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#### Abstract

We find a $C^{\infty}$ one-parameter family of Riemannian metrics $g_{t}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$ for some number $\varepsilon$ with the following property: $g_{0}$ is the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the scalar curvatures of $g_{t}$ are strictly decreasing in $t$ in the open unit ball and $g_{t}$ is isometric to the Euclidean metric in the complement of the ball.


## 1. Introduction

In a remarkable paper of Lohkamp [3], where he proved the existence of negatively Ricci-curved metrics on a manifold, he constructed metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, $k \geq 3$ which have negative Ricci curvature on a ball and are Euclidean in the complement of the ball. These metrics played a central role in the proof of the existence. In fact, such metrics near the ball were quasi-isometrically embedded into any given manifold, and then he could prove by spreading argument that any manifold of dimension $\geq 3$ admit a Riemannian metric of negative Ricci curvature.

But he noted that the metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ can be only $C^{0}$-close to the Euclidean metric by the nature of the construction, e.g., see the metrics in [4, pp. 492493]. Related to this, Lohkamp has made the following conjecture in [4].
Conjecture. Let $\left(M^{n}, g_{0}\right), n \geq 3$, be a manifold and $B \subset M$ a ball. Then there are a metric $g_{1}$ and a $C^{\infty}$-continuous path $g_{t}$, on $M$ with
(i) Ricci curvature of $g_{t}$ is strictly decreasing in $t$ on $B$.
(ii) $g_{t} \equiv g_{0}$ on $M \backslash B$.

Through this conjecture, one wants to study how flexible a Riemannian metric can be with respect to Ricci curvature. Also one may want to study the topology of the space of negatively Ricci-curved metrics on a manifold. If the above $g_{t}$ exists, we call it a Ricci-curvature melting of $g_{0}$ on $B$. This
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conjecture, if true, would certainly imply a scalar-curvature melting, meaning a path $g_{t}$ as above but with scalar curvature replacing the Ricci curvature in the condition (i). So far, there is no specific argument yet shown even in the scalar-curvature melting case, to the knowledge of the authors. Typical metric-surgery arguments do not seem to yield a scalar-curvature melting.

If one considers the scalar curvatures $s\left(g_{t}\right)$ for a scalar-curvature melting $g_{t}$, then $\left.\frac{d s\left(g_{t}\right)}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \leq 0$ on $B$. In this way, the scalar-curvature melting is related to the deformation theory of the scalar curvature functional $S: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$ defined on the space $\mathbf{M}$ of Riemannian metrics, [1]. For example, to find a melting $g_{t}$ one may try to get a symmetric 2-tensor $h$ with support in $B$ such that $d S_{g_{0}}(h)$, the first order derivative of $S$ at $g_{0}$ in the direction $h$, is negative in $B$. But this approach is technically yet to be developed.

So in this paper we are content to study the scalar-curvature melting in the simple case; that of Euclidean metric on a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We use the coordinates $(x, r, \theta)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ where $(r, \theta)$ are the polar coordinates on the second direct summand of $\mathbb{R}^{3}:=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$. We express the Euclidean metric as $g_{0}=d x^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \theta^{2}$ and deform it to $g=f^{2} d x^{2}+h^{2} d r^{2}+\frac{r^{2}}{h^{2}} d \theta^{2}$ using two smooth functions $f$ and $h$ so that $g$ has negative scalar curvatures on a compact set near origin and is Euclidean away from it. And then by conformal change of $g$ we spread the negativity inside the compact set over to an exact ball. In the process, we found a natural choice of parameter $t$ to get $g_{t}$. Here is the main result.

Theorem 1.1. There exists a $C^{\infty}$ one-parameter family of Riemannian metrics $g_{t}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which exists for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$ for some number $\varepsilon$ with the following property: $g_{0}$ is the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{3}, s\left(g_{\tilde{t}}\right)<s\left(g_{t}\right)$ for $0 \leq t<\tilde{t} \leq \varepsilon$ in the open unit ball and $g_{t}$ is the Euclidean metric in the complement of the ball.

Note that at the Euclidean metric $g_{0}, d S_{g_{0}}(h)=\Delta\left(t r_{h}\right)+\delta \delta(h)$, whose integral is zero. So we could not expect to get a melting $g_{t}$ with $\left.\frac{d s\left(g_{t}\right)}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=$ $d S_{g_{0}}\left(g^{\prime}(0)\right)<0$ in a ball. In fact, we have $d S_{g_{0}}\left(g^{\prime}(0)\right) \equiv 0$ whereas $\left.\frac{d^{2} s\left(g_{t}\right)}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}<$ 0 in the open unit ball.

