Korean J. Math. **21** (2013), No. 4, pp. 463–471 http://dx.doi.org/10.11568/kjm.2013.21.4.463

A FINITE ADDITIVE SET OF IDEMPOTENTS IN RINGS

JUNCHEOL HAN AND SANGWON PARK*

ABSTRACT. Abstract. Let R be a ring with identity 1, $I(R) \neq \{0\}$ be the set of all nonunit idempotents in R, and M(R) be the set of all primitive idempotents and 0 of R. We say that I(R) is additive if for all $e, f \in I(R)$ ($e \neq f$), $e+f \in I(R)$. In this paper, the following are shown: (1) I(R) is a finite additive set if and only if $M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is a complete set of primitive central idempotents, $\operatorname{char}(R) = 2$ and every nonzero idempotent of R can be expressed as a sum of orthogonal primitive idempotents of R; (2) for a regular ring R such that I(R)is a finite additive set, if the multiplicative group of all units of Ris abelian (resp. cyclic), then R is a commutative ring (resp. R is a finite direct product of finite fields).

1. Introduction and basic definitions

Throughout this paper, let R be an associative ring with identity 1. The Jacobson radical of R is denoted by J(R). We use I(R) for the set of all nonunit idempotents of R, while we let M(R) be the set of all primitive idempotents and 0 of R. We use Z(R) and char(R)to denote the center of R and the characteristic of R, respectively. A nonempty subset of a ring R is called *multiplicative* if it is closed under

Received August 16, 2013. Revised October 15, 2013. Accepted October 28, 2013. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16U99, Secondary 06E20.

Key words and phrases: primitive idempotents, additive, set of idempotents, von-Newmann regular ring.

^{*}Corresponding author.

This work was supported by a 2-Year Research Grant of Pusan National University.

[©] The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2013.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by -nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

multiplication. Recall that two idempotents $e, f \in R$ are said to be orthogonal if ef = fe = 0. Also recall that a nonzero idempotent $e \in R$ is said to be primitive if it can not be written as a sum of two nonzero orthogonal idempotents, or equivalently, eR (resp. Re) is indecomposable as a right (resp. left) *R*-module. Recall that *R* is said to have a complete set of primitive idempotents if there exists a finite set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents whose sum is 1.

In [1], Dolžan has shown that a finite ring R with M(R) multiplicative is a product of local rings. In [2], Grover et al. have extended Dolžan's result as follows: if R is a ring with a complete set of primitive idempotents, then M(R) is multiplicative if and only if R is a finite direct product of connected rings. On the other hand, in [5], it was shown that in case that R is a direct product of countably many (not finite) connected rings M(R) could not be multiplicative.

We say that I(R) is *additive* if for all $e, f \in I(R)$ $(e \neq f), e+f \in I(R)$ (equivalently, ef = -fe). For example, if R is a Boolean ring, then I(R) is additive. Also M(R) is said to be *additive in* I(R) if for all $e, f \in M(R)$ $(e \neq f), e + f \in I(R)$. For example, if R is a Boolean ring or a direct product of local rings, then M(R) is additive in I(R). Note that if I(R) is additive, then M(R) is additive in I(R), but the converse is not true by considering a finite direct product of infinite fields. We also note that I(R) is commuting if and only if I(R) is multiplicative if and only if $I(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. By [5, Lemma 1] if I(R) is additive, then $I(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. But the converse may not be true (e.g., $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3)$. In [5], it was shown that I(R) is additive in I(R) if and only if M(R) is the set of primitive pairwise orthogonal idempotents.

We call a nonzero idempotent e in a ring R fully basic if e can be expressed as a sum of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents in R, and we call a ring R a fully basic ring if all idempotents are fully basic. For example, a finite direct product of local rings and $T_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, the ring of all upper triangular 2×2 matrices over \mathbb{Z}_2 , are fully basic rings. Note that in a fully basic ring R (e.g., $T_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$), I(R) may not be multiplicative.

In this paper, we will investigate a ring R such that $I(R) \neq \{0\}$ is a finite additive set. In Section 2, we will show that if I(R) is a finite additive set of a ring R, then there exists at least one primitive idempotent, and we will also show that I(R) is a finite additive set of a ring R if and only if $M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is a complete set of minimal central idempotents, the characteristic of R (denoted by char(R)) is 2 and R is fully basic.

