f_\rho(x, b_1, a, b_2, \dots, b_{n-2})\} \\ &= \{x \in H | a \in L_1(x) \cup L_2(b_1) \cup L_3(a) \cup L_4(b_2) \cup \dots \cup L_m(b_{m-2}) \cup R_1(b_{n-2}) \cup \\ & \quad \dots \cup R_m(b_{n-m-1})\} \\ &= H, \\ & \vdots \\ & a/b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-2}, a \\ &= \{x \in H | a \in f_\rho(x, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2}, a)\} \\ &= \{x \in H | a \in L_1(x) \cup L_2(b_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(b_{m-1}) \cup R_1(a) \cup \dots \cup R_m(b_{n-m})\} \\ &= H. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a \neq b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}$ , then  $a/b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} = \{x \in H | a \in f_\rho(x, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})\} = \{x \in H | a \in \{x, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}\} = \{a\}$ . Let  $a, c, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, d_1, d_2, \dots,$



$d_{n-1} \in H$ ,  $a/b_1^{n-1} \cap c/d_1^{n-1} \neq \emptyset$ . If there exist  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $a = b_i$  or  $c = d_j$ , then  $a \in f_\rho(a, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \cap f_\rho(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c)$  or  $a \in f_\rho(a, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \cap f_\rho(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c)$ . If  $a \neq b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}$  and  $c \neq d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}$ , then  $f_\rho(a, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \cap f_\rho(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $a = c$  and thus  $a \in f_\rho(a, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \cap f_\rho(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c)$ . In both cases  $f_\rho(a, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \cap f_\rho(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $n$ -ary hypergroup  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a join  $n$ -ary space.

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $\rho$  is an  $n$ -ary preordering on a set  $H$  such that  $L_i(x) = R_j(x)$  for any  $x \in H$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ , then  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a join  $n$ -ary space.*

*Proof.* Set  $L_i(x) = R_j(x) = L(x)$ . Since  $\rho$  is reflexive, it follows by Theorem 2.4, that  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a quasi  $n$ -ary hypergroup. Moreover, since  $L_i(x) = R_j(x)$  for any  $x \in H$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) \\ &= L(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L(x_m) \cup L(x_{m+1}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_n) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n L(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

and this implies that  $f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_\rho(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$  for any  $x_1^n \in H$  and for any permutation  $\sigma \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,  $(H, f_\rho)$  is commutative. Now, we prove that the  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $f_\rho$  is associative, that means for any  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $a_1^{2n-1} \in H$ , we have

$$f_\rho(a_1^{i-1}, f_\rho(a_i^{n+i-1}), a_{n+i}^{2n-1}) = f_\rho(a_1^{j-1}, f_\rho(a_j^{n+j-1}), a_{n+j}^{2n-1}).$$

For any  $a \in f_\rho(f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ , there exists

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L_m(x_m) \cup R_1(x_n) \cup \dots \cup R_m(x_{n-m+1}) \\ &= L(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L(x_m) \cup L(x_n) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{n-m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

such that  $a \in L(u) \cup L(x_{n+1}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{n+m-1}) \cup L(x_{2n-1}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-m})$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad & f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= \{L(x_1) \cup L(v) \cup L(x_{n+2}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1}) \mid v \in L(x_2) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

We distinguish the following cases:

- ( $i_1$ ) If  $a \in L(u)$  and  $u \in L(x_1)$ , by Lemma 2.7,  $a \in L(x_1)$ . Therefore, we have  $a \in f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ .
- ( $i_2$ ) If  $a \in L(u)$  and  $u \in L(x_2)$ , then by (\*),  $a \in f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ .
- $\vdots$
- ( $i_n$ ) If  $a \in L(u)$  and  $u \in L(x_n)$ , then  $a \in f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ .

- ( $i_{n+1}$ ) If  $a \in L_2(x_{n+1}) = L(x_{n+1})$ , then there exist  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-2} \in H$  such that  $(a, b_1, x_{n+1}, b_2, \dots, b_{n-2}) \in \rho$  or  $(b_1, \dots, b_k, a, b_{k+1}, x_{n+1}, b_{k+2}, \dots, b_{n-2}) \in \rho$  for any  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-3\}$ . For example, if  $(a, b_1, x_{n+1}, b_2, \dots, b_{n-2}) \in \rho$ , then  $b_2 \in R_1(x_{n+1}) = L(x_{n+1})$ , so  $x_{n+1} \in R(b_2) = L(b_2)$ .  $a \in L(x_{n+1})$  and  $x_{n+1} \in L(b_2)$ , by Lemma 2.7,  $a \in L(b_2)$ .  $a \in L(b_2)$  and  $b_2 \in L(x_{n+1})$  from (\*) implies  $a \in f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ .
- ( $i_{n+2}$ ) If  $a \in L(x_{n+2})$ , then by (\*), we have  $a \in f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ .
- ⋮
- ( $i_{2n-1}$ ) If  $a \in L(x_{2n-1})$ , then by (\*) we have  $a \in f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ .

The proofs of the other inclusions are similar and with long computations. It remains to check the condition of the join  $n$ -ary space. Set  $a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} \in H$  such that  $a/b_1^{n-1} \cap c/d_1^{n-1} \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there exists  $x \in a/b_1^{n-1} \cap c/d_1^{n-1}$ . Hence,

$$x \in a/b_1^{n-1} \Rightarrow a \in f_\rho(x, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) = L(x) \cup L(b_1) \cup \dots \cup L(b_{n-1}),$$

$$x \in c/d_1^{n-1} \Rightarrow c \in f_\rho(x, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) = L(x) \cup L(d_1) \cup \dots \cup L(d_{n-1}).$$

Now, we consider the following situations:

- 1  $a \in L(x), c \in L(x) \Rightarrow x \in L(a), x \in L(c) \Rightarrow x \in [L(a) \cup L(d_1) \cup \dots \cup L(d_{n-1})] \cap [L(b_1) \cup \dots \cup L(b_{n-1}) \cup L(c)] = f_\rho(a, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \cap f_\rho(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c)$ .
- 2 If  $a \in L(x)$  and  $c \in L(d_i), i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Since  $c \in L(c)$  (by reflexivity), it follows that
 
$$c \in [L(a) \cup L(d_1) \cup \dots \cup L(d_{n-1})] \cap [L(b_1) \cup \dots \cup L(b_{n-1}) \cup L(c)].$$
- 3 If  $a \in L(b_i)$  and  $c \in L(x), i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , then  $b_i \in R(a) = L(a)$ . Since  $b_i \in L(b_i)$  (by reflexivity), it follows that
 
$$b_i \in [L(a) \cup L(d_1) \cup \dots \cup L(d_{n-1})] \cap [L(b_1) \cup \dots \cup L(b_{n-1}) \cup L(c)].$$
- 4 If  $a \in L(b_i)$  and  $c \in L(d_j), i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , then  $b_i \in R(a) = L(a)$ , since  $b_i \in L(b_i)$  (by reflexivity), it follows that
 
$$b_i \in [L(a) \cup L(d_1) \cup \dots \cup L(d_{n-1})] \cap [L(b_1) \cup \dots \cup L(b_{n-1}) \cup L(c)].$$

Since for  $a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} \in H$  such that  $a/b_1^{n-1} \cap c/d_1^{n-1} \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $f_\rho(a, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \cap f_\rho(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a join  $n$ -ary space.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* Let  $\rho$  be an  $n$ -ary reflexive relation on a set  $H$ . By Lemma 2.7, if  $\rho$  is  $n$ -transitive, then  $\rho$  satisfies the following property:

- (**T**) for any  $a, x, u \in H$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $a \in L_i(u)$  [ $a \in R_i(u)$ ] and  $u \in L_i(x)$  [ $u \in R_i(x)$ ], it follows that  $a \in L_i(x)$  [ $a \in R_i(x)$ ].