In Section 2, we construct Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ that have negative scalar curvatures on a compact set near origin and are Euclidean away from it. In Section 3, we demonstrate a $C^{\infty}$ one-parameter family of metrics $g_{t}$ and prove that the scalar curvature $s\left(g_{t}\right)$ is monotonically decreasing in $t$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In Section 4, by a conformal deformation we spread the strict decreasing property of scalar curvature onto a ball.

## 2. Construction of the metric

We will deform the Euclidean metric $g_{0}=d x^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \theta^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ to $g=f^{2} d x^{2}+h^{2} d r^{2}+\frac{r^{2}}{h^{2}} d \theta^{2}$ where $f(x, r)$ and $h(x, r)$ are $C^{\infty}$ functions on $\mathbb{R}^{3}=\{(x, r, \theta) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, r \geq 0,0 \leq \theta<2 \pi\}$, and we will require that both of them are constant function 1 away from the cylinder $\mathbf{C}=\{(x, r, \theta)| | x \mid<$
$1,0 \leq r<1,0 \leq \theta<2 \pi\}$. Let $w^{1}=f d x, w^{2}=h d r, w^{3}=\frac{r}{h} d \theta$ be an orthonormal co-frame of $g$. Then we can calculate the sectional curvatures $-R_{i j i j}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1212} & =\frac{h_{x x}}{f^{2} h}-\frac{f_{x} h_{x}}{f^{3} h}+\frac{f_{r r}}{f h^{2}}-\frac{f_{r} h_{r}}{f h^{3}}, \\
R_{2323} & =\frac{3 h_{r}^{2}}{h^{4}}-\frac{h_{r r}}{h^{3}}-\frac{3 h_{r}}{h^{3} r}-\frac{h_{x}^{2}}{f^{2} h^{2}} \\
R_{1313} & =\frac{2 h_{x}^{2}}{f^{2} h^{2}}+\frac{f_{x} h_{x}}{f^{3} h}-\frac{h_{x x}}{f^{2} h}+\frac{f_{r}}{f h^{2} r}-\frac{f_{r} h_{r}}{f h^{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The scalar curvature is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{g} & =\sum_{i, j}(-1) R_{i j i j}=-2\left(R_{1212}+R_{1313}+R_{2323}\right) \\
& =-2\left(\frac{f_{r r}}{f h^{2}}+\frac{f_{r}}{f h^{2} r}-\frac{h_{r r}}{h^{3}}-\frac{3 h_{r}}{h^{3} r}+\frac{h_{x}^{2}}{f^{2} h^{2}}+\frac{3 h_{r}^{2}}{h^{4}}-\frac{2 f_{r} h_{r}}{f h^{3}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We multiply $-\frac{h^{2}}{2}$ to both sides of the above to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{s_{g} h^{2}}{2}=\left(\frac{f_{r r}}{f}-\frac{f_{r}^{2}}{f^{2}}+\frac{f_{r}}{f r}-\frac{h_{r r}}{h}-\frac{3 h_{r}}{h r}+\frac{3 h_{r}^{2}}{h^{2}}-\frac{2 f_{r} h_{r}}{f h}\right)+\frac{f_{r}^{2}}{f^{2}}+\frac{h_{x}^{2}}{f^{2}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our strategy is to find $f$ and $h$ with support in $\mathbf{C}$ so that the sum of the terms in the parenthesis of (1) becomes zero. The remaining square terms $\frac{f_{r}^{2}}{f^{2}}+\frac{h_{x}^{2}}{f^{2}}$ guarantee the non-positivity of $s_{g}$ on $\mathbf{C}$. Now for convenience we denote the partial derivative in the $r$ variable by prime $\left(^{\prime}\right)$ as $f^{\prime}=f_{r}, f^{\prime \prime}=$ $f_{r r}, h^{\prime}=h_{r}, h^{\prime \prime}=h_{r r}$ and we let $F=\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}$ and $H=\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}$. Then $\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}=F^{2}+F^{\prime}$, $\frac{h^{\prime \prime}}{h}=H^{2}+H^{\prime}$ and the sum of the terms in the parenthesis in (1) becomes $F^{\prime}+\frac{F}{r}-\left(H^{2}+H^{\prime}\right)-\frac{3}{r} H-2 F H+3 H^{2}$, which we want to be zero, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{r}-2 H\right) F=\frac{3}{r} H+H^{\prime}-2 H^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we will solve the ordinary differential equation (2) with respect to $r$. So we denote the functions $f(x, r), h(x, r)$, etc. by $f(r), h(r)$, etc. respectively for simplicity. We multiply an integrating factor $e^{\int_{1}^{r} \frac{1}{s}-2 H d s}=e^{\int_{1}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{s}-2 \frac{h^{\prime}}{h}\right) d s}=$ $\frac{r h^{2}(1)}{h^{2}(r)}=\frac{r}{h^{2}(r)}$ to both sides of (2). Hereafter we require and assume that $h(0)=h(1)=1$, which will be checked later. Then (2) becomes

