

# GENERALIZED PRIME IDEALS IN NON-ASSOCIATIVE NEAR-RINGS I

#### Yong Uk Cho

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the concept of \*-prime ideals in non-associative near-rings is introduced and then will be studied. For this purpose, first we introduce the notions of \*-operation, \*-prime ideal and \*-system in a near-ring. Next, we will define the \*-sequence, \*-strongly nilpotent and \*-prime radical of near-rings, and then obtain some characterizations of \*-prime ideal and \*-prime radical  $r_s(I)$  of an ideal I of near-ring N.

### 1. Introduction

A near-ring N is an algebraic system  $(N, +, \cdot)$  with two binary operations, say + and  $\cdot$  such that (N, +) is a group (not necessarily abelian) with neutral element 0,  $(N, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and a(b + c) = ab + ac for all a, b, c in N.

In this near-ring, if  $(N, \cdot)$  is not a semigroup, then N is a non-associative near-ring. If N has a unity 1, then N is called *unitary*. An element d in N is called *distributive* if (a + b)d = ad + bd for all a and b in N. A near-ring N is called *distributive* if every element in N is distributive.

An *ideal* of N is a subset I of N such that (i) (I, +) is a normal subgroup of (N, +), (ii)  $a(I + b) - ab \subset I$  for all  $a, b \in N$ , (iii)  $(I + a)b - ab \subset I$  for all  $a, b \in N$ . If I satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called a *left ideal* of N. If I satisfies (i) and (iii) then it is called a *right ideal* of N.

On the other hand, an N-subgroup of N is any subset H of N such that (i) (H, +) is a subgroup of (N, +), (ii)  $NH \subset H$  and (iii)  $HN \subset H$ . If H satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called a *left N-subgroup* of N. If H satisfies (i) and (iii) then it is called a *right N-subgroup* of N. In case, (H, +) is normal in above, we say that normal N-subgroup, normal left N-subgroup and normal right N-subgroup instead of N-subgroup, left N-subgroup and right N-subgroup, respectively.

Note that normal N-subgroups of N are not equivalent to ideals of N. We consider the following notations: Given a near-ring N,

$$N_0 = \{ a \in N \mid 0a = 0 \}$$

281

©20121 The Youngnam Mathematical Society

Received June 7, 2011; Revised September 25, 2011; Accepted April 25, 2012. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y30.

Key words and phrases. Non-associative near-rings, \*-prime ideal, \*-system, \*-strongly nilpotent and \*-prime radical.

which is called the zero symmetric part of N,

$$N_c = \{a \in N \mid 0a = a\} = \{a \in N \mid ra = a, \text{ for all } r \in N\}$$

which is called the *constant part* of N.

We note that  $N_0$  and  $N_c$  are subnear-rings of N. A near-ring N with the extra axiom 0a = 0 for all  $a \in N$ , that is,  $N = N_0$  is said to be zero symmetric, also, in case  $N = N_c$ , N is called a *constant* near-ring. From the Pierce decomposition theorem, we get the important fact:

$$N = N_0 \oplus N_c$$

as additive groups. So every element  $a \in N$  has a unique representation of the form a = b + c, where  $b \in N_0$  and  $c \in N_c$ .

Throughout this paper, by a near-ring, we mean a zero-symmetric nonassociative near-ring. For basic definitions and results on near-rings, one may refer Pilz [5].

Let (G, +) be a group (not necessarily abelian). In the set

$$M(G) = \{ f \mid f : G \longrightarrow G \}$$

of all the self maps of G, if we define the sum f + g of any two mappings f, gin M(G) by the rule x(f + g) = xf + xg for all  $x \in G$  and the product  $f \cdot g$  by the rule  $x(f \cdot g) = (xf)g$  for all  $x \in G$ , then  $(M(G), +, \cdot)$  becomes a near-ring. It is called the *self map near-ring* of the group G. Also, if we define the set

$$M_0(G) = \{ f \in M(G) \mid 0f = 0 \},\$$

then  $(M_0(G), +, \cdot)$  is a zero symmetric near-ring.

7

#### 2. Results on \*-prime ideals and \*-prime radicals

Groenewald and Potgieter [1] generalized the notion of prime ideals in associative near-rings and introduced the concept of f-prime ideals in associative near-rings. The notion of f-prime ideals in associative near rings actually extends the notion of f-prime ideals in associative rings due to Murata et al. [2]. Myung [3] introduced the notion of \*-prime ideals in non-associative rings. Corresponding to \*-prime ideals in non-associative rings, we can introduce in this paper the \*-prime ideals in non-associative near-rings. For this purpose, first we define the notions of \*-system and \*-prime ideal in a near-ring and prove that complement of a \*-system is a \*-prime ideal.

