

## SHADOWABLE CHAIN TRANSITIVE SETS OF $C^1$ -GENERIC DIFFEOMORPHISMS

KEONHEE LEE AND XIAO WEN

ABSTRACT. We prove that a locally maximal chain transitive set of a  $C^1$ -generic diffeomorphism is hyperbolic if and only if it is shadowable.

### 1. Introduction

Transitive sets, homoclinic classes and chain components of a diffeomorphism  $f$  on a closed  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$  are natural candidates to replace the Smale's hyperbolic basic sets in non-hyperbolic theory of differentiable dynamical systems. Many recent papers have explored their hyperbolic-like properties such as dominated splitting, partial hyperbolicity and hyperbolicity.

For instance, Sakai *et al.* proved in [7, 10] that if the chain component  $C_f(p)$  of a diffeomorphism  $f$  containing a hyperbolic periodic point  $p$  is robustly shadowable (i.e., there is a  $C^1$  neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}(f)$  of  $f$  such that the chain component  $C_g(p_g)$  of  $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  containing the continuation  $p_g$  is shadowable for  $g$ ), then  $C_f(p)$  is hyperbolic. Moreover Lee *et al.* in [6, 8, 9, 11] obtained sufficient conditions for the homoclinic classes to be hyperbolic. It is known by Bonatti and Crovisier in [3] that, in the  $C^1$ -generic context, every chain component with a periodic point is a homoclinic class.

In this paper, we study the hyperbolicity of shadowable chain transitive sets of  $C^1$ -generic diffeomorphisms  $f$  on a closed  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$ . Note that every transitive set, homoclinic class and chain component of  $f$  are examples of chain transitive sets of  $f$ .

Let  $\text{Diff}(M)$  be the space of diffeomorphisms of  $M$  endowed with the  $C^1$ -topology. Denote by  $d$  the distance on  $M$  induced from a Riemannian metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on the tangent bundle  $TM$ . Let  $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ . For  $\delta > 0$ , a sequence of points  $\{x_i\}_{i=a}^b$  in  $M$  ( $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ ) is called a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit (or  $\delta$ -chain) of  $f$  if  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$  for all  $a \leq i \leq b-1$ . For a closed  $f$ -invariant set  $\Lambda \subset M$ , we say that  $f$  has the *shadowing property* (or  $\Lambda$  is *shadowable* for  $f$ ) if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i=a}^b \subset \Lambda$

---

Received July 14, 2010; Revised December 6, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B20, 37C50, 37D30.

*Key words and phrases.* chain transitive, generic, hyperbolic, shadowable.

©2012 The Korean Mathematical Society

of  $f$  ( $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ ), there is  $y \in M$  satisfying  $d(f^i(y), x_i) < \epsilon$  for all  $a \leq i \leq b - 1$ . In this case,  $\{x_i\}_{i=a}^b$  is said to be  $\epsilon$ -shadowed by the point  $y$ . Notice that only  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbits of  $f$  contained in  $\Lambda$  are allowed to be  $\epsilon$ -shadowed, but the shadowing point  $y \in M$  is not necessarily contained in  $\Lambda$ .

Given  $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ , a closed  $f$ -invariant set  $\Lambda \subset M$  is said to be *chain transitive* if for any points  $x, y \in \Lambda$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i=a_\delta}^{b_\delta} \subset \Lambda$  ( $a_\delta < b_\delta$ ) of  $f$  such that  $x_{a_\delta} = x$  and  $x_{b_\delta} = y$ . For given points  $x, y \in M$ , we write  $x \rightsquigarrow y$  if for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i=a_\delta}^{b_\delta}$  ( $a_\delta < b_\delta$ ) of  $f$  such that  $x_{a_\delta} = x$  and  $x_{b_\delta} = y$ . The set  $\{x \in M : x \rightsquigarrow x\}$  is called the *chain recurrent set* of  $f$  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{CR}(f)$ . Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{CR}(f)$  by  $x \sim y$  if  $x \rightsquigarrow y$  and  $y \rightsquigarrow x$ . It is clear that  $\sim$  is an equivalent relation on  $\mathcal{CR}(f)$ . The equivalence classes are called the *chain components* (or *chain recurrent classes*) of  $f$ . Clearly every chain component is a maximal chain transitive set; that is, a set which are maximal in the family of all chain transitive sets of  $f$  ordered by inclusion.

