INTERPOLATION PROBLEMS FOR OPERATORS WITH CORANK IN ALG \mathcal{L}

Joo Ho Kang

Abstract. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . And let X and Y be operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $sp(x) = \{\alpha x : \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Assume that $\mathcal{H} = \overline{range\ X} \oplus sp(h)$ for some $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and only if

 $\sup\left\{\frac{\|E^\perp Yf\|}{\|E^\perp Xf\|}: f\in\mathcal{H},\ E\in\mathcal{L}\right\}=K<\infty.\ \text{Moreover, if the necessary condition holds, then we may choose an operator }A\text{ such that }AX=Y\text{ and }\|A\|=K.$

1. Introduction

On the process of solving operator equation AX = Y for two given operators X and Y in the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the class of all bounded operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , many mathematicians have applied the problem on their fields. What is a condition for the operator A to be a member of \mathcal{A} which is a specified subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$? The subalgebras in this problem were given in various forms and accordingly the solution to the problem has been different.

Douglas[2] used the range inclusion property of operators to show necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an operator A satisfying AX = Y. Kadison[10] has done research on C*-algebras, Lance[12] on nest-algebras, Hopenwasser[3] on CSL-algebras, Munch for Hilbert-Schmidt operators on nest-algebras, and Hopenwasser[4] for

Received July 10, 2012. Accepted July 27, 2012.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47L35.

Key words and phrases. Interpolation Problem, Subspace Lattice, $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L},$ CSL-Alg $\mathcal{L}.$

This paper is supported by Daegu University Grant (2010).

Hilbert-Schmidt operators on CSL-algebras, Moore and Trent[13] on CSL-algebra $Alg \mathcal{L}$.

Authors[6] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition that there exists an interpolation operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ when every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A. And authors[7] showed that the necessary and sufficient condition on [13] is satisfied in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ when \mathcal{L} is a subspace lattice. Again authors[9] proved that the condition is a condition for interpolating operator when PE = EP for each E in \mathcal{L} where P is the projection onto the \overline{rangeX} . In this paper author investigate an interpolation problem for operators with corank-one in $Alg\mathcal{L}$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. A subspace lattice \mathcal{L} is a strongly closed lattice of orthogonal projections on \mathcal{H} containing the trivial projections 0 and I. The symbol $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ denotes the algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} that leave invariant every projection in \mathcal{L} ; $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a weakly closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be vectors of \mathcal{H} . Then $sp(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = \{\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n \mid \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C} \}$. Let M be a subset of \mathcal{H} . Then \overline{M} means the closure of M and \overline{M}^{\perp} the orthogonal complement of \overline{M} . Let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers and \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers.

2. The Equation AX = Y in $Alg \mathcal{L}$

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} . Then $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on \mathcal{H} which leave invariant each projection E in \mathcal{L} . Assume that X and Y are operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and A is an operator in $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that AX = Y. Then $\|E^{\perp}Yf\| = \|E^{\perp}AXf\| = \|E^{\perp}AE^{\perp}Xf\| \le \|A\|\|E^{\perp}Xf\|$, for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$. If, for convenience, we adopt the convention that a fraction whose numerator and denominator are both zero is equal to zero, then the inequality above may be stated in the form

$$\sup_{E \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\|E^{\perp}Yf\|}{\|E^{\perp}Xf\|} \le \|A\|.$$

Theorem A [R. G. Douglas][2]. Let X and Y be bounded operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) range $Y^* \subseteq \text{range } X^*$
- (2) $Y^*Y \leq \lambda^2 X^*X$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$

- (3) there exists a bounded operator A on \mathcal{H} so that AX = Y. Moreover, if (1), (2), and (3) are valid, then there exists a unique operator A so that
 - (a) $||A||^2 = \inf\{\mu : Y^*Y \le \mu X^*X\}$
 - (b) $kerY^* = kerA^*$ and
 - (c) $rangeA^* \subseteq rangeX^-$.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . And let X and Y be operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathcal{H} = \overline{range\ X} \oplus sp(h)$ for some $h \in \mathcal{H}$. If $\langle h, E^{\perp}Xf \rangle = 0$ for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that AX = Y.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}Yf\|}{\|E^{\perp}Xf\|} : f \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that ||A|| = K.

