# Seasonal Variation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and Its Major Ionic Components in an Urban Monitoring Site

Samik Ghosh, Zang-Ho Shon<sup>1)</sup>, Ki-Hyun Kim\*, Sang-Keun Song<sup>2)</sup>, Kweon Jung<sup>3)</sup> and Nam-Jin Kim<sup>3)</sup>

Department of Environment and Energy, Sejong University, Seoul 143-747, Korea

<sup>1)</sup>Department of Environmental Engineering, Dong-Eui University, Busan 614-714, Korea

<sup>2)</sup>Division of Earth Environmental System, Pusan National University, Busan 609-735, Korea

<sup>3)</sup>Seoul Metropolitan Government Institute of Public Health and Environment, Seoul, Korea

\*Corresponding author. Tel: +82-2-3408-3233, E-mail: khkim@sejong.ac.kr

#### ABSTRACT

The ionic composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples was investigated by their datasets of cationic (Na<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>) and anionic components (Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) along with relevant environmental parameters collected from an urban monitoring site in Korea at hourly intervals in 2010. The mean (and SD) annual concentration of  $PM_{2.5}$  was computed as 25.3  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> with the wintertime maximum. In addition, sum concentrations (neq m<sup>-3</sup>) of five cationic species (291) were slightly lower than 3 anionic species (308). Most cations exhibited the highest seasonal values in spring, while anions showed more diversified seasonal patterns. According to PCA, five major source categories were apparent with the relative dominance of secondary inorganic aerosols (SIA). The results of our study suggest consistently that the distribution of ionic constituents in an urban area is affected by the combined effects of both natural and anthropogenic processes.

**Key words:** PM<sub>2.5</sub>, Composition, Seasonal, Diurnal, Source apportionment

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

As seen from elsewhere on the globe, many cities in Korea suffered from severe air pollution due to the combined effect of both local (e.g., vehicular traffic) and distant sources (e.g., transport from the industrial areas in China) (Han *et al.*, 2008). In an effort to reduce environmental problems associated with air pollution, the Korean Ministry of Environment (KMOE) established special act plan for the improvement of Metropolitan Air Quality on 1 January 2005. The main objective of such plan was to suppress the annual PM<sub>10</sub> levels from 60 (in 2005) to 40 µg m<sup>-3</sup> (in 2014) (Heo *et al.*, 2009). However, in spite of various efforts, the  $PM_{2.5}$  levels in Seoul are still higher than many other cities in developed countries (Kim *et al.*, 2007).

In this study, eight major ionic components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> including five cations (Na<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>) plus three anions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) were measured routinely at hourly intervals over one year period (1st January to 31st December in 2010) at Gwang Jin Goo district of Seoul, Korea. Relevant parameters were also monitored concurrently to include both airborne criteria pollutants and basic meteorological parameters. Note that most of the previous studies of PM compositions had to rely on data sets collected over daily intervals. In this respect, our hourly measurement data should be useful enough to describe the short-term variability of ionic constituents. The data acquisition at such short interval should be important, as the air pollution in Seoul is heavily dependent on highly dynamic source activities (e.g., traffic conditions). These data sets were analyzed to describe the fundamental factors controlling the distribution of major ionic constituents in a number of aspects in relation to the changes in the relevant environmental parameters. The main objective of the present study is to investigate the seasonal variability of PM2.5 and its ionic constituents in air and to relate such results with its source/sink processes. The results of this study will thus help us gain valuable insights into the temporal variability of key chemical components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in an urbanized environment.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, ambient air samples were collected on a hourly basis for one year period (1<sup>st</sup> January to 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2010) at air quality monitoring station located at Goo Eui dong in Gwang Jin district (127°05.44', 37°32.40') of Seoul, Korea. Gwang Jin area, located on the north side of the Han River of Seoul is home to couple of universities and many residential apartments along with a huge amusement park named as Children's grand park. Air quality of the area is known to be affected by traffic activities from adjacent roads without any major sources (Kim et al., in press). The analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its ionic constituents was made continuously by a model ADI 2080 online analyzer (MARGA, Applikon Analytical B.V Corp., Netherlands). This system consists of a sampling box and an analytical box with the particle collection efficiency of 99.7%. Ambient air is drawn into the sampling box at a flow rate of 1 m<sup>3</sup>  $h^{-1}$  through the inlet. The gaseous components are also trapped by the liquid film  $(0.0035\% H_2O_2)$  formed by one Wet Rotating Denuder (WRD). In the meantime, particles in residual airflow go through the supersaturated steam (0.0035% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 120-140°C). They are erupted out from one Steam Jet Aerosol collector (SJAC) and pooled into its collector. These liquefied samples flow through glass filters, and are stored in syringe pumps of the analytical box for the final detection by Ion Chromatography (IC). As such, MARGA utilizes a WRD to collect acid gases and ammonia by diffusion into an aqueous film, while particles passing through the WRD are collected in a SJAC. A supersaturated environment is created within the SJAC which grows particles by a process known as deliquescence; it then allows them to be collected subsequently by inertial separation. As cooling takes place, steam condenses and washes the collected particles into an aqueous sample stream. The soluble ionic species in aqueous solutions from the WRD and SJAC are subsequently analyzed by IC (ion chromatography).

