

SUMS OF $(p^r + 1)$ -TH POWERS IN THE POLYNOMIAL RING $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[T]$

MIREILLE CAR

ABSTRACT. Let p be an odd prime number and let F be a finite field with p^m elements. We study representations and strict representations of polynomials $M \in F[T]$ by sums of $(p^r + 1)$ -th powers. A representation

$$M = M_1^k + \cdots + M_s^k$$

of $M \in F[T]$ as a sum of k -th powers of polynomials is strict if $k \deg M_i < k + \deg M$.

1. Introduction

Let F be a finite field of characteristic p with p^m elements and let $k > 1$ be an integer. The similarity between the ring \mathbb{Z} of rational integers and the polynomial ring $F[T]$ had led to investigations of an analogue of the Waring problem for $F[T]$ (See [2], [6], [11], [14], [17], [19], [20], [21], [22] for general exponent k or [4], [5], [8], [9], [10] for some particular exponents). Roughly speaking, Waring's problem over $F[T]$ is that of the representation of polynomials $M \in F[T]$ as sums

$$(1.1) \quad M = M_1^k + \cdots + M_s^k$$

with $M_1, \dots, M_s \in F[T]$. Some obstructions to that may occur which led to considering Waring's problem over the subring $\mathcal{S}(F, k)$ formed by the polynomials of $F[T]$ which are sums of k -th powers. Two variants of Waring's problem over $\mathcal{S}(F, k)$ have been considered. The unrestricted Waring's problem is the problem of proving the existence of an integer $w = w(p^m, k)$, with the property that whenever $M \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$ and $s \geq w(p^m, k)$, the equation (1.1) is solvable. This problem is close to the so called easy Waring's problem for \mathbb{Z} ([17], [18], [19], [20]). In order to have an analogue for the non easy Waring problem, the degree conditions

$$(1.2) \quad \deg M_i \leq n$$

Received November 26, 2010; Revised July 8, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11T55; Secondary 11R58.

Key words and phrases. finite fields, polynomials, Waring's problem.

are required with n defined by the condition

$$(1.3) \quad k(n-1) < \deg M \leq kn.$$

With such degree conditions, the representation (1.1) is *strict* in opposition to representations without degree conditions. For the strict Waring's problem, analogues to the classical Waring's numbers $g_{\mathbb{N}}(k)$ and $G_{\mathbb{N}}(k)$ have been defined as follows. Let $g(p^m, k)$, respectively, $G(p^m, k)$, denote the least integer s , if it exists, such that every polynomial $M \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$, respectively, every polynomial $M \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$ of sufficiently large degree, may be written as a sum (1.1) satisfying the degree conditions (1.2) and (1.3). Otherwise, $g(p^m, k)$, respectively, $G(p^m, k)$ is equal to ∞ . This notation is possible since these numbers depend only on p^m and k . Waring's problem consists of determining or, at least, bounding the numbers $g(p^m, k)$ and $G(p^m, k)$.

Gallardo's method introduced in [8] and performed in [5] to deal with Waring's problem for cubes was generalized in [2] and [11] where bounds for $g(p^m, k)$ and $G(p^m, k)$ were established when p^m and k satisfy some conditions. For instance, Theorem 1.2 in [2] and Theorem 1.4 in [11] require that every $a \in F$ is a sum of k -th powers and $p^m > k$. Theorem 1.3 in [2] gives a bound for the numbers $g(p^m, k)$ in the case where $p > k$ or in the case $k = hp^\nu - 1 < p^m$ for some positive integers ν and $h \leq p$.

The case of the exponent $k = p^r + 1$ is not covered by these theorems. The object of this paper is the study of Waring's problem in the case where $k = p^r + 1$ for odd p . It can be seen as a generalisation of [4] where sums of biquadrates over a field of characteristic 3 were studied. The easier case $p = 2$ has been studied in [3].

Some notations and definitions are necessary before stating the main results proved in this work.

The set $\mathcal{S}(F, k)$ and the numbers $g(p^m, k)$ and $G(p^m, k)$ are not sufficient to describe every possible case. Proposition 4.5 in [2] and Proposition 3.7 in [3] give examples of polynomials in $\mathcal{S}(F, k)$ which are not strict sums of k -th powers. Thus, we introduce new parameters.

Let $\mathcal{S}^\times(F, k)$ denote the set of polynomials in $F[T]$ which are strict sums of k -th powers. Let $g^\times(p^m, k)$, respectively $G^\times(p^m, k)$, denote the least integer s , if it exists, such that every polynomial $M \in \mathcal{S}^\times(F, k)$ respectively, every polynomial $M \in \mathcal{S}^\times(F, k)$ of sufficiently large degree, may be written as a strict sum

$$M = M_1^k + \cdots + M_s^k.$$

From now on, F is a finite field with p^m elements. The main results proved in this work are summarized in the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $k = p^r + 1$, where p is an odd prime number and r a positive integer.*

- (1) If $m/\gcd(m, r) \geq 3$, then the set $\mathcal{S}(F, k)$ is equal to the whole ring $F[T]$ and

$$\mathcal{S}^\times(F, k) = \mathcal{A}_\infty \cup \left(\bigcup_{N=0}^{k-3} \mathcal{A}_N \right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty = \{A \in F[T] \mid \deg A > k(k-3)\}, \quad \mathcal{A}_0 = F,$$

and for $N = 1, \dots, k-3$,

$$\mathcal{A}_N = \left\{ A \in F[T] \mid A = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{i=0}^N x_{n,i} T^{i+np^r} \right\}$$

with $x_{n,i} \in F$.

- (2) If m divides r ,

$$\mathcal{S}^\times(F, k) = \mathcal{S}(F, k) = \left\{ A \in F[T] \mid A^{p^r} - A \equiv 0 \pmod{T^{p^{2r}} - T} \right\}.$$

- (3) If $m/\gcd(m, r) = 2$,

$$\mathcal{S}(F, k) = \left\{ A \in F[T] \mid A^{p^r} - A \equiv 0 \pmod{T^{p^{2r}} - T} \right\},$$

and $\mathcal{S}^\times(F, k)$ is the set formed by the $A \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$ such that, either $\deg A$ is not multiple of k , or $\deg A$ is multiple of k and the leading coefficient of A is in the subfield of F of order $p^{\gcd(m,r)}$.

This theorem is a consequence of Corollary 3.3, Proposition 5.1, and Corollaries 5.4 and 5.6 below.

Theorem 1.2. Let $k = p^r + 1$, where p is an odd prime number and r a positive integer.

- (1) (a) If $m/\gcd(m, r) \geq 3$, $m/\gcd(m, r) \neq 4$, and if p^m is congruent to 1 modulo 4,

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq \min\left(\frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} + 5, 2k + 3\right);$$

$$g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 5k - 4.$$

- (b) If $m/\gcd(m, r) \geq 3$, and if p^m is congruent to 3 modulo 4,

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq \min\left(\frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} + 6, 3k + 3\right);$$

$$g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 6k - 4.$$

- (c) If $m/\gcd(m, r) = 4$,

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq \min\left(\frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} + 6, 2k + 4\right);$$

$$g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 6k - 6.$$

- (d) If $m/\gcd(m, r) \geq 3$, then $g(p^m, k) = \infty$.

(2) (a) If m divides r and if p^m is congruent to 1 modulo 4,

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq 2k;$$

$$g(p^m, k) = g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 3k - 6.$$

(b) If m divides r and if p^m is congruent to 3 modulo 4,

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq 3k;$$

$$g(p^m, k) = g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 3k.$$

(3) If $m/\gcd(m, r) = 2$,

$$G(p^m, k) = g(p^m, k) = \infty,$$

$$G^\times(p^m, k) \leq g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 2k.$$

This theorem is a consequence of Corollaries 3.5, 5.4 and 5.6 below. It shows that the analogy with the rational integers does not work completely since the following bounds hold for large exponents k :

$$G_{\mathbb{N}}(k) \leq k(\log k + \log(\log k) + O(1));$$

see [23] and

$$2^k + [(3/2)^k] - 2 \leq g_{\mathbb{N}}(k) \leq 2^k + [(3/2)^k] + [(4/3)^k] - 2$$

(see [7], [12, Chap. 21], [23]).