$$
\frac{d}{d r}\left[\frac{r}{h^{2}(r)} F(r)\right]=\left[\frac{r}{h^{2}(r)}\left(\frac{3}{r} H(r)+H^{\prime}(r)-2 H^{2}(r)\right)\right]
$$

Integrating both sides from 0 to $r$,

$$
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{d}{d s}\left[\frac{s}{h^{2}(s)} F(s)\right] d s=\int_{0}^{r}\left[\frac{s}{h^{2}(s)}\left(\frac{3}{r} H(s)+H^{\prime}(s)-2 H^{2}(s)\right)\right] d s
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(r) & =\frac{h^{2}(r)}{r} \int_{0}^{r}\left[\frac{s}{h^{2}(s)}\left(\frac{3}{s} H(s)+H^{\prime}(s)-2 H^{2}(s)\right)\right] d s, r \neq 0 \\
& =\frac{h^{2}(r)}{r} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{s}{h^{2}(s)}\left[\frac{3}{s} \frac{h^{\prime}(s)}{h(s)}+\left(\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(s)}{h(s)}-\frac{h^{\prime 2}(s)}{h^{2}(s)}\right)-2 \frac{h^{\prime 2}(s)}{h^{2}(s)}\right] d s, r \neq 0 \\
& =\frac{h^{2}(r)}{r} \int_{0}^{r}\left(3 \frac{h^{\prime}(s)}{h^{3}(s)}+\frac{s h^{\prime \prime}(s)}{h^{3}(s)}-\frac{3 s h^{\prime 2}(s)}{h^{4}(s)}\right) d s, r \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{s h^{\prime \prime}(s)}{h^{3}(s)}-\frac{3 s h^{\prime 2}(s)}{h^{4}(s)}\right) d s=\int_{0}^{r} s d\left(\frac{h^{\prime}(s)}{h^{3}(s)}\right)=\left.\frac{s h^{\prime}(s)}{h^{3}(s)}\right|_{0} ^{r}-\int_{0}^{r} \frac{h^{\prime}(s)}{h^{3}(s)} d s$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(r) & =\frac{h^{2}(r)}{r}\left[2 \int_{0}^{r} \frac{h^{\prime}(s)}{h^{3}(s)} d s+\left.\frac{s h^{\prime}(s)}{h^{3}(s)}\right|_{0} ^{r}\right]=\frac{h^{2}(r)}{r}\left[1-\frac{1}{h^{2}(r)}+r \frac{H(r)}{h^{2}(r)}\right] \\
& =H(r)+\frac{h^{2}(r)}{r}-\frac{1}{r}, \quad r \in(0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have found the following relation between $f$ and $h$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}=\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{r}-\frac{1}{r} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are going to show the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Suppose that we are given any $C^{\infty}$ function $\psi(x, r)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that all its partial derivatives vanish at $(x, 0), \int_{0}^{1} \psi(x, r) d r=0, \operatorname{supp}(\psi) \subset \mathbf{C}$ and $|r \psi(x, r)|<1$. Then we can construct positive $C^{\infty}$ functions $f(x, r)$ and $h(x, r)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that they have a constant value 1 on $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\mathbf{C}, f(x, 0)=$ $h(x, 0)=1$ and satisfy $\frac{f_{r}}{f}=\frac{h_{r}}{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{r}-\frac{1}{r}$.

Proof. We set $h(x, r)=\sqrt{r \psi(x, r)+1}$. Then $h(x, r)$ is $C^{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which has a constant value 1 on $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\mathbf{C}$ and $h(x, 0)=1$. Define the function $f(x, r)$
 $e^{\int_{0}^{r} \psi(x, s) d s}$ are $C^{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the function $f(x, r)$ is also $C^{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We can also check that $f(x, r)$ has a constant value 1 on $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\mathbf{C}$ and $f(x, 0)=1$. Compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(x, r)=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left\{h(x, r) e^{\int_{0}^{r} \frac{h^{2}(x, s)-1}{s} d s}\right\}$ and we get $\frac{f_{r}}{f}=\frac{h_{r}}{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{r}-\frac{1}{r}$.

