GEOMETRY OF LIGHTLIKE HYPERSURFACES OF AN INDEFINITE COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLD

Dae Ho Jin

ABSTRACT. We study the geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces M of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} such that either (1) the characteristic vector field ζ of \bar{M} belongs to the screen distribution S(TM) of M or (2) ζ belongs to the orthogonal complement $S(TM)^{\perp}$ of S(TM) in $T\bar{M}$.

0. Introduction

The theory of lightlike submanifolds is one of the interesting topics of differential geometry. This theory is relatively new and in a developing stage. Many authors studied the geometry of lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Sasakian manifolds. Recently several authors have studied the geometry of lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold [10].

The purpose of this paper is to study the geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces M of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} subject to the conditions: (1) The characteristic vector field ζ of \bar{M} belongs to the screen distribution S(TM) of M, or (2) ζ belongs to the orthogonal complement $S(TM)^{\perp}$ of S(TM) in $T\bar{M}$. We provide several new results on lightlike hypersurfaces M of this two types by using the structure tensors of M induced by the contact metric structure tensor J of \bar{M} .

1. Lightlike hypersurfaces

An odd dimensional smooth manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called a contact metric manifold [1, 8] if there exist a (1,1)-type tensor field J, a vector field ζ , called the characteristic vector field, and its 1-form θ satisfying

(1.1)
$$J^{2}X = -X + \theta(X)\zeta, \ J\zeta = 0, \ \theta \circ J = 0, \ \theta(\zeta) = 1,$$

$$\bar{g}(\zeta,\zeta) = \epsilon, \quad \bar{g}(JX,JY) = \bar{g}(X,Y) - \epsilon \theta(X)\theta(Y),$$

$$\theta(X) = \epsilon \bar{g}(\zeta,X), \quad d\theta(X,Y) = \bar{g}(JX,Y), \ \epsilon = \pm 1$$

Received August 31, 2010; Revised January 7, 2011.

 $2010\ \textit{Mathematics Subject Classification}.\ \textit{Primary 53C25},\ 53C40,\ 53C50.$

©2012 The Korean Mathematical Society

Key words and phrases. totally umbilical, screen conformal, tangential and ascreen light-like hypersurfaces, indefinite cosymplectic manifold.

for any vector fields X, Y on \bar{M} . Then the set $(J, \theta, \zeta, \bar{g})$ is called a contact metric structure on \bar{M} . We say that \bar{M} has a normal contact structure [8] if $N_J + d\theta \otimes \zeta = 0$, where N_J is the Nijenhuis tensor field of J. A normal contact metric manifold is called a cosymplectic [1, 12] for which we have

$$(1.2) \bar{\nabla}_X \theta = 0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_X J = 0$$

for any vector field X on \overline{M} . A cosymplectic manifold $\overline{M}=(\overline{M},J,\zeta,\theta,\overline{g})$ is called an *indefinite cosymplectic manifold* [10] if $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$ is a semi-Riemannian manifold of index $\mu(>0)$. For any indefinite cosymplectic manifold, apply the operator $\overline{\nabla}_X$ to $J\zeta=0$ for any vector field X on \overline{M} and use (1.2), we have $J(\overline{\nabla}_X\zeta)=0$. Apply J to this and use (1.1) and $\theta(\overline{\nabla}_X\zeta)=0$, we get

$$(1.3) \bar{\nabla}_X \zeta = 0.$$

A hypersurface M of \overline{M} is called a *lightlike hypersurface* if the normal bundle TM^{\perp} of M is a vector subbundle of the tangent bundle TM of M, of rank 1. Then there exists a non-degenerate complementary vector bundle S(TM) of TM^{\perp} in TM, called a *screen distribution* on M, such that

$$(1.4) TM = TM^{\perp} \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM),$$

where \oplus_{orth} denotes the orthogonal direct sum. We denote such a lightlike hypersurface by M = (M, g, S(TM)). Denote by F(M) the algebra of smooth functions on M and by $\Gamma(E)$ the F(M) module of smooth sections of any vector bundle E over M. It is known [4] that, for any null section ξ of TM^{\perp} on a coordinate neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset M$, there exists a unique null section N of a unique vector bundle $\operatorname{tr}(TM)$ of rank 1 in $S(TM)^{\perp}$ satisfying

$$\bar{g}(\xi, N) = 1$$
, $\bar{g}(N, N) = \bar{g}(N, X) = 0$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(S(TM))$.