With the necessary adaptations, the proof follows the method used in [3] where we dealt with the case of characteristic 2. We omit the proofs in [3].

Let $v(p^m, k)$ denote the least integer v , if it exists, such that T may be written as a sum $(a_1T + b_1)^k + \cdots + (a_vT + b_v)^k$ with $a_i, b_i \in F$. Otherwise, let $v(p^m, k) = \infty$. If $v(p^m, k)$ is finite, every $P \in F[T]$ may be written as a sum

$$P = (a_1P + b_1)^k + \cdots + (a_{v(F,k)}P + b_{v(F,k)})^k$$

so that $\mathcal{S}(F, k) = F[T]$ and F is a k -Waring field.

As in the case $p = 2$, it is possible to compute the exact value of $v(p^m, p^r + 1)$. This improves a theorem of Paley [15].

The paper is organized as follows. In order to get the exact value of $v(p^m, k)$ we have to prove that some algebraic equations have solutions in F . This is done in Section 2. In Section 3, we compute the numbers $v(p^m, k)$. This yields a characterization of the fields F for which the equality $\mathcal{S}(F, k) = F[T]$ holds. Some bounds for the Waring numbers $G(p^m, k)$ follow. In Section 4, we prove some key identities and we classify strict sums of degree $\leq k(k-2)$. In Section 5, we describe a descent process and we conclude the proof. We shall use two types of numbering. Pairs $(X.Y)$ will be used to number formulae occurring in definitions, propositions and theorems, single numbers (z) will be used for formulae only used in the course of a proof.

If every $a \in F$ is a sum of k -th powers, the field F is called a Waring field for the exponent k or briefly, a k -Waring field. If F is a k -Waring field, let $\ell(p^m, k)$ denote the least integer ℓ such that every element of F is a sum of ℓ

k -th powers. We shall denote by $\lambda(p^m, k)$ the least integer s such that -1 is a sum of s k -th powers. We write $\Delta(p^m, k)$ for $\gcd(p^m - 1, k)$.

We fix an algebraic closure \overline{F} of the field F . For a positive integer n , we denote by \mathbb{F}_{p^n} the subfield of \overline{F} with p^n elements, so that $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. The proofs will often use the following facts:

- the field F contains exactly $\Delta(p^m, k) = \gcd(p^m - 1, k) = \gcd(p^m - 1, p^r + 1)$ k -th roots of 1;
- a k -th power in F is a $\gcd(p^m - 1, k)$ -th power.

We introduce the notations

$$(1.4) \quad Q = p^r = k - 1, \quad q = p^{\gcd(m,r)},$$

$$(1.5) \quad d = \gcd(m, r),$$

so that

$$(1.6) \quad q = p^d.$$

If x is a real number, we denote by $[x]$ its integral part and by $\lceil x \rceil$ its ceiling, that is the least integer $n \geq x$.

2. Sums of k -th powers in the finite field F

Since a k -th power in F is a $\gcd(p^m - 1, k)$ -th power, we begin this section by computing $\Delta = \Delta(p^m, k)$. We continue by a study of a sum of characters which will be useful to compute numbers of solutions of some equations.

The following proposition completes Lemma 4 in [15]. It is a special case of exercise 125 in De Koninck and Mercier's book. See [13, exercise 125, p. 23, solution p. 125].

Proposition 2.1. *One has*

$$(2.1) \quad \gcd(p^m - 1, p^r - 1) = p^d - 1.$$

The greatest common divisor of $p^m - 1$ and $p^r + 1$ is an even number. Moreover, $\gcd(p^m - 1, p^r + 1) \neq 2$ if and only if m/d is even and, in that case,

$$(2.2) \quad \gcd(p^m - 1, p^r + 1) = p^d + 1.$$

2.1. The systems $\mathcal{E}(u, v, a, b)$ and $\mathcal{S}(a, b, c)$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(u, v) \in F^2$ be such that $uv \neq 0$ and $u^{Q^2-1} \neq v^{Q^2-1}$. For every ordered pair $(a, b) \in F^2$, the system $\mathcal{E}(u, v, a, b)$:*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} a = u^Q x + v^Q y, \\ b = ux^Q + vy^Q, \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution in F^2 .

Proof. Immediate. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(a, b, c) \in F^3$. Then, the system $\mathcal{S}(a, b, c)$:*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} a = x^2 + y^2 + z^2, \\ b = x\xi + y\eta + z\zeta, \\ c = \xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2, \end{cases}$$

has a solution $(x, y, z, \xi, \eta, \zeta)$ in F^6 .

Proof. Serre’s theorem asserts that with the exceptions of polynomials of degree 3 and 4 in the case $q = 3$, every polynomial in $F[T]$ is a strict sum of 3 squares ([6, Theorem 1.14, p. 7]). Applied to $P = aT^2 + 2bT + c$, Serre’s theorem gives the existence of $(x, y, z, \xi, \eta, \zeta) \in F^6$ such that

$$aT^2 + 2bT + c = (xT + \xi)^2 + (yT + \eta)^2 + (zT + \zeta)^2,$$

so that $(x, y, z, \xi, \eta, \zeta)$ is a solution of $\mathcal{S}(a, b, c)$. □

When m/d is odd, $\gcd(2^m - 1, k) = 2$, and the set of k -th powers in F is the set of squares, so that the numbers $\nu_i(a)$ of representations of $a \in F$ as sums of i k -th powers are well known (see e.g. [1]). We compute the numbers $\nu_i(a)$ in the case where m/d is even. For that we introduce some character sums.

2.2. Sums of characters

In this subsection, we suppose that m/d is even, so that $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \subset F$. From Proposition 2.1, the set of k -th powers in F , resp. in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} is the set of $(q + 1)$ -th powers in F , resp. in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Let

$$(2.5) \quad n = m/2d.$$

Let θ be a generator of the cyclic group $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ and let

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha = \theta^{(q+1)/2}.$$

Let $\text{tr}: F \mapsto \mathbb{F}_p$ be the absolute trace on F and let ψ be the character of the additive group of F defined by

$$(2.7) \quad \psi(x) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \text{tr}(x)}{p}\right).$$

Then ψ is not trivial. For $t \in F$ let

$$(2.8) \quad f(t) = \sum_{x \in F} \psi(tx^{q+1}).$$

Let B denote the set of non-zero k -th powers in F or, equivalently, the set of non-zero $(q + 1)$ -th powers in F .

Proposition 2.4. (1) *If $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, then $u^{q+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$.*

(2) *For every $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$, there is $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $u = v^{q+1}$.*

(3) *One has*

$$(2.9) \quad f(0) = p^m.$$

(4) *Let $t \in F^\times$.*

(a) If $t \in \alpha B$, then

$$(2.10) \quad f(t) = f(\alpha) = (-q)^{n+1}.$$

(b) If $t \notin \alpha B$, then

$$(2.11) \quad qf(t) + f(\alpha) = 0.$$

Proof. (1) If $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, then $(u^{q+1})^{q-1} = u^{q^2-1} = 1$, so that $u^{q+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

(2) Since θ generates $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$, the cyclic group \mathbb{F}_q^\times is generated by θ^{q+1} , so that every $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is a power of θ^{q+1} .

(3) Obvious.

