

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF GEOMETRICAL PROPERTIES OF BANACH SPACES USING ψ -DIRECT SUMS

ZHIHUA ZHANG, LAN SHU, JUN ZHENG, AND YULING YANG

ABSTRACT. Let X be a Banach space and ψ a continuous convex function on Δ_{K+1} satisfying certain conditions. Let $(X \oplus X \oplus \cdots \oplus X)_\psi$ be the ψ -direct sum of X . In this paper, we characterize the K strict convexity, K uniform convexity and uniform non- l_1^N -ness of Banach spaces using ψ -direct sums.

1. Introduction

A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{C}^n is said to be absolute if

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| = \||x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\| \quad \text{for any } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

and normalized if

$$\|(1, 0, \dots, 0)\| = \|(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)\| = \cdots = \|(0, \dots, 0, 1)\|.$$

The l_p -norms are such examples:

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_p = \begin{cases} (|x_1|^p + |x_2|^p + \cdots + |x_n|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let AN_n be the family of all absolute normalized norms on \mathbb{C}^n . When $n = 2$ Bonsall and Duncan [2] showed the following characterization of absolute normalized norms on \mathbb{C}^2 . Namely, the set AN_2 of all absolute normalized norms on \mathbb{C}^2 is in one-to-one correspondence with the set Ψ_2 of all continuous convex functions on $[0, 1]$ satisfying $\psi(0) = \psi(1) = 1$ and $\max\{1-t, t\} \leq \psi(t) \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1$. The correspondence is given by

$$(1) \quad \psi(t) = \|(1-t, t)\|, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Received September 8, 2011; Revised December 8, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B25, 46B20, 46B99.

Key words and phrases. absolute norm, K strict convexity, K uniform convexity, uniform non- l_1^N -ness.

The first and second authors are supported by Nation Natural Science Foundation of China(No. 11071178).

Indeed, for any $\psi \in \Psi_2$, define

$$\|(z, w)\|_\psi = \begin{cases} (|z| + |w|)\psi\left(\frac{|w|}{|z|+|w|}\right), & (z, w) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0, & (z, w) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

By calculation we have $\|\cdot\|_\psi \in AN_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_\psi$ satisfies (1). From this result, there are plenty of concrete absolute normalized norms of \mathbb{C}^2 which are not l_p -type.

In [13] K.-S. Saito, M. Kato and Y. Takahashi generalized the result to \mathbb{C}^n . Before stating it, we give some notations. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$, we put

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ (t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : t_j \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j \leq 1 \right\}$$

and define the set Ψ_n of all continuous convex functions on Δ_n satisfying the following conditions:

$$(A_0) \quad \psi(0, 0, \dots, 0) = \psi(1, 0, \dots, 0) = \dots = \psi(0, \dots, 0, 1),$$

$$(A_1)$$

$$\psi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq (t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{n-1})\psi\left(\frac{t_1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i}, \dots, \frac{t_{n-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i}\right), \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i \neq 0,$$

$$(A_2) \quad \psi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq (1 - t_1)\psi\left(0, \frac{t_2}{1 - t_1}, \dots, \frac{t_{n-1}}{1 - t_1}\right), \text{ if } t_1 \neq 1,$$

$$(A_3) \quad \psi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq (1 - t_2)\psi\left(\frac{t_1}{1 - t_2}, 0, \dots, \frac{t_{n-1}}{1 - t_2}\right), \text{ if } t_2 \neq 1,$$

⋮

$$(A_n)$$

$$\psi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq (1 - t_{n-1})\psi\left(\frac{t_1}{1 - t_{n-1}}, \dots, \frac{t_{n-2}}{1 - t_{n-1}}, 0\right), \text{ if } t_{n-1} \neq 1.$$

K.-S. Saito, M. Kato and Y. Takahashi in [13] showed that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$, AN_n and Ψ_n are in one-to-one correspondence under the following equation:

$$(2) \quad \psi(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) = \left\| \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\right) \right\|, (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \Delta_n.$$