The method detection limit (MDL) was calculated for all ionic species. To calculate the MDL, a total of 25 mL volume solution was prepared for the IC analysis. From this total solution,  $500 \,\mu$ L solution was used for NH<sub>3</sub> and each cations and  $250 \,\mu$ L for the rest. The MDL was calculated as three times of standard deviation (SD) of the 7 replicate analyses. The obtained MDLs can be expressed in absolute mass (and equivalent concentration): for cations (Na<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>), MDL values were 0.16 ng (0.35 neq m<sup>-3</sup>), 0.16 ng (0.44 neq m<sup>-3</sup>), 0.20 ng (0.26 neq m<sup>-3</sup>), 0.20 ng (0.82 neq m<sup>-3</sup>), and 0.16 ng (0.40 neq m<sup>-3</sup>), respectively. The MDL values for anions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) were 0.17 ng (0.47 neq m<sup>-3</sup>), 0.17 ng (0.27 neq m<sup>-3</sup>), and 0.08 ng (017 neq m<sup>-3</sup>), respectively.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **3. 1** General Tendency of PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentration In this research, the ionic components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and

relevant parameters were monitored at Gwang Jin district of Seoul at hourly intervals from 1 January to 31 December, 2010. To facilitate the data analysis, daily mean values for all PM<sub>2.5</sub> constituents were computed: such values were acquired, only when more than two thirds of data are available for the day (e.g., >18 hourly data). Hence, all the statistical treatment of data was basically made using these daily values, unless otherwise specified. The total number of daily values for different constituents was generally less than 340, as instruments were stopped occasionally either due to periodical maintenance or malfunctioning. Table 1 presents the summary of the cationic (Na<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>,  $Mg^{2+}$ , and  $Ca^{2+}$ ) and anionic (Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) components of PM2.5 measured in yearly and seasonal basis during the whole study period. The results are also computed for their derivatives (e.g., summation and ratio).

The annual concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in our study was  $25.3 \pm 16.0 \,\mu g \text{ m}^{-3}$  which exceeded the US EPA annual standard of 15.0  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (Table 2). The annual mean  $(\pm SD)$  concentrations of 5 cations (Na<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>) were  $7.80 \pm 6.90$ ,  $212 \pm 176$ ,  $11.1 \pm$ 13.6,  $14.3 \pm 9.11$ , and  $39.5 \pm 28.7$  neq m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. The concentrations for 3 anionic counterparts (Cl<sup>-</sup>,  $NO_3^-$ , and  $SO_4^{2-}$ ) were 13.5 ± 14.1, 198 ± 99.9, and  $110 \pm 79.1$  neq m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. To describe the fundamental aspects of their behavior and to validate the quality of our measurements, we checked for the extent of ionic balances using the molar concentration ratio between total cations and anions ( $\sum$  cations/ $\sum$ anions). The annual mean (and SD) of such ratios was less than unity  $(0.88 \pm 0.43)$  (or cation deficiency) which may signify that the study site is slightly acidic (Fig. 1). All of the ionic components in  $PM_{2.5}$  exhibited mo-



**Fig. 1.** Scattered plot of summation of cationic and anionic components of  $PM_{2.5}$  at Gwang Jin, Seoul, Korea for the year 2010 excluding two outlying data on upper left and another two outlying data on lower right.

