

## $\mathcal{N}$ -SUBALGEBRAS OF TYPE $(\in, \in \vee q)$ BASED ON POINT $\mathcal{N}$ -STRUCTURES IN $BCK/BCI$ -ALGEBRAS

KYOUNG JA LEE, YOUNG BAE JUN, AND XIAOHONG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Characterizations of  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  are provided. The notion of  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebras of type  $(\bar{\in}, \bar{\in} \vee \bar{q})$  is introduced, and its characterizations are discussed. Conditions for an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  (resp.  $(\bar{\in}, \bar{\in} \vee \bar{q})$ ) to be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$  are considered.

### 1. Introduction

A (crisp) set  $A$  in a universe  $X$  can be defined in the form of its characteristic function  $\mu_A : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  yielding the value 1 for elements belonging to the set  $A$  and the value 0 for elements excluded from the set  $A$ . So far most of the generalization of the crisp set have been conducted on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  and they are consistent with the asymmetry observation. In other words, the generalization of the crisp set to fuzzy sets relied on spreading positive information that fit the crisp point  $\{1\}$  into the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Because no negative meaning of information is suggested, we now feel a need to deal with negative information. To do so, we also feel a need to supply mathematical tool. To attain such object, Jun et al. [5] introduced a new function which is called negative-valued function, and constructed  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures. They applied  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures to  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras, and discussed  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebras and  $\mathcal{N}$ -ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras. Jun et al. [6] considered closed ideals in  $BCH$ -algebras based on  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures. Also, using  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures, Jun and Lee introduced the notion of an  $\mathcal{N}$ -essence in a subtraction algebra, and investigated related properties. They discussed relations among an  $\mathcal{N}$ -ideal, an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra and an  $\mathcal{N}$ -essence (see [4]). To obtain more general form of an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras, Jun et al. defined the notions of  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebras of types  $(\in, \in)$ ,  $(\in, q)$ ,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ ,  $(q, \in)$ ,  $(q, q)$  and  $(q, \in \vee q)$ , and investigated related properties. They provided a characterization of an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ . They also gave conditions for an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure to

---

Received December 28, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35, 03G25.

*Key words and phrases.* point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure, closed (resp. open) support,  $q$ -support,  $\in \vee q$ -support,  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of types  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ ,  $(\bar{\in}, \bar{\in} \vee \bar{q})$ .

be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(q, \in \vee q)$  (see [2]). As a continuation of the paper [2], we give characterizations of  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ . We introduced the notion of  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebras of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{q})$ , and discuss its characterizations. We provide conditions for an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  (resp.  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{q})$ ) to be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $K(\tau)$  be the class of all algebras with type  $\tau = (2, 0)$ . By a *BCI-algebra* we mean a system  $X := (X, *, 0) \in K(\tau)$  in which the following axioms hold:

- (a1)  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$ ,
- (a2)  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ ,
- (a3)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- (a4)  $x * y = y * x = 0 \implies x = y$ ,

where  $x, y$  and  $z$  are elements of  $X$ . If a *BCI-algebra*  $X$  satisfies  $0 * x = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then we say that  $X$  is a *BCK-algebra*. We can define a partial ordering  $\preceq$  by

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (x \preceq y \iff x * y = 0).$$

In a *BCK/BCI-algebra*  $X$ , the following hold:

- (b1)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- (b2)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ ,

where  $x, y$  and  $z$  are elements of  $X$ .

A non-empty subset  $S$  of a *BCK/BCI-algebra*  $X$  is called a *subalgebra* of  $X$  if  $x * y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

We refer the reader to the books [1] and [8] for further information regarding *BCK/BCI-algebras*.