Recall that a ring R is von-Neumann regular (simply regular) (resp. unit-regular) provided that for any $a \in R$ there exists an element $r \in R$ (resp. a unit $u \in R$) such that a = ara (resp. a = aua). A ring R is strongly regular provided that for any $a \in R$ there exists some element $r \in R$ such that $a = ra^2$. Also a ring R is abelian provided all idempotents in R are central. In section 3, we will show that for a regular ring R such that I(R) is a finite additive set, if G, the group of all units of R, is an abelian (resp. a cyclic) group, then R is a commutative ring (resp. R is a finite direct product of finite fields).

2. Some properties of a ring with a finite additive set of idempotents

Throughout this section, we assume that $I(R) \neq \{0\}$ for any ring R. Let \leq denote the usual relation on I(R), that is, $e \leq f$ (or $f \geq e$) means that ef = fe = e (refer [1]). In particular, $e \prec f$ (or $f \succ e$) means that $e \leq f$ and $e \neq f$. A nonzero idempotent e is called *minimal* if there is no idempotent strictly between 0 and e according to the partial ordering \leq . Note that the minimal idempotents in this sense are precisely the primitive idempotents of R.

LEMMA 2.1. Let R be a ring. Then we have the following:

(1) [5, Theorem 2.5] I(R) is additive if and only if I(R) is commuting and char(R) = 2.

(2) [5, Corollary 2.6] M(R) is additive in I(R) if and only if M(R) is the set of mutually primitive orthogonal idempotents.

LEMMA 2.2. Let R be a ring such that I(R) is an additive set and let $0 \neq e \in I(R)$. If ce = 0 for all $c \in I(R)$ ($c \neq e$), then e is primitive.

Proof. Assume that e is not primitive. Then e = a+b for some nonzero orthogonal idempotents a, b of R. Since e is not primitive, $a, b \neq e$. By assumption, 0 = ae = a + ab, and 0 = be = ba + b and so a = b = 0 since a, b are orthogonal, a contradiction. Hence e is primitive. \Box

LEMMA 2.3. Let R be a ring. If I(R) is a finite additive set in R, then $M(R) \neq \{0\}$.

Juncheol Han and Sangwon Park

Proof. Note that if I(R) is orthogonal (i.e., ab = ba = 0 for all $a, b \in I(R)$), then each nonzero $e \in I(R)$ is primitive. Indeed, assume that $e \in I(R)$ is not primitive. Then e = a + b for some nonzero orthogonal idempotents a, b of R. Clearly, $a \neq b$. If $a \neq e$ (resp. $b \neq e$), then 0 = ea = a (resp. 0 = eb = b), a contradiction. Hence each $e \in I(R)$ is primitive. Suppose that I(R) is not orthogonal. Then there exist $e, f \in I(R)$ ($e \neq f$) such that $ef \neq 0$. Thus $e \succeq ef$. If ef is primitive, we are done. If ef is not primitive, there exists a nonzero $e_1 \in I(R)$ such that $e_1(ef) \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus $ef \succ e_1(ef)$. Continuing this procedure then, starting now with e_1 , we arrive at a strictly descending relation

$$ef \succ e_1(ef) \succ e_2e_1(ef) \succ \cdots$$

Since I(R) is finite, this relation terminates with some nonzero $e_t \cdots e_1(ef) \in I(R)$, and $e_t \cdots e_1(ef)$ must then be primitive. Hence $M(R) \neq \{0\}$.

THEOREM 2.4. Let R be a ring such that I(R) is a finite additive set. Then we have the following:

- (1) R is fully basic.
- (2) If $e = e_1 + \cdots + e_s = f_1 + \cdots + f_t$ for any nonzero $e \in I(R)$ where all e_i 's (resp. f_j 's) are mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of R, then s = t and f_j can be renumbered so that $e_i = f_i$.