A closed  $f$ -invariant set  $\Lambda \subset M$  is said to be *transitive* if there is a point  $x \in \Lambda$  such that the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x)$  of  $x$  coincides with  $\Lambda$ ; and  $\Lambda$  is said to be *locally maximal* if there is an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(V)$ .

Recall that a closed  $f$ -invariant set  $\Lambda \subset M$  is called *hyperbolic* if the tangent bundle  $T_\Lambda M$  has a  $Df$ -invariant splitting  $E^s \oplus E^u$  and there exist constants  $C > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1$  such that

$$\|Df^n|_{E^s(x)}\| \leq C\lambda^n$$

and

$$\|Df^{-n}|_{E^u(x)}\| \leq C\lambda^n$$

for all  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover, we say that  $\Lambda$  admits a *dominated splitting* if the tangent bundle  $T_\Lambda M$  has a  $Df$ -invariant splitting  $E \oplus F$  and there exist constants  $C > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1$  such that

$$\|Df^n|_{E(x)}\| \cdot \|Df^{-n}|_{F(f^n(x))}\| \leq C\lambda^n$$

for all  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $n \geq 0$ .

We say that a subset  $\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  is *residual* if  $\mathcal{R}$  contains the intersection of a countable family of open and dense subsets of  $\text{Diff}(M)$ ; in this case  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $\text{Diff}(M)$ . A property (P) is said to be  $(C^1)$ -generic if (P) holds for all diffeomorphisms which belong to some residual subset of  $\text{Diff}(M)$ .

Recently Abdenur and Díaz [2] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for a locally maximal transitive set  $\Lambda$  of a  $C^1$ -generic diffeomorphism  $f$  to be hyperbolic as follow: either  $\Lambda$  is hyperbolic, or there are a  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}(f)$  of  $f$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $\Lambda$  such that every  $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  does not have the shadowing property on the neighborhood  $V$ .

The main result of this paper is the following.

**Theorem A.** *A locally maximal chain transitive set of a  $C^1$ -generic diffeomorphism is hyperbolic if and only if it is shadowable.*

It is explained in [1] that every  $C^1$ -generic diffeomorphism comes in one of two types: *tame* diffeomorphisms, which have a finite number of homoclinic classes and whose nonwandering sets admit partitions into a finite number of disjoint transitive sets; and *wild* diffeomorphisms, which have an infinite number of (disjoint and different) homoclinic classes and whose nonwandering sets admit no such partitions. It is easy to show that if a diffeomorphism has a finite number of chain components, then every chain component is locally maximal, and so every chain component of a tame diffeomorphism is locally maximal. Hence we can get the following result by Theorem A.

**Theorem B.** *There is a residual set  $\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  such that if  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  is tame, then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{CR}(f)$  is hyperbolic.
- (2)  $\mathcal{CR}(f)$  is shadowable.

## 2. Proof of Theorem A

In dynamical systems the periodic orbits play an important role. Some dynamical invariants are associated to them; in general, they also can be followed after perturbation of the dynamics. Pugh's closing lemma implies that any transitive set  $\Lambda$  of a  $C^1$ -generic diffeomorphism  $f$  is the Hausdorff limit of a sequence of periodic orbits  $P_n$  of  $f$ : i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \Lambda$ .

Recently Crovisier [4] provides us with a remarkable result for the following question, in terms of chain transitivity: what is the class of compact sets that may be approximated by a sequence of periodic orbits? He proved that the chain transitive sets of  $C^1$ -generic diffeomorphisms are approximated in the Hausdorff topology by periodic orbits.

First we state some results which will be used in the proof of Theorem A.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There is a residual set  $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  such that every  $f \in \mathcal{R}_1$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *Every periodic point of  $f$  is hyperbolic and all their invariant manifolds are transverse (Kupka-Smale).*
- (2) *A compact  $f$ -invariant set  $\Lambda$  is chain transitive if and only if  $\Lambda$  is the Hausdorff limit of a sequence of periodic orbits of  $f$  ([4]).*