In this case, the tangent bundle $T\bar{M}$ of \bar{M} is decomposed as follow:

$$(1.5) T\bar{M} = TM \oplus \operatorname{tr}(TM) = \{TM^{\perp} \oplus \operatorname{tr}(TM)\} \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} S(TM).$$

We call tr(TM) and N the transversal vector bundle and the null transversal vector field of M with respect to the screen S(TM) respectively.

Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of \bar{M} and P the projection morphism of $\Gamma(TM)$ on $\Gamma(S(TM))$ with respect to the decomposition (1.4). Then the local Gauss-Weingartan formulas of M and S(TM) are given by

$$(1.6) \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y) N,$$

$$(1.7) \qquad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \tau(X) N,$$

(1.8)
$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + C(X, PY)\xi,$$

(1.9)
$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_{\xi}^* X - \tau(X) \xi$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ respectively, where ∇ and ∇^* are the liner connections on TM and S(TM) respectively, B and C are the local second fundamental forms on TM and S(TM) respectively, A_N and A_{ξ}^* are the shape operators

on TM and S(TM) respectively and τ is a 1-form on M. Since $\bar{\nabla}$ is torsion-free, ∇ is also torsion-free and B is symmetric on M. From the fact that $B(X,Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \xi)$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, we show that B is independent of the choice of a screen distribution S(TM) and satisfies

(1.10)
$$B(X,\xi) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

The induced connection ∇ of M is not metric and satisfies

$$(1.11) \qquad (\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = B(X, Y) \, \eta(Z) + B(X, Z) \, \eta(Y)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$, where η is a 1-form such that

(1.12)
$$\eta(X) = \bar{g}(X, N), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

But the connection ∇^* on S(TM) is metric. Above two local second fundamental forms B and C are related to their shape operators by

(1.13)
$$B(X,Y) = g(A_{\xi}^*X,Y), \qquad \bar{g}(A_{\xi}^*X,N) = 0,$$

(1.14)
$$C(X, PY) = q(A_N X, PY), \quad \bar{q}(A_N X, N) = 0.$$

From (1.13), the operator A_{ξ}^* is S(TM)-valued self-adjoint on TM such that

$$A_{\varepsilon}^* \xi = 0.$$

From the equations (1.6), (1.9) and (1.10), we show that

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_{\xi}^* X - \tau(X) \xi, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

2. Tangential lightlike hypersurfaces

In general, the characteristic vector field ζ belongs to $T\bar{M}$. Thus, from the decomposition (1.5) of $T\bar{M}$, ζ is decomposed by

(2.1)
$$\zeta = P\zeta + a\xi + bN,$$

where a and b are smooth functions defined by $a = \epsilon \theta(N)$ and $b = \epsilon \theta(\xi)$.

Proposition 2.1 ([8]). Let M be a lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite almost contact manifold \bar{M} . Then there exists a screen S(TM) such that

$$J(S(TM)^{\perp}) \subset S(TM).$$

Note 1. Although S(TM) is not unique, it is canonically isomorphic to the factor vector bundle $TM^* = TM/Rad(TM)$ considered by Kupeli [11]. Thus all screen distributions S(TM) are mutually isomorphic. For this reason, we consider only lightlike hypersurface M of \bar{M} equipped with a screen distribution S(TM) such that $J(S(TM)^{\perp}) \subset S(TM)$.

Proposition 2.2. Let M be a lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then ζ does not belong to TM^{\perp} and $\operatorname{tr}(TM)$.

Proof. Assume that the vector field ζ belongs to TM^{\perp} [or tr(TM)]. Then we have $\zeta = a\xi$ and $a \neq 0$ [or $\zeta = bN$ and $b \neq 0$]. From this we have

$$\epsilon = \bar{g}(\zeta, \zeta) = a^2 \bar{g}(\xi, \xi) = 0 \text{ [or } \epsilon = \bar{g}(\zeta, \zeta) = b^2 \bar{g}(N, N) = 0].$$

It is a contradiction to $\epsilon = \pm 1$. From this result we deduce our assertion.