(4) It is a generalization of [4, Proposition 2.2]. See the proof of [3, Proposition 2.4(i)] and [3, Proposition 2.5] for the proof of (2.10); see the proof of [3, Proposition 2.4(iii)] for the proof of (2.11). \square

2.3. Sums of k -th powers in F

Let i be a positive integer. For $a \in F$, let $\nu_i(a)$ denote the number of solutions $(x_1, \dots, x_i) \in F^i$ of the equation

$$(2.12) \quad a = x_1^k + \dots + x_i^k.$$

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose m/d odd.*

- If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then,

$$\nu_2(0) = 2p^m - 1,$$

$$\nu_3(0) = p^{2m}$$

and for $a \in F^\times$, one has

$$\nu_2(a) = p^m - 1,$$

$$\nu_3(a) = \begin{cases} p^{2m} + p^m & \text{if } a \in B, \\ p^{2m} - p^m & \text{if } a \notin B. \end{cases}$$

- If $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then,

$$\nu_2(0) = 1,$$

$$\nu_3(0) = p^{2m}$$

and for $a \in F^\times$, one has

$$\nu_2(a) = p^m + 1,$$

$$\nu_3(a) = \begin{cases} p^{2m} - p^m & \text{if } a \in B, \\ p^{2m} + p^m & \text{if } a \notin B. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Observe that $a \in F$ is a k -th power if and only if a is a square. Apply the well-known results on sums of squares in a finite field, [1, exercise 5, pp. 175–176]. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose m/d even. Then,*

$$\nu_2(0) = (q + 1)p^m - q,$$

$$\nu_3(0) = p^{2m} + f(\alpha)(q - 1)(p^m - 1)$$

and for $a \in F^\times$, one has

$$\nu_1(a) = \begin{cases} q + 1 & \text{if } a \in B, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin B, \end{cases}$$

$$\nu_2(a) = p^m - q + (q - 1)f(a\alpha),$$

$$\nu_3(a) = p^{2m} - p^m + p^m\nu_1(a) - (q - 1)f(\alpha) + (q - 1)f(\alpha)f(a\alpha).$$

Proof. Similar to that of Proposition 2.7 in [3]. □

Proposition 2.7. • F is a Waring field for the exponent $k = p^r + 1$ if and only if $\frac{m}{d} \neq 2$.

- If $\frac{m}{d} \neq 2$, then $\ell(p^m, k) = 2$.

Proof. From Proposition 2.1, if m/d is odd, then $\Delta(p^m, k) = 2$. From [2, Proposition 3.1], F is a k -Waring field with $\ell(p^m, k) = 2$. Now, suppose $\frac{m}{d}$ even. Set $m = 2nd$. From Proposition 2.1, $\Delta(p^m, k) = 1 + p^d$. Since $\Delta(p^m, k) > 1$, we have $\ell(2^m, k) \geq 2$. We prove that, with the exception $n = 1$, F is a k -Waring field with $\ell(p^m, k) \leq 2$. Let $a \in F$ be different from a k -th power. From Proposition 2.6, then Proposition 2.4,

$$\nu_2(a) = p^m - q + (q - 1)f(a\alpha) \geq p^m - q - (q - 1)p^{m/2} = q^{2n} - q - q^{n+1} + q^n.$$

If $n > 1$, then $\nu_2(a) > 0$ and a is the sum of two k -th powers. Thus, if $a \in F$, either a is a k -th power or a is a sum of two k -th powers. Hence, $\ell(p^m, k) = \ell(F, k) \leq 2$ (Note that Small had already established this bound in the case where $m > 4r$, [16]). □

Remark 2.8. We have $\lambda(p^m, k) = 1$ if and only if p^m is congruent to 1 modulo 4.

Proof. If $\lambda(p^m, k) = 1$, then -1 is a k -th power in F , so that -1 is a square in F . Now, we suppose that -1 is a square in F . Firstly, we suppose m/d odd. From Proposition 2.1, the set of k -th powers in F is the set of squares in F , so that -1 is a k -th power in F . Secondly, suppose m/d even. Then, $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \subset F$. Since θ generates the cyclic group \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , we have

$$-1 = \theta^{(q^2-1)/2} = (\theta^{(q-1)/2})^{q+1}$$

with $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \subset F$. From Proposition 2.1, the set of k -th powers in F is the set of $(q + 1)$ -th powers in F . Therefore -1 is a k -th power in F . □

Proposition 2.9. For $a \in F$, let $N_3(a)$ denote the number of $(x, y, z) \in F^3$ such that

$$(\mathcal{F}(a)) \quad \begin{cases} x^k + y^k + z^k = a, & (e_1) \\ xy \neq 0, & (e_2) \\ x^{Q^2-1} \neq y^{Q^2-1}. & (e_3) \end{cases}$$

- Suppose m/d even. Then,

$$N_3(0) = p^{2m} - p^m(q^3 + 1) + q^3 + (q - 1)(p^m - 1)f(\alpha)$$

and for $a \in F^\times$, one has

$$N_3(a) = \begin{cases} p^{2m} + p^m(q^3 - 3q^2 - 1) + 2q^3 - (q - 1)(q^2 - q + 1)f(\alpha) & \text{if } a \in B, \\ p^{2m} - p^m(2q^2 - 2q + 1) + q^3 - q^2 + (q - 1)(q - 2)f(\alpha) & \text{if } a \notin B, \end{cases}$$

where α is as in (2.6) and f as in (2.8).

- Suppose m/d odd. Then,

$$N_3(0) = (p^m - 1)(p^m - q)$$

and for $a \in F^\times$,

$$N_3(a) = \begin{cases} (p^m - 2)(p^m - q) & \text{if } a \in B, \\ p^m(p^m - q) & \text{if } a \notin B. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is a generalization of the proof of Proposition 2.6 in [4]. In the case of [4], $p = 3$ and $k = 4$, so that the proof only needs to distinguish two cases depending on the parity of m . In the present general setting we have to distinguish different cases according to whether or not F contains \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , and according to whether or not -1 is a k -th power in F . \square

Corollary 2.10. Let $a \in F$.

- (1) If $a \neq 0$ and $m/d \geq 3$, or if $a = 0$ and $m/d \geq 3$ with $m/d \neq 4$, then $(\mathcal{F}(a))$ has solutions in F^3 .
- (2) If $m/d \leq 2$, for any $a \in F$, $(\mathcal{F}(a))$ has no solutions in F^3 .
- (3) Suppose $m = 4d$. Then $(\mathcal{F}(0))$ has no solutions in F^3 . Let $a \in F$. Then, there exists $(x, y, z, u) \in F^4$ such that

$$(\mathcal{G}(a)) \quad \begin{cases} x^k + y^k + z^k + u^k = a, & (e_1) \\ xy \neq 0, & (e_2) \\ x^{Q^2-1} \neq y^{Q^2-1}. & (e_3) \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $m/d \leq 2$, then $F \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, so that (e_3) is not satisfied in F . This proves the second claim. We prove the other claims.

- (A) Suppose m/d even, say $m = 2nd$ with $n > 1$. From Proposition 2.9,

$$N_3(0) = q^{4n} - q^{2n}(q^3 + 1) + q^3 + (q - 1)(q^{2n} - 1)f(\alpha).$$

By (2.10),

$$N_3(0) = q^{4n} - q^{2n}(q^3 + 1) + q^3 + (q - 1)(q^{2n} - 1)(-q)^{n+1}.$$

If $n > 2$, then $N_3(0) > 0$, so that $(\mathcal{F}(0))$ has a solution. If $n = 2$, then $N_3(0) = 0$, so that $(\mathcal{F}(0))$ has no solutions. Let $a \in B$. From Propositions 2.9 and 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} N_3(a) &\geq p^{2m} + p^m(q^3 - 3q^2 - 1) + 2q^3 - (q - 1)(q^2 - q + 1)qp^{m/2} \\ &> p^{2m} + p^m(q^3 - 3q^2 - 1 - q(q - 1)(q^2 - q + 1)) \\ &= p^{2m} - p^m(q^4 - 3q^3 + 5q^2 + q - 1) \\ &> q^{4n} - q^{2n+4} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\mathcal{F}(a))$ has a solution. Let $a \in F^\times \setminus B$. From Propositions 2.4 and 2.9,

$$\begin{aligned} N_3(a) &\geq p^{2m} - p^m(2q^2 - 2q + 1) + q^3 - q^2 - (q - 1)(q - 2)qp^{m/2} \\ &> p^{2m} - p^m(q^3 - q^2 + 1) \\ &> p^{2m} - p^mq^3 = q^{4n} - q^{2n+3} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \geq 2$, then $N_3(a) > 0$. Thus, $(\mathcal{F}(a))$ has a solution. Suppose $n = 2$. If $a \neq 0$, for every (x, y, z) solution of $(\mathcal{F}(a))$, $(x, y, z, 0)$ is a solution of $(\mathcal{G}(a))$; if $a = 0$, for every (x, y, z) solution of $(\mathcal{F}(-1))$, $(x, y, z, 1)$ is a solution of $(\mathcal{G}(a))$.