**Lemma 2.2.** *There is a residual set  $\mathcal{R}_2 \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  such that every  $f \in \mathcal{R}_2$  satisfies the following property: For any closed  $f$ -invariant set  $\Lambda \subset M$ , if there are a sequence of diffeomorphisms  $f_n$  converging to  $f$  and a sequence of hyperbolic periodic orbits  $P_n$  of  $f_n$  with index  $k$  verifying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \Lambda$ , then there is a sequence of hyperbolic periodic orbits  $Q_n$  of  $f$  with index  $k$  such that  $\Lambda$  is the Hausdorff limit of  $Q_n$ , where the index of a hyperbolic periodic orbit  $P$  is the dimension of the stable manifold of  $P$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  be the space of all nonempty compact subsets of  $M$  with the Hausdorff metric, and take a countable basis  $\beta = \{\mathcal{V}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\mathcal{K}(M)$ . For each pair  $(n, k)$  with  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{n,k}$  the set of diffeomorphisms  $f$  such that  $f$  has a  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\text{Diff}(M)$  with the following property: for every  $g \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is a hyperbolic periodic orbit  $Q \in \mathcal{V}_n$  of  $g$  with index  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_{n,k}$  be the set of diffeomorphisms  $f$  such that  $f$  has a  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\text{Diff}(M)$  with the following property: for every  $g \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is no hyperbolic periodic orbit  $Q \in \mathcal{V}_n$  of  $g$  with index  $k$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{H}_{n,k} \cup \mathcal{N}_{n,k}$  is open in  $\text{Diff}(M)$ . To show that  $\mathcal{H}_{n,k} \cup \mathcal{N}_{n,k}$  is dense in  $\text{Diff}(M)$ , we take  $f \in \text{Diff}(M) - \mathcal{N}_{n,k}$ . Then for any  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $f$ , there is  $g \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $g$  has a hyperbolic periodic orbit  $Q \in \mathcal{V}_n$  with index  $k$ . The hyperbolicity of  $Q$  for  $g$  implies that  $g \in \mathcal{H}_{n,k}$ . This means that  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_{n,k}}$ , and so  $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{n,k} \cup \mathcal{N}_{n,k}} = \text{Diff}(M)$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k=0, \dots, \dim(M)} \mathcal{H}_{n,k} \cup \mathcal{N}_{n,k}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{R}_2$  is a residual subset of  $\text{Diff}(M)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}_2$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be a closed  $f$ -invariant subset of  $M$ . Assume that there is a sequence of diffeomorphisms  $f_n$  converging to  $f$  and a sequence of periodic orbits  $P_n$  of  $f_n$  with index  $k$  such that  $\Lambda$  is the Hausdorff limit of  $P_n$ . For any neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{K}(M)$ , take  $\mathcal{V}_m \in \beta$  such that  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_m \subset \mathcal{V}$ . Then we have  $f \notin \mathcal{N}_{m,k}$ , and so  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{m,k}$ . Hence  $f$  has a periodic orbit, say  $Q_m$ , in  $\mathcal{V}_m$  with index  $k$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We say that a point  $x$  in  $M$  is *well closable* for  $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $g \in \text{Diff}(M)$  with  $d_{C^1}(g, f) < \varepsilon$  and a periodic point  $p$  of  $g$  such that  $d(f^n(x), g^n(p)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $0 \leq n \leq \pi(p)$ , where  $\pi(p)$  is the period of  $p$ . Let  $\Sigma(f)$  denote the set of well closable points of  $f$ . Mane's ergodic closing lemma [6] says that  $\mu(\Sigma(f)) = 1$  for any  $f$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $M$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the space of all Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $M$  endowed with the weak\* topology. It is easy to check that, for any ergodic measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  of  $f$ ,  $\mu$  is supported on a periodic orbit  $P = \{p, f(p), \dots, f^{\pi(p)-1}(p)\}$  of  $f$  if and only if

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\pi(p)} \sum_{i=0}^{\pi(p)-1} \delta_{f^i(p)},$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the atomic measure respecting  $x$ .

The following lemma comes from the Mane's ergodic closing lemma in [6] which gives the measure theoretical viewpoint on the approximation by periodic orbits.

**Lemma 2.3.** *There is a residual set  $\mathcal{R}_3 \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  such that every  $f \in \mathcal{R}_3$  satisfies the following property: Any ergodic invariant measure  $\mu$  of  $f$  is the limit of sequence of ergodic invariant measures supported by periodic orbits  $P_n$*

of  $f$  in the weak\* topology. Moreover, the orbits  $P_n$  converges to the support of  $\mu$  in the Hausdorff topology.