Henceforth, we shall choose $\psi(x, r)=\alpha(r) \beta(x)$ where $\alpha$ should be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and may be described as in Figure 1 and $\beta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth with $\operatorname{supp} \beta=[-1,1]$ and $0<\beta^{\prime}<1$ on the interval $(-1,0)$ and $-1<\beta^{\prime}<0$ on $(0,1)$. Then $\psi$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1. And with such a choice of $\alpha, \psi>0$ and $r \psi_{r}>0$ on $\{(x, r, \theta) \mid-1<x<1,0<r<0.3\}$.


Figure 1. An example of $\alpha(r)$
With this choice of $\psi$ and Lemma 2.1, we can conclude the following;
Proposition 2.2. There exist Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that the scalar curvature is negative on $\mathbf{C}$ except a thin subset and they are the Euclidean metric on the complement of $\mathbf{C}$.

## 3. Decreasing property of the scalar curvature of metrics

We are going to show that there is a $C^{\infty}$ one-parameter family $g_{t}$ among the metrics in the previous section such that its scalar curvature $s\left(g_{t}\right)$ is decreasing for some interval $t \in(0, \varepsilon)$ on $\mathbf{C}$ and $g_{t}$ is the Euclidean metric in the complement of $\mathbf{C}$.

We set $g_{t}=f_{t}^{2} d x^{2}+h_{t}^{2} d r^{2}+\frac{r^{2}}{h_{t}^{2}} d \theta^{2}$, where $h_{t}, f_{t}$ are the functions defined by $h_{t}(x, r)=\sqrt{r \cdot t \cdot \psi(x, r)+1}$ and $f_{t}(x, r)=h_{t}(x, r) e^{\int_{0}^{r} \frac{h_{t}^{2}(x, s)-1}{s} d s}=$ $h_{t}(x, r) e^{t \int_{0}^{r} \psi(x, s) d s}$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. By the argument of the previous section, $g_{t}$ is one of the metrics in Proposition 2.2. So its scalar curvature is

$$
s\left(g_{t}\right)=-2\left[\frac{\left(h_{t}\right)_{x}^{2}}{h_{t}^{2} f_{t}^{2}}+\frac{\left(f_{t}\right)_{r}^{2}}{h_{t}^{2} f_{t}^{2}}\right] .
$$

Differentiating $h_{t}{ }^{2}=r \cdot t \psi+1$, we obtain $\left(h_{t}\right)_{x}{ }^{2}=\frac{r^{2} t^{2} \psi_{x}{ }^{2}}{4 h_{t}^{2}}$ and $\frac{\left(h_{t}\right)_{r}}{h_{t}}=$ $\frac{\psi+r \psi_{r}}{2 h_{t}{ }^{2}} t$. Since $\frac{\left(f_{t}\right)_{r}}{f_{t}}=\frac{\left(h_{t}\right)_{r}}{h_{t}}+t \psi$, the scalar curvature becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(g_{t}\right)=-2 t^{2}\left[\frac{r^{2} \psi_{x}^{2}}{4 f_{t}^{2} h_{t}^{4}}+\left(\frac{\psi+r \psi_{r}}{2 h_{t}^{3}}+\frac{\psi}{h_{t}}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
A=\left[\frac{r^{2} \psi_{x}{ }^{2}}{4 f_{t}{ }^{2} h_{t}{ }^{4}}+\left(\frac{\psi+r \psi_{r}}{2 h_{t}^{3}}+\frac{\psi}{h_{t}}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(s\left(g_{t}\right)\right)=-4 t A-2 t^{2} \frac{d A}{d t}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(s\left(g_{t}\right)\right)=-4 A-8 t \frac{d A}{d t}-2 t^{2} \frac{d^{2} A}{d t^{2}} .
$$

So we have

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(s\left(g_{t}\right)\right)\right|_{t=0}=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(s\left(g_{t}\right)\right)\right|_{t=0}=-\left.4 A\right|_{t=0}=-r^{2} \psi_{x}^{2}-\left(3 \psi+r \psi_{r}\right)^{2} \leq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality exactly where $r \psi_{x}=3 \psi+r \psi_{r}=0$. Note that inside $\mathbf{C}$ the set of points with $\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(s\left(g_{t}\right)\right)\right|_{t=0}=0$ forms a thin subset.

