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#### Abstract

Based on the elegant properties of the spectral norm and Thompson metric, we firstly give two perturbation estimates for the positive definite solution of the nonlinear matrix equation $X-\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}=Q(0<$ $\left.\left|\delta_{i}\right|<1\right)$ which arises in an optimal interpolation problem.


AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 15A24, 65J15.
Key words and phrases : Nonlinear matrix equation, Positive definite solution, Perturbation estimate, Spectral norm, Thompson metric.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the nonlinear matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X-\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}=Q, \quad 0<\left|\delta_{i}\right|<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{m}$ are $n \times n$ nonsingular complex matrices, $Q$ is an $n \times n$ positive definite matrix, and $m$ is a positive integer. Here, $A_{i}^{*}$ denotes the conjugate transpose of the matrix $A_{i}$. This type of nonlinear matrix equation arises in an optimal interpolation problem (see [17, Chapter 7] for more details).

In the last few years there has been a constantly increasing interest in developing the theory and numerical approaches for positive definite solutions to the nonlinear matrix equation of the form (1.1) [1,3-13,15,16,19,20]. Recently, Duan-Liao-Tang [4] showed that Eq.(1.1) always has a unique positive definite solution by using the fixed point theorem of mixed monotone operators, and

[^0]proposed a multi-step stationary iterative method to compute the unique positive definite solution. By making use of Thompson metric, Lim [13] provides a new proof for the existence and uniqueness of the positive definite solution for Eq.(1.1). A lot of results have been reported on the uniqueness and existence of positive definite solution and numerical methods for Eq.(1.1) in the special case $m=1$ [8-10].

In the practical problem, we need to know that whether the optimal interpolation problem is ill-posed, that is to say, we often want to know that how the perturbation of coefficients influence on the solutions. For this purpose we study the perturbation analysis of Eq.(1.1). However, the perturbation analysis of Eq.(1.1) isn't still studied as far as we know. The main difficulty of studying the perturbation analysis of Eq.(1.1) is that how to deal with $X^{\delta_{i}}$, when $\delta_{i} \in(0,1)$ or $\delta_{i} \in(-1,0)$. In this paper, we overcome this difficulty by using the elegant properties of the spectral norm and Thompson metric, and we give two perturbation estimates for the positive definite solution of Eq.(1.1) respectively. Now we consider the perturbed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{X}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}=\widetilde{Q} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{A}_{i}$ and $\widetilde{Q}$ are small perturbations of $A_{i}$ and $Q$ in Eq.(1.1). Here, we assume that $\widetilde{Q}$ be also positive definite. By Theorem 3.1 in Duan-Liao-Tang [3], we know that Eq.(1.1) has a unique positive definite solution $\widehat{X}$ and the perturbed equation (1.2) has a unique positive definite solution $\widetilde{X}$. In this paper, we will give an upper bound for $\delta(\widetilde{X}, \widehat{X})$, where $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a metric. In order to develop this paper, we need the symbols $\lambda_{\max }(B)\left(\lambda_{\min }(B)\right)$, which denote the maximal (minimal) eigenvalue of an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix $B$, and the symbol $M(\Omega, \alpha)$, which denotes the set of all strict contraction maps on $\Omega$ with the contraction constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$, that is to say, for arbitrary $f \in M(\Omega, \alpha)$, then

$$
\delta(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha \delta(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega
$$

## 2. The perturbation estimate by the spectral norm

In this section, we give an upper bound for $\|\widetilde{X}-\widehat{X}\|$, where the symbol $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the spectral norm. We begin with lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 [2, Theorem X.3.8]. Let $f$ be an operator monotone function on $(0, \infty)$ and let $A, B$ be two positive operators bounded below by a, i.e. $A>a I$ and $B>a I$ for a positive number $a$. If there exists $f^{\prime}(a)$, then for every unitary invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$, we have

$$
\|f(A)-f(B)\| \leq f^{\prime}(a)\|A-B\|
$$

Lemma 2.2. If $0<|\theta|<1$, and $X$ and $Y$ are positive definite matrices of the same order with $X, Y \geq b I>0$, then