*Proof.* Let  $\beta = \{\mathcal{V}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a countable basis of  $\mathcal{M}$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{H}_n$  the set of diffeomorphisms  $f$  such that  $f$  has a  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\text{Diff}(M)$  with the following property: for any  $g \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is a periodic point  $p$  of  $g$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\pi(p)} \sum_{i=0}^{\pi(p)-1} \delta_{g^i(p)} \in \mathcal{V}_n.$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}_n$  be the set of diffeomorphisms  $f$  such that  $f$  has a  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\text{Diff}(M)$  with the following property: for any  $g \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is no periodic point  $p$  of  $g$  such that  $\frac{1}{\pi(p)} \sum_{i=0}^{\pi(p)-1} \delta_{g^i(p)} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ . It is obvious that  $\mathcal{H}_n \cup \mathcal{N}_n$  is open in  $\text{Diff}(M)$ . To show that  $\mathcal{H}_n \cup \mathcal{N}_n$  is a dense in  $\text{Diff}(M)$ , we take  $f \in \text{Diff}(M) - \mathcal{N}_n$ . Then for any  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $f$ , there is  $g \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $g$  has a periodic point  $p$  such that  $\frac{1}{\pi(p)} \sum_{i=0}^{\pi(p)-1} \delta_{g^i(p)} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ . With a small perturbation, we may assume that the periodic orbit is hyperbolic. The hyperbolicity of  $p$  implies that  $g \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . This means that  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_n}$ , and so  $\mathcal{H}_n \cup \mathcal{N}_n$  is dense in  $\text{Diff}(M)$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \mathcal{H}_n \cup \mathcal{N}_n.$$

Then  $\mathcal{R}_3$  is a residual subset of  $\text{Diff}(M)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}_3$ , and let  $\mu$  be an ergodic invariant measure of  $f$ . For any neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , there is  $\mathcal{V}_n \in \beta$  such that  $\mu \in \mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{V}$ . By the Mane's ergodic closing lemma and Birkhoff ergodic theorem, there is a well closable point  $x$  in the support of  $\mu$  such that  $\mu$  is the limit point of  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}$  under the weak\* topology and the support of  $\mu$  equal the closure of the positive orbit of  $x$ . Since  $x$  is well closable, one can see that  $f \notin \mathcal{N}_n$ , and so  $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . Hence there is a periodic point, say  $p_n$ , of  $f$  such that  $\frac{1}{\pi(p_n)} \sum_{i=0}^{\pi(p_n)-1} \delta_{f^i(p_n)} \in \mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{V}$ . This means that there is an ergodic invariant measure of  $f$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  whose support is a periodic orbit  $P_n = \{f^i(p_n)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $f$ . By our construction, we can see that the support of  $\mu$  is the Hausdorff limit of  $P_n$ , and so completes the proof.  $\square$

In the following lemma, we can see that every periodic point of a shadowable chain transitive set  $\Lambda$  of  $f \in \mathcal{R}_1$  has the same index; that is, the dimensions of stable manifolds of all periodic points in  $\Lambda$  are the same.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}_1$ , and  $\Lambda$  be a shadowable chain transitive set of  $f$ . Then all periodic points in  $\Lambda$  have the same index.*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  and  $q$  be two periodic points of  $f$  in  $\Lambda$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a small constant such that the local stable manifold  $W_\varepsilon^s(p)$  and the local unstable manifold  $W_\varepsilon^u(q)$  are well defined. Take a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that every  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit in  $\Lambda$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed by a point in  $M$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is chain transitive,

there is a  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  in  $\Lambda$  such that  $x_0 = q$  and  $x_n = p$ . Construct a  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit  $\xi$  in  $\Lambda$  as follows:

$$\xi = \{\dots, f^{-2}(q), f^{-1}(q), q, x_1, \dots, p, f(p), f^2(p), \dots\}.$$