A. Seasona	l mean values of	f ionic species (	concentration in	n neq m <sup>-3</sup> ).							
Seasons	Na <sup>+</sup>	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	К+	${ m Mg^{2+}}$	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	CI-	$NO_3^{-}$	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	$\sum$ Cations	$\sum$ Anions	$\sum_{\sum \text{ Anions}}$
Spring	$10.3 \pm 8.45 \\ (7.83)^{a} \\ 2.75-55.2 \\ (87)^{b}$	$217 \pm 132 \\ (194) \\ 30.7-659 \\ (87)$	$11.2 \pm 5.62 \\ (9.83) \\ 4.17-32.6 \\ (87)$	$16.3 \pm 9.18 \\ (14.0) \\ 4.75-57.6 \\ (87)$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.3 \pm 19.7 \\ (46.5) \\ 19.5-137 \\ (87) \end{array}$	$15.7 \pm 8.91 \\ (14.7) \\ 2.21-52.4 \\ (87)$	$\begin{array}{c} 220 \pm 98.3 \\ (203) \\ 96.6-843 \\ (87) \end{array}$	$120 \pm 79 \\ (101) \\ 15.8-486 \\ (87)$	$92 \pm 144 \\ (284) \\ 45.9-893 \\ (87)$	$345 \pm 154$ (327) 56.8-946 (87)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.85 \pm 0.13 \\ (0.85) \\ 0.28 - 1.18 \\ (87) \end{array}$
Summer	$6.91 \pm 3.41$ $(6.18)^{a}$ 2.45-18.7 $(89)^{b}$	$210 \pm 165 \\ (162) \\ 22.4-920 \\ (91)$	$8.18 \pm 4.78 \\ (6.81) \\ 2.24-27.1 \\ (91)$	$12.7 \pm 8.26$ (9.6) $3.77 - 37.2$ (91)	$46.3 \pm 27.2 \\ (37.5) \\ 7.33-125 \\ (91)$	$6.12 \pm 4.38 (5.00) 0.78-25.0 (91)$	$180 \pm 58.5 \\ (168) \\ 21.0-346 \\ (91)$	$133 \pm 92.1 \\ (104) \\ 11.7-405 \\ (91)$	$\begin{array}{c} 270 \pm 161 \\ (227) \\ 53.1-765 \\ (91) \end{array}$	$305 \pm 128$ (256) 94.2-701 (91)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.89 \pm 0.53 \\ (0.85) \\ 0.21 - 5.14 \\ (91) \end{array}$
Fall	$\begin{array}{c} 4.87 \pm 3.61 \\ (3.66)^{a} \\ 2.13-25.0 \\ (75)^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 230 \pm 255 \\ (145) \\ 17.8-1397 \\ (75) \end{array}$	$13.2 \pm 24.9 \\ (7.23) \\ 1.73-192 \\ (75)$	$13.1 \pm 7.49 \\ (11.5) \\ 3.46-37.3 \\ (75)$	$33.6 \pm 43.3 \\ (22.8) \\ 2.93-278 \\ (75)$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.32 \pm 3.57 \\ (3.10) \\ 1.01 - 19.6 \\ (72) \end{array}$	$169 \pm 88.5 \\ (152) \\ 4.10-433 \\ (75)$	$94.0\pm72.3(67)2.70-363(74)$	$286 \pm 272 \\ (177) \\ 22.9-1526 \\ (75)$	$263 \pm 145.7 \\ (218) \\ 50.9-718 \\ (74)$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.03 \pm 0.65 \\ (0.96) \\ 0.18-4.14 \\ (74) \end{array}$
Winter	$8.78 \pm 8.78$ (6.54) <sup>a</sup> 2.00-53.8 (86) <sup>b</sup>	$194 \pm 140 \\ (151) \\ 21.8-776 \\ (86)$	$11.9 \pm 11.1 \\ (9.68) \\ 2.43-98.1 \\ (86)$	15.1±10.7 (13.1) 4.59-73.5 (86)	$27.5 \pm 12.2 \\ (26.1) \\ 10.6-68.0 \\ (86)$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.6 \pm 18.9 \\ (25.7) \\ 1.71-112 \\ (86) \end{array}$	$218 \pm 132 \\ (179) \\ 72.9-891 \\ (86)$	$89.0\pm60.7 (71) (71) (86)$	$\begin{array}{c} 237 \pm 126 \\ (202) \\ 80.5-646 \\ (86) \end{array}$	$314 \pm 175.7$ (290) 99.7-1101 (86)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.78\pm0.16\\ (0.81)\\ 0.39-1.1\\ (86)\end{array}$
All	$7.80 \pm 6.90$ (6.20) <sup>a</sup> 2.00-55.2 (337) <sup>b</sup>	$212 \pm 176 \\ (162) \\ 17.8-1397 \\ (339)$	$11.1 \pm 13.6$ (8.72) (8.72) 1.73-192 (339)	$14.3 \pm 9.11 \\ (12.0) \\ 3.46-73.5 \\ (339)$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.5 \pm 28.7 \\ (32.9) \\ 2.93-278 \\ (339) \end{array}$	$13.5 \pm 14.1 \\ (8.67) \\ 0.78-113 \\ (336)$	$198 \pm 99.9 \\ (175) \\ 4.10-891 \\ (339)$	$110 \pm 79.1 \\ (90.0) \\ 2.69-486 \\ (338)$	$\begin{array}{c} 271 \pm 181 \\ (223) \\ 22.9 - 1526 \\ (339) \end{array}$	$308 \pm 154 \\ (269) \\ 50.9-1101 \\ (338)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.88 \pm 0.43 \\ (0.85) \\ 0.18 \text{-} 5.14 \\ (338) \end{array}$
<sup>a</sup> Mean±SD B. Test of s	(median); and <sup>b</sup> Ra tatistical signific	nge (number of o cance for seaso)	bbservations). nal dominance.								
	Na+ N	H <sub>4</sub> + K	+ Mg <sup>2+</sup>	- Ca <sup>2+</sup>	CI-	$NO_3^{-}$	$SO_4^{2-}$	∑ Cat	ions	Anions	$\sum_{\sum \text{ Anions}}$
<sup>2</sup> P	1.12 C 0.26 C	).42 0. <sup>2</sup> ).68 0.6	41         0.82           58         0.41	0.84 0.40	4.84 1.28E-06	3.22 1.26E-0	0.99 0.32 0.32	0.1'0.80	6	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.20 \\       0.23     \end{array} $	$1.45 \\ 0.15$
°Z statistics i	s used to check th	e statistical signil	ficance of season	al peak values by	comparing betwe	en the highest m	lean value and th	e one next to it.			

**Table 1.** Statistical summary of ionic components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> measured at Gwang Jin district in Seoul, Korea during 2010.

Seasonal Variation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and Ions 25