Proof. Assume that $\sup\left\{\frac{\|E^{\perp}Yf\|}{\|E^{\perp}Xf\|}: f\in\mathcal{H}, E\in\mathcal{L}\right\} = K < \infty$. Then for each E in \mathcal{L} , there exists an operator A_E in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $A_E(E^{\perp}X) = E^{\perp}Y$ and $\|A_E\| \leq K$ by Theorem A. In particular, if E = 0, then we have an operator A_0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $A_0X = Y$ and $\|A_0\| \leq K$. So $A_E(E^{\perp}X) = E^{\perp}Y = E^{\perp}A_0X$. Hence $A_EE^{\perp} = E^{\perp}A_0$ on $\overline{range\ X}$ for each E in \mathcal{L} . Since $< h, E^{\perp}Xf >= 0 = < E^{\perp}h, E^{\perp}Xf >$ for any f in \mathcal{H} , $E^{\perp}h \in \overline{range\ E^{\perp}X}^{\perp}$. By the definitions of A_E and $A_0, A_EE^{\perp}h = 0$ and $A_0h = 0$. So $A_EE^{\perp}x = E^{\perp}A_0x$ for x in $\overline{range\ X}^{\perp}(=sp(h))$. Therefore $A_EE^{\perp} = E^{\perp}A_0$ on \mathcal{H} .

For each E in \mathcal{L} ,

$$E^{\perp}A_0E^{\perp} = A_EE^{\perp}E^{\perp} = A_EE^{\perp} = E^{\perp}A_0 \ .$$

So A_0 is an operator in Alg \mathcal{L} .

Theorem 2.2. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . And let X and Y be operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let n be a natural number $(n \geq 2)$ and let $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ be an orthonormal set of vectors in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H} = \overline{range\ X} \oplus sp(\{h_1, \dots, h_n\})$. If $\langle h_i, E^{\perp}Xf \rangle = 0 (i = 1, \dots, n)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that AX = Y.

$$(2) \sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}Yf\|}{\|E^{\perp}Xf\|} : f \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Proof. Assume that $\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}Yf\|}{\|E^{\perp}Xf\|} : f \in \mathcal{H}, E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty$. Then for each E in \mathcal{L} , there exists an operator A_E in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $A_E(E^{\perp}X) = E^{\perp}Y$ and $\|A_E\| \leq K$ by Theorem A. In particular, if E = 0, then we have an operator A_0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $A_0X = Y$ and $\|A_0\| \leq K$. So $A_E(E^{\perp}X) = E^{\perp}Y = E^{\perp}A_0X$. Hence $A_EE^{\perp} = E^{\perp}A_0$ on $\overline{range\ X}$ for each E in \mathcal{L} . Since $A_E \in \mathcal{L}$ in $A_E \in \mathcal{L}$

For each E in \mathcal{L} ,

$$E^{\perp}A_0E^{\perp} = A_EE^{\perp}E^{\perp} = A_EE^{\perp} = E^{\perp}A_0$$

So A_0 is an operator in $Alg \mathcal{L}$.

We can generalize the above theorem for the countable case.

Theorem 2.3. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . And let X and Y be operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\{h_1, h_2, \dots\}$ be an orthonormal set of vectors h_i in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H} = \overline{range\ X} \oplus \overline{sp(\{h_1, h_2, \dots\})}$. If $\langle h_i, E^{\perp}Xf \rangle = 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that AX = Y.

$$(2) \sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}Yf\|}{\|E^{\perp}Xf\|} : f \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that ||A|| = K.

Corollary 2.4. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . And let X and Y be operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{B} be a basis of $\overline{range} \ \overline{X}^{\perp}$. If $\langle h, E^{\perp}Xf \rangle = 0$ for each $h \in \mathcal{B}$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that AX = Y.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}Yf\|}{\|E^{\perp}Xf\|} : f \in \mathcal{H}, E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that ||A|| = K.