For any family  $\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  of real numbers, we define

$$\vee\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} := \begin{cases} \max\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is finite,} \\ \sup\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\wedge\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} := \begin{cases} \min\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is finite,} \\ \inf\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}(X, [-1, 0])$  the collection of functions from a set  $X$  to  $[-1, 0]$ . We say that an element of  $\mathcal{F}(X, [-1, 0])$  is a *negative-valued function* from  $X$  to  $[-1, 0]$  (briefly,  *$\mathcal{N}$ -function* on  $X$ ). By an  *$\mathcal{N}$ -structure* we mean an ordered pair  $(X, f)$  of  $X$  and an  *$\mathcal{N}$ -function*  $f$  on  $X$ . In what follows, let  $X$  denote a *BCK/BCI-algebra* and  $f$  an  *$\mathcal{N}$ -function* on  $X$  unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 2.1** (See [5]). By a *subalgebra* of  $X$  based on  *$\mathcal{N}$ -function*  $f$  (briefly,  *$\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra* of  $X$ ), we mean an  *$\mathcal{N}$ -structure*  $(X, f)$  in which  $f$  satisfies the following condition:

$$(2.1) \quad f(x * y) \leq \vee\{f(x), f(y)\},$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are elements of  $X$ .

For any  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  and  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ , the set  $C(f; \alpha) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq \alpha\}$  is called the *closed support* of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$ , and the set  $O(f; \alpha) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) < \alpha\}$  is called the *open support* of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$ .

Using the similar method to the transfer principle in fuzzy theory (see [3, 7]), Jun et al. [6] considered transfer principle in  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures as follows.

**Theorem 2.2** ( $\mathcal{N}$ -transfer principle, See [6]). *An  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  satisfies the property  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$  if and only if for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 0]$ ,*

$$C(f; \alpha) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow C(f; \alpha) \text{ satisfies the property } \mathcal{P}.$$

**Lemma 2.3** (See [5]). *An  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of  $X$  if and only if every open support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$  is a subalgebra of  $X$  for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ .*

### 3. $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebras of types $(\in, \in \vee q)$ and $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{q})$

Let  $(X, f)$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure in which  $f$  is given by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \neq x, \\ \alpha & \text{if } y = x, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ . In this case,  $f$  is denoted by  $x_\alpha$  and we call  $(X, x_\alpha)$  a *point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure*. For any  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, g)$ , we say that a point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, x_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_\in$ -subset (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_q$ -subset) of  $(X, g)$  if  $g(x) \leq \alpha$  (resp.  $g(x) + \alpha + 1 < 0$ ). If a point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, x_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_\in$ -subset of  $(X, g)$  or an  $\mathcal{N}_q$ -subset of  $(X, g)$ , we say  $(X, x_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}$ -subset of  $(X, g)$ .

**Definition 3.1** (See [2]). An  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  is called an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$  (resp. type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ ) if whenever two point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures  $(X, x_{\alpha_1})$  and  $(X, y_{\alpha_2})$  are  $\mathcal{N}_\in$ -subsets of  $(X, f)$ , then the point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, (x * y)_{\vee\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_\in$ -subset (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}$ -subset) of  $(X, f)$ .

**Lemma 3.2** (See [2]). *An  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  if and only if it satisfies:*

$$(3.1) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) (f(x * y) \leq \vee\{f(x), f(y), -0.5\}).$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *An  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  if and only if for every  $\alpha \in [-0.5, 0]$  the nonempty closed support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  and let  $\alpha \in [-0.5, 0]$  be such that  $C(f; \alpha) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x, y \in C(f; \alpha)$ . Then  $f(x) \leq \alpha$  and  $f(y) \leq \alpha$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $f(x * y) \leq \vee\{f(x), f(y), -0.5\} \leq \vee\{\alpha, -0.5\} = \alpha$  so that  $x * y \in C(f; \alpha)$ . Therefore  $C(f; \alpha)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

