A Stereoselective Synthesis of C4-C18 Fragment of Arenicolide A

Kwangkuk Lee, Jung Lyul Lee, and Duck-Hyung Lee*

Department of Chemistry, Sogang University, Mapo-gu, Seoul 121-742, Korea. *E-mail: dhlee@sogang.ac.kr Received May 12, 2012, Accepted May 16, 2012

Key Words : Arenicolide A, Stereoselective synthesis, Brown asymmetric methoxyallylation, Regioselective Stille coupling

Arenicolide A (1) and B (2), isolated from the large-scale fermentation of the *S. arenicola* strain CNR-005 in 2007, displayed cytotoxicity toward the human colon adenocarcinoma cell line HCT-116 (IC₅₀ = 30 μ g/mL) and three cell lines in the National Cancer Institute.¹ Arenicolide A (1) is a 26-ring macrolide with three conjugated dienes, nine chiral centers, and one side chain, and its molecular formula is consistent with the high-resolution ESI-FTMS data of the [M+Na]⁺ peak at *m/z* 827.4916. Regarding the synthesis of arenicolide A (1), our group published the synthesis of C26-C36 fragment of arenicolide A (1) in 2009^{2a} and Miyashita group reported another synthesis of C25-C36 fragment in 2010.^{2b}



Although its relative stereochemical relationships were proposed by various spectroscopic and chemical degradation methods, chiral centers at C12, C30, and C31 were not elucidated clearly by W. Fenical in 2007.¹ If we assume that C6-C12 and C16-C22 sequences should be repeated, then we can assign the chiral center at C12 to be (*S*)-configuration. We report herein the stereoselective synthesis of the plausible C4-C18 skeleton **3** of arenicolide A (**1**) based on this assumption.

Retrosynthesis is summarized in Figure 1. The target molecule **3** would be prepared by transition-metal mediated cross-coupling³ of vinylstanne **4** and 1,1-dibromide **5**. Brown asymmetric methoxyallylation of aldehyde **6** would provide the intermediate **4**, and 1,1-dibromide **5** should be derived from Wittig reaction of aldehyde **7** and phosphonium salt **8**.⁴

The commercially available D-mannitol (9) was converted to the aldehyde 10 by acetal protection of two 1,2-diol moieties and subsequent oxidative cleavage of the remaining 1,2-diol in 46% two-step yield.⁵ (Scheme 1) Although there are many methods available to convert the aldehyde 10 into *syn*-alcohol 11,⁶ we decided to utilize asymmetric reduction strategy. Addition of allylmagensium bromide to aldehyde 10 and PCC oxidation provided the $\beta_{3}\gamma$ -unsaturated ketone



Figure 1. Retrosynthesis of C4-C18 skeleton 3.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of aldehyde 7. (a) Cyclohexanone, BF_3 -OEt₂, CH(OMe)₃, DMSO, 24 hr. (b) NaIO₄, Bu₄NBr (cat.), Et₂O, H₂O, 2 hr, 46% (over 2 steps). (c) AllylMgBr, THF, 0 °C to rt, 15 hr, 88%. (d) PCC, NaOAc, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt, 12 hr, 80% (e) K-selectride, THF, -78 °C, 4 hr, 82%. (f) NaH, MeI, THF, 0 °C, 8 hr, 95%. (g) O₃, CH₂Cl₂:MeOH = 5:1, -78 °C, then DMS, pH 7 buffer, 61%.

in 70% two-step yield, and Felkin-type reduction of β_{γ} unsaturated ketone using K-selectride was carried out to afford the *syn*-alcohol **11** in 82% yield.^{6d} Allylic alcohol **11** was further converted to the aldehyde **7** *via* methylation of the C16 hydroxy group in 95% yield and ozonolysis of terminal double bond in 61% yield.

Benzyl protected intermediate 12 was prepared by Wittig reaction of aldehyde 7 and phosphonium salt 8^4 in 55% yield (Scheme 2). The acetal protecting group of 12 was removed



Scheme 2. Synthesis of 1,1-dibromo alkene 5. (a) Phosphonium salt 8, *n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C to 0 °C, 3 hr, 55%. (b) TFA, MeOH/ H₂O, rt, 54%. (c) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt, 82%. (d) Pd/C, H₂ (g), MeOH, 3 days, rt, 99%. (e) (COCl)₂, DMSO, CH₂Cl₂, Et₃N, -78 °C, 86%. (f) CBr₄, PPh₃, Et₃N, THF, 0 °C to rt, 87%.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of target molecule 3. (a) *n*-Bu₃SnH, AIBN, Toluene, reflux, 5 hr, 51%. (b) (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N, CH₂Cl, -78 °C, 1 hr, 99%. (c) Allyl methyl ether, *sec*-BuLi, BF₃-OEt, (–)-Ipc₂BOMe, THF, -78 °C, 3 hr, 71%. (d) 1,1-Dibromo alkene 5, Pd₂(dba)₃, (2-furyl)₃P, toluene, 100 °C, 24 hr, 52%. (e) TBDPSCl, imidazole, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 92%.