(B) Suppose m/d odd. From Proposition 2.9, $N_3(a) > 0 \Leftrightarrow m > d$. Thus $(\mathcal{F}(a))$ has a solution if and only if $m/d > 1$. \square

3. The numbers $v(p^m, k)$

Proposition 3.1. *We have $v(p^m, k) \geq 3$. Moreover, if m divides $2r$, then $v(2^m, k) = \infty$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [3]. \square

Proposition 3.2. (1) *If $m/d \notin \{1, 2, 4\}$, then $v(p^m, k) = 3$.*

(2) *If $m/d = 4$, then $v(p^m, k) = 4$.*

Proof. If $m/d \notin \{1, 2, 4\}$, Corollary 2.10 implies the existence of $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in F^3$ solution of $(\mathcal{F}(0))$. If $m/d = 4$, Corollary 2.10 implies the existence of $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in F^4$ solution of $(\mathcal{G}(0))$. Let $(b_1, b_2) \in F^2$ be a solution of $(\mathcal{E}(a_1, a_2, 0, 1))$, with (\mathcal{E}) defined by (2.3). As for the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [3], we get:

(1) If $m/d \notin \{1, 2, 4\}$, then

$$(a_1T + b_1)^k + (a_2T + b_2)^k + (a_3T)^k = T + (b_1)^k + (b_2)^k,$$

so that T is a sum of three k -th powers of linear polynomials.

(2) If $m/d = 4$, then

$$(a_1T + b_1)^k + (a_2T + b_2)^k + (a_3T)^k + (a_4T)^k = T + (b_1)^k + (b_2)^k,$$

so that T is a sum of four k -th powers of linear polynomials.

In the first case, Proposition 3.1 gives $v(p^m, k) = 3$. In the second case, we have $v(p^m, k) \leq 4$. We end the proof by proving that $v(p^m, k) > 3$ as we did in the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [3]. \square

Corollary 3.3. *We have $\mathcal{S}(F, k) = F[T]$ if and only if $m/d \geq 3$. More precisely, if either, m/d is odd and $m \neq d$, or, if m/d is even and $m/d > 4$, then every $A \in F[T]$ is sum of three k -th powers; if $m = 4d$, then every $A \in F[T]$ is a sum of four k -th powers.*

We are ready to present our first result.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that m does not divide $2r$. Let*

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma(m) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 3 & \text{if } p^m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

- (1) *Let $s \geq \lceil \frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} \rceil$. Then, every $P \in F[T]$ of degree $\geq \delta(s, k) = k \lceil \frac{k^2 - 2k - k^2(1 - \frac{1}{k})^{s+1}}{1 - k(1 - \frac{1}{k})^{s+1}} \rceil - k + 1$ is the strict sum of $s + \gamma(m) + v(p^m, k)$ k -th powers.*

Moreover, if $s \geq \frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))}$, then $\delta(s, k) \leq k^4 - 3k^3 + 2k^2 - 2k + 1$.

- (2) *Let $s \geq \frac{\log(k(k-1)/2)}{\log(k/(k-1))}$. Then, every $P \in F[T]$ of degree $\geq k^3 - 3k + 1$ is a strict sum of $s + \gamma(m) + v(p^m, k)$ k -th powers.*
- (3) *Let $s \geq \frac{3 \log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} - 1$. Then, every $P \in F[T]$ such that $k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1 \leq \deg P \leq k^3 - 3k$ is the strict sum of $s + \gamma(m) + v(p^m, k)$ k -th powers.*

Proof. From Propositions 2.7 and 3.2, F is a k -Waring field and $v(p^m, k)$ is finite. Let $w(m, k) = v(p^m, k) + \max(\ell(p^m, k), 1 + \lambda(p^m, k))$. From [2, Proposition 5.3], we have the following facts:

- (1) Let $s \geq \lceil \frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} \rceil$. Then every $P \in F[T]$ of degree $\geq \delta(s, k) = k \lceil \frac{k^2 - 2k - k^2(1 - \frac{1}{k})^{s+1}}{1 - k(1 - \frac{1}{k})^{s+1}} \rceil - k + 1$ is a strict sum of $s + w(m, k)$ k -th powers. Moreover, if $s \geq \frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))}$, then $\delta(s, k) \leq k^4 - 3k^3 + 2k^2 - 2k + 1$.

- (2) Let $s \geq \frac{\log(k(k-1)/2)}{\log(k/(k-1))}$. Then every $P \in F[T]$ of degree $\geq k^3 - 3k + 1$ is the strict sum of $s + w(m, k)$ k -th powers.

- (3) Let $s \geq \frac{3 \log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} - 1$. Then every $P \in F[T]$ such that

$$k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1 \leq \deg P \leq k^3 - 3k$$

is the strict sum of $s + w(m, k)$ k -th powers.

From Proposition 2.7, $\ell(2^m, k) = 2$. From Remark 2.8, $\lambda(p^m, k) = 1$ or 2 according as $p^m \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{4}$, so that, with (3.1), $w(m, k) = v(p^m, k) + \gamma(m)$. □

Corollary 3.5. (1) *Suppose $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

- (a) *If either, m/d is odd and $m \notin \{1, r\}$, or, if m/d is even and $m/d > 4$, then $G(p^m, k) \leq \lceil \frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} \rceil + 5 \leq k \log k + 5$.*

- (b) *If $m/d = 4$, then $G(p^m, k) \leq \lceil \frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} \rceil + 6 \leq k \log k + 6$.*

- (2) *Suppose $p^m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If $m \notin \{1, r\}$, then $G(p^m, k) \leq \lceil \frac{\log k}{\log(k/(k-1))} \rceil + 6 \leq k \log k + 6$.*

Proof. Apply the first of part of the previous proposition. □

The following proposition gives an example of an infinite sequence of polynomials which are sums of k -th powers and not strict sums of k -th powers.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose $m = 2d$. Let $a \in F$ be such that $a \notin \mathbb{F}_q$. Let $b \in F$ be such that $b^Q = a$. For $n \geq Q$, let*

$$B_n = aT^{nk} + bT^{nk+1-Q^2}.$$

Then B_n is a sum of three k -th powers and is not a strict sum of k -th powers.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.7 in [3]. □

Corollary 3.7. *If $m/d = 2$, then $G(p^m, k) = \infty$.*

4. Strict sums of degree $\leq k(k - 2)$

The two following propositions form the key of the proof.

