

APPROXIMATE PEXIDERIZED EXPONENTIAL TYPE FUNCTIONS

YOUNG WHAN LEE

ABSTRACT. We show that every unbounded approximate Pexiderized exponential type function has the exponential type. That is, we obtain the superstability of the Pexiderized exponential type functional equation

$$f(x + y) = e(x, y)g(x)h(y).$$

From this result, we have the superstability of the exponential functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x)f(y).$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, S.M. Ulam gave a wide ranging talk in the Mathematical Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems (ref. [17]). Among those there was the question concerning the stability of homomorphisms : *Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?* In the next year, D.H. Hyers [5] answered the question of Ulam for the case where G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. Futhermore, the result of Hyers has been generalized by Th.M. Rassias [15]. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equations has been investigated by many authors (see [3-16]).

The superstability of the functional equation $f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$ was studied by J. Baker, J. Lawrence and F. Zorzitto [2]. They proved that if f is a functional on a real vector space W satisfying $|f(x + y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta$ for some fixed $\delta > 0$ and all $x, y \in W$, then either f is bounded or else $f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in W$.

Received by the editors April 5, 2012. Revised May 9, 2012. Accepted May 14, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B72, 38B22, 39B82.

Key words and phrases. functional equation, stability, superstability, gamma and beta functional equation, Cauchy functional equation, exponential functional equation.

This result was generalized with a simplified proof by J. Baker [1] as following : Let $\delta > 0$, S be a semigroup and $f : S \rightarrow C$ satisfy $|f(x+y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta$ for all $x, y \in S$. Put $\beta := (1 + \sqrt{1+4\delta})/2$. Then either $f(x) \leq \beta$ for all $x \in S$ or else $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

The author [14] proved the superstability of the Pexiderized multiplicative functional equation

$$f(x+y) = g(x)h(x)$$

and G.H. Kim and the author [10] also obtained the superstability of the gamma-beta type functional equation

$$\beta(x, y)f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$$

where $\beta(x, y)$ is of beta type function.

In this paper, we consider the Pexiderized exponential type functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(x+y) = e(x, y)g(x)h(y).$$

And then we prove the superstability of (1.1). Theorem 1 with $\phi(x) = \delta$ states that every unbounded approximate Pexiderized exponential type function is an exponential type function.

2. DEFINITIONS AND SOLUTIONS

Definition 1. A function $e : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is *pseudo exponential* if $e(x, y)$ satisfies as follows;

- (a) $e(x, y) = e(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in [0, \infty)$,
- (b) $\frac{e(x, y)e(z, x+y)}{e(x, y+z)e(y, z)} = 1$, for all $x, y \in [0, \infty)$,
- (c) $e(x, n) \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \in N^+$ and fixed $x \in [0, \infty)$,
- (d) $e(0, x) = 1$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$.

Definition 2. A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is of an *approximate exponential type* if there is a $\delta > 0$ and a pseudo exponential function $e : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ such that

$$|f(x+y) - e(x, y)f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta$$

for all $(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. In the case of $\delta = 0$, we call f an exponential type function.

Definition 3. A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is of an *approximate Pexiderized exponential type* if there is a $\delta > 0$, a pseudo exponential function $e : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and some functions $g, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ such that

$$| f(x + y) - e(x, y)g(x)h(y) | \leq \delta$$

for all $(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. In the case of $\delta = 0$, we call f a Pexiderized exponential type function.

Examples and Solutions. If $f, g, h : R \rightarrow R$ are functions satisfying the equation (1.1) and $e(x, y) = a^{xy}$ ($a > 1$) then e is a pseudo exponential function and $f(x) = a^{\frac{x^2}{2}+3}, g(x) = a^{\frac{x^2}{2}+2}, h(x) = a^{\frac{x^2}{2}+1}$ are solutions of it.

Now we consider the gamma-beta functional equation. If $f, g, h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ are functions satisfying the equation (1.1) and $\beta(x, y)$ is the beta function then β^{-1} satisfies the conditions (a) ~ (c) except (d) (see, Corollary 4 in [12]) and $f(x) = 6a^{x+1}\Gamma(x), g(x) = 3a^x\Gamma(x), h(x) = 2a^{x+1}\Gamma(x)$ are solutions of the equation (1.1).

3. SUPERSTABILITY OF AN EXPONENTIAL TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

Theorem 1. *Let a function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be given and $e : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a pseudo exponential function. Assume that $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ are nonzero functions with $|g(m)| \geq \max(2, \frac{\phi(m)+\phi(0)}{|h(m)|})$ for some positive integer m and $g(0) = 1$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad | f(x + y) - e(x, y)g(x)h(y) | \leq \min\{\phi(x), \phi(y)\}$$

for all $(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. Then

$$g(x + y) = e(x, y)g(x)g(y)$$

for all $(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$.