Proof. (1) Let $0 \neq e \in I(R)$ be arbitrary. We have $M(R) \neq \{0\}$ by Lemma 2.3. If e is primitive, then we are done. Suppose that e is not primitive. Then by the proof given in Lemma 2.3, there exists a nonzero $f_1 \in I(R)$ such that $f_1e (= ef_1)$ is primitive, and so $e = ef_1 + (e - ef_1)$, which is a sum of orthogonal idempotents of R. Note that $e \succ (e - ef_1)$. If $e - ef_1$ is primitive, then we are done. Suppose that $e - ef_1$ is not primitive. By the similar argument, there exists a nonzero $f_2 \in I(R)$ such that $(e - ef_1)f_2$ is primitive. Thus $e - ef_1 = (e - ef_1)f_2 + ((e - ef_1) - (e - ef_1)f_2))$, which is also a sum of orthogonal idempotents of R. Also note that $e - ef_1 \succ ((e - ef_1) - (e - ef_1)f_2))$. Continuing in this procedure, we get a strictly descending sequence of relations

$$a_0 \succ a_1 \succ a_2 \succ \cdots$$

where $a_0 = e$, $a_{n+1} = a_n - a_n f_{n+1}$ with $a_n f_{n+1} \in M(R)$ for some nonzero idempotent f_{n+1} of R and $a_n \neq 0$ for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ Next, we will show that all f_n are distinct. To show this, we will proceed it by induction on n. If n = 2, then clearly, $f_1 \neq f_2$. Assume that this holds for n,

466

i.e., $f_i \neq f_j$ for all distinct i, j $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$. For n + 1, it is enough to show that $f_{n+1} \neq f_i$ for all i = 1, ..., n. Assume that $f_{n+1} = f_i$ for some i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Then $a_n f_{n+1} = (a_{n-1} - a_{n-1}f_n)f_{n+1} = (a_{n-1} - a_{n-1}f_n)f_i = a_{n-1}f_i = (a_{n-2} - a_{n-2}f_{n-1})f_i = a_{n-2}f_i = \cdots = a_if_i = 0$, which is a contradiction to $a_n f_{n+1} \in M(R)$. Hence $f_{n+1} \neq f_i$ for all i = 1, ..., n. Since I(R) is finite and all f_n are distinct, the above sequence must terminate, and so a_n is a primitive idempotent of R. Hence $e = a_0f_1 + a_1f_2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}f_n + a_n$, which is a sum of orthogonal primitive idempotents in R.

(2) We can let $s \leq t$ without loss of generality. Since $e = e_1 + \cdots + e_s = f_1 + \cdots + f_t$ where all e_i 's (resp. f_j 's) are mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of R, $e_1 = e_1e = e_1f_1 + \cdots + e_1f_t$. Since e_1 is a primitive idempotent of R, $e_1 = e_1f_1$ and $e_1f_2 = \cdots = e_1f_t = 0$ by renumbering f_j . Also, we have $f_1 = e_1f_1 = e_1f_1 + \cdots + e_sf_t$. Since f_1 is a primitive idempotent of R and $e_1f_1 \neq 0$, $f_1 = e_1f_1 = e_1$. Thus $e_2 + \cdots + e_s = f_2 + \cdots + f_t$. Continuing in this way, we also have that $e_2 = f_2, \ldots, e_s = f_s$ by renumbering f_j . Then $f_{s+1} + \cdots + f_t = 0$, which implies that $f_{s+1} = \cdots = f_t = 0$. Hence we have the result.

Let R be a ring such that I(R) is a finite additive set. Then any nonzero $e \in I(R)$ can be expressed uniquely as a sum of a finite number of orthogonal primitive idempotents in R by Theorem 2.4. Here the unique number is called the *length* of e and is denoted by $\ell(e)$.

LEMMA 2.5. Let R be a ring such that I(R) is a finite additive set and let $e = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_s$, $f = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_t \in I(R)$ with $\ell(e) = s, \ell(f) = t$ where all e_i 's (resp. f_j 's) are mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of R. If ef = 0, then $e_i f_j = 0$ for all i, j.

Proof. First, we observe that if $e_i f_j, e_k f_\ell \neq 0$ where $i \neq k$ or $j \neq \ell$, then $e_i f_j \neq e_k f_\ell$. Indeed, without loss of generality, we can let $i \neq k$. If $e_i f_j = e_k f_\ell$, then $e_i f_j = e_i (e_k f_\ell) = (e_i e_k) f_\ell = 0$, a contradiction. Note that $e_i f_j = \sum e_k f_\ell$ for all i, j ($i \neq k$ or $j \neq \ell$). Thus $e_i f_j = e_i (e_i f_j) f_j =$ $e_i (\sum e_k f_\ell) f_j = (\sum_{j \neq \ell} e_i f_\ell) f_j = 0$.