Indeed, for any $\psi \in \Psi_n$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_\psi$ on \mathbb{C}^n is defined as

$$\|(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})\|_\psi = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |x_i|\right) \psi\left(\frac{|x_1|}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |x_i|}, \dots, \frac{|x_{n-1}|}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |x_i|}\right), \\ (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0) \\ 0, \quad (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (0, \dots, 0). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, M. Kato, K.-S. Saito and Tamura in [6] introduced the ψ -direct sums $(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n)_\psi$ as follows. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be Banach spaces and let $\psi \in \Psi_n$. Then the product space $X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_n$ with the norm

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_\psi = \|(\|x_1\|, \|x_2\|, \dots, \|x_n\|)\|_\psi, \quad x_i \in X_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

is a Banach space which is denoted by $(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n)_\psi$. They showed that $(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n)_\psi$ is strictly convex (uniformly convex) if and only if X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is strictly convex (uniformly convex) and $\psi \in \Psi_n$ is strictly convex. In [7] the authors presented that $X \oplus_\psi Y$ is uniformly non-square if and only if X and Y are uniformly non-square and $\psi \neq \psi_1, \psi_\infty$. Since the introduction of ψ -direct sums of Banach spaces, it has attracted plenty of attention and been treated by several authors (cf. [3, 4, 5, 12, 16]).

In particular, K.-I. Mitani and K.-S. Saito in [11] characterized the strict convexity, uniform convexity and uniform non-squareness of Banach spaces using ψ -direct sums $X \oplus_\psi X$. They showed that, if t_0 is a unique minimal point, a Banach space X is strictly convex if and only if, for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, then

$$\|(1-t_0)x + t_0y\| < \frac{1}{\psi(t_0)}\|((1-t_0)x, t_0y)\|_\psi, \quad \psi \in \Psi_2.$$

As for the cases of uniform convexity and uniform non-squareness, they gained some similar results.

Our main purpose of this paper is to give the characterization of K strict convexity, K uniform convexity and uniform non- l_1^N -ness using ψ -direct sums $(X \oplus X \oplus \dots \oplus X)_\psi$, we first characterize the K strict convexity using ψ -direct sums. We show that, if ψ has a minimal point $s_0 = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_K)$, and $0 < t_i < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, K$ and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^K t_i < 1$, then a Banach space X is K strictly convex if and only if for any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in X$, with x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K linearly independent, we have

$$\|t_0x_0 + t_1x_1 + \dots + t_Kx_K\| < \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)}\|(t_0x_0, t_1x_1, \dots, t_Kx_K)\|_\psi,$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^K t_i = 1$. As a result, we can give different characterization by choosing different ψ . In contrast with the result of K.-I. Mitani and K.-S. Saito [11], the uniqueness of t_0 is not required, but the linear independence of x and y is necessary. Moreover when $K = 1$, we get the characterization of strict convexity. In Section 3, we also characterize the K uniform convexity and

make Theorem 8 in [11] as our Corollary 3.5. In Section 4, the characterization of uniform non- l_1^N -ness is gained by adding the uniqueness of minimal point.

2. K strict convexity

A Banach space X is said to be K strictly convex (cf. [14]) if and only if for any $K+1$ elements x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K in X , whenever $\|\sum_{i=0}^K x_i\| = \sum_{i=0}^K \|x_i\|$, then x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K are linearly dependent.

The closed unit ball of a Banach space X is $\{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ and is denoted by B_X , the unit sphere of X is $\{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ and is denoted by S_X . It is obvious that when $K = 1$, X is strictly convex.

Proposition 2.1 (cf. [8]). *Let X be a Banach space. For all non-zero elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right\| + \left(n - \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{\|x_j\|} \right\| \right) \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|x_j\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\| \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right\| + \left(n - \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{\|x_j\|} \right\| \right) \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|x_j\|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) X is K strictly convex.
- (2) For any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in S_X$, whenever $\|\sum_{i=0}^K x_i\| = K+1$, then x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K are linearly dependent.
- (3) If $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in S_X$ and x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K are linearly independent, then for any $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^K$ satisfying $0 < t_i < 1, \sum_{i=0}^K t_i = 1$, there holds $\|\sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i\| < 1$.
- (3') If $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in S_X$ and x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K are linearly independent, then there exists $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^K$ with $0 < t_i < 1, \sum_{i=0}^K t_i = 1$, such that $\|\sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i\| < 1$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in X \setminus \{0\}$, and $\|\sum_{i=0}^K x_i\| = \sum_{i=0}^K \|x_i\|$. By Proposition 2.1 we have $\|\sum_{i=0}^K \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|}\| = K+1$. Hence $\frac{x_0}{\|x_0\|}, \frac{x_1}{\|x_1\|}, \dots, \frac{x_K}{\|x_K\|}$ are linearly dependent, so do x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K .