Conversely, let  $(X, f)$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure such that the non-empty closed support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$  is a subalgebra of  $X$  for all  $\alpha \in [-0.5, 0]$ . If

there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $f(a * b) > \vee\{f(a), f(b), -0.5\}$ , then we can take  $\beta \in [-1, 0]$  such that  $f(a * b) > \beta \geq \vee\{f(a), f(b), -0.5\}$ . Thus  $a, b \in C(f; \beta)$  and  $\beta \geq -0.5$ , and so  $a * b \in C(f; \beta)$ , i.e.,  $f(a * b) \leq \beta$ . This is a contradiction, and therefore  $f(x * y) \leq \vee\{f(x), f(y), -0.5\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Using Lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $S$  be a subalgebra of  $X$ . For any  $\alpha \in [-0.5, 0)$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra  $(X, f)$  of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  for which  $S$  is represented by the closed support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, f)$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure in which  $f$  is given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } x \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin S \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \in X$  where  $\alpha \in [-0.5, 0)$ . Assume that  $f(a * b) > \vee\{f(a), f(b), -0.5\}$  for some  $a, b \in X$ . Since the cardinality of the image of  $f$  is 2, we have  $f(a * b) = 0$  and  $\vee\{f(a), f(b), -0.5\} = \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha \geq -0.5$ , it follows that  $f(a) = \alpha = f(b)$  so that  $a, b \in S$ . Since  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ , we obtain  $a * b \in S$  and so  $f(a * b) = \alpha < 0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $f(x * y) \leq \vee\{f(x), f(y), -0.5\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Using Lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra  $(X, f)$  of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ . Obviously,  $S$  is represented by the closed support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

Note that every  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ , but the converse is not true in general (see [2]). Now, we give a condition for an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  to be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(X, f)$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  such that  $f(x) > -0.5$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of  $(\in, \in)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$  be such that  $(X, x_{\alpha_1})$  and  $(X, y_{\alpha_2})$  are  $\mathcal{N}_{\in}$ -subsets of  $(X, f)$ . Then  $f(x) \leq \alpha_1$  and  $f(y) \leq \alpha_2$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2 and the hypothesis that  $f(x * y) \leq \vee\{f(x), f(y), -0.5\} = \vee\{f(x), f(y)\} \leq \vee\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$  so that  $(X, (x * y)_{\vee\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\in}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . Therefore  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$ .  $\square$

For any  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  and  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ , the  $q$ -support and the  $\in \vee q$ -support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$  are defined to be the sets

$$\mathcal{N}_q(f; \alpha) := \{x \in X \mid (X, x_{\alpha}) \text{ is an } \mathcal{N}_q\text{-subset of } (X, f)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}(f; \alpha) := \{x \in X \mid (X, x_{\alpha}) \text{ is an } \mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}\text{-subset of } (X, f)\},$$

respectively. Note that the  $\in \vee q$ -support is the union of the closed support and the  $q$ -support, that is,  $\mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}(f; \alpha) = C(f; \alpha) \cup \mathcal{N}_q(f; \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *An  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  if and only if the  $\in \vee q$ -support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$  is a subalgebra of  $X$  for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ . Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}(f; \alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ . Then  $(X, x_\alpha)$  and  $(X, y_\alpha)$  are  $\mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}$ -subsets of  $(X, f)$ . Hence  $f(x) \leq \alpha$  or  $f(x) + \alpha + 1 < 0$ , and  $f(y) \leq \alpha$  or  $f(y) + \alpha + 1 < 0$ . Then we consider the following four cases:

- (c1)  $f(x) \leq \alpha$  and  $f(y) \leq \alpha$ .
- (c2)  $f(x) \leq \alpha$  and  $f(y) + \alpha + 1 < 0$ .
- (c3)  $f(x) + \alpha + 1 < 0$  and  $f(y) \leq \alpha$ .
- (c4)  $f(x) + \alpha + 1 < 0$  and  $f(y) + \alpha + 1 < 0$ .