by TFA in aqueous methanol in 54% yield, and the resulting 1,2-diol was treated with TBSOTf and 2,6-lutidine to afford the *bis*-TBS product in 82% yield. The primary alcohol **13** was synthesized by hydrogenation of the internal double bond and hydrogenolysis of the benzyl protecting group using Pd/C in MeOH under H₂ atmosphere for 3 days in 99% yield. Sequentially, Swern oxidation and conversion of aldehyde functionality to 1,1-dibromo alkene moiety by Corey-Fuchs protocol⁷ provided the intermediate **5** in 75% two steps yield.

The synthesis of target molecule **3** was shown in Scheme 3. The commercially available propargyl alcohol (**14**) was converted to α,β -unsaturated aldehyde **6** by hydrostannylation reaction of terminal alkyne using Bu₃SnH and AIBN in 51% yield and subsequent Swern oxidation in 99% yield. Brown asymmetric methoxyallylation⁸ to aldehyde **6** using

(–)-Ipc₂BOMe, *sec*-BuLi and allyl methyl ether furnished vinylstanne **4** in 71% yield, and the construction of C9-C10 bond was completed by $Pd_2(dba)_3$ -mediated regioselective Stille coupling³ of the stanne **4** and 1,1-dibromo alkene **5**. Finally, the synthesis of target **3**⁹ was completed by protection of TBDPS group at C7 in 92% yield.

In summary, the plausible C4-C18 building block **3** was prepared in total 18 steps (15 linear-steps, 1.3% overall yield from **9**). The key steps are Brown asymmetric methoxy-allylation, Wittig reaction, and regioselective Stille coupling.

Acknowledgments. This research was assisted financially by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2008-0058780). The instrument facilities of the Organic Chemistry Research Center (OCRC) in Sogang University were also helpful.

References

- Williams, P. G.; Miller, E. D.; Asolkar, R. N.; Jesen, R. R.; Fenical, W. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5025-5034.
- (a) Lee, J. L.; Han, S.-J.; Lee, D.-H. *Bull. Kor. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 30, 1443-1444. (b) Nagumo, S.; Nakano, T.; Hata, K.; Mizukami, M.; Miyashita, M. *Org. Lett.* 2010, *12*, 908-911.
- 3. Shen, W.; Wang, L. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8873-8879.
- 4. Commandeur, M.; Commandeur, C.; Cossy, J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6018-6021.
- (a) Yin, H.; Franck, R. W.; Chen, S. L.; Quigley, G. J.; Todaro, L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 644-651. (b) Hiroya, K.; Ichihashi, Y.; Furutono, A.; Inamoto, K.; Sakamoto, T.; Doi, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 6623-6630.
- (a) Grover, P. T.; Roush, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3806-3813. (b) Hoong, L. K.; Palmer, M. A. J.; Straub, J. A.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Roush, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4117-4126. (c) Willis, C.; Bellosta, V.; BouzBouz, S.; Cossy, J. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1982-1992. (d) Dhotare, B.; Salaskar, A.; Chattopadhyay, A. Synthesis 2003, 16, 2571-2575.
- 7. Fuchs, P. L.; Corey, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 13, 3769-3772.
- Jadhav, P. K.; Bhat, K. S.; Brown, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1535-1538.
- 9. $[\alpha]_{D} = -7.36$ (c = 0.0125 CHCl₃), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.70-7.62 (m, 4H), 7.42-7.31 (m, 6H), 5.95-5.92 (m, 2H), 5.70-5.64 (m, 1H), 5.51 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, *J* = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (t, 1H), 3.72-3.67 (m, 2H), 3.51-3.47 (m, 1H), 3.45-3.40 (m, 1H), 3.38 (s, 3H), 3.15-3.14 (m, 1H), 3.09 (s, 3H), 2.71-2.67 (m, 1H), 1.42-1.23 (m, 6H) 1.08 (s, 9H), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.08-0.04 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 145.29, 141.36, 139.83, 139.45, 139.04, 137.30, 136.33, 135.05, 134.97, 132.90, 132.84, 128.72, 124.17, 90.94, 87.40, 82.63, 79.78, 79.49, 69.61, 63.95, 62.08, 42.03, 41.61, 35.02, 32.44, 32.39, 31.41, 31.33, 29.40, 25.05, 24.81, 23.77, 23.55, 1.19, 0.558, 0.09, 0.00 ppm; IR (neat) 2949.5, 2924.3, 2853.5, 1740.7, 1470.2, 1460.9, 1360.2, 1252.1, 1107.4, 833.85, 776.16, 701.53 cm⁻¹; HRMS: *m/z* calcd. for C₄₆H₇₇BrO₅Si₃ [M+Na]⁺ 897.4139, found: 897.4142.