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that the conclusion falls to hold. Then there exists $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^K$ satisfying $0 < t_i < 1, \sum_{i=0}^K t_i = 1$, but $\|\sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i\| = 1$. Using Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i \right\| + \left(K+1 - \left\| \sum_{i=0}^K \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|} \right\| \right) \min_{0 \leq i \leq K} \|t_i x_i\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^K t_i \|x_i\| \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i \right\| + \left(K+1 - \left\| \sum_{i=0}^K \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|} \right\| \right) \max_{0 \leq i \leq K} \|t_i x_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\sum_{i=0}^K \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|}\| = K + 1$. So x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K are linearly dependent. Contradiction.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Clearly.

(2) \Rightarrow (3') We just need to let $t_i = \frac{1}{K+1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, K$.

(3') \Rightarrow (2) If there are $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in S_X$ and satisfying $\|\sum_{i=0}^K x_i\| = K + 1$, but x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K are linearly independent. Then there exists $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^K$, with $0 < t_i < 1$, $\sum_{i=0}^K t_i = 1$, and $\|\sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i\| < 1$. Considering Proposition 2.1 we have

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^K t_i \|x_i\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i \right\| + (K + 1 - \left\| \sum_{i=0}^K x_i \right\|) \max_{0 \leq i \leq K} \|t_i x_i\|,$$

that is $\|\sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i\| \geq 1$, contradiction. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\psi \in \Psi_{K+1}$. Assume that ψ has a minimal point $s_0 = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_K)$, and $0 < t_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$ and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^K t_i < 1$. Then a Banach space X is K strictly convex if and only if for any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in X$, with x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K linearly independent, we have*

$$\|t_0 x_0 + t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_K x_K\| < \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0 x_0, t_1 x_1, \dots, t_K x_K)\|_\psi,$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^K t_i = 1$.

Proof. Assume that X is K strictly convex. Since $\psi(s) \geq \psi(s_0)$ for all $s \in \Delta_{K+1}$, and $t_0 x_0, t_1 x_1, \dots, t_K x_K$ are linearly independent, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|t_0 x_0 + t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_K x_K\| \\ & < \|t_0 x_0\| + \|t_1 x_1\| + \dots + \|t_K x_K\| \\ & = \|(t_0 x_0, t_1 x_1, \dots, t_K x_K)\|_1 \\ & \leq \max_{s \in \Delta_{K+1}} \frac{\psi_1(s)}{\psi(s)} \|(t_0 x_0, t_1 x_1, \dots, t_K x_K)\|_\psi \\ & = \frac{1}{\min_{s \in \Delta_{K+1}} \psi(s)} \|(t_0 x_0, t_1 x_1, \dots, t_K x_K)\|_\psi \\ & = \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0 x_0, t_1 x_1, \dots, t_K x_K)\|_\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely for any $x_i \in S_X$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, K$ with x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K linearly independent. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|t_0 x_0 + t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_K x_K\| \\ & < \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0 x_0, t_1 x_1, \dots, t_K x_K)\|_\psi \\ & = \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_K)\|_\psi \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \left\| \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K t_i, t_1, \dots, t_K \right) \right\|_\psi = 1. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\psi \in \Psi_2$. Assume that ψ has a minimal point t_0 . Then a Banach space X is strictly convex if and only if, for each $x, y \in X$ with x, y linearly independent we have*

$$\| (1 - t_0)x + t_0y \| < \frac{1}{\psi(t_0)} \| ((1 - t_0)x, t_0y) \|_\psi.$$

Corollary 2.5. *If $\psi = \psi_p \in \Psi_{K+1}$, when $1 < p < \infty$, $\psi_p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_K) = \left((1 - \sum_{i=1}^K t_i)^p + t_1^p + \dots + t_K^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Note that for any $s \neq \left(\frac{1}{K+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{K+1} \right)$, $s \in \Delta_{K+1}$, $\psi_p(s) > \psi_p\left(\frac{1}{K+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{K+1}\right) = (K + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$. Then a Banach space X is K strictly convex if and only if for any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in X$ with x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K linearly independent, we have*

$$\left\| \frac{x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_K}{K + 1} \right\|^p < \frac{\|x_0\|^p + \dots + \|x_K\|^p}{K + 1}.$$