Therefore $s\left(g_{t}\right)$ is strictly decreasing on $\mathbf{C}$ except a thin subset, but it is not clear if there exists a constant $\varepsilon$ such that $s\left(g_{t}\right)$ is strictly decreasing for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$. Moreover it does not decrease on a ball. In order to have the right decreasing property, we need to diffuse the negativity (of scalar curvature) onto a ball.

## 4. Diffusion of negative scalar curvature onto a ball

We use the functions of $[3] ; F_{t, m}(\rho) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}\right)$ for $m>0, t \geq 0$ defined by $F_{t, m}(\rho)=m \cdot t^{2} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{100}{\rho}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$ and $F_{t, m}=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\leq 0}$. Also choose an $H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R},[0,1])$ with $H=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\geq 1}, H=1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\leq 0}$ and $H_{\epsilon}^{b}(\rho)=H\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}(\rho-b)\right)$, for $b>0, \epsilon>0$.

Let $B_{r}(p)$ be the open ball of radius $r$ with respect to $g_{0}$ centered at $p$. In Section 2 we described the function $\psi$ using Figure 1. So, $\psi>0$ and $r \psi_{r}>0$ on $\{(x, r, \theta) \mid-1<x<1,0<r<0.3\}$. We choose a point $p$ so that $B_{0.1}(p) \subset\{(x, r, \theta) \mid-1<x<1,0.1<r<0.3\}$. Then in $(4), s\left(g_{t}\right)<0$ on $B_{0.1}(p)$ for $t>0$.

Let $f_{t, m} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}\right)$ be $f_{t, m}(q)=F_{t, m}(\rho(q))$, where $\rho$ is the distance from the above point $p$ to $q \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and let $h_{\epsilon}^{b} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}\right)$ be $h_{\epsilon}^{b}(q)=$ $H_{\epsilon}^{b}(\rho(q))$. We choose $b=9$ and $\epsilon=0.1$. We consider $e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}$, where

$$
\phi_{t}(\rho)=f_{t, m}(9.1-\rho) \cdot h_{0.1}^{9}(9.1-\rho)=m t^{2} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}} h_{0.1}^{9}(9.1-\rho)
$$

Here $m$ will be determined below. The scalar curvature is as follows;

$$
s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)=e^{-2 \phi_{t}}\left(s_{g_{t}}+4 \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}-2\left|\nabla_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

In order to show that $s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)$ is strictly decreasing for some interval $(0, \varepsilon)$, we calculate $\left.\frac{d s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t}\right|_{t=0}$ and $\left.\frac{d^{2} s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}$. Put

$$
B=s_{g_{t}}+4 \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}-2\left|\nabla_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}\right|^{2} .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{d s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t}=-2 \frac{d \phi_{t}}{d t} e^{-2 \phi_{t}} B+e^{-2 \phi_{t}}\left(\frac{d s_{g_{t}}}{d t}+4 \frac{d \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}}{d t}-2 \frac{d\left|\nabla_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}\right|^{2}}{d t}\right)
$$

and
$\frac{d^{2} s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t^{2}}=4\left(\frac{d \phi_{t}}{d t}\right)^{2} e^{-2 \phi_{t}} B-2 \frac{d^{2} \phi_{t}}{d t^{2}} e^{-2 \phi_{t}} B-4 \frac{d \phi}{d t} e^{-2 \phi_{t}}\left(\frac{d s_{g_{t}}}{d t}+4 \frac{d \Delta_{g_{t} \phi_{t}}^{d t}}{d t}\right.$

$$
\left.-2 \frac{d\left|\nabla_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}\right|^{2}}{d t}\right)+e^{-2 \phi_{t}}\left(\frac{d^{2} s_{g_{t}}}{d t^{2}}+4 \frac{d^{2} \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}}{d t^{2}}-2 \frac{d^{2}\left|\nabla_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}\right|^{2}}{d t^{2}}\right) .
$$

As we have $\phi_{0}=\left.B\right|_{t=0}=0, \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}=m t^{2} \Delta_{g_{t}} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}} h_{0.1}^{9}(9.1-\rho)$ and $\left|\nabla_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}\right|^{2}=$ $m^{2} t^{4}\left|\nabla_{g_{t}} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}} h_{0.1}^{9}(9.1-\rho)\right|^{2}$ we get

$$
\left.\frac{d s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=\left.4 \frac{d \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}}{d t}\right|_{t=0}-\left.2 \frac{d\left|\nabla_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}\right|^{2}}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=0
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{d^{2} s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\frac{d^{2} s_{g_{t}}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}+\left.4 \frac{d^{2} \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0} .
$$