Then there is an orbit  $Orb(y)$  which  $\varepsilon$  shows  $\xi$ , where  $Orb(y) = \{f^n(y) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Since  $Orb(y) \cap W_\varepsilon^s(p) \neq \emptyset$  and  $Orb(y) \cap W_\varepsilon^u(q) \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $y \in W^s(p) \cap W^u(q)$ . This implies that the index of  $p$  and index of  $q$  should be same. Otherwise it will contradict the fact that the stable manifold  $W^s(p)$  and the unstable manifold  $W^u(q)$  are transverse, and so completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we define the residual subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\text{Diff}(M)$  required in the statement of Theorem A as follow:  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_3$ . Then we have the following proposition which is crucial to prove Theorem A.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be a shadowable chain transitive set of  $f$  which is locally maximal. Then there exist constants  $m > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that for any periodic point  $p \in \Lambda$ ,*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\pi(p)-1} \|Df^m|_{E^s(f^{im}(p))}\| < \lambda^{\pi(p)},$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\pi(p)-1} \|Df^{-m}|_{E^u(f^{-im}(p))}\| < \lambda^{\pi(p)}$$

and

$$\|Df^m|_{E^s(p)}\| \cdot \|Df^{-m}|_{E^u(f^m(p))}\| < \lambda^2,$$

where  $\pi(p)$  denote the period of  $p$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f \in \mathcal{R}_2$ , and all periodic points in  $\Lambda$  have the same index and  $\Lambda$  is locally maximal, we can choose a  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}(f)$  of  $f$  and a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\Lambda$  such that every  $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  has no nonhyperbolic periodic orbit which is contained in  $U$ . Suppose not. Then, for any  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}(f)$  of  $f$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $\Lambda$ , we can take  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{V}(f)$  and hyperbolic periodic orbits  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  (in  $V$ ) of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , respectively, such that  $\text{index}Q_1 \neq \text{index}Q_2$ . Consequently we can select two sequences of diffeomorphisms  $g_n$  and  $g'_n$  which converge to  $f$ , and two sequences of hyperbolic periodic orbits  $Q_n, Q'_n$  of  $g_n$  and  $g'_n$ , respectively, such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n = \Lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q'_n$  and  $\text{index}Q_n \neq \text{index}Q'_n$  for each  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\text{index}Q_n = \text{index}Q_m$  and  $\text{index}Q'_n = \text{index}Q'_m$  for all  $m, n \in \mathcal{N}$  by taking a subsequence if necessary. From Lemma 2.2, we can choose two sequences of periodic orbits  $P_n$  and  $P'_n$  of  $f$  such that  $\text{index}P_n = \text{index}Q_n$ ,  $\text{index}P'_n = \text{index}Q'_n$  and  $\Lambda$  is the Hausdorff limit of  $\{P_n\}$  and  $\{P'_n\}$ , respectively. Since  $\Lambda$  is locally maximal, we may assume that  $P_n, P'_n \subset \Lambda$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Since  $\text{index}P_n \neq \text{index}P'_n$ , we arrive at the contradiction by Lemma 2.4. Moreover we may assume that all of the indices of periodic orbits of  $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  are the

same. Hence we can apply Lemma II.3 in [6], and so we get the constants  $K > 0, m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that for any periodic point  $p \in \Lambda$  with  $\pi(p) \geq K$ ,

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\pi(p)-1} \|Df^{m_0}|_{E^s(f^{im_0}(p))}\| < \lambda^{\pi(p)},$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\pi(p)-1} \|Df^{-m_0}|_{E^u(f^{-im_0}(p))}\| < \lambda^{\pi(p)}$$

and

$$\|Df^{m_0}|_{E^s(p)}\| \cdot \|Df^{-m_0}|_{E^u(f^{m_0}(p))}\| < \lambda^2.$$

Let  $\Lambda_0$  be the set of all periodic points in  $\Lambda$  whose periods are less than  $K$ . Since every periodic point of  $f$  is hyperbolic, there are only a finite number of periodic points in  $\Lambda_0$ , and so  $\Lambda_0$  is hyperbolic for  $f$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $\|Df^{km_0}|_{E^s(x)}\| < \lambda$  and  $\|Df^{-km_0}|_{E^u(x)}\| < \lambda$  for all  $x \in \Lambda_0$ . If we let  $m = km_0$ , then we know that  $m$  and  $\lambda$  are the required constants.  $\square$