Theorem 2.3 does not require that ψ is strictly convex. This should be contrasted with the result of [6], i.e., $(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n)_\psi$ is strictly convex if and only if X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are strictly convex respectively and ψ is a strictly convex function on Δ_n . Thus, let $\|\cdot\| = \max\{\|\cdot\|_2, \lambda\|\cdot\|_1\}$ ($\frac{1}{\sqrt{K+1}} < \lambda < 1$). Let ψ be the corresponding convex function of $\|\cdot\|$. Then for any $s = (s_1, \dots, s_K) \in \Delta_{K+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s) &= \left\| \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K s_i, s_1, \dots, s_K \right) \right\| \\ &= \max \left\{ \left\| \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K s_i, s_1, \dots, s_K \right) \right\|_2, \lambda \left\| \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K s_i, s_1, \dots, s_K \right) \right\|_1 \right\} \\ &= \max\{\psi_2(s), \lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\min_{s \in \Delta_{K+1}} \psi_2(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K+1}}$. Then

$$\psi(s) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & \frac{1}{\sqrt{K+1}} \leq \psi_2(s) \leq \lambda \\ \psi_2(s), & \lambda < \psi_2(s) \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

For $\psi_2(s)$ is continuous on Δ_{K+1} , we have $\min_{s \in \Delta_{K+1}} \psi(s) = \lambda$ and ψ is not strictly convex on Δ_{K+1} . Applying Theorem 2.3, we can give the following characterization using ψ above.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\frac{1}{\sqrt{K+1}} < \lambda \leq 1$. Then a Banach space X is K strictly convex if and only if for any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in X$, with x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K linearly independent, we have*

$$\left\| \frac{x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_K}{K + 1} \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \frac{1}{\lambda} \max \left\{ \frac{(\|x_0\|^2 + \dots + \|x_K\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{K+1}, \lambda \frac{\|x_0\| + \dots + \|x_K\|}{K+1} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{(\|x_0\|^2 + \dots + \|x_K\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda(K+1)}, \frac{\|x_0\| + \dots + \|x_K\|}{K+1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. K uniform convexity

We say that a Banach space X is K uniformly convex (or K uniformly rotund see [15]) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that whenever $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in S_X$ and $\|x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_K\| > (K + 1) - \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K) \\ &\equiv \sup \left\{ \left| \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ f_1(x_0) & f_1(x_1) & \dots & f_1(x_K) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_K(x_0) & f_K(x_1) & \dots & f_K(x_K) \end{array} \right|, \{f_i\}_{i=1}^K \subset B_{X^*} \right\} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of $K = 1$, X is uniformly convex.

Proposition 3.1 (cf. [17]). *Let X be a Banach space. Then X is K uniformly convex if and only if for any $K + 1$ sequences $\{x_0^n\}, \{x_1^n\}, \dots, \{x_K^n\}$ in X , if $\|x_i^n\| \rightarrow a, n \rightarrow \infty, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K$ and $\|x_0^n + x_1^n + \dots + x_K^n\| \rightarrow (K + 1)a$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(x_0^n, x_1^n, \dots, x_K^n) = 0.$$

Proposition 3.2 (cf. [9]). *Let $\{x_1^k\}_k, \{x_2^k\}_k, \dots, \{x_n^k\}_k$ be n sequences in a Banach space X for which the sequences of their norms are convergent. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^k\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j^k\|$.
- (2) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha x_1^k + \sum_{j=2}^n x_j^k\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha \|x_1^k\| + \sum_{j=2}^n \|x_j^k\|)$ for all $\alpha > 0$.
- (3) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha x_1^k + \sum_{j=2}^n x_j^k\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha \|x_1^k\| + \sum_{j=2}^n \|x_j^k\|)$ for some $\alpha > 0$.