Combining (3.1) and (c1), we have  $f(x * y) \leq \vee \{\alpha, -0.5\}$ . If  $\alpha \geq -0.5$ , then  $f(x * y) \leq \alpha$  and so  $(X, (x * y)_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_\in$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . Hence  $x * y \in C(f; \alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}(f; \alpha)$ . If  $\alpha < -0.5$ , then  $f(x * y) \leq -0.5$  and so  $f(x * y) + \alpha + 1 < -0.5 - 0.5 + 1 = 0$ , that is,  $(X, (x * y)_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_q$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . Therefore  $x * y \in \mathcal{N}_q(f; \alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}(f; \alpha)$ . For the case (c2), assume that  $\alpha < -0.5$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x * y) &\leq \vee \{f(x), f(y), -0.5\} \leq \vee \{\alpha, f(y), -0.5\} = \vee \{f(y), -0.5\} \\ &= \begin{cases} f(y) & \text{if } f(y) > -0.5, \\ -0.5 & \text{if } f(y) \leq -0.5 \end{cases} \\ &< -1 - \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f(x * y) + \alpha + 1 < 0$ . Thus  $(X, (x * y)_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_q$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . If  $\alpha \geq -0.5$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x * y) &\leq \vee \{f(x), f(y), -0.5\} \leq \vee \{\alpha, f(y), -0.5\} = \vee \{\alpha, f(y)\} \\ &= \begin{cases} f(y) & \text{if } f(y) > \alpha, \\ \alpha & \text{if } f(y) \leq \alpha, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $x * y \in \mathcal{N}_q(f; \alpha)$  or  $x * y \in C(f; \alpha)$ . Consequently,  $x * y \in \mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}(f; \alpha)$ . For the case (c3), it is similar to the case (c2). Finally, for the case (c4), if  $\alpha \geq -0.5$ , then  $-1 - \alpha \leq -0.5 \leq \alpha$ . Hence  $f(x * y) \leq \vee \{f(x), f(y), -0.5\} \leq \vee \{-1 - \alpha, -0.5\} = -0.5 \leq \alpha$ , which implies that  $x * y \in C(f; \alpha)$ . If  $\alpha < -0.5$ , then  $\alpha < -0.5 < -1 - \alpha$ . Therefore  $f(x * y) \leq \vee \{f(x), f(y), -0.5\} \leq \vee \{-1 - \alpha, -0.5\} = -1 - \alpha$ , that is,  $f(x * y) + \alpha + 1 < 0$ , which means that  $(X, (x * y)_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_q$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . Consequently, the  $\in \vee q$ -support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$  is a subalgebra of  $X$  for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ .

Conversely, let  $(X, f)$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure for which the  $\in \vee q$ -support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$  is a subalgebra of  $X$  for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ . Assume that there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $f(a * b) > \vee \{f(a), f(b), -0.5\}$ . Then  $f(a * b) > \beta \geq \vee \{f(a), f(b), -0.5\}$  for some  $\beta \in [-0.5, 0)$ . It follows that  $a, b \in C(f; \beta) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}(f; \beta)$  but  $a * b \notin C(f; \beta)$ . Also,  $f(a * b) + \beta + 1 > 2\beta + 1 \geq 0$ , i.e.,  $a * b \notin \mathcal{N}_q(f; \beta)$ . Thus  $a * b \notin \mathcal{N}_{\in \vee q}(f; \beta)$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $f(x * y) \leq \vee \{f(x), f(y), -0.5\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Using Lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ .  $\square$

TABLE 1.  $*$ -operation

$*$	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	0	0
b	b	a	0	a	0
c	c	a	a	0	0
d	d	b	a	b	0

TABLE 2.  $*$ -operation

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

For any  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, g)$ , we say that a point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, x_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\alpha}}$ -subset (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{q}}$ -subset) of  $(X, g)$  if  $g(x) > \alpha$  (resp.  $g(x) + \alpha + 1 \geq 0$ ). If a point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, x_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\alpha}}$ -subset of  $(X, g)$  or an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{q}}$ -subset of  $(X, g)$ , we say  $(X, x_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\alpha} \vee \overline{q}}$ -subset of  $(X, g)$ .

**Definition 3.7.** An  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  is called an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha} \vee \overline{q})$  if whenever a point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, (x * y)_{\vee\{\alpha, \beta\}})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\alpha}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ , then  $(X, x_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\alpha} \vee \overline{q}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$  or  $(X, x_\beta)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\alpha} \vee \overline{q}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ .

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  be a set with a  $*$ -operation which is given by Table 1. Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $BCK$ -algebra (see [8]). Consider an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, g)$  in which  $f$  is defined by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c & d \\ -0.8 & -0.8 & -0.5 & -0.6 & -0.3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is routine to verify that  $(X, g)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha} \vee \overline{q})$ .

**Example 3.9.** Consider a  $BCI$ -algebra  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  with a  $*$ -operation which is given by Table 2. Let  $(X, f)$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure in which  $f$  is defined by

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -0.8 & -0.6 & -0.5 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is routine to verify that  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha} \vee \overline{q})$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** *An  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{\mathfrak{q}})$  if and only if the following condition is valid:*

$$(3.2) \quad \wedge \{f(x * y), -0.5\} \leq \vee \{f(x), f(y)\},$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are elements of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{\mathfrak{q}})$ . If there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $\wedge \{f(a * b), -0.5\} > \alpha = \vee \{f(a), f(b)\}$ , then  $\alpha \in [-1, -0.5)$ . It follows that  $(X, a_\alpha)$  and  $(X, b_\alpha)$  are  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\in}}$ -subsets of  $(X, f)$ , and  $(X, (a * b)_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\in}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . Hence  $(X, a_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$  or  $(X, b_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . Therefore  $f(a) + \alpha + 1 \geq 0$  or  $f(b) + \alpha + 1 \geq 0$ , which imply that  $2\alpha + 1 \geq 0$ , that is,  $\alpha \geq -0.5$ . This is a contradiction. Consequently, (3.2) is valid.

Conversely assume that an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  satisfies the condition (3.2). Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in [-1, 0]$  such that a point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, (x * y)_{\vee \{\alpha, \beta\}})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\in}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . Then  $f(x * y) > \vee \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . If  $f(x * y) \leq \vee \{f(x), f(y)\}$ , then  $\vee \{f(x), f(y)\} > \vee \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Hence  $f(x) > \alpha$  or  $f(y) > \beta$ . Thus  $(X, x_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\in} \vee \overline{\mathfrak{q}}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$  or  $(X, y_\beta)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\in} \vee \overline{\mathfrak{q}}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . If  $f(x * y) > \vee \{f(x), f(y)\}$ , then  $\wedge \{f(x * y), -0.5\} = -0.5$  by (3.2). Hence  $f(x) \geq -0.5$  or  $f(y) \geq -0.5$ . Suppose that  $(X, x_\alpha)$  and  $(X, y_\beta)$  are  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\in}}$ -subsets of  $(X, f)$ . Then  $\alpha \geq f(x) \geq -0.5$  or  $\beta \geq f(y) \geq -0.5$ . It follows that  $f(x) + \alpha + 1 \geq 2f(x) + 1 \geq 0$  or  $f(y) + \beta + 1 \geq 2f(y) + 1 \geq 0$  so that  $(X, x_\alpha)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$  or  $(X, y_\beta)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . Therefore  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{\mathfrak{q}})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11.** *If  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{\mathfrak{q}})$ , then  $f(x) \geq f(0)$  or  $f(x) \geq -0.5$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward by (a3) and (3.2).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *An  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{\mathfrak{q}})$  if and only if for every  $\alpha \in [-1, -0.5)$  the nonempty closed support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{\mathfrak{q}})$ . Let  $x, y \in C(f; \alpha)$  where  $\alpha \in [-1, -0.5)$ . Then  $f(x) \leq \alpha$  and  $f(y) \leq \alpha$ . It follows from (3.2) that  $\wedge \{f(x * y), -0.5\} \leq \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha < -0.5$ , we have  $f(x * y) \leq \alpha$ , and so  $x * y \in C(f; \alpha)$ . Therefore  $C(f; \alpha)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

