

## BOUNDEDNESS IN PERTURBED DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS<sup>†</sup>

YOON HOE GOO\*, DONG GON PARK AND DAE HEE RYU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate bounds for solutions of the nonlinear differential systems using the notion of  $t_\infty$ -similarity.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 34D10.

*Key words and phrases* : nonlinear nonautonomous system,  $h$ -system,  $h$ -stability,  $t_\infty$ -similar.

### 1. Introduction

As is traditional in a perturbation theory of nonlinear differential systems, the behavior of solutions of a perturbed system is determined in terms of the behavior of solutions of an unperturbed system. There are three useful methods for investigating the qualitative behavior of the solutions of perturbed nonlinear system of differential systems: the method of variation of constants formula, Lyapunov' second method, and the use of inequalities.

The notion of  $h$ -stability ( $hS$ ) was introduced by Pinto [13, 14] with the intention of obtaining results about stability for a weakly stable system (at least, weaker than those given exponential asymptotic stability) under some perturbations. Also, he studied a general variational  $h$ -stability introduced for nonautonomous systems and obtained some properties about asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential systems called  $h$ -systems, some general results about asymptotic integration and gave some important examples in [13]. Choi and Ryu [3], Choi, Koo[5], and Choi et al. [4] investigated bounds of solutions for nonlinear perturbed systems and nonlinear functional differential systems.

In this paper, we investigate bounds of solutions of the nonlinear perturbed differential systems via  $t_\infty$ -similarity.

---

Received October 10, 2011. Accepted November 21, 2011. \*Corresponding author.

<sup>†</sup>The second author was supported by Hanseo University Fund in 2011

© 2012 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

## 2. Preliminaries

We consider the nonlinear nonautonomous differential system

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the Euclidean  $n$ -space. We assume that the Jacobian matrix  $f_x = \partial f / \partial x$  exists and is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f(t, 0) = 0$ . The symbol  $|\cdot|$  will be used to denote arbitrary vector norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $x(t, t_0, x_0)$  denote the unique solution of (1) with  $x(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$ , existing on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Then we can consider the associated variational systems around the zero solution of (1) and around  $x(t)$ , respectively,

$$v'(t) = f_x(t, 0)v(t), \quad v(t_0) = v_0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$z'(t) = f_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))z(t), \quad z(t_0) = z_0. \quad (3)$$

The fundamental matrix  $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$  of (3) is given by

$$\Phi(t, t_0, x_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} x(t, t_0, x_0),$$

and  $\Phi(t, t_0, 0)$  is the fundamental matrix of (2).

We recall some notions of  $h$ -stability [13,14].

**Definition 2.1.** The system (1) (the zero solution  $x = 0$  of (1)) is called an  $h$ -system if there exist a constant  $c \geq 1$ , and a positive continuous function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$|x(t)| \leq c|x_0| h(t) h(t_0)^{-1}$$

for  $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$  and  $|x_0|$  small enough.

**Definition 2.2.** The system (1) (the zero solution  $x = 0$  of (1)) is called  $h$ -stable ( $hS$ ) if there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that (1) is an  $h$ -system for  $|x_0| \leq \delta$  and  $h$  is bounded.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the set of all  $n \times n$  continuous matrices  $A(t)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  consisting of those nonsingular matrices  $S(t)$  that are of class  $C^1$  with the property that  $S(t)$  and  $S^{-1}(t)$  are bounded. The notion of  $t_\infty$ -similarity in  $\mathcal{M}$  was introduced by Conti [7].

**Definition 2.3.** A matrix  $A(t) \in \mathcal{M}$  is  $t_\infty$ -similar to a matrix  $B(t) \in \mathcal{M}$  if there exists an  $n \times n$  matrix  $F(t)$  absolutely integrable over  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , i.e.,

$$\int_0^\infty |F(t)| dt < \infty$$

such that

$$\dot{S}(t) + S(t)B(t) - A(t)S(t) = F(t) \tag{4}$$

for some  $S(t) \in \mathcal{N}$ .

The notion of  $t_\infty$ -similarity is an equivalence relation in the set of all  $n \times n$  continuous matrices on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and it preserves some stability concepts [7, 11].