Proposition 3.3 (cf. [13]). *Let $\psi \in \Psi_n$ and let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then*

- (1) *If $|x| \leq |y|$, then $\|x\|_\psi \leq \|y\|_\psi$.*
 - (2) *If ψ is strictly convex and $|x| < |y|$, then $\|x\|_\psi < \|y\|_\psi$.*
- For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, denote $|x|$ by $|x| = (|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|)$. We say that $|x| \leq |y|$ if $|x_j| \leq |y_j|$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Further, we say that $|x| < |y|$ if $|x| \leq |y|$ and $|x_j| < |y_j|$ for some j .*

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\psi \in \Psi_{K+1}$. Assume that ψ has a unique minimal point $s_0 = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_K)$, with $0 < t_i < 1, \sum_{i=1}^K t_i < 1$. Then a Banach space X is K uniformly convex if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$, such*

that for any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K \in B_X$, satisfying

$$\|t_0x_0 + t_1x_1 + \dots + t_Kx_K\| > (1 - \delta) \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0x_0, t_1x_1, \dots, t_Kx_K)\|_\psi,$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^K t_i = 1$, then we have $A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K) < \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let X be a K uniformly convex Banach space. Assume that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are sequences $\{x_0^n\}, \{x_1^n\}, \dots, \{x_K^n\}$ in B_X satisfying

$$(3) \quad \|t_0x_0^n + t_1x_1^n + \dots + t_Kx_K^n\| > (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0x_0^n, t_1x_1^n, \dots, t_Kx_K^n)\|_\psi.$$

But $A(x_0^n, x_1^n, \dots, x_K^n) \geq \varepsilon_0$.

Since $\{\|x_i^n\|\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, K$ and $\{\|\sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i^n\|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are bounded sequences, without loss of generality we can let $\|x_i^n\| \rightarrow a_i$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), $i = 0, 1, \dots, K$ and $\|t_0x_0^n + t_1x_1^n + \dots + t_Kx_K^n\| \rightarrow b$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Moreover, we can choose $\{\|x_i^n\|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\max\{\|x_i^n\|, 0 \leq i \leq K\} = 1$. Thus $\max\{a_i, 0 \leq i \leq K\} = 1$. From this, $\sum_{i=0}^K t_i a_i > 0$. It is clear that $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$, $0 \leq b \leq 1$. Considering the equality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0x_0^n, t_1x_1^n, \dots, t_Kx_K^n)\|_\psi \\ &= (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0\|x_0^n\|, t_1\|x_1^n\|, \dots, t_K\|x_K^n\|)\|_\psi \\ &< \|t_0x_0^n + t_1x_1^n + \dots + t_Kx_K^n\| \\ &\leq t_0\|x_0^n\| + t_1\|x_1^n\| + \dots + t_K\|x_K^n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0a_0, t_1a_1, \dots, t_Ka_K)\|_\psi \leq t_0a_0 + t_1a_1 + \dots + t_Ka_K$ holds. Hence

$$\psi\left(\frac{t_1a_1}{\sum_{i=0}^K t_i a_i}, \dots, \frac{t_Ka_K}{\sum_{i=0}^K t_i a_i}\right) \leq \psi(s_0) = \psi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_K).$$

From the uniqueness of s_0 , we get $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_K$. Let us denote them as a . Moreover,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i^n \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^K \|t_i x_i^n\|.$$

Using Proposition 3.2 we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{t_0} t_0 x_0^n + \sum_{i=1}^K t_i x_i^n \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_0^n\| + \sum_{i=1}^K \|t_i x_i^n\|).$$

Repeat the similar process above for $K + 1$ times, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^K x_i^n \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^K \|x_i^n\| = (K + 1)a.$$

Hence there is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(x_0^n, x_1^n, \dots, x_K^n) = 0$. By Proposition 3.1, it is a contradiction.