LEMMA 2.6. Let R be a ring. If I(R) is a finite additive set, then $M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is a complete set of primitive central idempotents.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $M(R) \neq \{0\}$. Since I(R) is finite, we can let $M(R) \setminus \{0\} = \{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_r\}$. Since I(R) is additive, all idempotents are central by Lemma 2.1. Since I(R) is additive, M(R) is

Juncheol Han and Sangwon Park

clearly additive in I(R). Hence $M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is orthogonal Lemma 2.2. Thus $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r\}$ is the set of primitive central idempotents of R. To prove that $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r\}$ is a complete set of primitive central idempotents, it remains to show that $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_r$. Consider $e = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_{r-1} \in I(R)$. Note that $e \neq 0, 1$ and 1 = e + (1 - e), which is a sum of orthogonal idempotents of R. By Theorem 2.4, there exist mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s of R such that $1 - e = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_s$. Assume that $s \geq 2$. Let T = $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{r-1}, f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$. Then since $e(1 - e) = 0, e_i f_j = 0$ for all i, j by Lemma 2.5. Thus T is orthogonal with $|T| = r - 1 + s > r = |M(R) \setminus \{0\}|$. Since $T \subseteq M(R) \setminus \{0\}$, we arrive at a contradiction. Hence s = 1, and then $f_1 = e_r$. Therefore, we have $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_r$.

THEOREM 2.7. Let R be a ring. Then I(R) is a finite additive set if and only if $M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is a complete set of primitive central idempotents, char(R) = 2 and R is fully basic.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) It follows from Lemma 2.1, 2.6 and Theorem 2.4.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is a complete set of primitive central idempotents, char(R) = 2 and R is fully basic. Since $M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is finite and R is fully basic, I(R) is clearly finite. To show that I(R) is additive, let e, f be arbitrary nonzero distinct idempotents of R. Since R is fully basic, then $e = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_r$ and $f = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_s$ where all e_i 's (resp. f_j 's) are mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of R. Since $M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is orthogonal, $(e + f)^2 = e + f$, and so I(R) is additive. \Box

COROLLARY 2.8. Let R be a ring. If I(R) is a finite additive set, then R is a finite direct product of indecomposable rings and $|I(R) \cup \{1\}| = 2^r$ where $|M(R) \setminus \{0\}| = r$.

Proof. Let $M(R) \setminus \{0\} = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r\}$. By Lemma 2.6, $M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is a complete set of primitive central idempotents. Since $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_r$, for all $a \in R$, $a = e_1a + e_2a + \cdots + e_ra$, which is a sum of mutually orthogonal elements of R, and so $R = e_1R \oplus e_2R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_rR$, which is a finite direct product of indecomposable rings. Since each $e_i \in M(R) \setminus \{0\}$ is a primitive idempotent, $|I(e_iR)| = 2$, and so $|I(R)| = 2^r$ where $|M(R) \setminus \{0\}| = r$.

468

REMARK 1. Note that if R is a ring such that I(R) is additive, then $I(R) \cup \{1\}$ forms a Boolean subring of R. In particular, if I(R) is a finite additive set, then $I(R) \cup \{1\} \simeq \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}_{r-summands}$ $(r = |M(R) \setminus \{0\}|).$

COROLLARY 2.9. Every finite Boolean ring R is isomorphic to $\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}_{r-summands} \text{ where } r = |M(R) \setminus \{0\}|.$

Proof. It follows from Remark 1.

3. A von-Neumann regular ring with a finite additive set of idempotents

Let R be a ring, X(R) (simply, denoted by X) the set of all nonzero, nonunits of R, G(R) (simply, denoted by G) the group of all units of R. In this section, we will consider a group action of G on X given by $((q, x) \longrightarrow qx)$ from $G \times X$ to X, called the regular action. For each $x \in X$, we define the *orbit* of x by $o(x) = \{gx : \forall g \in G\}$ under the regular action of G on X.

The following lemma was shown in [4, Lemma 2.3].

LEMMA 3.1. Lemma 3.1 Let R be a ring such that G acts on X by the regular action. Then R is unit-regular if and only if every orbit under the regular action is o(e) for some idempotent $e \in X$.