Now we will show that $\left.\frac{d^{2} s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}<0$ on $B_{9.1}(p)$. Let $\mathbf{U}$ be $\{q \in$ $\left.B_{9.1}(p)\left|\frac{d^{2} s_{g_{t}}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}(q)=0\right\}$ and let $\mathbf{V}$ be $B_{9.1}(p)-\left\{\mathbf{U} \cup B_{0.1}(p)\right\}$. Note that $\mathbf{U} \bigcap B_{0.1}(p)=\emptyset$ by our choice of $\psi$ and (5). On $\mathbf{U}$, since $h_{0.1}^{9}(9.1-\rho)=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d^{2} s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0} & =\left.4 \frac{d^{2} \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}=8 m \Delta_{g_{0}} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}} h_{0.1}^{9}(9.1-\rho) \\
& =8 m e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}} \frac{100}{(9.1-\rho)^{3}}\left(2-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

On $\mathbf{V},\left.\frac{d^{2} s_{g_{t}}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}<0$ and $\left.\frac{d^{2} \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}<0$, so we have $\left.\frac{d^{2} s\left(e^{2 \phi} t_{t} g_{t}\right)}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}<0$.
On $B_{0.1}(p),\left.\frac{d^{2} s_{g_{t}}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}<0$ and $\left.4 \frac{d^{2} \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}=8 m \Delta_{g_{0}} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}} h_{0.1}^{9}(9.1-\rho)$, so choose $m>0$ small so that $\left.\frac{d^{2} s_{g_{t}}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}+\left.4 \frac{d^{2} \Delta_{g_{t}} \phi_{t}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}<0$.

In sum, we have $\left.\frac{d s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=0$ and $\left.\frac{d^{2} s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}<0$ on $B_{9.1}(p)$ and $e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}=g_{0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}-B_{9.1}(p)$. This does not seem to guarantee the existence of a constant $\varepsilon$ such that $s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)$ is strictly decreasing for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$. So we add the following argument.

On $\overline{B_{9.0}(p)}$, there exists $\tilde{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)$ is strictly decreasing for $0 \leq t \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}$. On $B_{9.1}(p)-\overline{B_{9.0}(p)}, g_{t}$ is Euclidean and $s_{g_{t}}=0$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right) & =e^{-2 \phi_{t}}\left(4 \Delta_{g_{0}} \phi_{t}-2\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} \phi_{t}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =e^{-2 \phi_{t}} \frac{400 m t^{2}}{(9.1-\rho)^{3}} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}}\left\{-\left(\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}-2\right)-\frac{50 m t^{2}}{9.1-\rho} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $\left\{-\left(\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}-2\right)-\frac{50 m t^{2}}{(9.1-\rho)} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}}\right\}$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $t$. Since $2 m e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}}<\frac{2 m}{e^{1000}}$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(e^{-2 \phi_{t}} \frac{400 m t^{2}}{(9.1-\rho)^{3}} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}}\right)=\frac{400 m}{(9.1-\rho)^{3}} e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}} e^{-2 \phi_{t}} 2 t\left(1-2 m e^{-\frac{100}{9.1-\rho}} t^{2}\right)>0
$$

for $0<t \leq \frac{e^{500}}{\sqrt{2 m}}$. Hence $s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)$ is strictly decreasing for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{e^{500}}{\sqrt{2 m}}$ on $B_{9.1}(p)-\overline{B_{9.0}(p)}$. Setting $\varepsilon=\min \left\{\tilde{\varepsilon}, \frac{e^{500}}{\sqrt{2 m}}\right\}$, we conclude that on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $s\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)$ is decreasing for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$.

Finally, with the affine transformation $\nu: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \nu(x)=9.1 x+p$, we get the pulled-back metric $\nu^{*}\left(e^{2 \phi_{t}} g_{t}\right)$, which yields a melting on the unit ball. This proves Theorem 1.1.

Remark 4.1. From Theorem 1.1, one may suspect that melting of the Euclidean metric on a ball should hold in any dimension bigger than two. We already have the metrics of [2] on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}, n \geq 2$ which have negative scalar curvature on a compact set and are Euclidean on its complement. It is very interesting to find a scalar curvature melting of a general metric on a ball.
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