Conversely, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists some $\delta > 0$, such that for any x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K in S_X with $A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K) \geq \varepsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|t_0x_0 + t_1x_1 + \dots + t_Kx_K\| \\ & \leq (1 - \delta) \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0x_0, t_1x_1, \dots, t_Kx_K)\|_\psi \\ & \leq (1 - \delta) \frac{1}{\psi(s_0)} \|(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_K)\|_\psi = 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \sum_{i=0}^K t_i \|x_i\| & \leq (K + 1 - \|\sum_{i=0}^K x_i\|) \max_{0 \leq i \leq K} t_i \|x_i\| + \|\sum_{i=0}^K t_i x_i\| \\ & \leq (K + 1 - \|\sum_{i=0}^K x_i\|) + 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\sum_{i=0}^K x_i\| \leq (K + 1) - \delta$. □

Corollary 3.5 (cf. [11]). *Let $\psi \in \Psi_2$. Assume that ψ has a unique minimal point t_0 . Then a Banach space X is uniformly convex if and only if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon, x, y \in B_X$ implies*

$$\|(1 - t_0)x + t_0y\| \leq (1 - \delta) \frac{1}{\psi(t_0)} \|(1 - t_0)x, t_0y\|_\psi.$$

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\psi(s) = \psi_p(s) = [(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K s_i)^p + s_1^p + \dots + s_K^p]^{\frac{1}{p}}, 1 < p < \infty$. Then $\psi_p(s)$ has a unique minimal point $s_0 = (\frac{1}{K+1}, \frac{1}{K+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{K+1})$. A Banach space X is K uniformly convex if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that for any x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K in B_X satisfying*

$$\|\frac{x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_K}{K + 1}\|^p > (1 - \delta) \frac{\|x_0\|^p + \dots + \|x_K\|^p}{K + 1}$$

implies $A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_K) < \varepsilon$.

4. Uniform non- l_1^N -ness

A Banach space X is said to be uniformly non- l_1^N (cf. [1, 10]) provided there exists $\delta(0 < \delta < 1)$ such that for any x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} in S_X , there exists an N -tuple of signs $\theta = (\theta_j)$ for which

$$\|\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j\| \leq N(1 - \delta).$$

In the case of $N = 2$, X is called uniform non-squareness. As is well known, we may take x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} from B_X in the definition (see [8]).

Lemma 4.1. *A Banach space X is uniformly non- l_1^N if and only if there exist some $s = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{N-1})$, with $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} s_i = 1, 0 < s_i < 1, i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, and some $\delta (0 < \delta < 1)$, such that for any x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} in B_X , there exists an N -tuple of signs $\theta = (\theta_j)$, for which $\|\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j s_j x_j\| \leq 1 - \delta$.*

Proof. Assume that X is uniformly non- l_1^N . Let $s_i = \frac{1}{N}, i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. For any x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} in S_X , there exists an N -tuple of signs $\theta = (\theta_j)$ and $s = (s_0, \dots, s_{N-1})$ with $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} s_i = 1, \|\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j s_j x_j\| \leq 1 - \delta$. Use Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\theta_j s_j x_j\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j s_j x_j \right\| + (N - \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j \right\|) \max_{0 \leq i \leq N-1} \|\theta_j s_j x_j\| \\ &\leq 1 - \delta + N - \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta' = \frac{\delta}{N}$. Then for any x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} in S_X , there exists an N -tuple of signs $\theta = (\theta_j)$, for which $\|\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j\| \leq N(1 - \delta')$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a Banach space. Then X is uniformly non- l_1^N if and only if for any N sequences $\{x_0^n\}, \dots, \{x_{N-1}^n\}$ in X and $\|x_j^n\| \rightarrow a (a > 0), n \rightarrow \infty, j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \|\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j^n\| \rightarrow A_\theta$ for any $\theta = (\theta_j)$, then there exists an N -tuple of signs $\theta = (\theta_j)$ for which*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j^n \right\| < Na.$$

Proof. It is equivalent to prove that: X is not uniformly non- l_1^N if and only if there exist N sequences $\{x_0^n\}, \dots, \{x_{N-1}^n\}$ in X and $\|x_j^n\| \rightarrow a (a > 0), n \rightarrow \infty, j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, for any N -tuple of signs $\theta = (\theta_j)$ there holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j^n \right\| = Na.$$