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} . Then $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on \mathcal{H} which leave invariant each projection E in \mathcal{L} . Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n are operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and A is an operator in $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $E^{\perp}Y_if_i = E^{\perp}AX_if_i = E^{\perp}AE^{\perp}X_if_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} Y_{i} f_{i} \| = \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} A X_{i} f_{i} \|$$

$$= \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} A E^{\perp} X_{i} f_{i} \|$$

$$\leq \| A \| \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} X_{i} f_{i} \|$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$. If, for convenience, we adopt the convention that a fraction whose numerator and denominator are both zero is equal to zero, then the inequality above may be stated in the form

$$\sup_{E \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} Y_i f_i\|}{\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} X_i f_i\|} \le \|A\|.$$

Theorem 2.5. Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathcal{H} = \overline{range} \ X_k \oplus sp(h)$ for some k in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and some $h \in \mathcal{H}$. If $\langle h, E^{\perp} X_i f \rangle = 0 (i = 1, \dots, n)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i f_i)\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i f_i)\|} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that ||A|| = K.

Proof. Assume that $\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i f_i)\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i f_i)\|} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty$. Let E be in \mathcal{L} and

$$\mathcal{M}_E = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n E^{\perp} X_i f_i : f_i \in \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

Define $A_E: \mathcal{M}_E \to \mathcal{H}$ by $A_E(\sum_{i=1}^n E^{\perp}X_if_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n E^{\perp}Y_if_i$. Then A_E is well-defined and bounded linear. Extend A_E on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_E$ continuously. Define $A_Ef=0$ for each $f\in \mathcal{M}_E^{\perp}$. Then $A_E:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}$ is a bounded linear and $A_EE^{\perp}X_i=E^{\perp}Y_i$ for each $i=1,\cdots,n$. If E=0, then $A_0X_i=Y_i$ for $i=1,\cdots,n$. Hence $A_E(E^{\perp}X_i)=E^{\perp}Y_i=E^{\perp}A_0X_i$ for each $i=1,\cdots,n$. We will show that $A_EE^{\perp}=E^{\perp}A_0$ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $A_E(E^{\perp}X_k)=E^{\perp}Y_k=E^{\perp}(A_0X_k), \ A_EE^{\perp}=E^{\perp}A_0$ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ for each E in \mathcal{L} . Since $A_E(E^{\perp}X_i)=A_0$ on $A_E(E^{\perp}X_i)=A_0$ on A

For each E in \mathcal{L} ,

$$E^{\perp}A_0E^{\perp} = A_EE^{\perp}E^{\perp} = A_EE^{\perp} = E^{\perp}A_0 \ .$$

So A_0 is an operator in Alg \mathcal{L} and $A_0X_i = Y_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$.

Theorem 2.6. Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} . Let m be a natural number $(m \geq 2)$ and let $\{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$ be an orthonormal set of vectors h_j in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H} = \overline{range\ X_k} \oplus sp(\{h_1, \dots, h_m\})$ for some k in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $\{h_j, E^{\perp}X_k f\} >= 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m$) for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i f_i)\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i f_i)\|} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that ||A|| = K.

Proof. Assume that $\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_{i}f_{i})\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}f_{i})\|} : f_{i} \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty$. Let E be in \mathcal{L} and

$$\mathcal{M}_E = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n E^{\perp} X_i f_i \mid f_i \in \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

Define $A_E: \mathcal{M}_E \to \mathcal{H}$ by $A_E(\sum_{i=1}^n E^\perp X_i f_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n E^\perp Y_i f_i$ and $A_E f = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_E^\perp$. Then A_E is well-defined and bounded linear. Extend A_E on $\overline{\mathcal{M}_E}$ continuously. Define $A_E f = 0$ for each $f \in \mathcal{M}_E^\perp$. Then $A_E: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded linear and $A_E E^\perp X_i = E^\perp Y_i$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$. If E = 0, then $A_0 X_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Hence $A_E(E^\perp X_i) = E^\perp Y_i = E^\perp A_0 X_i$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$. We will show that $A_E E^\perp = E^\perp A_0$ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $A_E(E^\perp X_k) = E^\perp Y_k = E^\perp (A_0 X_k)$, $A_E E^\perp = E^\perp A_0$ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ for each E in E. Since E in E

For each E in \mathcal{L} ,

$$E^{\perp}A_0E^{\perp} = A_EE^{\perp}E^{\perp} = A_EE^{\perp} = E^{\perp}A_0.$$

So A_0 is an operator in Alg \mathcal{L} and $A_0X_i = Y_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} . Let $\{h_1, h_2, \dots\}$ be an orthonormal set of vectors h_j in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H} = \overline{range} \ \overline{X_k} \oplus \overline{sp(\{h_1, h_2, \dots\})}$ for some k in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $\langle h_j, E^{\perp}X_i f \rangle = 0 (i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i f_i)\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i f_i)\|} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that ||A|| = K.