A. Seasonal n	nean values of l	PM and releval	nt parameters.								
Seasons	$PM_{10}$	$PM_{2.5}$	$SO_2$	$NO_2$	$NO_x$	CO	$0_3$	MS	TEMP	HUM	UV
Unit	(gu)	/m <sup>3</sup> )			(qdd)			(m/sec)	(°C)	(%RH)	$(mW/cm^2)$
Spring	$51.1 \pm 26.9$ $(48.25)^{a}$ $7.63-137$ $(87)^{b}$	$24.0 \pm 11.0$ (22) 3.70-60.0 (87)	$3.61 \pm 1.45$ $(3.33)$ $1.63-10.6$ $(87)$	$32.2 \pm 10.8$ (31.4) $12.1-54.7$ (87)	$\begin{array}{c} 42.7 \pm 16.9 \\ (39.7) \\ 14.3-84.9 \\ (87) \end{array}$	$501 \pm 126$ (508) 192-806 (87)	$\begin{array}{c} 31.0 \pm 10.4 \\ (30) \\ 9.71-68.8 \\ (87) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.30 \pm 0.77 \\ (2.21) \\ 1.10-5.93 \\ (87) \end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{r}     10.8 \pm 5.92 \\     (9.6) \\     -0.73-22.5 \\     (87) \\     (87)   \end{array} $	$61.0 \pm 14.1$ (59.08) 28.7-97 (87)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.57 \pm 0.25 \\ (0.63) \\ 0.08 - 1.13 \\ (87) \end{array}$
Summer	$(35.4 \pm 21.1)$ $(31.83)^{a}$ (300-141) $(91)^{b}$	$22.0\pm 15.0$ $(19)$ $4.53-100$ $(91)$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.78 \pm 0.75 \\ (2.63) \\ 1.71-5.3 \\ (91) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 28.6 \pm 8.6 \\ 28.5 \pm 8.6 \\ (28.3) \\ 13.9-51.6 \\ (91) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37.6 \pm 10.9 \\ (35.9) \\ 17.4 - 67.6 \\ (91) \end{array}$	$544 \pm 134 (553) (553) 254-875 (91)$	$\begin{array}{c} 28.0 \pm 13.7 \\ (27) \\ 4.42-64.7 \\ (88) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.80 \pm 0.29 \\ (1.79) \\ 1.00-2.53 \\ (91) \end{array}$	$25.7 \pm 2.14$ (26.1) 18.5-29.4 (91)	$74.7 \pm 11.6$ $(76.46)$ $38.4-93.0$ $(91)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.67 \pm 0.23 \\ 0.7) \\ 0.10-1.10 \\ 0.10\end{array}$
Fall	$47.6\pm 33.8$ $(39.54)^{a}$ 7.54-192 $(75)^{b}$	$25.0\pm 23.0$ $(19)$ $4.47-136$ $(75)$	$3.63 \pm 1.50$ (3.6) 1.25-8.80 (75)	$30.3 \pm 10.2$ (30.9) 10.9-53.8 (75)	$52.7 \pm 24.9$ (52.8) (52.8) 14.3-119.4 (75)	$580 \pm 145 \\ (575) \\ 208-871 \\ (73)$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.0 \pm 6.8 \\ (16) \\ 2.40-34.1 \\ (75) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.00 \pm 0.78 \\ (1.65) \\ 1.22-5.23 \\ (75) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.6 \pm 6.17 \\ 12.6 \pm 6.17 \\ (13.4) \\ -0.64-25.3 \\ (75) \end{array}$	$64.9 \pm 13.9$ $(66.96)$ $27.9-96.0$ $(75)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.56 \pm 0.19 \\ (0.55) \\ 0.10 - 0.95 \\ (74) \end{array}$
Winter	$55.9 \pm 24.8$ $(51.33)^{a}$ 5.30-149 $(89)^{b}$	$29.0 \pm 14.0$ (26) 3.28-81 (89)	$5.54 \pm 1.52$ $(5.54)$ $(5.54)$ $1.92-10.5$ $(89)$	$36.2 \pm 12.3$ $(34.5)$ $13.1-66.5$ $(89)$	$63.6\pm29.3(63.2)16.0-120.5(89)$	$562 \pm 163 \\ (573) \\ 133-878 \\ (88)$	$13.0\pm7.6 (12) (12) (12) (89)$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.20 \pm 0.89 \\ (1.91) \\ 1.05 - 4.92 \\ (89) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.85 \pm 5.56 \\ (-1.3) \\ -11.6-13.6 \\ (89) \end{array}$	$61.1 \pm 14.3$ (61.1) 31.6-97 (89)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36 \pm 0.13 \\ (0.39) \\ 0.03 - 0.59 \\ (89) \end{array}$
All	$\begin{array}{c} 47.4 \pm 27.7 \\ (43.0)^{a} \\ 5.30 - 192 \\ (342)^{b} \end{array}$	$25.3 \pm 16.0$ (21.8) 3.28-136 (342)	$\begin{array}{c} 3.89 \pm 1.68 \\ (3.46) \\ 1.25 \cdot 10.6 \\ (342) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 31.9 \pm 10.9 \\ (30.7) \\ 10.9-66.5 \\ (342) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.0 \pm 23.7 \\ (42.9) \\ 14.3-121 \\ (342) \end{array}$	$546 \pm 145 \\ (550) \\ 133-878 \\ (339)$	$22.3 \pm 12.5$ (21.1) (21.1) (339)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.08 \pm 0.75 \\ (1.88) \\ 1.00-5.93 \\ (342) \end{array}$	$12.2 \pm 11.0 \\ (11.8) \\ -11.7-29.4 \\ (342)$	$65.5 \pm 14.6$ (66.9) 27.9-96.9 (342)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.54 \pm 0.24 \\ (0.51) \\ 0.03 - 1.13 \\ (341) \end{array}$
<sup>a</sup> Mean±SD(m B. Test of stat	edian); and <sup>b</sup> Ran istical significa	ge (n). unce for season	al dominance.								
	$PM_{10}$	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	$SO_2$	$NO_2$	$NO_x$	C0	$0_3$	SM	TEMP	HUM	UV
P Z	1.23 0.22	1.27 0.21	8.08 6.66E-16	2.33 0.02	2.58 0.01	0.60 0.55	1.40 0.16	1.07 0.28	17.54 0.00	4.88 1.07E-06	2.90 3.69E-03

°Z statistics is used to check the statistical significance of seasonal peak values by comparing between the highest mean value and the one next to it.

derate variation with their relative standard error (RSE) less than 10% (i.e., maximum of 6.67% in case of K<sup>+</sup>). The concentrations of criteria pollutants (SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and O<sub>3</sub>) averaged as  $3.89 \pm 1.68$ ,  $31.9 \pm 10.9$ ,  $49.0 \pm 23.7$ ,  $546 \pm 145$ ,  $22.3 \pm 12.5$  ppb, respectively. During the year 2010, temperature and humidity data averaged  $12.2 \pm 11.0^{\circ}$ C and  $65.5 \pm 14.6\%$ , respectively.

Although the results of  $PM_{2.5}$  analysis are not consistent enough to cover various locations throughout Korea, gradual reductions in  $PM_{2.5}$  levels across deca-

dal periods are fairly apparent between different studies. The concentration of  $PM_{2.5}$  was measured as 44.2 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in Chongju, Korea in 1995-1996 (Hieu and Lee, 2010). The  $PM_{2.5}$  values were measured as 27.6 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in Ulsan in 2008 (Hieu and Lee, 2010). It's concentration in Daejon, another major city of Korea was  $37.7 \pm 17.2 \mu g$  m<sup>-3</sup> in 2008 (Lim *et al.*, 2011). In relatively clear areas like Deokjeok and Gosan in Korea concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  were somewhat comparable with our data such as  $25.3 \pm 22.6$  and  $17.2 \pm 10.8 \mu g$  m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively, during the period 2005-2007 (Kim



Fig. 2. Normalized monthly distribution of ionic components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> at Gwang Jin district in Seoul, Korea during 2010.

*et al.*, 2009). It is important to note that over the years,  $PM_{2.5}$  has decreased consistently across Korea. Thus, it is not necessarily uncommon to find less  $PM_{2.5}$  values in our experiment than the old studies.