Proof. If we replace x by m and also y by m in (3.1), respectively, we get

$$| f(2m) - e(m, m)g(m)h(m) | \leq \phi(m).$$

Also if we replace x by 0 in (3.1) then we have

$$| f(y) - h(y) | \leq \min\{\phi(0), \phi(y)\} \leq \phi(0)$$

for all $y \in [0, \infty)$. An induction argument implies that for all $n \geq 2$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & | f(nm) - \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e(im, m)g(m)^{n-1}h(m) | \\ & \leq (\phi(m) + \phi(0)) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(|g(m)|^i \prod_{k=1}^i e(m, (n-k)m) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if the inequality (3.2) holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| f((n+1)m) - \prod_{i=1}^n e(m, im)g(m)^n h(m) \right| \\
& \leq \left| f((n+1)m) - e(m, nm)g(m)h(nm) \right| \\
& \quad + |g(m)|e(m, nm) \left| (h(nm) - f(nm)) \right| \\
& \quad + |g(m)|e(m, nm) \left| f(nm) - \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e(im, m)g(m)^{n-1}h(m) \right| \\
& \leq \phi(m) + \phi(0)|g(m)|e(m, nm) \\
& \quad + |g(m)|e(m, nm)(\phi(m) + \phi(0)) \\
& \quad \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(|g(m)|^i \prod_{k=1}^i e(m, (n-k)n) \right) \right) \\
& \leq (\phi(m) + \phi(0)) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(|g(m)|^i \prod_{k=1}^i e(m, (n-k+1)n) \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 2$. By (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{f(nm)}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e(m, im) \right) g(m)^{n-1} h(m)} - 1 \right| \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{|g(m)|^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{|g(m)|^{n-2}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{|g(m)|^1} \right) \cdot \frac{\phi(m) + \phi(0)}{|h(m)|} \\
& < \frac{\phi(m) + \phi(0)}{|h(m)||g(m)|} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots \right) = 2 \frac{\phi(m) + \phi(0)}{|g(m)||h(m)|} \leq \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

for all positive integer n . Thus we can easily show that

$$(3.3) \quad |f(nm)| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } |h(nm)| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\frac{e(x,y)e(z,x+y)}{e(x,y+z)e(y,z)} = 1$ and $\frac{e(x,y+nm)}{e(nm,x+y)} = \frac{e(x,y)}{e(y,nm)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & |h(nm)| \left| g(x+y) - e(x,y)g(x)g(y) \right| \\
& \leq |e(nm, x+y)h(nm)g(x+y) - f(nm+x+y)| \frac{1}{e(nm, x+y)} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{e(nm, x+y)} \left| f(x+y+nm) - e(x,y+nm)g(x)h(y+nm) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ |h(y + nm) - f(y + nm)| |g(x)| \cdot \frac{e(x, y + nm)}{e(nm, x + y)} \\
 &+ |f(y + nm) - e(y, nm)g(y)h(nm)| |g(x)| \cdot \frac{e(x, y + nm)}{e(nm, x + y)} \\
 &\leq \frac{\phi(m) + \phi(0)}{e(nm, x + y)} + (\phi(0) + \phi(y)) |g(x)| \cdot \frac{e(x, y)}{e(y, nm)} < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large n and $(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) by dividing $|h(nm)|$ that

$$g(x, y) = e(x, y)g(x)g(y)$$

for all $(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. □

Corollary 1. *Let $\delta > 0$ and $a > 1$ be given. Suppose that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ be a nonzero function with $|f(m)| \geq \max(2, \sqrt{2\delta})$ for some positive integer m and $f(0) = 1$ such that*

$$|f(x + y) - a^{xy}g(x)h(y)| \leq \delta$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Then

$$g(x + y) = a^{xy}g(x)g(y)$$

for all $x, y \in R$.

Proof. Let $e(x, y) = a^{xy}$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty)$. Then $e(x, y)$ is a pseudo exponential function. Also let $\phi(x) = \delta$ then $\phi(m) + \phi(0) = 2\delta$ for any $m \in N$. By Theorem 1, we complete the proof. □

Corollary 2. *Let $\delta > 0$ be given. Suppose that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ be a function with $|f(m)| \geq \max(2, \sqrt{2\delta})$ for some positive integer m and $f(0) = 1$ such that*

$$|f(x + y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Then

$$f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in R$.

Acknowledgment. The author of this work was partially supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Grant No. 2011-0021253).

REFERENCES

1. J. Baker: The stability of the cosine equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1980), 411-416.
2. J. Baker, J. Lawrence & F. Zorzitto: The stability of the equation $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1979), 242-246.
3. G.L. Forti: Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables. *Aequationes Math.* **50** (1995), 146-190.
4. R. Ger: Superstability is not natural. *Rocznik Naukowo-Dydaktyczny WSP Krakowie, Prace Mat.* **159** (1993), 109-123.
5. D.H. Hyers: On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **27** (1941), 222-224.
6. D.H. Hyers & Th.M. Rassias: Approximate homomorphisms. *Aequationes Math.* **44** (1992), 125-153.
7. D.H. Hyers, G. Isac & Th.M. Rassias: *Stability of functional equations in several variables*. Birkhäuser-Basel-Berlin (1998).
8. K.-W. Jun, G.H. Kim & Y.W. Lee: Stability of generalized gamma and beta functional equations. *Aequationes Math.* **60** (2000), 15-24
9. S.-M. Jung: On the general Hyers-Ulam stability of gamma functional equation. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **34** (1997), no. 3, 437-446.
10. G.H. Kim & Y.W. Lee: Approximate gamma-beta type functions. *Nonlinear Analysis.* **71** (2009), e1567-e1574.
11. G.H. Kim & Y.W. Lee: The stability of the beta functional equation. *Babes-Bolyai Mathematica XLV* (2000), no. 1, 89-96.
12. Y.W. Lee: On the stability of a quadratic Jensen type functional equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **270** (2002) 590-601.
13. ———: The stability of derivations on Banach algebras. *Bull. Institute of Math. Academia Sinica* **28** (2000), 113-116.
14. ———: Superstability and stability of the pexiderized multiplicative functional equation. *J. Inequal. and Appl.* (2010) Article ID 486325, 1-15.
15. Th.M. Rassias: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 297-300.
16. ———: The problem of S.M. Ulam for approximately multiplication mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **246** (2000), 352-378.
17. S.M. Ulam: *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. Proc. Chap. VI. Wiley. NewYork, 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER HACKING AND INFORMATION SECURITY, COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCE, DAEJEON UNIVERSITY, DAEJEON, 300-716, KOREA
 Email address: ywlee@dju.ac.kr