Proposition 4.1. *For $i \in \{0, \dots, Q - 1\}$ and $X \in F[T]$ let*

$$(4.1) \quad L_i(X) = X^Q T^i + X T^{Q^i}.$$

Then, the map $X \mapsto L_i(X)$ is additive and the following identities are satisfied:

$$(4.2) \quad L_i(X) = \left(X + \frac{1}{2}T^i\right)^{Q+1} - \left(X - \frac{1}{2}T^i\right)^{Q+1}.$$

For every $b \in F$,

$$(4.3) \quad L_i(X + bT^i) = L_i(X) + (b^Q + b)T^{i(Q+1)}.$$

Moreover, if $F \subset \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}$, then, for every $c \in F^\times$,

$$(4.4) \quad L_i(X) + c^{Q+1}T^{(Q+1)i} = \left(\frac{1}{c^Q}X + cT^i\right)^{Q+1} - \left(\frac{1}{c^Q}X\right)^{Q+1}.$$

Proof. The proof of (4.2) and (4.3) is immediate. We get (4.4) from observing that $c^{Q^2} = c$. □

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $F = \mathbb{F}_q$.*

(1) *For every $(a, b, c) \in F^3$, the polynomial $c + bT + bT^Q + aT^{Q+1}$ is a strict sum of three k -th powers.*

(2) *Let $c \in F$. There exists $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in F^6$ such that for $i \in \{0, \dots, Q - 1\}$ and $X \in F[T]$,*

$$(4.5) \quad L_i(X) + cT^{(Q+1)i} = (\alpha_1 X + \beta_1 T^i)^k + (\alpha_2 X + \beta_2 T^i)^k + (\alpha_3 X + \beta_3 T^i)^k.$$

Proof. (1) Let $(a, b, c) \in F^3$ and let

$$A = a + bT + bT^Q + cT^{Q+1}.$$

From Lemma 2.3, there is $(x, y, z, \xi, \eta, \zeta) \in F^6$ such that

$$(†) \quad \begin{cases} a = x^2 + y^2 + z^2, \\ b = x\xi + y\eta + z\zeta, \\ c = \xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2. \end{cases}$$

Since $F \subset \mathbb{F}_Q$, for every $u \in F$, we have $u^Q = u$, so that,

$$\begin{cases} a = x^{Q+1} + y^{Q+1} + z^{Q+1}, \\ b = x^Q\xi + y^Q\eta + z^Q\zeta, \\ c = \xi^{Q+1} + \eta^{Q+1} + \zeta^{Q+1}. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$a + bT + bT^Q + cT^{Q+1} = (x + \xi T)^{Q+1} + (y + \eta T)^{Q+1} + (z + \zeta T)^{Q+1},$$

so that A is a strict sum of three k -th powers.

(2) Apply (†) with $a = 0, b = 1$. There exists $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in F^6$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1^k + \alpha_2^k + \alpha_3^k = 0, \\ \alpha_1^Q\beta_1 + \alpha_2^Q\beta_2 + \alpha_3^Q\beta_3 = \alpha_1\beta_1^Q + \alpha_2\beta_2^Q + \alpha_3\beta_3^Q = 1, \\ \beta_1^k + \beta_2^k + \beta_3^k = c. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$(\alpha_1X + \beta_1T^i)^k + (\alpha_2X + \beta_2T^i)^k + (\alpha_3X + \beta_3T^i)^k = cT^{(Q+1)i} + X^QT^i + XT^{Qi}.$$

□

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that $m/d \geq 3$.*

- Let $0 < N < k - 2$ and let

$$A = \sum_{n=0}^{kN} a_n T^n$$

be a polynomial of $F[T]$ such that

$$k(N - 1) < \deg A \leq kN.$$

Then, A is a strict sum of k -th powers if and only if $a_n = 0$ for each $n \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} [iQ + N + 1, (i + 1)Q - 1]$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}(F, k) \neq \mathcal{S}^\times(F, k)$ and $g(p^m, k) = \infty$.

- Let $A \in F[T]$ be such that

$$k(k - 3) < \deg A \leq k(k - 2).$$

Then, A is a strict sum of k -th powers.

- Let $A \in F[T]$ of degree $\leq k(k - 2)$ be a strict sum of k -th powers. Then, A is a strict sum of $v(p^m, k) \lceil \frac{\deg A}{k} \rceil + 2$ k -th powers of polynomials of degree $\leq k - 2$.

- Let $A \in F[T]$ of degree $\leq k(k - 2)$. Then,

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^s (X_i)^k$$

with $s = v(2^m, k)(k - 2) + 2$ and $\deg X_i \leq k - 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.3 in [3]. It makes use of Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.10 as the proof of Proposition 4.3 in [3] makes use of Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.10 in [3]. \square

Lemma 4.4. Suppose $F \subset \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}$. Let $A \in F[T]$ be a sum of k -th powers. Then $T^{Q^2} - T$ divides $A^Q - A$.

Proof. As for Lemma 4.4 in [3]. \square

Proposition 4.5. Suppose $F \subset \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}$. Let

$$A = \sum_{n=0}^{Q^2-1} a_n T^n$$

be a polynomial of $F[T]$ with $\deg A < Q^2$ and such that $T^{Q^2} - T$ divides $A^Q - A$.

- For every $n = Qj + i$ with $0 \leq j < Q, 0 \leq i < Q$, one has

$$a_n = (a_{\bar{n}})^Q,$$

where $\bar{n} = Qi + j$.

- For every $n = kj$ with $0 \leq j \leq Q - 1, a_n \in F \cap \mathbb{F}_Q$.
- If $\deg A \leq Q + 1$, then A is a strict sum of three k -th powers.
- (A) If $F \subset \mathbb{F}_Q$ and $Q + 1 < \deg A < Q^2$, then A is a strict sum of $3k - 6$ k -th powers.
- (B) If $F \not\subset \mathbb{F}_Q$ and $Q + 1 < \deg A < Q^2$, then A is a strict sum of $2k - 3$ k -th powers (If, in addition, k divides $\deg A$, then $a_{\deg A} \in \mathbb{F}_q$).

Proof. Making use of Lemma 4.4, the proof of the first part is similar to that of Proposition 4.5-(I) in [3]. Let $n = kj$ with $0 \leq j \leq Q - 1$. Then $\bar{n} = n$, so that $a_n \in F_Q$. Let $0 \leq i, j < Q$ and let $n = Qj + i \leq Q^2 - 2$ be non divisible by $Q + 1$. Then

$$a_n T^n + a_{\bar{n}} T^{\bar{n}} = L_i(a_{Q+i+j} T^j) = L_j(a_{Qj+i} T^i),$$

so that,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad A &= \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} a_{(Q+1)i} T^{(Q+1)i} + \sum_{i=0}^{Q-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{Q-1} L_i(a_{Q+i+j} T^j) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} a_{(Q+1)i} T^{(Q+1)i} + \sum_{j=1}^{Q-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} L_j(a_{Qj+i} T^i). \end{aligned}$$

(A) Suppose $F \subset \mathbb{F}_Q$, that is $F = \mathbb{F}_q$. Firstly, we suppose $\deg A \leq Q + 1$. Then,

$$A = a + bT + bT^Q + cT^{Q+1}$$

with $a, b, c \in F$. From Proposition 4.2, A is a strict sum of three k -th powers. This proves the second part.

Now, we suppose $Q + 1 < \deg A \leq Q^2 - 1$. By (1),

$$A = a_0 + L_1(a_Q) + a_{Q+1}T^{Q+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{Q-1} (a_{(Q+1)j}T^{(Q+1)j} + L_j(B_j))$$

with

$$(2) \quad B_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} a_{Qj+i}T^i.$$

From Proposition 4.2, for every $j = 2, \dots, Q - 1$, there exist $(\alpha_{j,1}, \alpha_{j,2}, \alpha_{j,3}, \beta_{j,1}, \beta_{j,2}, \beta_{j,3}) \in F^6$ such that

$$(3) \quad a_{(Q+1)j}T^{(Q+1)j} + L_j(B_j) = \sum_{\nu=1}^3 (\alpha_{j,\nu}B_j + \beta_{j,\nu})^k.$$

Thus, $B = A - (a_0 + L_1(a_Q) + a_{Q+1}T^{Q+1})$ is a sum of $3(Q - 2)$ k -th powers. From Lemma 4.4, $B^Q - B$ is divisible by $T^{Q^2} - T$, so that, $(a_0 + L_1(a_Q) + a_{Q+1}T^{Q+1})^Q - (a_0 + L_1(a_Q) + a_{Q+1}T^{Q+1})$ is divisible by $T^{Q^2} - T$. Since $\deg(a_0 + L_1(a_Q) + a_{Q+1}T^{Q+1}) \leq Q + 1$, $a_0 + L_1(a_Q) + a_{Q+1}T^{Q+1}$ is a strict sum of three k -th powers. Thus, by (3), A is a sum of $3 + 3(Q - 2)$ k -th powers.