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $\rho$  be an  $n$ -ary relation on  $H$  such that  $x \in L_i(x) = R_j(x)$  for any  $x \in H$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . If  $\rho$  satisfies the properties **(T)**, then  $f_\rho$  is associative and so  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a join  $n$ -ary spaces.*

*Proof.* The reproducibility follows from Theorem 2.4. Suppose that  $f_\rho$  is not associative. Then, there exists  $x_1^{2n-1}$  such that  $f_\rho(f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$  is not equal to

$$f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \text{ or } \\ f_\rho(x_1, x_2, f_\rho(x_3, \dots, x_{n+2}), x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \dots \text{ or } \\ f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, f_\rho(x_n, \dots, x_{2n-1})).$$

Suppose that there exists  $u \in f_\rho(f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$  such that

$$u \notin f_\rho(x_1, f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1})$$

or vice versa. We consider the first situation: it follows that there exists  $t \in f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $u \in L(t) \cup L(x_{n+1}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1})$  and for any  $s \in f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ ,  $u \notin L(x_1) \cup L(s) \cup L(x_{n+2}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1})$ . Now, we distinguish the following situation:

- (1) If  $u \in L(t)$  and  $t \in L(x_1)$ , then  $u \in L(x_1)$ , so  $u \in L(x_1) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1})$ .
- (2) If  $u \in L(t)$  and  $t \in L(x_2)$ , then  $u \in L(x_2)$ . Since  $x_2 \in f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ . Thus,  $u \in L(x_1) \cup L(x_2) \cup L(x_{n+2}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1})$ .
- $\vdots$
- ( $n$ ) If  $u \in L(t)$  and  $t \in L(x_n)$ , then  $u \in L(x_n)$ . Since  $x_n \in f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ ,  $u \in L(x_1) \cup L(x_n) \cup L(x_{n+2}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1})$ .
- ( $n+1$ ) If  $u \in L(x_{n+1})$ , then  $u \in L(x_1) \cup L(x_{n+1}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1})$ , since  $x_{n+1} \in f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ ,
- ( $n+2$ ) If  $u \in L(x_{n+2})$ , then  $u \in L(x_1) \cup L(s) \cup L(x_{n+2}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1})$ .
- $\vdots$
- ( $2n-1$ ) If  $u \in L(x_{2n-1})$ , then  $u \in L(x_1) \cup L(s) \cup L(x_{n+2}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1})$ .

For the all cases, we obtain a contradiction with the fact

$$u \notin L(x_1) \cup L(s) \cup L(x_{n+2}) \cup \dots \cup L(x_{2n-1})$$

for any  $s \in f_\rho(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ . The proofs of the other inclusions are similar. Therefore,  $f_\rho$  is associative.  $\square$

**Example 8.** Let  $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and

$$\rho = \{(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_n), (1, 2, \dots, 1, 2), (2, 1, \dots, 2, 1), (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, 2), (\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-1}, 1)\}.$$

Then, we have

	$L_1$	$L_2$	$L_3$	$\dots$	$L_m$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$\dots$	$R_m$
0	{0}	{0}	{0}	$\dots$	{0}	{0}	{0}	$\dots$	{0}
1	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	$\dots$	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	$\dots$	{1, 2}
2	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	$\dots$	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	{1, 2}	$\dots$	{1, 2}

for every  $x \in H$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ , we have  $L_i(x) = R_j(x)$ . So,

$$f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \{0\}, \\ \{1, 2\} & \text{if } \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq \{1, 2\}, \\ \{0, 1, 2\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that  $(H, f_\rho)$  is a join  $n$ -ary space.

### 3. $n$ -ary $H_v$ -groups associated with $n$ -ary relations

Given an  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f)$ , we may consider the  $(n+1)$ -ary relation  $\rho_k$  on  $H$  associated with the  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $f$  as follows

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \rho_k \Leftrightarrow x_k \in f(x_1^{k-1}, x_{k+1}^{n+1}).$$