#### 3. 2 Seasonal Variation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> Constituents

As the distribution of PM2.5 is known to exhibit strong seasonal variations, so we may expect such pattern from its diverse constituents (Fig. 2). In order to have a thorough understanding of the temporal pattern between different ionic constituents, the seasonal patterns of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its constituents were examined. The PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration at our study site was the highest in winter with the value of  $29 \pm 14 \,\mu g \, m^{-3}$  (range 3.28 -81) (Table 2). Such wintertime enhancement in PM<sub>25</sub> levels is comparable to those seen in many previous findings in Korea (Kim et al., 2004). It is interesting to note that all of the criteria pollutants (namely  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{25}$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $NO_3$ , and CO), except  $O_3$  consistently show wintertime maxima. The observed pattern in our study might also reflect the combined effects of source mechanisms (the use of fossil fuel for house heating and cold start of car engines) and meteorological conditions (e.g., reduced mixing heights in winter and enhanced wet depositions during summer) (Kim et al., 2007). In addition, enhanced PM levels (mainly crustal components) are commonly observed in the spring months (March and April) due to the common occurrences of Asian Dust (AD) event (Kim et al., 2003). However, in our study period of 2010, such signals were not strong enough.

According to our analysis, most ionic species tend to exhibit strong seasonality across four seasons, namely spring (March to May), summer (June to August), fall (September to November), and winter (December to February). Although some cations (Na<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>) showed their peak occurrences in spring, others (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>) did in fall. However, this seasonal dominance is not statistically significant, if comparison is made between the highest seasonal mean and the one next to it (by the z statistics test at p<0.05). The observed fall peak of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, although insignificant statistically, is different from the finding of Lee *et al.* (1999) who reported winter time maximum in Seoul.

The concentrations of anions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) exhibited more diversified patterns with their maximum in winter (26.6), spring (22.0), and summer (133 neq m<sup>-3</sup>), respectively. The seasonal patterns of the former two are statistically significant, while it is not the case for SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. The highest nitrate concentration is observed in spring closely followed by winter in our study. This is somewhat unusual, as it tends to peak in winter by enhanced consumption of fossil fuel and increased NO<sub>x</sub> levels. It is known that nitrate in the atmo-

sphere can result mainly from the atmospheric conversion of nitrogen gases (NO and NO<sub>2</sub>) and ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) (Seinfield and Pandis, 1998). Moreover, the partitioning of inorganic NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (from HNO<sub>3</sub> and ammonium nitrate) is generally favored in cold weather (Khan *et al.*, 2010). As such, its minimum values are typically observed in summer (Lee *et al.*, 1999).

As a secondary pollutant,  $SO_4^{2-}$  was found to be the highest in summer. This pattern of  $SO_4^{2-}$  appears to be a common trend in many previous studies conducted elsewhere (Khan et al., 2010). This relative sulfate enhancement in summer can be attributed at least partially to such effect as increased photochemical activity (Husain and Dutkiewicz, 1990). In addition, sea salt emission may also make a certain contribution to the total  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentration, if one considers the distance between the study site and seashore (35 km). However, its winter time enhancement associated with high coal combustion was also reported (Cyrys et al., 1995). Sulphate is suspected to come from long-range transport as well as regional sources (Yao et al., 2002). Our wintertime maximum of Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration appears to match with the seasonal pattern seen in China (Yao et al., 2002). It is well known that high concentration of Cl<sup>-</sup> in the winter months is known to be associated with coal burning. Despite the observed complexities among individual ionic components, the sum concentrations of both cationic and anionic components peaked consistently in spring (292 and 345 neq  $m^{-3}$ , respectively). It is also interesting to find that the sum ionic ratios  $(\sum \text{ cations} / \sum \text{ anions})$  were the highest in fall  $(1.03 \pm$ 0.65) but the lowest in winter  $(0.78 \pm 0.16)$ . This observation may signify the fact that overall deviation from the unity in its ratio should have proceeded most significantly during winter with increased acidity.

#### 3. 3 Factors Controlling the Distribution of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and Its Constituents

There are many factors that can exert controls on the distribution of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its ionic constituents. To learn more about the relationship between those components, their correlation patterns were examined by using their daily mean data on both yearly and seasonal intervals. Results shown in Table 3 indicate the frequent occurrences of strong correlations between many cationic and anionic species. Among the cations,  $NH_4^+$  shows strong correlation with most cations (except Mg<sup>2+</sup>) and all anions; this trend is seen consistently throughout the seasons. Among the anions,  $SO_4^{2-}$  is strongly correlated with most cations in all seasons, while maximum frequency of strong correlation is observed in spring (Fig. 2). It is seen that Na<sup>+</sup> also shows a strong correlation with  $Cl^{-}$  (r=0.31, p=5.44E-09), suggesting the possible role of sea salt (NaCl) as the PM<sub>2.5</sub> source. If

o.	
Ē	
ă	
ar	
ye	
ē	
th	
н.	
ct	
Ē	
lis	
10	
JI	
ы	
an	
3	
<u> </u>	
ସ	
2.5	
Σ	
P.	
of	
ts	
e	
uc	
đ	
Ц	
ŭ	
al	
.ic	
en	
Ř	
Ę	
0	
ĩĕ	
alı	
>	
an	
ue	
11	
E.	
da	
50	
sin.	
n	
IS.	
As	
lal	
ar	
uc	
τĭ	
ela	
ΞĽ	
2	
<u> </u>	
ŝ	
le	
ab	
Ë	