Without loss of generality, let $a = 1$. On one hand, since $\|x_j^n\| \rightarrow 1, j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, we can assume that $\|x_j^n\| > 0$, then $\left\{ \frac{x_j^n}{\|x_j^n\|} \right\} \subseteq S_X$. In addition, we have

$$\left| \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j \frac{x_j^n}{\|x_j^n\|} \right\| - \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j^n \right\| \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j \left(\frac{x_j^n}{\|x_j^n\|} - x_j^n \right) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left\| \frac{x_j^n}{\|x_j^n\|} - x_j^n \right\| \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left| \frac{1}{\|x_j^n\|} - 1 \right| \cdot \|x_j^n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j \frac{x_j^n}{\|x_j^n\|} \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j^n \right\| = N.$$

By definition X is not uniformly non- l_1^N .

The converse is obvious from the definition of uniform non- l_1^N -ness. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\psi \in \Psi_N$. Assume that ψ has a unique minimal point $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{N-1})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} s_i < 1, 0 < s_i < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$. Then a Banach space X is uniformly non- l_1^N if and only if there exists $\delta (0 < \delta < 1)$ such that for any x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} in B_X , there exists an N -tuple of signs $\theta = (\theta_j)$, for which*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j \theta_j x_j \right\| \leq (1 - \delta) \frac{1}{\psi(s)} \|(s_0 x_0, s_1 x_1, \dots, s_{N-1} x_{N-1})\|_\psi,$$

where $s_0 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} s_i$.

Proof. Let X be a uniformly non- l_1^N Banach space. Assume that the conclusion fails to hold. Then for $\delta_n = \frac{1}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist sequences $\{x_j^n\}$ in $B_X, j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, for any N -tuple of signs $\theta = (\theta_j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j \theta_j x_j^n \right\| \\ &> \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{\psi(s)} \|(s_0 x_0^n, s_1 x_1^n, \dots, s_{N-1} x_{N-1}^n)\|_\psi \\ (4) \quad &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{\psi(s)} \|(s_0 \|x_0^n\|, s_1 \|x_1^n\|, \dots, s_{N-1} \|x_{N-1}^n\|)\|_\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\{\|x_j^n\|\}_{n=1}^\infty, j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ are bounded sequences, we just let $\|x_j^n\| \rightarrow a_j (n \rightarrow \infty), j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. Without loss of generality, we can choose $\{\|x_j^n\|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\max\{\|x_j^n\|, 0 \leq j \leq N - 1\} = 1$. As a_j is the limit of $\{\|x_j^n\|\}_{n=1}^\infty$, we get $\max\{a_j, 0 \leq j \leq N - 1\} = 1$. Thus $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j a_j > 0$. In (4) let $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there is

$$\frac{1}{\psi(s)} \|(s_0 a_0, s_1 a_1, \dots, s_{N-1} a_{N-1})\|_\psi \leq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j a_j.$$

From this we get

$$\psi \left(\frac{s_1 a_1}{\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j a_j}, \dots, \frac{s_{N-1} a_{N-1}}{\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j a_j} \right) \leq \psi(s_1, \dots, s_{N-1}).$$

By the uniqueness of $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{N-1})$, we get $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{N-1}$, denote them as a . In addition, from (4) we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j \theta_j x_j^n \right\| = 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|s_j \theta_j x_j^n\|$. Using Proposition 3.2 there holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j^n \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\theta_j x_j^n\| = Na.$$

It's a contradiction by Lemma 4.2.

On the other hand, for any x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} in B_X

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j \theta_j x_j \right\| &\leq (1 - \delta) \frac{1}{\psi(s)} \|(s_0 x_0, s_1 x_1, \dots, s_{N-1} x_{N-1})\|_\psi \\ &\leq (1 - \delta) \frac{1}{\psi(s)} \|(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{N-1})\|_\psi \\ &= 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that X is uniformly non- l_1^N by Lemma 4.1. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\psi \in \Psi_2$. Assume that ψ has the unique minimum at $t = t_0$ ($0 < t_0 < 1$). Then a Banach space X is uniformly non-square if and only if there exists some δ ($0 < \delta < 1$) such that for any $x, y \in B_X$ implies*

$$\min \{ \|(1 - t_0)x + t_0 y\|, \|(1 - t_0)x - t_0 y\| \} \leq (1 - \delta) \frac{1}{\psi(t_0)} \|((1 - t_0)x, t_0 y)\|_\psi.$$