This is the most natural way to define an  $(n+1)$ -ary relation associated with an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation. If  $(H, f)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypergroup, then  $\rho_k$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in H$ , there exists at least one element  $x \in H$  such that  $(x_1^{k-1}, x, x_k^n) \in \rho_k$ .
- (2) If, for  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}, z \in H$ , there exists  $x \in H$  such that for any  $k \leq i$  and  $k \leq j$ , we have  $(x_1^{k-1}, z, x_k^{i-1}, x, x_{n+i}^{2n-1}) \in \rho_k$  and  $(x_i^{i+k-2}, x, x_{i+k-1}^{i+n-1}) \in \rho_k$ , then there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $(x_1^{k-1}, z, x_k^{j-1}, y, x_{n+j}^{2n-1}) \in \rho_k$  and  $(x_j^{j+k-2}, x, x_{j+k-1}^{j+n-1}) \in \rho_k$ , and conversely.
- (3) If, for  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}, z \in H$ , there exists  $x \in H$  such that for any  $k \leq i$  and  $k > j$ , we have  $(x_1^{k-1}, z, x_k^{i-1}, x, x_{n+i}^{2n-1}) \in \rho_k$  and  $(x_i^{i+k-2}, x, x_{i+k-1}^{i+n-1}) \in \rho_k$ , then there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $(x_1^{j-1}, y, x_{n+j}^{n+k-2}, z, x_{n+k-1}^{2n-1}) \in \rho_k$  and  $(x_j^{j+k-2}, x, x_{j+k-1}^{j+n-1}) \in \rho_k$ , and conversely.
- (4) If, for  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}, z \in H$ , there exists  $x \in H$  such that for any  $k > i$  and  $k > j$ , we have  $(x_1^{i-1}, y, x_{n+i}^{n+k-2}, z, x_{n+k-1}^{2n-1}) \in \rho_k$  and  $(x_i^{i+k-2}, x, x_{i+k-1}^{i+n-1}) \in \rho_k$ , then there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $(x_1^{j-1}, y, x_{n+j}^{n+k-2}, z, x_{n+k-1}^{2n-1}) \in \rho_k$  and  $(x_j^{j+k-2}, x, x_{j+k-1}^{j+n-1}) \in \rho_k$ , and conversely.
- (5) For all  $x_1^n \in H$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists  $x \in H$  such that  $(x_1^{i-1}, x, x_i^n) \in \rho_k$ .

Conversely, if  $\rho$  is an  $(n+1)$ -ary relation on a set  $H$  such that the conditions (1)-(5) are satisfied, then we take the  $n$ -ary hyperoperation

$$f_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{z \in H \mid (x_1^{k-1}, z, x_k^n) \in \rho\}.$$

Hence,  $(H, f_k)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypergroup. Let  $\sigma_n$  be an  $n$ -ary relation on a set  $H$ . We associate an  $(n+1)$ -ary relation denoted by  $\sigma_{n+1} \subseteq H^{n+1}$  as follows:

- (1)  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \sigma_{n+1} \iff \forall 1 \leq i \leq n+1, (x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) \in \sigma_n$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The unique  $(n+1)$ -ary relation  $\sigma_{n+1}$  obtained from an  $n$ -ary relation  $\sigma_n$  using the method (1) and such that*

- (2)  $(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) \in H^n, \exists x_i \in H : (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \sigma_{n+1}$

is the total relation  $\sigma_{n+1} = \underbrace{H \times \cdots \times H}_{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* The condition (2) is equivalent to the following one: for any  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in H^n$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \sigma_n$ , so the  $n$ -ary relation  $\sigma_n$  is the total relation  $\underbrace{H \times \cdots \times H}_n$ .

Thus, for any  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in H^{n+1}$  and for any  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , we have  $(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) \in H^n = \sigma_n$ . Therefore, by using the method (1),  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \sigma_{n+1}$ . So,  $H^{n+1} \subseteq \sigma_{n+1}$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_{n+1} = H^{n+1}$ .  $\square$

Moreover, the  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid obtained from  $\sigma_{n+1}$  taking

$$f(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) = \{x_i \in H \mid (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \sigma_{n+1}\}$$

is the total  $n$ -ary hypergroup on  $H$ . Conversely, with any  $(n+1)$ -ary relation  $\rho_{n+1}$  on  $H$ , we associate an  $n$ -ary relation  $\rho_n \subseteq H^n$  as follows:

$$(3) \quad (x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) \in \rho_n \iff \exists x_i \in H : (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \rho_{n+1}.$$