Note 2. Călin [2] has proved that if the characteristic vector field ζ is tangent to M, then it belongs to S(TM) which we assume in this paper.

Definition 1. A lightlike hypersurface M of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} is said to be a tangential lightlike hypersurface [9] of \bar{M} if the characteristic vector field ζ of \bar{M} is tangent to M.

For any tangential M, by Note 2, we show that ζ belongs to S(TM), i.e., a = b = 0. In this case, there exists a non-degenerate almost complex distribution D_o on M with respect to J, i.e., $J(D_o) = D_o$, such that

$$S(TM) = \{J(TM^{\perp}) \oplus J(\operatorname{tr}(TM))\} \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} D_o.$$

Now consider the 2-lightlike almost complex distribution D such that

(2.2)
$$TM = D \oplus J(\operatorname{tr}(TM)), \quad D = \{TM^{\perp} \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} J(TM^{\perp})\} \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} D_o$$

and two null vector fields U and V and their 1-forms u and v such that

(2.3)
$$U = -JN, V = -J\xi, u(X) = g(X, V), v(X) = g(X, U).$$

Denote by S the projection morphism of TM on D. By the first equation of (2.2)[denote (2.2)-1], any vector field X on M is expressed as follows

$$(2.4) X = SX + u(X)U, JX = FX + u(X)N,$$

where F is a tensor field of type (1,1) defined on M by

$$FX = JSX, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Apply J to (1.6), (1.7) and (1.16) and use (1.6), (1.7), (2.3) and the second equation of (2.4), for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have

$$(2.5) B(X,U) = C(X,V),$$

(2.6)
$$\nabla_X U = F(A_N X) + \tau(X) U,$$

(2.7)
$$\nabla_X V = F(A_{\varepsilon}^* X) - \tau(X) V,$$

$$(2.8) \qquad (\nabla_X F)(Y) = u(Y)A_N X - B(X, Y)U.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let M be a tangential lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then ζ is parallel on M and S(TM). Moreover ζ is conjugate to any vector field on M with respect to B and C.

Proof. Replace Y by ζ to (1.6) and use (1.3) and $\zeta \in \Gamma(TM)$, we get

$$\nabla_X \zeta + B(X, \zeta)N = 0, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Taking the scalar product with ξ in this equation, we have

(2.9)
$$\nabla_X \zeta = 0, \quad B(X, \zeta) = 0, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Thus ζ is parallel on M and conjugate to any vector field on M with respect to B. Replace PY by ζ to (1.8) and use (2.9) and $\zeta \in \Gamma(S(TM))$, we have

$$\nabla_X^* \zeta + C(X, \zeta) \xi = 0, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Taking the scalar product with N to this equation we have

(2.10)
$$\nabla_X^* \zeta = 0, \quad C(X, \zeta) = 0, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Thus ζ is also parallel on S(TM) and conjugate to any vector field on M with respect to C. Thus we have our assertions.

Definition 2. We say that M is totally umbilical [4] if, on any coordinate neighborhood \mathcal{U} , there is a smooth function β such that

$$(2.11) B(X,Y) = \beta g(X,Y), \ \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

In case $\beta = 0$ on \mathcal{U} , we say that M is totally geodesic.

Theorem 2.4. Let M be a totally umbilical tangential lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then M is totally geodesic.

Proof. As M is totally umbilical, from (2.9) and (2.11), we have

$$\beta g(X,\zeta) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Replace X by ζ in this equation and use $g(\zeta,\zeta)=\epsilon$, we have $\beta=0$.

Definition 3. A screen S(TM) is called *totally umbilical* [4] in M if there exists a smooth function γ on a neighborhood \mathcal{U} in M such that

(2.12)
$$C(X, PY) = \gamma g(X, Y), \ \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

In case $\gamma = 0$ on \mathcal{U} , we say that S(TM) is totally geodesic in M.

Theorem 2.5. Let M be a tangential lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} such that S(TM) is totally umbilical in M. Then S(TM) is totally geodesic in M.

Proof. Assume that S(TM) is totally umbilical in M. Replace Y by ζ to (2.12) and use (2.10), we have

$$\gamma q(X,\zeta) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Replace X by ζ to this equation and use $g(\zeta, \zeta) = \epsilon$, we obtain $\gamma = 0$.