We give some related properties that we need in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1** ([14]). *The linear system*

$$x' = A(t)x, \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \tag{5}$$

where  $A(t)$  is an  $n \times n$  continuous matrix, is an  $h$ -system (respectively  $h$ -stable) if and only if there exist  $c \geq 1$  and a positive and continuous (respectively bounded) function  $h$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$|\phi(t, t_0)| \leq ch(t)h(t_0)^{-1} \tag{6}$$

for  $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ , where  $\phi(t, t_0)$  is a fundamental matrix of (5).

We need Alekseev formula to compare between the solutions of (1) and the solutions of perturbed nonlinear system

$$y' = f(t, y) + g(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0, \tag{7}$$

where  $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g(t, 0) = 0$ . Let  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  denote the solution of (7) passing through the point  $(t_0, y_0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The following is a generalization to nonlinear system of the variation of constants formula due to Alekseev [1].

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then for all  $t$  such that  $x(t, t_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,*

$$y(t, t_0, y_0) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s))ds.$$

**Theorem 2.3** ([3]). *If the zero solution of (1) is  $hS$ , then the zero solution of (2) is  $hS$ .*

**Theorem 2.4** ([5]). *Suppose that  $f_x(t, 0)$  is  $t_\infty$ -similar to  $f_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))$  for  $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$  and  $|x_0| \leq \delta$  for some constant  $\delta > 0$ . If the solution  $v = 0$  of (2) is  $hS$ , then the solution  $z = 0$  of (3) is  $hS$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** *(Bihari-type inequality) Let  $u, \lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $w \in C((0, \infty))$  and  $w(u)$  be nondecreasing in  $u$ . Suppose that, for some  $c > 0$ ,*

$$u(t) \leq c + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(s)w(u(s))ds, \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 0.$$

Then

$$u(t) \leq W^{-1} \left[ W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(s)ds \right], \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $W(u) = \int_{u_0}^u \frac{ds}{w(s)}$ ,  $W^{-1}(u)$  is the inverse of  $W(u)$  and

$$b_1 = \sup \left\{ t \geq t_0 : W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(s)ds \in \text{dom}W^{-1} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.6** ([6]). *Let  $u, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, w \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $w(u)$  be nondecreasing in  $u$  such that  $\frac{1}{v}w(u) \leq w(\frac{u}{v})$  for some  $v > 0$ . If, for some  $c > 0$ ,*

$$u(t) \leq c + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_1(s)u(s)ds + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_1(s) \left( \int_{t_0}^s \lambda_2(\tau)w(u(\tau))d\tau \right) ds, \quad 0 \leq t_0 \leq t.$$

then

$$u(t) \leq W^{-1} \left[ W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_2(s)ds \right] \exp \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_1(s)ds, \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $W, W^{-1}$  are the same functions as in Lemma 2.5 and

$$b_1 = \sup \left\{ t \geq t_0 : W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_2(s)ds \in \text{dom}W^{-1} \right\}.$$

### 3. Main results

In this section, we investigate the bounded property for the nonlinear differential systems via  $t_\infty$ -similarity.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\gamma, u, w \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $w(u)$  be nondecreasing in  $u$  such that  $\frac{1}{v}w(u) \leq w(\frac{u}{v})$  for some  $v > 0$ . Suppose that the solution  $x = 0$  of (1) is hS with a nondecreasing function  $h$  and the perturbed term  $g$  in (7) satisfies*

$$|\Phi(t, s, z)g(t, z)| \leq \gamma(s)w(|z|), \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 0,$$

where  $\int_{t_0}^\infty \gamma(s)ds < \infty$ . Then, all solutions  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  of (7) are bounded on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and it satisfies

$$|y(t)| \leq h(t)W^{-1} \left[ W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds \right], \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $c = c_1|y_0|h(t_0)^{-1}$  and  $W, W^{-1}$  are the same functions as in Lemma 2.5 and

$$b_1 = \sup \left\{ t \geq t_0 : W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds \in \text{dom}W^{-1} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, y_0)$  and  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  be solutions of (1) and (7), respectively. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq |x(t)| + \int_{t_0}^t |\Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s))| ds \\ &\leq c|y_0|h(t)h(t_0)^{-1} + \int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)h(t)w\left(\frac{|y(s)|}{h(s)}\right) ds \end{aligned}$$

since  $h$  is nondecreasing. Set  $u(t) = |y(t)|h(t)^{-1}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$|y(t)| \leq h(t)W^{-1}\left[W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds\right], \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $c = c_1|y_0|h(t_0)^{-1}$ . Therefore, we obtain the result. □