Let  $(H, f)$  be an arbitrary  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid which determines the  $(n+1)$ -ary relation  $\rho_{n+1}$  defined by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \rho_{n+1} \iff x_i \in f(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *The unique  $n$ -ary relation  $\rho_n$  obtained from an  $(n+1)$ -ary relation  $\rho_{n+1}$  using the method (3) and such that*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \rho_{n+1} \iff x_i \in f(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}),$$

is the total relation  $\rho_n = \underbrace{H \times \cdots \times H}_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(H, f)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid, it follows that, for any  $(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) \in H^n$ , there exists  $x_i \in H$  such that  $x_i \in f(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1})$ , that is  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \rho_{n+1}$ . Therefore, for any  $(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) \in H^n$ , we obtain  $(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) \in \rho_n$ , that is  $\rho_n = \underbrace{H \times \cdots \times H}_n$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(H, f)$  be an  $n$ -ary hypergroup, such that the  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $f$  is constructed by the  $(n+1)$ -ary relation  $\rho$ , which satisfy the conditions (1)-(3). We define an  $(n+1)$ -ary hyperoperation:

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} f(x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^{n+1}) \text{ for all } x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in H.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $h$  be the  $(n+1)$ -ary hyperoperation in Definition 3.3. Then,  $(H, h)$  is an  $(n+1)$ -ary  $H_v$ -group.*

*Proof.* Since  $(H, f)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypergroup,  $(H, h)$  is an  $(n+1)$ -ary hypergroupoid. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in H$ . Then, producibility of  $(H, f)$  implies that

$$(i_1) \quad h(H, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) \cup f(H, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) \cup \dots \cup f(H, x_2, \dots, x_n) = H, \\
(i_2) \quad &h(x_1, H, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\
&= f(H, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) \cup f(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) \cup \dots \cup f(x_1, H, \dots, x_n) = H, \\
&\quad \vdots \\
(i_{n+1}) \quad &h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, H) \\
&= f(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, H) \cup f(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n, H) \cup \dots \cup f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = H.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(H, h)$  is productive. Now, we prove that  $h$  is weakly associative. Suppose that  $x_1^{2n+1} \in H$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(i_1) \quad &h(h(x_1^{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) = h\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} f(x_1^{i-1}, x_i^{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+1}\right) \\
&= h(g, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\
&\supseteq f(g, x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\
&\supseteq f(f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}), \\
(i_2) \quad &h(x_1, h(x_2^{n+2}), x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) = h\left(x_1, \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} f(x_2^i, x_{i+2}^{n+2}), x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}\right) \\
&= h(x_1, g, x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\
&\supseteq f(g, x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\
&\supseteq f(f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}), \\
&\quad \vdots \\
(i_{n+1}) \quad &h(x_1, \dots, x_n, h(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n+1})) = h\left(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} f(x_{n+1}^{n+i-1}, x_{n+i+1}^{2n+1})\right) \\
&= h(x_1, \dots, x_n, g) \\
&\supseteq f(x_2, \dots, x_n, g) \\
&\supseteq f(x_2, \dots, x_n, f(x_{n+1}, x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1})).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{2n+1} h(x_1^{i-1}, h(x_i^{n+i-1}), x_{n+i}^{2n+1}) \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that  $(n + 1)$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, h)$  is weakly associative.  $\square$

**Example 9.** Let  $H = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $f$  be an  $n$ -ary relation on  $H$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_n) &= \{a_n\}, f(\underbrace{a_2, \dots, a_2}_n) = \{a_{n-1}\} \\ &\vdots \\ f(\underbrace{a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}}_n) &= \{a_2\}, f(\underbrace{a_n, \dots, a_n}_n) = \{a_1\} \\ f(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= f(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_n) \cup \dots \cup f(\underbrace{a_n, \dots, a_n}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $(H, f)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid. Now, if

$$h(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} f(a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^{n+1}),$$

then the  $(n + 1)$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, h)$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -ary  $H_v$ -group, but it is not an  $(n + 1)$ -ary hypergroup. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} &h(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_n, h(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_n, a_2)) \\ &= h(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_n, \{a_n, a_{n-1}\}) \\ &= h(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_{n+1}) \cup h(\underbrace{a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}}_{n+1}) \cup h(\underbrace{a_n, \dots, a_n}_{n+1}) = \{a_1, a_2, a_n\}, \\ &h(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_{n-1}, h(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_{n+1}, a_2)) \\ &= h(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_{n-1}, \{a_n\}, a_2) \\ &= f(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_{n+1}) \cup f(\underbrace{a_n, \dots, a_n}_{n+1}) \cup f(\underbrace{a_2, \dots, a_2}_{n+1}) = \{a_1, a_{n-1}, a_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\rho$  be a binary relation on a non-empty set  $H$ . For any  $a \in H$ , we denote  $f_\rho(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) = \{y \mid (a, y) \in \rho\}$ , and for any  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in H$ ,