Corollary 4.5. *A Banach space X is uniformly non- l_1^N if and only if there exists some δ ($0 < \delta < 1$) such that for any x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} in B_X , there exists an N -tuple of signs $\theta = (\theta_j)$ for which*

$$\left\| \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j x_j}{N} \right\|^p \leq (1 - \delta) \frac{\|x_0\|^p + \dots + \|x_{N-1}\|^p}{N},$$

where $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof. We only need to let $\psi(t) = \psi_p(t) = \left[(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} t_i)^p + t_1^p + \dots + t_{N-1}^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$ in Theorem 4.3. \square

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the anonymous referees for the constructive comments and suggestions. Especially, thanks for bringing forward Keni-Ichi Mitani and Kichi-Suke Saito's research results.

References

- [1] B. Beauzamy, *Introduction to Banach Spaces and Their Geometry*, 2nd ed., North Holland, 1985.
- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges. II*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, No. 10. Cambridge University Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [3] S. Dhompongsa, A. Kaewcharoen, and A. Kaewkhao, *Fixed point property of direct sums*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **63** (2005), 2177–2188.
- [4] S. Dhompongsa, A. Kaewkhao, and S. Saejung, *Uniform smoothness and U -convexity of ψ -direct sums*, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **6** (2005), no. 2, 327–338.
- [5] P. N. Dowling and B. Turett, *Complex strict convexity of absolute norms on \mathbb{C}^n and direct sums of Banach spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **323** (2006), no. 2, 930–937.
- [6] M. Kato, K.-S. Saito, and T. Tamura, *On ψ -direct sums of Banach spaces and convexity*, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **75** (2003), no. 3, 413–422.
- [7] ———, *Uniform non-squareness of ψ -direct sums of Banach spaces $X \oplus_{\psi} Y$* , *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **7** (2004), no. 3, 429–437.
- [8] ———, *Sharp triangle inequality and its reverse in Banach spaces*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **10** (2007), no. 2, 451–460.
- [9] ———, *Uniform non- l_1^n -ness of ψ -direct sums of Banach spaces*, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **11** (2010), no. 1, 13–33.
- [10] R. E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Spaces Theory*, Springer, 1998.
- [11] K.-I. Mitani and K.-S. Saito, *A note on geometrical properties of Banach spaces using ψ -direct sums*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **327** (2007), no. 2, 898–907.
- [12] K.-S. Saito and M. Kato, *Uniform convexity of ψ -direct sums of Banach spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **277** (2003), no. 1, 1–11.
- [13] K.-S. Saito, M. Kato, and Y. Takahashi, *Absolute norms on \mathbb{C}^n* , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **252** (2000), no. 2, 879–905.
- [14] I. Singer, *On the set of best approximation of an element in a normed linear space*, *Rev. Math. Pures Appl.* **5** (1960), 383–402.
- [15] F. Sullivan, *A generalization of uniformly rotund Banach spaces*, *Can. J. Math.* **31** (1979), no. 3, 628–636.
- [16] Y. Takahashi, M. Kato, and K.-S. Saito, *Strict convexity of absolute norms on \mathbb{C}^2 and direct sums of Banach spaces*, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **7** (2002), no. 2, 179–186.
- [17] X. T. Yu, E. B. Zang, and Z. Liu, *On KUR Banach spaces*, *J. East China Normal Univ. Nature Science Edition.* **1** (1981), 1–8.

ZHIHUA ZHANG
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
 UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRONIC SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA
 CHENGDU 611731, SICHUAN PROVINCE, P. R. CHINA
 E-mail address: zhihuamath@yahoo.cn

LAN SHU
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
 UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRONIC SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA
 CHENGDU 611731, SICHUAN PROVINCE, P. R. CHINA
 E-mail address: shul@uestc.edu.cn

JUN ZHENG
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
 LANZHOU UNIVERSITY
 LANZHOU 730000, GANSU PROVINCE, P. R. CHINA
 E-mail address: zhengj_2010@lzu.edu.cn

YULING YANG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRONIC SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA
CHENGDU 611731, SICHUAN PROVINCE, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: yulingkathy@sina.com