A. Using all da	ta collected in	2010.										
	Na+	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	К+	$Mg^{2+}$	$Ca^{2+}$	CI-	$NO_3^-$	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2^-}$	$\sum$ Cations	$\sum$ Anions	$\sum_{\sum \text{Anion}}$ Cations+	$PM_{10}$
Na+	r 1											
NH4 <sup>+</sup>	r 0.18** n 1.15E_03	1										
K+	$r 0.19^{**}$	0.38** 2 67E 13	1									
$Mg^{2+}$	р 4.24Е-04 r 0.46**	$0.13^{\circ}$	0.28**	1								
$Ca^{2+}$	p 4.00E-19 r 0.27** p 4.70E-07	$0.02 \\ 0.24^{**} \\ 1.16E-05$	2.03E-07 0.20** 2.03E-04	0.43** 1.22E-16	1							
CI-	r 0.31** p 5.44E-09	0.26** 1.33E-06	$0.13^{*}$ 0.01	$0.21^{**}$ 1.25E-04	-0.10 0.07	1						
NO3-	r 0.11	0.50** 7 33F-73	0.10	-0.04 0.48	-0.04 0.44	0.33** 4 11F-10	1					
$SO_4^{2-}$	r 0.26** n 1.76E-06	0.85** 0.85** 1.22E-94	0.24** 1.26E-05	0.14	0.24** 8.48F-06	0.13*	0.52** 5.26E-25	1				
$\sum$ Cations	r 0.26**	0.95**	0.43**	0.28**	0.43** 1.20E 16	0.24** 6.44E.06	0.46**	0.80** 1.14E75	1			
$\sum$ Anions	р 1355-00 г 0.20** с 3.175.04	0.74** 0.74** 5.485.60	9.33E-17 0.18** 1 06E-03	0.08 0.08 0.13	0.09 0.09 0.08	0.40E-00 0.34** 1 28E-10	7.435-19 0.90** 1.155-131	0.76** 0.76** 1.455-65	0.76** 7 875_64	1		
$\sum_{\nabla} Cations +$	r 0.25**	0.89** 0.89** 3.10F_115	$0.33^{**}$	$0.20^{**}$	0.30** 2.67E-08	0.31** 0.31** 0.37E_00	0.73** 1.54F_56	0.83** 1.845-88	0.92** 0.92** 5 08F_1/13	0.93** 3.086_140	1	
PM <sub>10</sub>	r 0.28** p 1.09E-07	0.74** 0.74** 1.14E-60	0.38** 0.38** 3.29E-13	0.26** 0.26** 1.96E-06	0.22** 0.22** 3.16E-05	0.35** 0.35** 5.06E-11	0.40** 0.40** 1.79E-14	0.64 ** 1.24E-39	0.70** 0.70** 1.03E-50	0.54** 0.54** 1.54E-27	0.66** 6.65E-44	1
*Correlation is si ** Correlation is	gnificant at 0.05 significant at 0.0	level of significa )1 level of signifi	nce. cance.									
B. Evaluation c	of correlation fr	equence on a se	casonal scale.									
Season	s Spring	Summer	Fall	Winte	r Ov	eall						
No class <sup>a</sup> I <sup>b</sup> II <sup>c</sup> III <sup>d</sup>	17 9 17 6 7	23 5 10 12	30 13 5 5 13	37 37 4 7 37 7 37	0 1 0	0 co 4 4 v						
λT	11	F1	L,	10	1	j.						

 $\begin{array}{l} \overset{a}{=} P \geq 10^{-3} \\ \overset{b}{=} 10^{-5} \leq P < 10^{-3} \\ \overset{c}{=} 10^{-10} \leq P < 10^{-5} \\ \overset{d}{=} 10^{-15} \leq P < 10^{-10} \\ \overset{c}{=} P < 10^{-15} \end{array}$ 

relationships between different parameters are examined carefully, several interesting trends emerge. The observed correlation of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> with SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (r=0.85, p= 1.22E-94) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (r=0.50, p=7.33E-23) may imply similarities in their emission sources. A strong correlation of  $SO_4^{2-}$  with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (relative to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) also suggests a preferential formation of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub> over more volatile NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>. Direct emissions (e.g., NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and PM<sub>10</sub>) from motor vehicles are often explained in relation to traffic flow in Korea (Kim and Guldmann, 2011). Because diesel vehicles are still one of the major sources of SO<sub>2</sub> emission in urban areas of Korea (Korea Ministry of Environment, 2011), higher concentration of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> can be maintained throughout the day. The concentration data of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> show strong correlations with many other parameters (e.g.,  $\sum$  cation (0.95),  $\sum$  anion (0.74),  $\sum$  all ions (0.89), and  $PM_{10}(0.74)$ ). It is thus reasonable to infer that  $NH_4^+$ should be influenced by emissions from many anthropogenic sources in urban areas. In atmosphere, Ca<sup>2+</sup> is generally believed to originate from soil, crustal material (e.g., calcite and dolomite), or construction activities (e.g, cement manufacturing). In our study, a strong correlation has been observed between Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> (r=0.43, p=1.22E-16). The relationship between  $Ca^{2+}$ and  $SO_4^{2-}$  (r=0.24, p=8.48E-06) also suggests the formation of CaSO<sub>4</sub>. Interestingly, a strong correlation (r =0.52, p=5.26E-25) between two anions namely  $NO_3^$ and  $SO_4^{2-}$  can be highly significant, if they exist as internal mixtures or if their concentrations are governed by common meteorological conditions (Zhuang et al., 1999).

The results of our correlation analysis were also evaluated after being divided into five different classes based on the magnitude of probability (P) such as: (1) no class (the weakest correlation range);  $P \ge 10^{-3}$ ; (2) class I (moderately weak correlation);  $10^{-5} \le P < 10^{-3}$ ; (3) class II ( $10^{-10} \le P < 10^{-5}$ ); (4) class III ( $10^{-10} \le P <$  $10^{-5}$ ); and (5) class IV (the strongest correlation range):  $P < 10^{-15}$ . The results of this comparative analysis are summarized to allow direct comparison among different seasons (Table 3b). If we go through the correlation results derived from all yearly data, it is seen that among 66 matching pairs for each data group, the frequency of the strongest correlation pairs (IV) is the highest among all five classes for comparison. It is also seen that among 66 cases, majority of the values are found either in Class II (14), no class (20), and class IV (25). Among the seasonally grouped data sets, the strongest correlation pairs (IV) are found most frequently in spring with 17 out of 66 cases. In contrast, the least significant correlation case (no class) was dominant in winter with 37 out of 66 cases.