Also, we examine the bounded property for the perturbed system.

$$y' = f(t, y) + \int_{t_0}^t g(s, y(s))ds, \quad y(t_0) = y_0, \tag{8}$$

where  $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g(t, 0) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\gamma, u, w \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $w(u)$  be nondecreasing in  $u$  such that  $\frac{1}{v}w(u) \leq w(\frac{u}{v})$  for some  $v > 0$ . Suppose that  $f_x(t, 0)$  is  $t_\infty$ -similar to  $f_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))$  for  $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$  and  $|x_0| \leq \delta$  for some constant  $\delta > 0$ , the solution  $x = 0$  of (1) is hS with the increasing function  $h$ , and  $g$  in (8) satisfies*

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^s g(\tau, y(\tau))d\tau \right| \leq \gamma(s)w(|y(s)|),$$

where  $\int_{t_0}^\infty \gamma(s)ds < \infty$ . Then, all solutions  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  of (8) are bounded on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and it satisfies

$$|y(t)| \leq h(t)W^{-1}\left[W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t c_2\gamma(s)ds\right], \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $c = c_1|y_0|h(t_0)^{-1}$  and  $W, W^{-1}$  are the same functions as in Lemma 2.5 and

$$b_1 = \sup \left\{ t \geq t_0 : W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t c_2\gamma(s)ds \in \text{dom}W^{-1} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, x_0)$  and  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, x_0)$  be solutions of (1) and (2), respectively. By Theorem 2.3, since the solution  $x = 0$  of (1) is hS, the solution  $v = 0$  of (2) is hS. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, the solution  $z = 0$  of (3) is hS. By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and the increasing property of the function  $h$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq |x(t)| + \int_{t_0}^t |\Phi(t, s, y(s))| \left| \int_{t_0}^s g(\tau, y(\tau))d\tau \right| ds \\ &\leq c_1|y_0|h(t)h(t_0)^{-1} + \int_{t_0}^t c_2h(t)\gamma(s)w\left(\frac{|y(s)|}{h(s)}\right)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $u(t) = |y(t)|h(t)^{-1}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$|y(t)| \leq h(t)W^{-1}\left[W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t c_2\gamma(s)ds\right], \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $c = c_1|y_0|h(t_0)^{-1}$ . Hence, the proof is complete. □

**Remark 3.1.** Letting  $w(u) = u$  in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the same result as that of Theorem 3.3 in [10].

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $a, k, u, w \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $w(u)$  be nondecreasing in  $u$  such that  $\frac{1}{v}w(u) \leq w(\frac{u}{v})$  for some  $v > 0$ . Suppose that  $f_x(t, 0)$  is  $t_\infty$ -similar to  $f_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))$  for  $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$  and  $|x_0| \leq \delta$  for some constant  $\delta > 0$ , the solution  $x = 0$  of (1) is hS with the increasing function  $h$ , and  $g$  in (8) satisfies

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^s g(\tau, y(\tau))d\tau \right| \leq a(s)(|y(s)| + \int_{t_0}^s k(\tau)w(|y(\tau)|)d\tau), \quad s \geq t_0 \geq 0,$$

where  $\int_{t_0}^\infty a(s)ds < \infty$  and  $\int_{t_0}^\infty k(s)ds < \infty$ . Then, all solutions  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  of (8) are bounded on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and it satisfies

$$|y(t)| \leq h(t)W^{-1} \left[ W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t k(s)ds \right] \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^t c_2 a(s)ds \right), \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $c = c_1|y_0|h(t_0)^{-1}$  and  $W, W^{-1}$  are the same functions as in Lemma 2.5 and

$$b_1 = \sup \left\{ t \geq t_0 : W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t k(s)ds \in \text{dom}W^{-1} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, x_0)$  and  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, x_0)$ . By Theorem 2.3, since the solution  $x = 0$  of (1) is hS, the solution  $v = 0$  of (2) is hS. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, the solution  $z = 0$  of (3) is hS. By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and the increasing property of the function  $h$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq |x(t)| + \int_{t_0}^t |\Phi(t, s, y(s))| \left| \int_{t_0}^s g(\tau, y(\tau))d\tau \right| ds \\ &\leq c_1|y_0|h(t)h(t_0)^{-1} + \int_{t_0}^t c_2h(t)a(s)\frac{|y(s)|}{h(s)}ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t c_2h(t)a(s) \int_{t_0}^s k(\tau)w\left(\frac{|y(\tau)|}{h(\tau)}\right)d\tau ds. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $u(t) = |y(t)|h(t)^{-1}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$|y(t)| \leq h(t)W^{-1} \left[ W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t k(s)ds \right] \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^t c_2 a(s)ds \right), \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $c = c_1|y_0|h(t_0)^{-1}$ . This completes the proof. □