We consider the degrees. Suppose that

$$(4) \quad \deg A = (Q + 1)N - \rho.$$

with

$$(5) \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq Q.$$

Observe that

$$(6) \quad N < Q.$$

Let $j \in \{2, \dots, Q - 1\}$ be such that $j > N$. Then, $(Q + 1)j > (Q + 1)N - \rho$, so that $a_{(Q+1)j} = 0$. We have $Qj + i \geq (Q + 1)N + Q - N + i > (Q + 1)N - \rho$, so that $a_{Qj+i} = 0$. Hence, $B_j = 0$. Thus, the $(\alpha_{j,\nu}B_j + \beta_{j,\nu})$ occurring in (3) are zero polynomials. If $2 \leq j \leq N$, then by (2), $\deg B_j \leq N$. Thus, the sum (3) is a strict one.

(B) Suppose $F \not\subset \mathbb{F}_Q$. Since $F \subset \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}$, we have $F = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Thus, $m = 2d$ and r/d is odd. For every $j = 0, \dots, Q - 1$, $a_{(Q+1)j} \in F \cap \mathbb{F}_Q = \mathbb{F}_q$. Thus, if $k = Q + 1$ divides $\deg A$, then $a_{\deg A} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The trace map $x \mapsto x^q + x$ from $F = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ to \mathbb{F}_q is onto. For every $j = 0, \dots, Q - 1$, there is $b_j \in F$ such that

$$a_{(Q+1)j} = b_j^q + b_j.$$

For every $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we have $y^q = y$, so that, by induction, for every positive integer s , we have $y^{q^{2s}} = y$ and $y^{q^{2s+1}} = y^q$. Since $Q = q^{r/d}$ with r/d odd, for every $j = 0, \dots, Q - 1$, we have

$$a_{(Q+1)j} = b_j^Q + b_j.$$

Moreover, from Proposition 2.4, each $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a $(q+1)$ -th power, so that there is $c_j \in F$ such that $a_{(Q+1)j} = (c_j)^k = (c_j)^{Q+1}$.

Suppose $\deg A \leq Q + 1$. Then

$$A = b_0 + b_0^Q + L_0(a_1T) + (c_1T)^{Q+1}.$$

By (4.3),

$$A = L_0(a_1T + b_0) + (c_1T)^{Q+1},$$

then by (4.2),

$$(7) \quad A = (a_1T + b_0 + \frac{1}{2})^{Q+1} - (a_1T + b_0 - \frac{1}{2})^{Q+1} + (c_1T)^{Q+1}.$$

Suppose $\deg A > Q + 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= c_0^{Q+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{Q-1} \left((b_j^Q + b_j) T^{j(Q+1)} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} L_j(a_{Qj+i} T^i) \right) \\ &= c_0^{Q+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{Q-1} \left((b_j^Q + b_j) T^{j(Q+1)} + L_j(B_j) \right), \end{aligned}$$

with B_j defined by (2). By (4.3),

$$A = c_0^{Q+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{Q-1} L_j (B_j + b_j T^j).$$

Then, by (4.2),

$$(8) \quad A = c_0^k + \sum_{j=1}^{Q-1} \left((B_j + b_j T^j + \frac{1}{2} T^j)^k - (B_j + b_j T^j - \frac{1}{2} T^j)^k \right).$$

From Remark 2.8, -1 is a k -th power, so that (7) is a sum of three k -th powers and (8) is a sum of $(1 + 2(Q - 1))$ k -th powers. We observe that (7) is a strict sum and we finish the proof, proving as above that (8) is a strict sum. \square

5. The descent process

In this section, we use the descent process already used in [3] and [4].

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose $F \subset \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}$. Then $\mathcal{S}(F, k)$ is the subset of $F[T]$ formed by the polynomials A such that $T^{Q^2} - T$ divides $A^Q - A$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to those of Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 in [3]. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let n be a positive integer and let $H \in F[T]$ be such that*

$$(5.1) \quad k(n - 1) < \deg H \leq kn.$$

In addition, in the case when $m = 2d$ and $\deg H = kn$, we suppose that the leading coefficient of H is a k -th power. Then, we have

$$(5.2) \quad H = \sum_{i=1}^{1+\lambda} B_i^k + \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} L_i(Y_i) + R,$$

with $\lambda = \lambda(p^m, k)$ and where $B_1, \dots, B_{\lambda+1}, Y_0, \dots, Y_{Q-1}, R \in F[T]$ with

$$(5.3) \quad \deg B_1, \dots, \deg B_{\lambda+1} \leq n,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \deg Y_0, \dots, \deg Y_{Q-1} < n,$$

$$(5.5) \quad \deg R < Q^2,$$

$$(5.6) \quad R = \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} \sum_{j=0}^i x_{Qj+i} T^{Qj+i},$$

with $x_{Qj+i} \in F$ for all i and j . Moreover, if $\lambda(p^m, k) = 2$ and $\deg H = kn$, or if $m = 2d$ and $\deg H = kn$, then $B_1 = 0$.

Proof. (I) Suppose $m \neq 2d$. From Proposition 2.7, F is a k -Waring field with $\ell(p^m, k) = 2$, so that $\max(\ell(p^m, k) - 1, \lambda(p^m, k)) = \lambda(p^m, k) = \lambda$. From [2, Lemma 5.1], there exist $B_1, \dots, B_\lambda, P \in F[T]$ such that

$$(1) \quad H = B_1^k + \dots + B_\lambda^k + P,$$

with

$$\deg B_1, \dots, \deg B_\lambda \leq n, \quad \deg P = kn,$$

the leading coefficient of P being a k -th power. Observe that in the case when $\deg H = kn$, the leading coefficient of H is a sum of two k -th powers, so that, when $\lambda = 2$ and $\deg H = kn$, in (1), we can take $B_1 = 0$.

(II) Suppose $m = 2d$. From Remark 2.8, -1 is a k -th power in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} = F$, say $-1 = b^k$. Thus $\lambda = 1$. If $\deg H < kn$, then

$$H = -T^{kn} + P,$$

with P monic of degree kn , so that (1) is true with $\lambda = 1$ and $B_1 = bT^n$. If $\deg H = kn$, the hypothesis insures that (1) is true with $B_1 = 0$.

Ending the proof as for Lemma 5.3 in [3], we get the identity (5.2) with degree conditions (5.3)-(5.5). \square

We are now ready to present our second result.

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that $m/d \geq 3$. Then:*

- *Every polynomial $H \in F[T]$ with degree $\geq k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1$ is the strict sum of $k(\lambda(p^m, k) + 1) + v(p^m, k)$ k -th powers.*

- Every polynomial $H \in F[T]$ with degree $\geq k^2 - 3k + 1$ is the strict sum of $(k - 2)v(p^m, k) + k(\lambda(p^m, k) + 1) + 2$ k -th powers. Moreover, if $H \in F[T]$ is such that $k^2 - 3k + 1 \leq \deg H \leq k^2 - 2k$, then H is the strict sum of $(k - 2)v(p^m, k) + 2$ k -th powers.