Theorem 2.6. Let M be a tangential lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . D is integrable on M if and only if

$$B(X, FY) = B(FX, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D).$$

Moreover, if M is totally umbilical, then D is autoparallel with respect to ∇ .

Proof. Take $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Then we have $FY = JY \in \Gamma(D)$ due to (2.4). Apply $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to FY = JY and use (1.2), (1.6), (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$(2.13) B(X, FY) = g(\nabla_X Y, V), \ (\nabla_X F)Y = -B(X, Y)U.$$

By straightforward calculations from (2.13), we have

$$B(X, FY) - B(FX, Y) = g([X, Y], V).$$

If D is integrable on M, then $[X,Y] \in \Gamma(D)$ for any $X,Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Thus we get g([X,Y],V)=0. This implies B(X,FY)=B(FX,Y) for all $X,Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Conversely if B(X,FY)=B(FX,Y) for all $X,Y \in \Gamma(D)$, then we have g([X,Y],V)=0. Thus we get $[X,Y] \in \Gamma(D)$ for all $X,Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Therefore D is integrable on M.

Moreover, if M is totally umbilical, from (2.13)-1 and Theorem 2.4, we get $g(\nabla_X Y, V) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. This imply $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(D)$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Thus D is autoparallel with respect to ∇ .

Theorem 2.7. Let M be a tangential lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then F is parallel on D with respect to ∇ if and only if D is autoparallel with respect to ∇ .

Proof. If F is parallel on D with respect to ∇ , i.e., $(\nabla_X F)Y = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$, taking the scalar product with V to (2.13)-2 with $(\nabla_X F)Y = 0$, we have B(X,Y) = 0 for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. From (2.13)-1, we have $g(\nabla_X Y, V) = 0$. This imply $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(D)$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Thus D is autoparallel with respect to ∇ .

Conversely if D is autoparallel with respect to ∇ , from (2.13)-1, we have

$$B(X, FY) = 0, \ \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D).$$

For $Y \in \Gamma(D)$, we show that $F^2Y = -Y + \theta(Y)\zeta$. Replace Y by FY to B(X, FY) = 0 for all $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and use (2.9)-2, we have B(X, Y) = 0 for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Thus F is parallel on D with respect to ∇ by (2.13).

Theorem 2.8. Let M be a tangential lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \overline{M} . If F is parallel on M with respect to ∇ , then D is parallel on M and M is locally a product manifold $L_u \times M^{\sharp}$, where L_u is a null curve tangent to J(tr(TM)) and M^{\sharp} is a leaf of D.

Proof. Assume that F is parallel on M with respect to ∇ . Then F is parallel on D with respect to ∇ . By Theorem 2.7, D is autoparallel with respect to ∇ . Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Apply F to (2.8) with $(\nabla_X F)Y = 0$, we have $u(Y)F(A_NX) = 0$ due to FU = 0. Replace Y by U to this and use (2.3), we have $F(A_NX) = 0$. From this and (2.6), we get $\nabla_X U = \tau(X)U$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Thus $J(\operatorname{tr}(TM))$ is also autoparallel with respect to ∇ . By the decomposition theorem of de Rham [3], we have $M = L_u \times M^{\sharp}$, where L_u is a null curve tangent to $J(\operatorname{tr}(TM))$ and M^{\sharp} is a leaf of D.

Corollary 1. Let M be a totally umbilical tangential lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} such that S(TM) is totally umbilical in M. Then M is locally a product manifold $L_u \times M^{\natural}$, where L_u is a null curve tangent to J(tr(TM)) and M^{\natural} is a leaf of D.

Proof. From Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, we have B=0 and $A_N=0$. Thus, from (2.8), we show that $(\nabla_X F)Y=0$ for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$, i.e., F is parallel on M with respect to ∇ . By Theorem 2.8, we have our theorem.

Theorem 2.9. Let M be a totally umbilical tangential lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} such that S(TM) is totally umbilical. Then M is locally a product manifold $L_{\xi} \times L_u \times L_v \times M^{\sharp}$, where L_{ξ}, L_u and L_v are null curves tangent to $TM^{\perp}, J(tr(TM))$ and $J(TM^{\perp})$ respectively and M^{\sharp} is a leaf of the integrable distribution D_o .