Corollary 2.8. Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{B} be a basis of $\overline{range X_k}^{\perp}$ for some k in

- $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $\langle h, E^{\perp}X_i f \rangle = 0 (i = 1, \dots, n)$ for each $h \in \mathcal{B}$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.
- (1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i f_i)\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i f_i)\|} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

We can generalize above Theorems to the countable case easily.

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} . Then $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on \mathcal{H} which leave invariant each projection E in \mathcal{L} . Assume that $\{X_i\}$ and $\{Y_i\}$ are operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and A is an operator in $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then $E^{\perp}Y_if_i = E^{\perp}AX_if_i = E^{\perp}AE^{\perp}X_if_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} Y_{i} f_{i} \| = \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} A X_{i} f_{i} \|$$

$$= \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} A E^{\perp} X_{i} f_{i} \|$$

$$\leq \| A \| \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} X_{i} f_{i} \|$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$. If, for convenience, we adopt the convention that a fraction whose numerator and denominator are both zero is equal to zero, then the inequality above may be stated in the form

$$\sup_{E \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} Y_i f_i \|}{\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} X_i f_i \|} \le \|A\|.$$

Theorem 2.9. Let X_i and Y_i be bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} for all $i=1,2,\cdots$. Let $\mathcal{H}=\overline{range}\ X_k\oplus sp(h)$ for some k in $\{1,\cdots,n\}$ and some $h\in\mathcal{H}$. If $<h,E^{\perp}X_kf>=0$ for each $f\in\mathcal{H}$ and $E\in\mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_i f_i)\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i f_i)\|} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L}, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Theorem 2.10. Let X_i and Y_i be bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} for all $i=1,2,\cdots$. Let m be a natural number $(m \geq 2)$ and let $\{\underline{h_1,\cdots,h_m}\}$ be an orthonormal set of vectors h_j in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H} = \overline{range\ X_k} \oplus sp(\{h_1,\cdots,h_m\})$ for some k in $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$. If $\{h_j,E^{\perp}X_if\} >= 0$ ($i=1,\cdots,j=1,\cdots,m$) for each $f\in\mathcal{H}$ and $E\in\mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_i f_i)\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i f_i)\|} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L}, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that ||A|| = K.

Theorem 2.11. Let X_i and Y_i be bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} for all $i=1,2,\cdots$. Let $\{h_1,h_2,\cdots\}$ be an orthonormal set of vectors h_j in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H}=\overline{range\ X_k}\oplus \overline{sp(\{h_1,h_2,\cdots\})}$ for some k in $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$. If $(h_j,E^{\perp}X_if)>=0$ ($(i=1,\cdots,j=1,2,\cdots)$) for each $(i=1,2,\cdots)$ for each $(i=1,2,\cdots)$ for the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_i f_i)\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i f_i)\|} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L}, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that ||A|| = K.

Corollary 2.12. Let X_i and Y_i be bounded operators acting on \mathcal{H} for all

i = 1,2,.... Let \mathcal{B} be a basis of $\overline{range\ X_k}^{\perp}$ for some k in $\{1,2,\dots,n\}$. If $\langle h, E^{\perp}X_i f \rangle = 0 (i = 1,\dots)$ for each $h \in \mathcal{B}$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

(2)
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_i f_i)\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i f_i)\|} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ E \in \mathcal{L}, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = K < \infty.$$

3. The Equation Ax = y in $Alg \mathcal{L}$

Let x and y be vectors in \mathcal{H} and A be an operator in $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that Ax = y. Then $\|E^{\perp}y\| = \|E^{\perp}Ax\| = \|E^{\perp}AE^{\perp}x\| \leq \|A\|\|E^{\perp}x\|$ for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$. If, for convenience, we adopt the convention that a fraction whose numerator and denominator are both zero is equal to zero, then the above inequality may be stated in the form

$$\sup_{E \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\|E^{\perp}y\|}{\|E^{\perp}x\|} \le \|A\|.$$

We consider the above fact when \mathcal{L} is a subspace lattice without the commutative condition.