Proof. The last claim is given by the third part of Proposition 4.3. We prove the other ones. Set $\lambda = \lambda(p^m, k)$. Let $H \in F[T]$ and let n be the integer such that

$$(1) \quad k(n - 1) < \deg H \leq kn.$$

From Lemma 5.2,

$$(2) \quad H = \sum_{i=1}^{1+\lambda} B_i^k + \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} L_i(Y_i) + R,$$

where $B_1, \dots, B_{1+\lambda}, Y_0, \dots, Y_{Q-1}, R \in F[T]$ with

$$(3) \quad \deg B_1, \dots, \deg B_{1+\lambda} \leq n,$$

$$(4) \quad \deg Y_0, \dots, \deg Y_{Q-1} < n,$$

$$(5) \quad \deg R < Q^2.$$

By (4.2),

$$L_i(Y_i) = (Y_i + \frac{1}{2}T^i)^k - (Y_i - \frac{1}{2}T^i)^k.$$

Since -1 is a sum of λ k -th powers, for each index $i = 0, \dots, Q - 1$, there is $Z_{i,1}, \dots, Z_{i,1+\lambda} \in F[T]$, such that

$$(6) \quad L_i(Y_i) = (Z_{i,1})^k + (Z_{i,2})^k + \dots + (Z_{i,1+\lambda})^k,$$

and such that

$$(7) \quad \deg Z_{i,j} \leq \max(i, n - 1).$$

Set $v = v(p^m, k)$. Then, there exist $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_v, b_v$ in F such that

$$(8) \quad R = (a_1R + b_1)^k + \dots + (a_vR + b_v)^k.$$

By (2), (6) and (8),

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{1+\lambda} B_i^k + \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} ((Z_{i,1})^k + \dots + (Z_{i,1+\lambda})^k) + (a_1R + b_1)^k + \dots + (a_vR + b_v)^k,$$

so that H is a sum of $((\lambda + 1)(Q + 1) + v)$ k -th powers of polynomials. By (3), (4), (5), (7) and (8), these polynomials have their degrees bounded by $\max(n, Q^2 - 1)$. In view of (1), if $n \geq Q^2 - 1$, the above sum is a strict one. This proves the first part.

We have $\deg R < Q^2$. From the fourth part of Proposition 4.3, R is a sum of

$$s = (k - 2)v(p^m, k) + 2$$

k -th powers of polynomials of degree $\leq Q - 1$. Thus by (2) and (6), H is a sum of

$$k(\lambda + 1) + s = (k - 2)v(p^m, k) + k(\lambda + 1) + 2$$

k -th powers of polynomials of degree $\leq \max(n, Q - 1)$. In view of (1), if $n \geq Q - 1$, the sum is a strict representation. This proves the second part. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Suppose that $m/d \geq 3$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{S}^\times(p^m, k) = \mathcal{A}_\infty \cup \left(\bigcup_{N=0}^{k-3} \mathcal{A}_N \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\infty &= \{A \in F[T] \mid \deg A > k(k - 3)\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_0 &= F, \end{aligned}$$

and for $N = 1, \dots, k - 3$,

$$\mathcal{A}_N = \left\{ A \in F[T] \mid A = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{i=0}^N x_{n,i} T^{i+nQ} \right\}$$

with $x_{n,i} \in F$. Moreover,

(1) if p^m is congruent to 1 modulo 4 and $m/d \neq 4$,

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq 2k + 3;$$

(2) if p^m is congruent to 3 modulo 4,

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq 3k + 3;$$

(3) if $m/d = 4$,

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq 2k + 4;$$

(4) if p^m is congruent to 1 modulo 4 and $m/d \neq 4$,

$$g(p^m, k) = \infty, \quad g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 5k - 4;$$

(5) if p^m is congruent to 3 modulo 4,

$$g(p^m, k) = \infty, \quad g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 6k - 4;$$

(6) if $m/d = 4$,

$$g(p^m, k) = \infty, \quad g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 6k - 6.$$

Proof. The first assertion is given by Propositions 4.3 and 5.3. From Corollary 3.3, $\mathcal{S}^\times(p^m, k) \neq \mathcal{S}(p^m, k)$, so that $g(p^m, k) = \infty$. The bounds for $G(p^m, k)$ are obtained by noting that from Proposition 2.7, $\lambda(p^m, k) \in \{1, 2\}$ and from Remark 2.8, $\lambda(p^m, k) = 1$ when p^m is congruent to 1 modulo 4. We deduce from Propositions 4.3 and 5.3 that

$$g^\times(p^m, k) \leq (k - 2)v(p^m, k) + k(\lambda(p^m, k) + 1) + 2.$$

Bounds for $g^\times(p^m, k)$ in parts 4 – 6 are given by Propositions 3.4, 4.3 and 5.3. □

Remark 5.5. If $k \geq 20$, or if $k = 18, 14$, for all m , the bounds for the numbers $G(p^m, k)$ given by this corollary are better than those given by Corollary 3.5; if $k = 4, 6$, the old bounds are better in all cases. If $k = 12, 10, 8$, the new bounds are better when m/d is even.

Proposition 5.6. *Suppose $m/d \leq 2$.*

- (A) (a) *If $m = d$ and if p^m is congruent to 1 modulo 4, every $H \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$ with degree $\geq k^2 - 3k + 1$ is a strict sum of $2k$ k -th powers.*
- (b) *If $m = d$ and if p^m is congruent to 3 modulo 4, every $H \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$ with degree multiple of k is a strict sum of $3k - 1$ k -th powers; every $H \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$ with degree non multiple of k is a strict sum of $3k$ k -th powers.*
- (B) *If $m = 2d$, every $H \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$ with degree multiple of k and whose leading coefficient is a k -th power in the field F is a strict sum of $2k - 1$ k -th powers; every $H \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$ of degree non multiple of k is a strict sum of $2k$ k -th powers.*

Proof. Let $H \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$ be such that

$$(1) \quad k(n - 1) < \deg H \leq kn.$$

In addition, in the case when $m = 2d$ and $\deg H = kn$, we suppose that the leading coefficient of H is a k -th power.

We have

$$(2) \quad H = \sum_{i=1}^{1+\lambda} B_i^k + \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} L_i(Y_i) + R,$$

where $B_1, \dots, B_{1+\lambda}, Y_0, \dots, Y_{Q-1}, R \in F[T]$ are as in Lemma 5.2, so that

$$(3) \quad R = \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} \sum_{j=0}^i x_{Qj+i} T^{Qj+i}.$$

In view of (4.2), $H - R$ is a sum of k -th powers. Since $H \in \mathcal{S}(F, k)$, R is also a sum of k -th powers. From (3), Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, if

$n \in \{0, \dots, Q^2 - 1\}$ is not a multiple of $Q + 1$, then $x_n = 0$; if $n \in \{0, \dots, Q^2 - 1\}$ is a multiple of $Q + 1 = k$, then $x_n \in F \cap \mathbb{F}_Q = \mathbb{F}_q$. Thus,

$$(4) \quad H = \sum_{i=1}^{1+\lambda} B_i^k + \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} (L_i(Y_i) + x_{ki}T^{ki}),$$

with

$$x_{ki} \in \mathbb{F}_q \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq Q - 1.$$

(A) Suppose that m divides r so that $F = \mathbb{F}_q$. From (4.2) or (4.4), every $L_i(Y_i) + x_{ki}T^{ki}$ in (4) is a sum of $1 + \lambda$ k -th powers. By (2), (5.3), (5.4), (3) and (4), H is a sum of $(\theta(q, H) + (1 + \lambda)Q)$ k -th powers of polynomials of degree $\leq \mu = \max(n, Q - 1)$ with

$$\theta(q, H) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \deg H = kn, \\ 2 & \text{if } \deg H < kn \text{ and } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 3 & \text{if } \deg H < kn \text{ and } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

In view of (1), if $n \geq Q - 1$, the sum is a strict one. Suppose $p^m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If $n < Q - 1$, then $\deg H < Q^2 - 1$. From Proposition 4.5, H is a strict sum of $3k - 6 \leq (\theta(q, H) + (1 + \lambda)Q)$ k -th powers.