#### 3. 4 Source Identification of Constituents of PM<sub>2.5</sub> using PCA

For the effective management of air quality, it is important to characterize the sources of target pollutants. The fine particles are a mixture of primary and secondary (mainly anthropogenic origin) aerosols through vapor nucleation/condensation mechanisms (Kleeman and Cass, 1998). To learn more about the processes controlling the ionic composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, it is meaningful to assess relative contribution between different sources (Manoli *et al.*, 2002).

Factor analysis (FA) is a useful tool to assess the relative roles between different emission sources without the aid of local source profile library. As part of FA, we conducted principal component analysis (PCA) (using SPSS v16) with varimax rotation using the daily average data of each constituent. As a type of multivariate analysis, PCA has been widely employed in air quality studies. The results yielded five source components, namely PC1 through PC5 which accounted for 30.6, 14.0, 13.3, 10.3, and 7.89% of the total valiance (Table 4). To simplify the interaction among the ions, only the positive r values are considered. PC1 is identified as secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA) component with high 'r' values of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (0.90), NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (0.80), and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>

**Table 4.** Results of principal component analysis (PCA) with varimax rotation by using  $PM_{2.5}$  chemical component data the daily mean at Gwang Jin district in Seoul, Korea during 2010<sup>a</sup>.

Component	1	2	3	4	5
% of variance	30.6	14.0	13.3	10.3	7.89
Na <sup>+</sup>	0.22	_	0.19	0.57	0.11
$NH_4^+$	0.90	0.23	1.24E-03	0.18	
K+	0.16	0.25	0.05	0.53	0.13
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	0.05	_	0.22	0.78	0.02
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.11	_	_	0.72	-0.04
Cl-	0.27	0.15	0.67	0.10	0.11
$NO_3^-$	0.80	0.10	0.24	_	_
$SO_4^{2-}$	0.88	0.04	_	0.23	_
$\sum$ Cations	0.86	0.17	_	0.36	_
$\sum$ Anions	0.94	0.07	0.13	_	_
$\sum$ Cations + $\sum$ Anions	0.95	0.13	0.05	0.18	_
$\overline{PM}_{10}$	0.66	0.25	0.21	0.32	0.32
$PM_{2.5}$	0.79	0.33	0.12	0.23	0.13
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.44	0.27	0.53	0.13	0.42
$NO_2$	0.47	0.73	0.19	1.31E-03	0.21
NOx	0.29	0.80	0.38		0.14
CO	0.43	0.66	0.18	0.03	_
$O_3$	0.13	_	_	0.12	0.13
WS	_	_	0.22	0.18	0.30
TEMP	0.07	0.07	_		_
HUM	0.17	0.15	_		_
UV	_	_	_		0.37

<sup>a</sup>Rotation converged in 7 iterations.

(0.88) along with their summation terms. To have a better understanding of sources, PCA was performed on a seasonally divided data groups as well. The results showed the highest variance in summer (84.3%), followed by spring (82.6%), winter (79.5%), and fall (76.7 %). In each season, their main source was identified as secondary inorganic aerosol with the highest variance in spring (38.2%), followed by fall (36.2%), summer (34.6%), and winter (29.1%). In a recent study conducted at Corpus Christi, an industrial and coastal city in South Texas, US, secondary sulphate was also identified as the dominant source category (30.4%) of the apportioned mass which is comparable with our value of 30.6% (Karnae and John, 2011). A predominance of such sources as soil dust, traffic, oil/coal combustion, and road dust was seen in outdoor microenvironment in Daejon, one of the major cities in Korea (Lim et al., 2011).

The second main source (PC2) appears to be affected by the secondary nitrate with high 'r' value of NO<sub>2</sub> (0.73), NO<sub>x</sub> (0.80), and CO (0.66). In all seasons (except summer), secondary nitrate became the second main source. However, in summer, second source was identified as road dust with high r value of  $Na^+(0.71)$ ,  $K^+$  (0.64),  $Mg^{2+}$  (0.86), and  $Ca^{2+}$  (0.89). Third source (PC3) was unidentified with high r value of  $Cl^{-}(0.67)$ and  $SO_2(0.53)$ . This source seems to be little ambiguous to a degree, although both SO<sub>2</sub> and Cl<sup>-</sup> can be generated from traffic emission. Hence, traffic activities can act as the source of this component. The fourth main source (PC4) was identified as the road dust with high r values of Na<sup>+</sup> (0.57), K<sup>+</sup> (0.53), Mg<sup>2+</sup> (0.78), and Ca<sup>2+</sup> (0.73). Road dust normally originates from multiple sources such as automobile exhaust, lubricating oil residues, tire and brake lining wear, street surface weathering, leaf detris, garden soil, etc (Rogge et al., 1993). In Mexico City, vehicular emission was also estimated as the most important source of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in an urban site (42%), followed by re-suspended dust (26%), secondary inorganic aerosols (11%), and industrial emission and food cooking (10% each). Result of factor analysis in Jeju Island, Korea indicated the existence of at least three major sources including sea-salt aerosol, secondary aerosol, and organic aerosol component in 1997 (winter) and 1998 (spring) (Kim et al., 2002). The observed difference between Jeju and our study may be explained by the fact that the target site in Jeju is a relatively clean area with low anthropogenic activities.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

In order to describe the distribution characteristics of  $PM_{2.5}$  and its ionic constituents, we analyzed their

hourly data sets initially collected at hourly intervals for one year period in 2010. The seasonal distribution of  $PM_{2.5}$  pattern in our study was generally characterized by its maximum in winter. The concentration of cationic components normally peaked in spring, while their anionic counterparts showed more diversified patterns. The seasonal variation of most ions was rather insignificant statistically, in terms of z test between the highest mean value and the one next to it.