Conversely, let  $(X, f)$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure such that the non-empty closed support of  $(X, f)$  related to  $\alpha$  is a subalgebra of  $X$  for all  $\alpha \in [-1, -0.5)$ . Assume that there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $\wedge \{f(a * b), -0.5\} > \vee \{f(a), f(b)\}$ . If we take  $\beta := \frac{1}{2} (\wedge \{f(a * b), -0.5\} + \vee \{f(a), f(b)\})$ , then  $\beta \in [-1, -0.5)$  and  $\wedge \{f(a * b), -0.5\} > \beta > \vee \{f(a), f(b)\}$ . Thus  $a, b \in C(f; \beta)$  and  $a * b \notin C(f; \beta)$ . This is a contradiction, and therefore  $\wedge \{f(x * y), -0.5\} \leq \vee \{f(x), f(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Using Theorem 3.10, we conclude that  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{\mathfrak{q}})$ .  $\square$

Obviously, every  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{q})$ , but the converse is not true in general as seen in the following example.

**Example 3.13.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  be a  $BCK$ -algebra which is given in Example 3.8. Consider an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure  $(X, g)$  in which  $g$  is defined by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c & d \\ -0.7 & -0.6 & -0.2 & -0.2 & -0.4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $(X, g)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{q})$ . But it is not an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$  since  $(X, d_{-0.25})$  and  $(X, a_{-0.5})$  are  $\mathcal{N}_{\in}$ -subsets of  $(X, g)$ , but  $(X, (d * a)_{\vee\{-0.25, -0.5\}}) = (X, b_{-0.25})$  is not an  $\mathcal{N}_{\in}$ -subset of  $(X, g)$ .

Finally, we give a condition for an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{q})$  to be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $(X, f)$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure of type  $(\overline{\in}, \overline{\in} \vee \overline{q})$  such that  $f(x) \leq -0.5$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$  be such that  $(X, x_{\alpha_1})$  and  $(X, y_{\alpha_2})$  are  $\mathcal{N}_{\in}$ -subsets of  $(X, f)$ . Then  $f(x) \leq \alpha_1$  and  $f(y) \leq \alpha_2$ . Since  $f(x) \leq -0.5$  for all  $x \in X$ , it follows from (3.2) that

$$f(x * y) = \wedge \{f(x * y), -0.5\} \leq \vee \{f(x), f(y)\} \leq \vee \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$$

so that  $(X, (x * y)_{\vee\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_{\in}$ -subset of  $(X, f)$ . Therefore  $(X, f)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebra of type  $(\in, \in)$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Y. S. Huang, *BCI-algebra*, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [2] Y. B. Jun, M. S. Kang, and C. H. Park,  *$\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebras in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras based on point  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2010** (2010), Article ID 303412, 9 pages.
- [3] Y. B. Jun and M. Kondo, *On transfer principle of fuzzy  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **59** (2004), no. 1, 35–40.
- [4] Y. B. Jun and K. J. Lee, *The essence of subtraction algebras based on  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [5] Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee, and S. Z. Song,  *$\mathcal{N}$ -ideals of  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 417–437.
- [6] Y. B. Jun, M. A. Öztürk, and E. H. Roh,  *$\mathcal{N}$ -structures applied to closed ideals in  $BCH$ -algebras*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2010** (2010), Article ID 943565, 9 pages.
- [7] M. Kondo and W. A. Dudek, *On the transfer principle in fuzzy theory*, Mathware Soft Comput. **12** (2005), no. 1, 41–55.
- [8] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun,  *$BCK$ -Algebras*, Kyungmoon Sa Co., Seoul, 1994.



KYOUNG JA LEE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
HANNAM UNIVERSITY  
DAEJEON 306-791, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* [kjlee@hnu.kr](mailto:kjlee@hnu.kr)

YOUNG BAE JUN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION (AND RINS)  
GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
CHINJU 660-701, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* [skywine@gmail.com](mailto:skywine@gmail.com)

XIAOHONG ZHANG  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SHANGHAI MARITIME UNIVERSITY  
SHANGHAI 201306, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* [zxhonghz@263.net](mailto:zxhonghz@263.net)