$$
\left\|X^{\theta}-Y^{\theta}\right\| \leq|\theta| b^{\theta-1}\|X-Y\|
$$

Proof. We first consider the case $0<\theta<1$. From Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|X^{\theta}-Y^{\theta}\right\| \leq \theta b^{\theta-1}\|X-Y\|=|\theta| b^{\theta-1}\|X-Y\| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the other case $-1<\theta<0$. Since $X, Y \geq b I>0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\theta} \leq b^{\theta} I, \quad Y^{\theta} \leq b^{\theta} I \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.2) and (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|X^{\theta}-Y^{\theta}\right\| & =\left\|X^{\theta}\left(Y^{-\theta}-X^{-\theta}\right) Y^{\theta}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|X^{\theta}\right\|\left\|Y^{\theta}\right\|\left\|X^{-\theta}-Y^{-\theta}\right\| \\
& \leq b^{2 \theta}\left\|X^{-\theta}-Y^{-\theta}\right\|  \tag{2.3}\\
& \leq b^{2 \theta}|-\theta| b^{-\theta-1}\|X-Y\| \\
& =|\theta| b^{\theta-1}\|X-Y\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$
\left\|X^{\theta}-Y^{\theta}\right\| \leq|\theta| b^{\theta-1}\|X-Y\|, \quad 0<|\theta|<1
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let

$$
b=\min \left\{\lambda_{\min }(Q), \lambda_{\min }(\widetilde{Q})\right\}
$$

If

$$
t=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left\|A_{i}\right\|^{2}\left|\delta_{i}\right| b^{\delta_{i}-1}\right)>0
$$

then we have

$$
\|\widetilde{X}-\widehat{X}\| \leq \frac{1}{t}\left[\|\Delta Q\|+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left\|\Delta A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}\right\|+\left\|A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \Delta A_{i}\right\|\right)\right]
$$

where

$$
\Delta A_{i}=\widetilde{A}_{i}-A_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, m \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta Q=\widetilde{Q}-Q
$$

Proof. Since $\widehat{X}$ and $\tilde{X}$ are the unique positive definite solution of Eq. (1.1) and its perturbed equation (1.2) respectively, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} \widehat{X}^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}=Q \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{X}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}=\widetilde{Q} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) it is easy to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X} \geq Q \geq \lambda_{\min }(Q) I \geq b I \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{X} \geq \widetilde{Q} \geq \lambda_{\min }(\widetilde{Q}) I \geq b I \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (2.4) from (2.5) we get

$$
\widetilde{X}-\widehat{X}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} \widehat{X}^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)=\widetilde{Q}-Q
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{X}-\widehat{X}=(\widetilde{Q}-Q)+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[A_{i}^{*}\left(\widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}}-\widehat{X}^{\delta_{i}}\right) A_{i}+\Delta A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}+A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \Delta A_{i}\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Lemma 2.2 and (2.6)-(2.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{X}-\widehat{X}\|= & \left\|(\widetilde{Q}-Q)+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[A_{i}^{*}\left(\widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}}-\widehat{X}^{\delta_{i}}\right) A_{i}+\Delta A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}+A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \Delta A_{i}\right]\right\| \\
\leq & \|\Delta Q\|+\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[A_{i}^{*}\left(\widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}}-\widehat{X}^{\delta_{i}}\right) A_{i}\right]\right\|+\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\Delta A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}\right)\right\|+ \\
& \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \Delta A_{i}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \|\Delta Q\|+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left\|A_{i}\right\|^{2}\left\|\widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}}-\widehat{X}^{\delta_{i}}\right\|\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left\|\Delta A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}\right\|\right]+ \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left\|A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \Delta A_{i}\right\|\right] \\
\leq & \|\Delta Q\|+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left\|A_{i}\right\|^{2}\left|\delta_{i}\right| b^{\delta_{i}-1}\right]\|\widetilde{X}-\widehat{X}\|+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left\|\Delta A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}\right\|+\right. \\
& \left.\left\|A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \Delta A_{i}\right\|\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that
$\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|A_{i}\right\|^{2}\left|\delta_{i}\right| b^{\delta_{i}-1}\right)\|\widetilde{X}-\widehat{X}\| \leq\|\Delta Q\|+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left\|\Delta A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}\right\|+\left\|A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \Delta A_{i}\right\|\right]$.
Since

$$
t=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left\|A_{i}\right\|^{2}\left|\delta_{i}\right| b^{\delta_{i}-1}\right)>0
$$

then we have

$$
\|\widetilde{X}-\widehat{X}\| \leq \frac{1}{t}\left[\|\Delta Q\|+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left\|\Delta A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}\right\|+\left\|A_{i}^{*} \widetilde{X}^{\delta_{i}} \Delta A_{i}\right\|\right)\right]
$$

## 3. The perturbation estimate by Thompson Metric

In this section, we first review the Thompson metric on the set of all $n \times n$ positive definite matrix $P(n)$. Obviously, it is an open convex cone. And then we discuss the perturbation bound of the unique positive definite solution of Eq.(1.1) by using the perturbation theorem of contraction map.