In this section, we define \*-operation for the purpose of \*-prime ideals, and obtain some characterizations of \*-prime ideal and \*-prime radical.

The concept of \*-operation for rings was introduced by Myung [3], [4]. We can extend this concept to near-rings as following:

**Definition 1.** Let F(N) be the set of all ideals in N. A \*-operation is a mapping from  $F(N) \times F(N)$  into the family of additive subgroups of N satisfying the following conditions.

(i) for A, B, C, D in F(N), if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $C \subseteq D$ , then  $A * C \subseteq B * D$ .

282

(ii)  $A * B \subseteq A \cap B$  for all A, B in F(N).

(iii)  $(A+C)*(B+C) \subseteq (A*B)+C$  for all A, B, C in F(N).

Hereafter, by a near-ring we mean a near-ring N in which a  $\ast\text{-operation}$  is defined.

Now, we may obtain the following examples of \*-operations in N.

**Example 1.** Let N be a near-ring. Define \* on  $F(N) \times F(N)$  by A \* B is a normal subgroup generated by  $\{ab|a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Then this \*-operation satisfy the conditions stated in the above Definition 1. For, the conditions (i)and (ii) are trivially true. If  $A, B, C \in F(N)$ , then  $(A+C)(B+C) \subseteq AB+C$ . Thus the set of all generators of (A+C) \* (B+C) are of the form ab + c for  $a \in A, b \in B$  and  $c \in C$ . Clearly A \* B + C is a normal subgroup of (N, +) and it contains all the elements of AB + C. Thus  $(A+C) * (B+C) \subseteq A * B + C$ . Hence for any near-ring N, always \*-operation exists.

**Definition 2.** A proper ideal I in a near-ring is said to be \*-prime if  $A * B \subseteq I$  implies either  $A \subseteq I$  or  $B \subseteq I$  for A, B in F(N).

**Definition 3.** A non-empty subset M of N is said to be \*-system if  $A \cap M \neq \emptyset$ and  $B \cap M \neq \emptyset$  implies  $A * B \cap M \neq \emptyset$  for  $A, B \in F(N)$ .

In the following, we give some examples of \*-prime ideals in N.

**Example 2.** Consider the near-ring (N, +, .) defined on Dihedral group  $(D_8, +)$  according to the scheme (0,9,0,9,1,3,1,3) (p. 415 [5]). This near-ring is non-associative, since (a+b)((2a+b)(3a+b)) = a+b and ((a+b)(2a+b))(3a+b) = 3a+b. One can check that the proper ideals of the above near-ring are  $I_1 = \{0, 2a\}$  and  $I_2 = \{0, a, 2a, 3a\}$ . This follows from the fact that the above near-ring is distributive and  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are the only normal subgroups which are closed under left and right multiplications by elements of N. Define \* on  $F(N) \times F(N)$  as in Example 1. For this \*-operation, it is easy to observe that  $I_2$  is \*-prime and  $I_1$  is not a \*-prime ideal in N.

Now, we can obtain some equivalent conditions of  $\ast$ -prime ideals in N.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let I be a proper ideal in a near-ring N. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) If  $A * B \subseteq I$  for A, B in F(N), then either  $A \subseteq I$  or  $B \subseteq I$ .
- (ii) If  $A \cap C(I) \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \cap C(I) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(A * B) \cap C(I) \neq \emptyset$  for  $A, B \in F(N)$ . Here C(I) denotes complement of I.
- (iii) If a and b are in C(I), then  $(\langle a \rangle * \langle b \rangle) \cap C(I) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\langle x \rangle$  denotes the ideal generated by  $x \in N$ .

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ . Assume the condition (i). If  $A \cap C(I) \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \cap C(I) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exist a in A and b in B such that  $a \in C(I)$  and  $b \in C(I)$ , that is,  $a \notin I$  and  $b \notin I$ . These fact implies that  $A \notin I$  and  $B \notin I$ . From the condition (i), we see that  $A * B \notin I$ , that is, there exists  $c \in (A * B)$  such that  $c \notin I$ , equivalently, there exists  $c \in (A * B)$  such that  $c \in C(I)$ . Hence,  $(A * B) \cap C(I) \neq \emptyset$  for  $A, B \in F(N)$ .

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  and  $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$  can be, analogously, proved as  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ .

Remark 1. By the above Proposition 2.1, an ideal I is a \*-prime ideal if and only if C(I) is a \*-system. Thus in Example 2, the set  $M = \{b, a + b, 2a + b, 3a + b\}$  is a \*-system.