Let x, y and g be non-zero vectors in \mathcal{H} . Let $X = x \otimes g$ and $Y = y \otimes g$. Then we can obtain the following by Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.4.

Theorem 3.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} and let x and y be vectors in \mathcal{H} . If $\langle h, E^{\perp} x \rangle = 0$ for each $h \in sp(x)^{\perp}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that Ax = y.

$$(2) \sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}y\|}{\|E^{\perp}x\|} : E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = K_0 < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that $||A|| = K_0$.

Proof. Assume that $\left\{\frac{\|E^{\perp}y\|}{\|E^{\perp}x\|}: E \in \mathcal{L}\right\} = K_0 < \infty$. Let g be non-zero vectors in \mathcal{H} and $X = x \otimes g$ and $Y = y \otimes g$. Then

$$\begin{split} \|E^{\perp}Yf\| &= \|E^{\perp}(y \otimes g)f\| \\ &= \|E^{\perp} < f, g > y\| \\ &= \| < f, g > E^{\perp}y\| \text{ and } \\ \|E^{\perp}Xf\| &= \|E^{\perp}(x \otimes g)f\| \\ &= \|E^{\perp} < f, g > x\| \\ &= \| < f, g > E^{\perp}x\| \;. \end{split}$$

Hence $\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}Yf\|}{\|E^{\perp}Xf\|} : f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}y\|}{\|E^{\perp}x\|} : E \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$. Since $\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}Yf\|}{\|E^{\perp}Xf\|} : f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } E \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty$, there exists an operator A in $A \lg \mathcal{L}$ such that AX = Y by Theorem 2.1. Since $AX = A(x \otimes g) = (Ax) \otimes g = y \otimes g$, Ax = y.

Let $x_i, y_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$ and g be non-zero vectors in \mathcal{H} . Let $X = x_i \otimes g$ and $Y = y_i \otimes g$. Then the next theorem is obtained by modifying the proof used in Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.8.

Let x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n be vectors in \mathcal{H} and A be an operator in Alg \mathcal{L} such that $Ax_i = y_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$. Then $E^{\perp} \alpha_i y_i = E^{\perp} \alpha_i A x_i = \alpha_i E^{\perp} A E^{\perp} x_i = E^{\perp} A E^{\perp} \alpha_i x_i$ for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \| = \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} \alpha_{i} A x_{i} \|$$

$$= \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} A E^{\perp} \alpha_{i} x_{i} \|$$

$$\leq \| A \| \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} \alpha_{i} x_{i} \|$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$. If, for convenience, we adopt the convention that a fraction whose numerator and denominator are both zero is equal to zero, then

the above inequality may be stated in the form

$$\sup_{E \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \|}{\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} \alpha_{i} x \|} \le \|A\|.$$

Theorem 3.2. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} and let x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n be vectors in \mathcal{H} . If $\langle h, E^{\perp} x_i \rangle = 0 (i = 1, \dots, n)$ for each $h \in sp(x_k)^{\perp}$, $E \in \mathcal{L}$ and for some k in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in Alg \mathcal{L} such that $Ax_i = y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$$(2) \sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i\|}{\|E^{\perp} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i\|} : E \in \mathcal{L}, \ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} = K_0 < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that $||A|| = K_0$.

Proof. Assume that $\sup\left\{\frac{\|E^{\perp}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{i}y_{i}\|}{\|E^{\perp}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{i}x_{i}\|}: E\in\mathcal{L}, \ \alpha_{i}\in\mathbb{C}\right\}=K_{0}<\infty$. Let g be a non-zero vector in \mathcal{H} and $X_{i}=x_{i}\otimes g$ and $Y_{i}=y_{i}\otimes g$ for $i=1,\cdots,n$. Then

$$||E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}f_{i})|| = ||\sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp}(y_{i} \otimes g)f_{i}||$$

$$= ||\sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} < f_{i}, g > y_{i}||$$

$$= ||E^{\perp}\sum_{i=1}^{n} < f_{i}, g > y_{i}|| \text{ and}$$

$$||E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}f_{i})|| = ||\sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp}(x_{i} \otimes g)f_{i}||$$