REMARK 2. Let R be a ring such that I(R) is a finite additive set. Then we note that (1) R is regular if and only if R is unit-regular if and only if R is strongly regular if and only if R is abelian regular; (2) In a regular ring R, there are a finite number of orbits under the regular action of G on X.

THEOREM 3.2. Let R be an abelian regular ring. If G is an abelian group, then R is a commutative ring.

Proof. First, let $x \in X$ and $g \in G$ be arbitrary. Since R is abelian regular, R is unit-regular. Thus there exists an element $u \in G$ such that x = xux, and so $ux, xu \in I(R)$. Since R is abelian, xu and ux are central. Since G is abelian, (gx)u = g(xu) = (xu)g = x(ug) =x(gu) = (xg)u, and so gx = xg. Next, let $x, y \in X$ be arbitrary. If $x \in I(R)$, then xy = yx. If $x \notin I(R)$, then $vx, xv \in I(R)$ for some

 \square

 $v \in G$. Then v(xy) = (vx)y = y(vx) = (yv)x = (vy)x = v(yx) by the above argument, and so xy = yx. Consequently, R is a commutative ring.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let R be a regular ring such that I(R) is a finite additive set. If G is abelian, then R is a commutative ring.

Proof. It follows from Remark 2 and Theorem 3.2.

THEOREM 3.4. Let R be an abelian regular ring having a complete set of primitive idempotents. If G is cyclic, then R is a finite direct product of finite fields.

Proof. Let $S = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r\}$ be a complete set of central primitive idempotents in R. Then $R = e_1 R \times e_2 R \times \cdots \times e_r R$, a finite product of local rings. Note that since the Jacobson radical of R is zero, each $e_i R$ is a division ring. Since G is abelian, R is a commutative ring by Theorem 3.2, and then each $e_i R$ is a field. Since G is cyclic, each $G(e_i R)$ is also cyclic, and so $e_i R$ is finite by [6, Exercise 12, p. 426]. Hence R is a finite direct product of finite fields.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let R be a regular ring such that I(R) is a finite additive set. If G is cyclic, then R is a finite direct product of finite fields of characteristic 2 with distinct orders.

Proof. It follows from Remark 2 and Theorem 3.4.

THEOREM 3.6. Let R be an abelian regular ring with a complete set of primitive idempotents. If G is finite, then R is finite.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Then x = ge for some $g \in G$ and some $e \in I(R)$ by Lemma 3.1. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r\}$ be a complete set of primitive idempotents of R. Since $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_r$, x = ge = $\sum_{ee_i\neq 0} g(ee_i)$. Since G is finite, $o(ee_i)$ is finite for all $ee_i\neq 0$. Hence X is finite, and then R is finite by [3, Theorem 2.2].

COROLLARY 3.7. Corollary 3.7 Let R be a regular ring such that I(R)is a finite additive set. Then we have the following:

(1) If G is finite, then R is finite.

(2) $G = \{1\}$ if and only if R is a finite Boolean ring.

Proof. (1) It follows from Remark 2 and Theorem 3.6. (2) By (1), if $G = \{1\}$, then R is finite by (1). Since R is a regular ring such that I(R) is a finite additive set, R is unit-regular by Remark 2.

470

Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Then x = ge for some $g \in G$ and $e \in I(R)$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $G = \{1\}$, x = e, and so $X = I(R) \setminus \{0\}$. Hence $R = I(R) \cup \{1\}$ is a Boolean ring. The converse follows from Corollary 2.11.

References

- D. Dolžan, Multiplicative sets of idempotents in a finite ring, J. Algebra 304 (2006), 271–277.
- [2] H. K. Grover, D. Khurana and S. Singh, Rings with multiplicative sets of primitive idempotents, Comm. Algebra 37 (2009), 2583–2590.
- [3] J. Han, Regular action in a ring with a finite number of orbits, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), 2227–2236.
- [4] J. Han, Group actions in a unit-regular ring, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), 3353– 3361.
- [5] J. Han and S. Park, An additive set of idempotents in rings, Comm. Algebra 40 (2012), 3551–3557.
- [6] W. K. Nicholson, Introduction to Abstract Algebra, PWS Publishing Co., Boston, 1993.

Department of Mathematics Education Pusan National University Pusan 609-735, Korea *E-mail*: jchan@pusan.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics Dong-A University Pusan 604-714, Korea *E-mail*: swpark@donga.ac.kr