**Definition 4.** A sequence  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots$  of elements in N is said to be a \*-sequence if  $a_n \in \langle a_{n-1} \rangle * \langle a_{n-1} \rangle$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Every  $\ast$ -sequence is a  $\ast$ -system in N.

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots\}$  be a \*-sequence in N. If  $A \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , then there exist elements  $a_k$  and  $a_\ell$  in S such that  $a_k \in A$  and  $a_\ell \in B$ . If  $k \ge \ell$ , then  $a_{k+1} \in \langle a_k \rangle * \langle a_k \rangle \subseteq \langle a_k \rangle * \langle a_\ell \rangle \subseteq A * B$  and so  $(A * B) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . Thus S is a \*-system in N.

**Definition 5.** An element  $a \in N$  is said to be \*-strongly nilpotent if every \*-sequence  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots$  with  $a_0 = a$  vanishes. That is, there exists an integer  $k \geq 1$  such that  $a_s = 0$  for all  $s \geq k$ .

**Definition 6.** If I is a proper ideal of N, then the \*-prime radical  $r_S(I)$  of I is the set of all elements  $x \in N$  such that every \*-system that contains x contains an element of I.

**Proposition 2.3.** For an ideal I of a near-ring N,  $r_S(I)$  is the intersection of all \*-prime ideals in N containing I.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in r_S(I)$ . Suppose  $x \notin \cap P_i$ , where  $P_i$  is a \*-prime ideal containing I. By assumption there exists a \*-prime ideal P such that  $x \notin P$  and  $I \subseteq P$ . Since P is a \*-prime ideal, C(P) is a \*-system containing x and  $C(P) \cap I = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $r_S(I) \subseteq \cap P_i$ .

Conversely, if  $x \in \cap P_i$  and  $x \notin r_S(I)$ , then there exists a \*-system M such that  $x \in M$  and  $M \cap I = \emptyset$ . This implies that C(M) = P is a \*-prime ideal and  $x \notin P$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\cap P_i \subseteq r_S(I)$ 

**Proposition 2.4.** Let N be a near-ring. Then  $r_S(N) = \{n \in N/n \text{ is } *\text{-strongly nilpotent } \}.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $x \in r_S(N)$ . If x is not \*-strongly nilpotent, then there exists a \*-sequence  $S = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots\}$  with  $a_0 = x$  and  $a_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . By Lemma 2.2, S is a \*-system. Again by Proposition 2.1, C(S) is a \*-prime ideal and note that  $x \notin C(S)$ . Thus  $x \notin r_S(N)$ , a contradiction.

Conversely let x be a \*-strongly nilpotent. If  $x \notin r_S(N)$ , then there exists a \*-prime ideal P such that  $x \notin P$ . By Proposition 2.1, C(P) is a \*-system and  $x \in C(P)$ . Since  $a_0 = x \in \langle x \rangle \cap C(P)$ , by the definition of \*-system we get  $(\langle a_0 \rangle * \langle a_0 \rangle) \cap C(P) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a_1 \in (\langle a_0 \rangle * \langle a_0 \rangle) \cap C(P)$ . Since  $\langle a_1 \rangle \cap C(P) \neq \emptyset$  we get an element  $a_2 \in (\langle a_1 \rangle * \langle a_1 \rangle) \cap C(P)$ . Continuing in this way we get a \*-sequence  $S = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots\}$  with  $a_0 = x$ . Note that  $S \subseteq C(P)$ . By the assumption, x is \*-strongly nilpotent, there exists

284

an integer  $k \ge 1$  such that  $a_s = 0$  for all  $s \ge k$ . Thus  $a_k = 0 \in P$  and so  $P \cap C(P) \ne \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x \in r_S(N)$ .

## References

- N. J. Groenewald and P. C. Potgieter A generalization of prime ideals in near-rings, Comm. in Algebra 12(15) (1984), 1835–1853.
- K. Murata, Y. Murata and K. Marubayashi A generalization of prime radical in rings, Osaka J. Math. 66 (1969), 291–301.
- [3] H. C. Myung On prime ideals and primary decomposition in a non-associative rings, Osaka J. Math. 9 (1972), 41–47.
- [4] H. C. Myung A generalization of prime radical in non-associative rings, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 42 (1) (1972), 187–193.
- [5] G. Pilz *Near-rings*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford 1983.

Yong Uk Cho Department of May

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Silla University, Pusan 617-736, Korea

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{yucho@silla.ac.kr}$