$$= ||\sum_{i=1}^{n} E^{\perp} < f_{i}, g > x_{i}||$$

$$= ||E^{\perp}\sum_{i=1}^{n} < f_{i}, g > x_{i}||$$

Hence
$$\frac{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_{i}f_{i})\|}{\|E^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}f_{i})\|} = \frac{\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle f_{i}, g \rangle E^{\perp}y\|}{\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle f_{i}, g \rangle E^{\perp}x\|} \text{ for each } E \in \mathcal{L}.$$
 Since
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{i}y_{i}\|}{\|E^{\perp}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{i}x_{i}\|} : E \in \mathcal{L}, \ \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{C} \right\} = K_{0} < \infty, \text{ then there exists}$$
 an operator A in $Alg\mathcal{L}$ such that $AX_{i} = Y_{i}(i = 1, \dots, n)$ by Theorem 2.5. Since $AX_{i} = A(x_{i} \otimes g) = (Ax_{i}) \otimes g = y_{i} \otimes g, \ y_{i} = Ax_{i} \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n.$

We can extend Theorem 3.2 to countably infinite vectors and get the following theorem from Theorem 2.9 and Corollary 2.12.

Theorem 3.3. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} and let x_i and y_i be vectors in \mathcal{H} for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\langle h, E^{\perp} x_i \rangle = 0$ for each $h \in sp(x_k)^{\perp}$, $E \in \mathcal{L}$ and for some k in $\{1, 2, \dots\}$, then the following are equivalent.

(1) There exists an operator A in Alg \mathcal{L} such that $Ax_i = y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

$$(2) \sup \left\{ \frac{\|E^{\perp} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i\|}{\|E^{\perp} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i\|} : E \in \mathcal{L}, \ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = K_0 < \infty.$$

Moreover, if condition (2) holds, we may choose an operator A such that $||A|| = K_0$.

References

- [1] Anoussis, M.; Katsoulis. E.; Moore, R. L.; Trent, T. T., Interpolation problems for ideals in nest algebras, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 117(1992), 151-160.
- [2] Douglas, R. G., On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17(1966), 413-415.
- [3] Hopenwasser, A., The equation Tx = y in a reflexive operator algebra, Indiana University Math. J. **20**(1980), 121-126.
- [4] Hopenwasser, A., Hilbert-Schmidt interpolation in CSL-algebras, Illinois J. Math. 33(1989), 657-672.
- [5] Jo, Y. S. and Kang, J. H., Interpolation problems in CSL-algebras AlgL, Rocky mountain J. Math. 33, no 3 (2003), 903-914.
- [6] Jo, Y. S. and Kang, J. H., *Interpolation problems in AlgL*, J. of appl. Math. and computing. **18**(2005), 513-524.
- [7] Jo, Y. S.; Kang, J. H.; Kim, K. S., On operator interpolation problems, J. of K. M. S. 41, no 2 (2004), .
- [8] Jo, Y. S.; Kang, J. H.; Moore, R. L.; Trent, T. T., Interpolation in self-adjoint settings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130, no 11, 3269-3281.
- [9] Jo, Y. S.; Kang, J. H.; Park, Dongwan, Equations AX = Y and Ax = y in $Alg\mathcal{L}$, J.Korean Math. Soc. **43**(2006), 399-411.

- [10] Kadison, R., Irreducible Operator Algebras, Pro. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. (1957), 273-276.
- [11] Katsoulis, E.; Moore, R. L.; Trent, T. T., Interpolation in nest algebras and applications to operator Corona Theorems, J. Operator Theory. 29(1993), 115-123
- [12] Lance, E. C., Some properties of nest algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. 19(1969), 45-68.
- [13] Moore, R. and Trent, T. T., *Linear equations in subspaces of operators*, Proc. of A.M.S.. **128**, no 3 (2000), 781-788.
- [14] Moore, R. and Trent, T. T., Interpolation in inflated Hilbert spaces, Proc. of A.M.S.. 127, no 2 (1999), 499-507.

Joo Ho Kang Department of Mathematics, Daegu University, Daegu, Korea.

 $\hbox{E-mail: jhkang@daegu.ac.kr}$