When our data were examined by correlation analysis, the results indicated that most of the inorganic ion pairs maintained significant correlation. The results of PCA showed the existence of 5 major sources with the dominance of secondary inorganic aerosols (SIA). Thus, the results of our study, if analyzed in relation with seasonal and diurnal groups demonstrate consistently that the hourly data measured during the study period can be closely tied with the signatures of both natural and anthropogenic processes.

### REFERENCES

- Cyrys, J., Gutschmidt, K., Brauer, M., Dumyahn, T., Heinrich, J., Spengler, J.D., Wichmann, H.E. (1995) Determination of acidic sulfate aerosols in urban atmospheres in Erfurt (F.R.G.) and Sokolov (Former C.S.S.R.). Atmospheric Environment 29(23), 3545-3557.
- Han, Y.J., Kim, T.S., Kim, H. (2008) Ionic constituents and source analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in there Korean cities. Atmospheric Environment 42, 4735-4746.
- Heo, J.-B., Hopke, P.K., Yi, S.-M. (2009). Source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Seoul, Korea. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 9, 4957-4971.
- Hieu, N.T., Lee, B.K. (2010) Characteristics of particulate matter and metals in the ambient air from a residential area in the largest industrial area in Korea. Atmospheric Research 98, 526-537.
- Husain, L., Dutkiewicz, V.A. (1990) A long term (1975-1988) study of atmospheric SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>: regional contributions and concentration trends. Atmospheric Environment 24A, 1175-1187.
- Karnae, S., John, K. (2011) Source apportionment of fine particle matter measured in an industrialized coastal area of South Texas. Atmospheric Environment 45, 3769-3776.
- Khan, M.F., Shirasuna, Y., Hirano, K., Masunaga, M. (2010) Characterization of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>2.5-10</sub> and PM>10 in Ambient Air, Yokohama, Japan. Atmospheric Research 96, 159-172.
- Kim, H.S., Huh, J.B., Hopke, P.K., Holsen, T.M., Yi, S.M. (2007) Characteristics of the major chemical constituents of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and smog events in Seoul, Korea in 2003 and 2004, Atmosheric Environment 41(32), 6762-6770.
- Kim, K.H., Lee, M., Lee, G., Kim, Y.P., Youn, Y.H., Oh, J.M. (2002) Observations of aerosol-bound ionic compositions at Cheju Island, Korea. Chemosphere 48,

317-327.

- Kim, K.-H., Ho, D.-X., Park, C.-G., Ma, C.-J., Pandey, S.K., Lee, S.C., Jeong, H.J., Lee, S.H. (2012) Volatile organic compounds in ambient air at four residential locations of Seoul, Korea. Environmental Engineering Science. In Press.
- Kim, K.W., He, Z., Kim, Y.J. (2004) Physico-chemical characteristics and radiative properties of Asian dust particles observed at Kwangju, Korea during the 2001 ACF-Asia IOP. Journal of Geophysical Research 109, D19S01-D19S02.
- Kim, K.-H., Choi, G.-H., Kang, C.-H., Lee, J.-H., Kim, J.Y., Youn, Y.H., Lee, S.R. (2003) The chemical composition of fine and coarse particles in relation with the Asian Dust events. Atmosheric Environment 37, 753-765.
- Kim, Y., Guldmann, J.-M. (2011) Impact of traffic flows and wind directions on air pollution concentrations in Seoul, Korea. Atmospheric Environment 45, 2803-2810.
- Kim, Y.-J., Woo, J.-H., Ma, Y.-I., Kim, S., Nam, J.-S., Sung, H., Choi, K.-C., Seo, J., Kim, J.-S., Kang, C.-H., Lee, G. (2009) Chemical characteristics of long-range transport aerosol at background sites in Korea. Atmospheric Environment 43, 5556-5566.
- Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R. (1998) Source contributions to the size and composition distribution of urban particulate air pollution. Atmospheric Environment 32(16), 2803-2816.
- Korea Ministry of Environment (2011) http://eng.me.go.kr/ content.do?method=moveContent&menuCode=pol\_c ha\_air\_pol\_tra\_status.

- Lee, H.S., Kang, C.-M., Kang, B.-W., Kim, H.-K. (1999) Seasonal variations of acidic air pollutants in Seoul, South Korea. Atmospheric Environment 33, 3143-3152.
- Lim, J.-M., Jeong, J.-H., Lee, J.-H., Moon, J.-H., Chung, Y.-S., Kim, K.-H. (2011) The analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and associated elements and their indoor/outdoor pollution status in an urban area. Indoor Air 21, 145-155.
- Manoli, E., Voutsa, D., Samara, C. (2002) Chemical characterization and source identification/apportionment of fine and coarse air particles in Thessaloniki, Greece. Atmospheric Environment 36, 949-961.
- Rogge, W.F., Mazurek, M.A., Hildemann, L.M., Cass, G.R., Simoneit, B.R.T. (1993). Quantification of urban organic aerosols at a molecular-level-identification, abundance and seasonal-variation. Atmospheric Environment Part a-General Topics 27, 1309-1330.
- Seinfeld, J.H., Pandis, S.N. (1998) Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change, 1st edition, J. Wiley, New York.
- Yao, X., Chan, C.K., Fang, M., Cadle, S., Chan, T., Mulawa, P., He, K., Ye, B. (2002) The water-soluble ionic composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Shanghai and Beijing, China. Atmospheric Environment 36, 4223-4234.
- Zhuang, H., Chan, C.K., Fang, M., Wexler, A.S. (1999) Size distribution of particulate sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium at a coast site in Hong Kong. Atmospheric Environment 33, 848-853.

(Received 24 November 2011, revised 9 February 2012, accepted 9 February 2012)