Proof. By Theorem 2.6, D is autoparallel with respect to ∇ . Thus, for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_o)$, we have $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(D)$. From (1.8) and (2.13)-2, we have

(2.14)
$$C(X, FY) = g(\nabla_X FY, N) = g((\nabla_X F)Y + F(\nabla_X Y), N)$$
$$= g(F(\nabla_X Y), N) = -g(\nabla_X Y, JN) = g(\nabla_X Y, U), r$$

due to $FY \in \Gamma(D_o)$. If S(TM) is totally umbilical in M, then we have C=0 due to Theorem 2.5. By (1.8) and (2.14), we get

$$g(\nabla_X Y, N) = 0$$
, $g(\nabla_X Y, U) = 0$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$, $\forall Y \in \Gamma(D_o)$.

These imply $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(D_o)$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_o)$. Thus D_o is autoparallel with respect to ∇ such that $TM = TM^{\perp} \oplus J(\operatorname{tr}(TM)) \oplus J(TM^{\perp}) \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} D_o$. Since M and S(TM) are totally umbilical, by Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, we have $A_{\varepsilon}^* = A_N = 0$. Thus (1.9), (2.6) and (2.7) deduce respectively

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\tau(X)\xi, \ \nabla_X U = \tau(X)U, \ \nabla_X V = -\tau(X)V, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Thus TM^{\perp} , $J(\operatorname{tr}(TM))$ and $J(TM^{\perp})$ are autoparallel with respect to ∇ . Thus we have $M = L_{\xi} \times L_u \times L_v \times M^{\sharp}$, where L_{ξ} , L_u and L_v are null curves tangent to TM^{\perp} , $J(\operatorname{tr}(TM))$ and $J(TM^{\perp})$ respectively and M^{\sharp} is a leaf of the integrable distribution D_o .

3. Ascreen lightlike hypersurfaces

Definition 4. A lightlike hypersurface M of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} is said to be an ascreen lightlike hypersurface [9] of \bar{M} if the vector field ζ on \bar{M} belongs to $S(TM)^{\perp} = TM^{\perp} \oplus \operatorname{tr}(TM)$.

For any ascreen M, the characteristic vector field ζ is decomposed by

$$\zeta = a\xi + bN.$$

Then, by Proposition 2.2, we show that $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$.

Definition 5. A lightlike hypersurface M is called *screen conformal* [5, 6, 7] if there exists a non-vanishing smooth function φ on a neighborhood \mathcal{U} in M such that $A_N = \varphi A_{\varepsilon}^*$, or equivalently,

(3.2)
$$C(X, PY) = \varphi B(X, Y), \ \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Note 3. For a screen conformal M, since C is symmetric on S(TM), S(TM) is integrable and M is locally a product manifold $L_{\xi} \times M^*$ where L_{ξ} is a lightlike curve tangent to TM^{\perp} and M^* is a leaf of S(TM) [4].

Theorem 3.1. Let M be an ascreen lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then M is screen conformal with $\varphi = -\frac{a}{h}$.

Proof. Apply $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to (3.1) and use (1.3), (1.7) and (1.16), we have

$$aA_{\xi}^*X + bA_NX = \{Xa - a\tau(X)\}\xi + \{Xb + b\tau(X)\}N, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Taking the scalar product with ξ and N by turns we have

(3.3)
$$A_N X = \varphi A_{\xi}^* X, \ X a = a \tau(X), \ X b = -b \tau(X), \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM),$$

where $\varphi = -\frac{a}{b}$. Thus M is screen conformal with $\varphi = -\frac{a}{b}$.

From Theorem 3.1 and Note 3, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.2. Let M be an ascreen lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then S(TM) is integrable and M is locally a product manifold $L_{\xi} \times M^*$ where L_{ξ} is a lightlike curve tangent to the normal bundle TM^{\perp} and M^* is a leaf of S(TM).

The induced Ricci type tensor $R^{(0,2)}$ of M is defined by

$$R^{(0,\,2)}(X,Y)=\operatorname{trace}\{Z\to R(Z,X)Y\},\ \forall\,X,\,Y\in\Gamma(TM).$$

In general, the tensor field $R^{(0,2)}$ is not symmetric [4, 5, 7]. A tensor field $R^{(0,2)}$ of lightlike hypersurfaces M is called its *induced Ricci tensor* [5] of M if it is symmetric. A symmetric $R^{(0,2)}$ tensor will be denoted by Ric.