(B) Suppose $m = 2d$. In this case, -1 is a k -th power in F , $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \subset F$ and $x_{ki} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for each $i = 0, \dots, Q - 1$, so that, for each $i = 0, \dots, Q - 1$, there exists $y_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $x_{ki} = y_i^k$. From (4.2) or (4.4), $L_i(Y_i) + (x_{ki})T^{ki} = L_i(Y_i) + (y_{ki})^{Q+1}T^{ki}$ is a sum of two k -th powers. Moreover, if $\deg H = kn$, then, in (4) we have $B_1 = 0$, so that H is a sum of $(\eta(H) + 2Q)$ k -th powers, where

$$\eta(H) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \deg H = kn, \\ 2 & \text{if } \deg H < kn. \end{cases}$$

As above, if $n \geq Q - 1$, the sum is strict. In the case when $n < Q - 1$ we conclude with Proposition 4.5. □

Corollary 5.7. • *Suppose that m divides r . Then,*

$$\mathcal{S}^\times(p^m, k) = \mathcal{S}(p^m, k) = \left\{ A \in F[T] \mid A^Q - A \equiv 0 \pmod{T^{Q^2} - T} \right\}.$$

Moreover,

(1) *if p^m is congruent to 1 modulo 4,*

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq 2k,$$

$$g(p^m, k) = g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 3k - 6;$$

(2) *if p^m is congruent to 3 modulo 4,*

$$G(p^m, k) = G^\times(p^m, k) \leq 3k,$$

$$g(p^m, k) = g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 3k.$$

- Suppose that $m/d = 2$. Then,

$$\mathcal{S}(p^m, k) = \left\{ A \in F[T] \mid A^Q - A \equiv 0 \pmod{T^{Q^2} - T} \right\},$$

$\mathcal{S}^\times(p^m, k)$ is the set of $A \in \mathcal{S}(p^m, k)$ such that either $\deg A$ is not a multiple of k , or $\deg A$ is a multiple of k and the leading coefficient of A is in the field \mathbb{F}_q . Moreover, we have

$$G(p^m, k) = g(p^m, k) = \infty, \quad G^\times(p^m, k) \leq g^\times(p^m, k) \leq 2k.$$

Proof. With Propositions 4.3, 4.5, Propositions 5.1, 5.3 and 5.5. In the case where m divides r and p^m is congruent to 1 modulo 4, we get

$$g(p^m, k) = g^\times(p^m, k) \leq \max(2k, 3k - 6).$$

Observe that $\max(2k, 3k - 6) = 3k - 6$ since $2k > 3k - 6$ implies $k = 4, p = 3, m = 1$, a contradiction. \square

Remark 5.8. (1) In the case $k = 4$, we have $p = 3, r = d = 1$. Corollaries 3.5 and 5.4 give $G(3^m, 4) = G^\times(3^m, 4) \leq 9$ for even $m > 4$ and $G(3^m, 4) = G^\times(3^m, 4) \leq 10$ for odd $m > 1$ or for $m = 4$. These bounds were proved in [4]. It also gives $g^\times(3^m, 4) \leq 16$ for even $m > 4$, $g^\times(3^m, 4) \leq 20$ for odd $m > 1$ and $g^\times(81, 4) \leq 18$. In the case of even m , this improves the bounds obtained in [4].

- (2) In the case $k = 4$, Corollary 5.6 gives the following bounds:

$$G(3, 4) = G^\times(3, 4) \leq 12, \quad g(3, 4) = g^\times(3, 4) \leq 12;$$

$$G(9, 4) = \infty, \quad G^\times(9, 4) \leq 8, \quad g(9, 4) \leq \infty, \quad g^\times(9, 4) \leq 8;$$

which are the bounds given in [4].

(3) For $k = p^r + 1$ tending to ∞ , we have $G^\times(p^m, k) \ll k$ as well as $g^\times(p^m, k) \ll k$ unlike to the classical Waring numbers $G_{\mathbb{N}}(k)$ and $g_{\mathbb{N}}(k)$. Indeed, from [7], $g_{\mathbb{N}}(k) \gg 2^k$ when from [23], $G_{\mathbb{N}}(k) \ll k \log k$.

Acknowledgements. I thank an unknown referee for useful remarks, specially for the information concerning the existence of De Koninck and A. Mercier's book

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique, Fasc. XXIII*, Livre II: Algèbre. Chapitre 8: Modules et anneaux semi-simples. Nouveau tirage de l'édition de 1958. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1261. Hermann, Paris, 1973.
- [2] M. Car, *New bounds on some parameters in the Waring problem for polynomials over a finite field*, Finite fields and applications, 59–77, Contemp. Math., 461, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [3] ———, *Sums of $(2^r + 1)$ -th powers of polynomials over a finite field of characteristic two*, Port. Math., to appear.
- [4] ———, *Sums of fourth powers of polynomials over a finite field of characteristic 3*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **38** (2008), part 2, 195–220.
- [5] M. Car and L. Gallardo, *Sums of cubes of polynomials*, Acta Arith. **112** (2004), no. 1, 41–50.

- [6] G. Effinger and D. R. Hayes, *Additive Number Theory of Polynomials over a Finite Field*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [7] W. J. Ellison, *Waring's problem*, Amer. Math. Monthly **78** (1971), no. 1, 10–36.
- [8] L. H. Gallardo, *On the restricted Waring problem over $\mathbb{F}_2^n[t]$* , Acta Arith. **42** (2000), no. 2, 109–113.
- [9] ———, *Every strict sum of cubes in $\mathbb{F}_4[t]$ is a strict sum of 6 cubes*, Port. Math. **65** (2008), no. 2, 227–236.
- [10] L. H. Gallardo and D. R. Heath-Brown, *Every sum of cubes in $\mathbb{F}_2[t]$ is a strict sum of 6 cubes*, Finite Fields Appl. **13** (2007), no. 4, 981–987.
- [11] L. H. Gallardo and L. N. Vaserstein, *The strict Waring problem for polynomial rings*, J. Number Theory **128** (2008), no. 12, 2963–2972.
- [12] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Oxford University Press, 4th. Ed., 1960.
- [13] J.-M. De Koninck and A. Mercier, *1001 problems in classical number theory*, Trans. from the French by J.-M. De Koninck, (English), Providence, RI: (AMS) xii, (2007).
- [14] R. M. Kubota, *Waring's problem for $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$* , Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **117** (1974), 60 pp.
- [15] R. E. A. C. Paley, *Theorems on polynomials in a Galois field*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics. **4** (1933), 52–63.
- [16] C. Small, *Sums of powers in large finite fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1977), no. 1, 35–36.
- [17] L. N. Vaserstein, *Waring's problem for algebras over fields*, J. Number Theory **26** (1987), no. 3, 286–298.
- [18] ———, *Waring's problem for commutative rings*, J. Number Theory **26** (1987), no. 3, 299–307.
- [19] ———, *Sums of cubes in polynomial rings*, Math. Comput. **56** (1991), no. 193, 349–357.
- [20] ———, *Ramsey's theorem and Waring's problem for algebras over fields*, The arithmetic of function fields (Columbus, OH, 1991), 435–441, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 2, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [21] Y.-R. Yu and T. Wooley, *The unrestricted variant of Waring's problem in function fields*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **37** (2007), part 2, 285–291.
- [22] W. A. Webb, *Waring's problem in $GF[q, x]$* , Acta Arith. **22** (1973), 207–220.
- [23] T. Wooley, *Large improvements in Waring's problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **135** (1992), no. 1, 131–164.

LATP-UMR CNRS 6632
 AMU, CASE COUR A
 AVENUE ESCADRILLE NORMANDIE-NIEMEN
 F-13397 MARSEILLE CEDEX 20, FRANCE
 E-mail address: mireille.car@univ-amu.fr