The Thompson metric on $P(n)$ is defined by

$$
d(A, B)=\max \{\log W(A / B), \quad \log W(B / A)\}
$$

where $W(A / B)=\inf \{\lambda>0: A \leq \lambda B\}=\lambda_{\max }\left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. From Nussbaum [14] we obtain that $P(n)$ is a complete metric space with respect to the Thompson metric and $d(A, B)=\left\|\log \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right\|$, where the symbol $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the spectral norm. Now we shortly introduce the elegant properties of the Thompson metric on $P(n)$ ( see $[14,18]$ for more details). It is invariant under the matrix inversion and congruence transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(A, B)=d\left(A^{-1}, B^{-1}\right)=d\left(N^{*} A N, N^{*} B N\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix $N$. The other useful result is the nonpositive curvature property of the Thompson metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(X^{r}, Y^{r}\right) \leq r d(X, Y), \quad r \in[0,1] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(N^{*} X^{r} N, N^{*} Y^{r} N\right) \leq|r| d(X, Y), \quad r \in[-1,1] . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We begin with some lemmas.
Lemma 3.1 [13, Lemma 2.1]. For any $A, B, C, D \in P(n)$,

$$
d(A+B, C+D) \leq \max \{d(A, C), d(B, D)\}
$$

Especially,

$$
d(A+B, A+C) \leq d(B, C)
$$

Lemma 3.2 [16, Theorem 2.1]. Let $\phi \in M(\Omega, \alpha)$. Then the map $\phi$ has a unique fixed point $x^{*}(\phi)$ on $\Omega$.
Lemma 3.3 [16, Theorem 2.2] (Perturbation Theorem of Contraction Map). Let the map $\phi \in M(\Omega, \alpha)$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ and for all maps $\psi \in M(\Omega, \alpha)$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{X \in \Omega} \delta(\psi(X), \phi(X))<\min \left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{3} \varepsilon, 1\right\}
$$

we have the inequality

$$
\delta\left(x^{*}(\psi), x^{*}(\phi)\right)<\varepsilon,
$$

where the symbols $x^{*}(\psi)$ and $x^{*}(\phi)$ denote the unique fixed point of $\psi$ and $\phi$ on $\Omega$, respectively.
Theorem 3.1. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta=\max \left\{\left|\delta_{i}\right|, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, m\right\} \\
q=d(\widetilde{Q}, Q)=\left\|\log \left(\widetilde{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q \widetilde{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right\|
\end{gathered}
$$

$a_{i}=d\left(\widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}, A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)=\left\|\log \left(\left(\widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)\left(\widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right\|, i=$ $1,2, \cdots, m$. For every $\varepsilon>0$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in P(n)} \max \left\{q, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}\right\} \leq \min \left\{\frac{1-\delta}{3} \varepsilon, 1\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
d(\widetilde{X}, \widehat{X})<\varepsilon,
$$

where $\widehat{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}$ are the unique positive definite solution of Eq.(1.1) and its perturbed equation (1.2), respectively.

Proof. Let

$$
G(X)=Q+\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}, \quad X \in P(n)
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{G}(X)=\widetilde{Q}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}, \quad X \in P(n)
$$

Observe that the solution of Eq.(1.1) and its perturbed equation (1.2) are the fixed points of $G$ and $\widetilde{G}$, respectively. Now we will prove that $G, \widetilde{G} \in M(P(n), \delta)$.