$$f_\rho(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = f_\rho(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_n) \cup f_\rho(\underbrace{a_2, \dots, a_2}_n) \cup \dots \cup f_\rho(\underbrace{a_n, \dots, a_n}_n).$$

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\rho$  be a binary relation on a set  $H$ . We define the  $(n + 1)$ -ary hyperoperation  $\mathbb{F}_\rho$  as follows:

$$\mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} f_\rho(\underbrace{x_i, \dots, x_i}_n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} U_{x_i},$$

when  $U_{x_i} = f_\rho(\underbrace{x_i, \dots, x_i}_n)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\rho$  be a binary relation on a set  $H$ , with full domain and full range. Let  $\mathbb{F}_\rho$  be the  $(n+1)$ -ary hyperoperation in Definition 3.5. Then,  $(H, \mathbb{F}_\rho)$  is an  $(n+1)$ -ary  $H_v$ -group.*

*Proof.* Since  $D(\rho) = H$  and  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid,  $(H, \mathbb{F}_\rho)$  is an  $(n+1)$ -ary hypergroupoid. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in H$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U_H &= f_\rho(\underbrace{H, \dots, H}_n) = \{y \in H \mid (H, y) \in \rho\} = \{y \in H \mid \exists x \in H, (x, y) \in \rho\} \\ &= D(\rho) = H. \end{aligned}$$

So, for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in H$  we have

$$\mathbb{F}_\rho(H, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = U_H \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{n+1} U_{x_i} = H.$$

By the similar way, we have  $\mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, H, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \dots = \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n, H) = H$ . Now, we prove that  $\mathbb{F}_\rho$  is weakly associative. If  $x_1^{2n+1} \in H$ , then,

(i<sub>1</sub>)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_\rho(\mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1^{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(U_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_{n+1}}, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\ &= \bigcup_{g \in U_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_{n+1}}} \mathbb{F}_\rho(g, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{g \in U_{x_{n+1}}} \mathbb{F}_\rho(g, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\ &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(U_{x_{n+1}}, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{g \in U_{x_{n+1}}} U_g, \end{aligned}$$

(i<sub>2</sub>)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_2^{n+2}), x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, U_{x_2} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_{n+2}}, x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\ &= \bigcup_{g \in U_{x_2} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_{n+2}}} \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, g, x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{g \in U_{x_{n+1}}} \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, g, x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\ &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, U_{x_{n+1}}, x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{g \in U_{x_{n+1}}} U_g, \\ &\quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$



$(i_{n+1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n, \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_{n+1}^{2n+1})) &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n, U_{x_{n+1}} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_{2n+1}}) \\ &= \bigcup_{g \in U_{x_{n+1}} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_{2n+1}}} \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n, g) \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{g \in U_{x_{n+1}}} \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n, g) \\ &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n, U_{x_{n+1}}) \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{g \in U_{x_{n+1}}} U_g. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $(H, \mathbb{F}_\rho)$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -ary  $H_v$ -group. □

**Example 10.** Let  $H = \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \geq 4$  and  $\rho = \{(1, n), \dots, (i, n - i + 1), \dots, (n, 1)\}$  be a binary relation on a set  $H$ , with full domain and full range. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= f_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n) = \{n\}, \quad U_2 = f_\rho(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_n) = \{n - 1\}, \dots, \\ U_{n-1} &= f_\rho(\underbrace{n - 1, \dots, n - 1}_n) = \{2\}, \quad U_n = f_\rho(\underbrace{n, \dots, n}_n) = \{1\}. \end{aligned}$$

The properties of  $(H, f_\rho)$ , where  $f_\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$ , as  $n$ -ary  $H_v$ -group, whit rarely computations guarantee that the  $(H, \mathbb{F}_\rho)$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -ary  $H_v$ -group properties. But  $(H, \mathbb{F}_\rho)$  is not an  $(n + 1)$ -ary hypergroup.