**Remark 3.2.** Letting  $k(\tau) = 0$  in Theorem 2.4, we have the same result as that of Theorem 3.3 in [10].

We need the following lemma for the bounded property of (8).

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $u, p, q \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $w \in C((0, \infty))$  and  $w(u)$  be nondecreasing in  $u$ . Suppose that, for some  $c \geq 0$ ,*

$$u(t) \leq c + \int_{t_0}^t (p(s) \int_{t_0}^s q(\tau)w(u(\tau))d\tau)ds, \quad t \geq t_0. \tag{9}$$

Then

$$u(t) \leq W^{-1} \left[ W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t (p(s) \int_{t_0}^s q(\tau)d\tau)ds \right], \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1, \tag{10}$$

where  $W, W^{-1}$  are the same functions as in Lemma 2.5 and

$$b_1 = \sup \left\{ t \geq t_0 : W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t (p(s) \int_{t_0}^s q(\tau)d\tau)ds \in \text{dom}W^{-1} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Setting  $v(t) = c + \int_{t_0}^t (p(s) \int_{t_0}^s q(\tau)w(u(\tau))d\tau)ds$ , we have  $v(t_0) = c$  and

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &= p(t) \int_{t_0}^t q(s)w(u(s))ds \leq p(t) \int_{t_0}^t q(s)w(v(s))ds \\ &\leq \left[ p(t) \int_{t_0}^t q(s)ds \right] w(v(t)), \quad t \geq t_0, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

since  $v(t)$  and  $w(u)$  are nondecreasing and  $u(t) \leq v(t)$ . Therefore, by integrating on  $[t_0, t]$ , the function  $v$  satisfies

$$v(t) \leq c + \int_{t_0}^t (p(s) \int_{t_0}^s q(\tau)d\tau)w(v(s))ds. \tag{12}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that (12) yields the estimate (10). □

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $w \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $w(u)$  be nondecreasing in  $u$  such that  $\frac{1}{v}w(u) \leq w(\frac{u}{v})$  for some  $v > 0$ . Suppose that  $f_x(t, 0)$  is  $t_\infty$ -similar to  $f_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))$  for  $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$  and  $|x_0| \leq \delta$  for some constant  $\delta > 0$ . If the solution  $x = 0$  of (1) is an  $h$ -system with a positive continuous function  $h$  and  $g$  in (8) satisfies*

$$|g(t, y)| \leq \lambda(t)w(|y|), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where  $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous with

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{h(s)} \int_{t_0}^s h(\tau)\lambda(\tau)d\tau ds < \infty, \tag{13}$$

for all  $t_0 \geq 0$ , then all solutions  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  of (8) are bounded on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and it satisfies

$$|y(t)| \leq h(t)W^{-1} \left[ W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{c_2}{h(s)} \int_{t_0}^s h(\tau)\lambda(\tau)d\tau ds \right], \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $c = c_1|y_0|h(t_0)^{-1}$  and  $W, W^{-1}$  are the same functions as in Lemma 2.5 and

$$b_1 = \sup \left\{ t \geq t_0 : W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{c_2}{h(s)} \int_{t_0}^s h(\tau)\lambda(\tau)d\tau ds \in \text{dom}W^{-1} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, x_0)$  and  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, x_0)$ . By Theorem 2.3, since the solution  $x = 0$  of (1) is an  $h$ -system, the solution  $v = 0$  of (2) is an  $h$ -system. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, the solution  $z = 0$  of (3) is an  $h$ -system. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq |x(t)| + \int_{t_0}^t |\Phi(t, s, y(s))| \int_{t_0}^s |g(\tau, y(\tau))| d\tau ds \\ &\leq c_1 |y_0| h(t) h(t_0)^{-1} + \int_{t_0}^t c_2 \frac{h(t)}{h(s)} \int_{t_0}^s h(\tau) \lambda(\tau) w\left(\frac{|y(\tau)|}{h(\tau)}\right) d\tau ds. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $u(t) = |y(t)|h(t)^{-1}$  and using Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$|y(t)| \leq h(t)W^{-1} \left[ W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{c_2}{h(s)} \int_{t_0}^s h(\tau) \lambda(\tau) d\tau ds \right], \quad t_0 \leq t < b_1,$$