*End of the proof of Theorem A.* By Lemma 2.1 and the third property of Proposition 2.1, we can see that  $\Lambda$  admits a dominated splitting  $T_\Lambda M = E \oplus F$  which satisfies  $E(p) = E^s(p)$  and  $F(p) = E^u(p)$  for every periodic point  $p \in \Lambda$ . To complete the proof of Theorem A, it is enough to show that  $Df$  is contracting on  $E$  and  $Df$  is expanding on  $F$  if  $\Lambda$  is shadowable for  $f$ . Suppose  $Df$  is not contracting on  $E$ . Then, by a simple calculation, we can find a “bad” point  $b \in \Lambda$  such that

$$\|Df^k|_{E(b)}\| \geq 1$$

for any  $k > 0$ . Denote by  $\delta_x$  the atomic measure respecting  $x$ . Let us consider a sequence  $\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^{im}(b)} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and take an accumulation point  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  of the sequence. Then we can see that  $\mu$  is a  $f^m$ -invariant probability measure on  $M$  with  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \Lambda$  which satisfies  $\int \log(\|Df^m|_{E(x)}\|) d f_*^l \mu \geq 0$  for any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Take

$$\nu = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} f_*^l \mu.$$

We can easily see that  $\nu$  is a  $f$ -invariant measure supported on  $\Lambda$  which satisfies  $\int \log(\|Df^m|_{E(x)}\|) d \nu \geq 0$ . Note here that we can extend  $E$  continuously to the whole manifold  $M$ . By the ergodic decomposition theorem, there is an ergodic measure  $\mu_0$  with  $\text{supp}(\mu_0) \subset \Lambda$  such that

$$\int \log(\|Df^m|_{E(x)}\|) d \mu_0 \geq 0.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.3, we can take a sequence of ergodic  $f$ -invariant measures  $\mu_n$  such that the support of each  $\mu_n$  is a periodic orbit  $P_n$  of  $f$ ,  $\{\mu_n\}$  converges to  $\mu_0$  and  $\{P_n\}$  converges to the support of  $\mu_0$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is locally maximal, we may assume that every  $P_n$  is contained in  $\Lambda$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

If we apply Proposition 2.1, then we have

$$\int \log(\|Df^m|_{E(x)}\|)d\mu_n < \log \lambda$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Since  $\mu_n$  converges to  $\mu_0$  in the weak\* topology, we have

$$\int \log(\|Df^m|_{E(x)}\|)d\mu_n \rightarrow \int \log(\|Df^m|_{E(x)}\|)d\mu_0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence we get  $\int \log(\|Df^m|_{E(x)}\|)d\mu_0 < 0$ . The contradiction proves that  $Df$  is contracting on  $E$ . Similarly we can show that  $Df$  is expanding on  $F$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The first author was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government(KRF-2008-313-C00081).

### References

- [1] F. Abdenur, *Generic robustness of spectral decompositions*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) **36** (2003), no. 3, 213–224.
- [2] F. Abdenur and L. J. Díaz, *Pseudo-orbit shadowing in the  $C^1$  topology*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **17** (2007), no. 2, 223–245.
- [3] C. Bonatti and S. Crovisier, *Réurrence et genericité*, Invent. Math. **158** (2004), no. 1, 33–104.
- [4] S. Crovisier, *Periodic orbits and chain-transitive sets of  $C^1$ -diffeomorphisms*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **104** (2006), 87–141.
- [5] K. Lee and M. Lee, *Hyperbolicity of  $C^1$ -stably expansive homoclinic classes*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **27** (2010), no. 3, 1133–1145.
- [6] R. Mané, *An ergodic closing lemma*, Ann. of Math. (2) **116** (1982), no. 3, 503–540.
- [7] K. Sakai,  *$C^1$ -stably shadowable chain components*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **28** (2008), no. 3, 987–1029.
- [8] M. Sambarino and J. Vieitez, *On  $C^1$ -persistently expansive homoclinic classes*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **14** (2006), no. 3, 465–481.
- [9] ———, *Robustly expansive homoclinic classes are generically hyperbolic*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **24** (2009), no. 4, 1325–1333.
- [10] X. Wen, S. Gan, and L. Wen,  *$C^1$ -stably shadowable chain components are hyperbolic*, J. Differential Equations **246** (2009), no. 1, 340–357.
- [11] D. Yang and S. Gan, *Expansive homoclinic classes*, Nonlinearity **22** (2009), no. 4 729–733.

KEONHEE LEE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
DAEJEON 305-764, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* khlee@cnu.ac.kr

XIAO WEN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
BEIHANG UNIVERSITY  
BEIJING 100083, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* wenxiao@buaa.edu.cn