For instance

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n, \mathbb{F}_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n, 2)) &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n, U_1 \cup U_2) \\ &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n, \{n, n - 1\}) \\ &= U_1 \cup U_{n-1} \cup U_n = \{1, 2, n\}, \\ \mathbb{F}_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, \mathbb{F}_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n+1}, 2)) &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, U_1, 2) \\ &= \mathbb{F}_\rho(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, \{n\}, 2) \\ &= U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_n = \{1, n - 1, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

### References

- [1] P. Corsini, *Binary relations and hypergroupoids*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **7** (2000), 11–18.
- [2] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, *Hypergroups and binary relations*, Algebra Universalis **43** (2000), no. 4, 321–330.

- [3] I. Cristea and M. Stefanescu, *Hypergroups and  $n$ -ary relations*, European J. Combin. **31** (2010), no. 3, 780–789.
- [4] B. Davvaz, W. A. Dudek, and T. Vougiouklis, *A generalization of  $n$ -ary algebraic systems*, Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), no. 4, 1248–1263.
- [5] B. Davvaz and V. Leoreanu-Fotea, *Binary relations for ternary semihypergroups*, Comm. Algebra **38** (2010), no. 10, 3621–3636.
- [6] B. Davvaz and T. Vougiouklis,  *$n$ -ary hypergroups*, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci. **30** (2006), no. 2, 165–174.
- [7] M. De Salvo and J. Lo Faro, *A new class of hypergroupoids associated to binary relations*, J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput. **9** (2003), no. 4, 361–375.
- [8] ———, *Hypergroups and binary relations*, Mult.-Valued Log. **8** (2002), no. 5-6, 645–657.
- [9] D. Freni, *A new characterization of the derived hypergroup via strongly regular equivalences*, Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), no. 8, 3977–3989.
- [10] V. Leoreanu-Fotea and B. Davvaz,  *$n$ -ary hypergroups and binary relations*, European J. Combin. **29** (2008), no. 5, 1207–1218.
- [11] ———, *Join  $n$ -spaces and lattices*, J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput. **15** (2009), no. 5-6, 421–432.
- [12] ———, *Roughness in  $n$ -ary hypergroups*, Information Sciences **178** (2008), no. 21, 4114–4124.
- [13] V. Leoreanu and L. Leoreanu, *Hyperstructures and binary relations*, Sci. Ann. Univ. Agric. Sci. Vet. Med. **45** (2002), 69–72.
- [14] F. Marty, *Sur une generalization de la notion de group*, 8th Congress Math Scandenaves, Stockholm, (1934), 45–49.
- [15] S. Rasouli and B. Davvaz, *Homomorphisms, ideals and binary relations on hyper-MV algebras*, J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput. **17** (2011), no. 1, 47–68.
- [16] I. G. Rosenberg, *Hypergroups and Join spaces determined by relations*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **4** (1998), 93–101.
- [17] S. Spartalis, *Hypergroupoids obtained from groupoids with binary relations*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (2004), 201–210.
- [18] S. Spartalis and C. Mamaloukas, *Hyperstructures associated with binary relations*, Comput. Math. Appl. **51** (2006), no. 1, 41–50.
- [19] T. Vougiouklis, *Fundamental relations in hyperstructures*, Bull. Greek Math. Soc. **42** (1999), 113–118.
- [20] ———, *Hyperstructures and Their Representations*, Hadronic Press Inc., Florida, 1994.
- [21] ———, *A new class of hyperstructures*, J. Combin. Inform. System Sci. **20** (1995), no. 1-4, 229–235.

SEID MOHAMMAD ANVARIYEH  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 YAZD UNIVERSITY  
 YAZD, 89195-741, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* [anvariye@yazduni.ac.ir](mailto:anvariye@yazduni.ac.ir)

SOMAYYEH MOMENI  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 YAZD UNIVERSITY  
 YAZD, 89195-741, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* [smomeni47@gmail.com](mailto:smomeni47@gmail.com)