It is easy to vertify that

$$
G, \widetilde{G}: P(n) \rightarrow P(n) .
$$

For arbitrary $X, Y \in P(n)$, according to Lemma 3.1 and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(G(X), G(Y)) \leq & d\left(Q+\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}, Q+\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} Y^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right) \\
\leq & d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} Y^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right) \\
\leq & \max \left\{d\left(A_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}, A_{1}^{*} Y^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}\right), d\left(\sum_{i=2}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}, \sum_{i=2}^{m} A_{i}^{*} Y^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & \max \left\{d\left(A_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}, A_{1}^{*} Y^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}\right), \max \left\{d\left(A_{2}^{*} X^{\delta_{2}} A_{2}, A_{2}^{*} Y^{\delta_{2}} A_{2}\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.d\left(\sum_{i=3}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}, \sum_{i=3}^{m} A_{i}^{*} Y^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
= & \max \left\{d\left(A_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}, A_{1}^{*} Y^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}\right), d\left(A_{2}^{*} X^{\delta_{2}} A_{2}, A_{2}^{*} Y^{\delta_{2}} A_{2}\right),\right. \\
& \left.d\left(\sum_{i=3}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}, \sum_{i=3}^{m} A_{i}^{*} Y^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & \cdots \cdots \\
\leq & \max \left\{d\left(A_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}, A_{1}^{*} Y^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}\right), d\left(A_{2}^{*} X^{\delta_{2}} A_{2}, A_{2}^{*} Y^{\delta_{2}} A_{2}\right),\right. \\
\leq & \left.\cdots, d\left(A_{m}^{*} X^{\delta_{m}} A_{m}, A_{m}^{*} Y^{\delta_{m}} A_{m}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & \max \left\{\left|\delta_{1}\right| d(X, Y),\left|\delta_{2}\right| d(X, Y), \cdots,\left|\delta_{m}\right| d(X, Y)\right\} \\
= & \delta d(X, Y),
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0<\delta<1$, we know that the map $G$ is strict contraction on $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n})$ with the contraction constant $\delta$. In a similar manner mentioned above, we obtain that the map $\widetilde{G}$ be also a strict contraction on $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n})$ with the contraction constant $\delta$. Hence,

$$
G, \widetilde{G} \in M(P(n), \delta)
$$

From Lemma 3.2 it follows that the map $G$ and $\widetilde{G}$ have a unique fixed point $\widehat{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}$ on $P(n)$ respectively, which are the unique positive definite solution of Eq.(1.1) and its perturbed equation (1.2).

For arbitrary $X \in P(n)$, according to Lemma 3.1 and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d(\widetilde{G}(X), G(X))= & d\left(\widetilde{Q}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}, Q+\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right) \\
\leq & \max \left\{d(\widetilde{Q}, Q), d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & \max \left\{d(\widetilde{Q}, Q), d\left(\widetilde{A}_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} \widetilde{A}_{1}, A_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}\right),\right. \\
& \left.d\left(\sum_{i=2}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}, \sum_{i=2}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & \max \left\{d(\widetilde{Q}, Q), d\left(\widetilde{A}_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} \widetilde{A}_{1}, A_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}\right), \max \left\{d \left(\widetilde{A}_{2}^{*} X^{\delta_{2}} \widetilde{A}_{2},\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.A_{2}^{*} X^{\delta_{2}} A_{2}\right), d\left(\sum_{i=3}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}, \sum_{i=3}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
= & \max \left\{d(\widetilde{Q}, Q), d\left(\widetilde{A}_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} \widetilde{A}_{1}, A_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}\right), d\left(\widetilde{A}_{2}^{*} X^{\delta_{2}} \widetilde{A}_{2},\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.A_{2}^{*} X^{\delta_{2}} A_{2}\right), d\left(\sum_{i=3}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} \widetilde{A}_{i}, \sum_{i=3}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & \cdots \cdots \\
\leq & \max \left\{d(\widetilde{Q}, Q), d\left(\widetilde{A}_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} \widetilde{A}_{1}, A_{1}^{*} X^{\delta_{1}} A_{1}\right), d\left(\widetilde{A}_{2}^{*} X^{\delta_{2}} \widetilde{A}_{2},\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.A_{2}^{*} X^{\delta_{2}} A_{2}\right), \cdots, d\left(\widetilde{A}_{m}^{*} X^{\delta_{m}} \widetilde{A}_{m}, A_{m}^{*} X^{\delta_{m}} A_{m}\right)\right\} \\
= & \max \left\{q, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}\right\} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$
\sup _{X \in P(n)} d(\widetilde{G}(X), G(X)) \leq \sup _{X \in P(n)} \max \left\{q, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}\right\} \leq \min \left\{\frac{1-\delta}{3} \varepsilon, 1\right\},
$$

and from Lemma 3.3 it follows that

$$
d(\widetilde{X}, \widehat{X})<\varepsilon
$$

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we consider the positive definite solution of the nonlinear matrix equation

$$
X-\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} X^{\delta_{i}} A_{i}=Q, \quad 0<\left|\delta_{i}\right|<1,
$$

which arises in an optimal interpolation problem. Two new perturbation estimates for the unique positive definite solution are derived by making use of the elegant properties of spectral norm and Thompson metric.
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