where  $c = c_1 |y_0| h(t_0)^{-1}$ . It follows from boundedness of  $h(t)$  and (13) that all solutions of (8) are bounded. Hence, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Letting  $w(u) = u$  in Theorem 2.7, we have the same result as that of Theorem 2.5 in [9].

### Acknowledgment

The author is very grateful for the referee's valuable comments.

### REFERENCES

1. V. M. Alekseev, An estimate for the perturbations of the solutions of ordinary differential equations, *Vestn. Mosk. Univ. Ser. I. Math. Mech.*, Vol. 2(1961), 28-36.
2. S. K. Choi and N. J. Koo,  $h$ -stability for nonlinear perturbed systems, *Ann. of Diff. Eqs.* Vol. 11(1995), 1-9.
3. S. K. Choi and H. S. Ryu,  $h$ -stability in differential systems, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* Vol. 21(1993), 245-262.
4. S. K. Choi, N. J. Koo and S. M. Song, Stabilities for nonlinear functional differential equations, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* Vol. 9(1996), 165-174.
5. S. K. Choi, N. J. Koo and H. S. Ryu,  $h$ -stability of differential systems via  $t_\infty$ -similarity, *Bull. Korean. Math. Soc.* Vol. 34(1997), 371-383.
6. S. K. Choi, N. J. Koo and S. M. Song, Lipschitz stability for nonlinear functional differential systems, *Far East J. Math. Sci(FJMS)I* Vol. 5(1999), 689-708.
7. R. Conti, Sulla  $t_\infty$ -similitudine tra matricie l'equivalenza asintotica dei sistemi differenziali lineari, *Rivista di Mat. Univ. Parma* Vol. 8(1957), 43-47.
8. S. Elaydi and R. R. M. Rao, Lipschitz stability for nonlinear Volterra integro-differential systems, *Appl. Math. Computations* Vol. 27(1988), 191-199.
9. Y. H. Goo,  $h$ -stability of the nonlinear differential systems via  $t_\infty$ -similarity, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* Vol. 23(2010), No.2, 383-389.
10. Y. H. Goo and D. H. Ry,  $h$ -stability of the nonlinear perturbed differential systems, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* Vol. 23(2010), No.4, 827-834.
11. G. A. Hewer, Stability properties of the equation by  $t_\infty$ -similarity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. 41(1973), 336-344.
12. V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, *Differential and Integral Inequalities: Theory and Applications* Vol. I, Academic Press, New York and London, 1969.



13. M. Pinto, Perturbations of asymptotically stable differential systems, *Analysis* Vol. 4(1984), 161–175.
14. M. Pinto, Stability of nonlinear differential systems, *Applicable Analysis* Vol. 43(1992), 1–20.

**Yoon Hoe Goo** received the BS from Cheongju University and Ph.D at Chungnam National University under the direction of Chin-Ku Chu. Since 1993 he has been at Hanseo University as a professor. His research interests focus on topological dynamical systems and differential equations.

Department of Mathematics, Hanseo University, Seasan 356-706, Korea  
e-mail: [yhgoo@hanseo.ac.kr](mailto:yhgoo@hanseo.ac.kr)

**Dong Gon Park** is a graduate student in Hanseo University.

Department of Mathematics, Hanseo University, Seasan 356-706, Korea  
e-mail: [hsodang@lycos.co.kr](mailto:hsodang@lycos.co.kr)

**Dae Hee Ryu** received the BS from Chung-Ang University and Ph.D at Wonkwang University. Since 1995 he has been at Chungwoon University as a professor. His research interests focus on probability theory and differential equations.

Department of Computer Science, Chungwoon University, Hongseong 351-701, Korea  
e-mail: [rdh@chungwoon.ac.kr](mailto:rdh@chungwoon.ac.kr)