Definition 6. We define the connection ∇^{\perp} on the transversal bundle $\operatorname{tr}(TM)$ by $\nabla^{\perp}_X N = \tau(X) N$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. We say that ∇^{\perp} is the *transversal connection* of M. Define the curvature tensor R^{\perp} of $\operatorname{tr}(TM)$ by

$$R^{\perp}(X,Y)N = \nabla_X^{\perp}\nabla_Y^{\perp}N - \nabla_Y^{\perp}\nabla_X^{\perp}N - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^{\perp}N$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. If R^{\perp} vanishes identically, then the transversal connection ∇^{\perp} of M is said to be *flat* (or *trivial*) [8].

Theorem 3.3 ([8]). Let M be a lightlike hypersurface of a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) Each 1-form τ is closed, i.e., $d\tau = 0$, on any $\mathcal{U} \subset M$.
- (ii) The Ricci type tensor $R^{(0,2)}$ is an induced Ricci tensor of M.
- (iii) The transversal connection of M is flat, i.e., $R^{\perp} = 0$.

Theorem 3.4. Let M be an ascreen lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then $R^{(0,2)}$ is an induced symmetric Ricci tensor of M and the transversal connection ∇^{\perp} of M is flat.

Proof. Apply the operator ∇_X to $Ya = a\tau(Y)$ and use (3.3), we have

$$XYa = aX(\tau(Y)) + a\tau(X)\tau(Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

From this equation we have the following result:

$$2a d\tau(X,Y) = \{XY - YX - [X,Y]\}a = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Taking the product with $b \neq 0$ to this equation and using $2ab = \epsilon$, we have $d\tau(X,Y) = 0$. Thus, by Theorem 3.3, we have our assertion.

From now on we may assume that $\epsilon=1$ without loss of generality. In this case, substituting (3.1) into $g(\zeta,\zeta)=1$, we have 2ab=1. Consider the local unit timelike vector field V^* on M and its 1-form v^* defined by

(3.4)
$$V^* = -b^{-1}J\xi, \quad v^*(X) = -g(X, V^*), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Let $U^* = -a^{-1}JN$. Then U^* is a unit timelike vector field on S(TM) such that $g(V^*, U^*) = 1$. Apply J to (3.1) and use (1.1) and 2ab = 1, we have

$$0 = aJ\xi + bJN = -(V^* + U^*)/2$$
, i.e., $U^* = -V^*$

From this equation we deduce the result: $J(TM^{\perp}) = J(\operatorname{tr}(TM))$. From this fact, the tangent bundle TM of M is decomposed as follow:

$$(3.5) TM = TM^{\perp} \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM) = TM^{\perp} \oplus_{\text{orth}} \{J(TM^{\perp}) \oplus_{\text{orth}} D^*\},$$

where D^* is a non-degenerate and almost complex distribution on M with respect to J, otherwise S(TM) is degenerate.

Denote by Q the projection morphism of TM on D^* . Then, using (3.5) and $JV^* = a\xi - bN$, any vector field X on M is expressed as follows

(3.6)
$$X = QX + v^*(X)V^* + \eta(X)\xi,$$

(3.7)
$$JX = fX + av^*(X)\xi - b\eta(X)V^* - bv^*(X)N,$$

where f is a tensor field of type (1,1) defined on M by

$$fX = JQX, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Apply J to (1.16) and use (1.2), (1.6), (1.13), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.7), we get

$$\nabla_X V^* = 2a\{f(A_{\xi}^* X) - aB(X, V^*)\xi\}, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Theorem 3.5. Let M be an ascreen lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) V^* is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ on M.
- (ii) M is totally geodesic.
- (iii) S(TM) is totally geodesic on M.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). If V^* is parallel with respect to ∇ , then, taking the scalar product with N to (3.8), we have $B(X,V^*)=0$. Thus we have $f(A_{\xi}^*X)=0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. From this result and (3.7), we obtain $J(A_{\xi}^*X)=0$ for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Apply J in this equation and use (1.1) and the fact $\theta(A_{\xi}^*X)=0$, we have $A_{\xi}^*X=0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Thus M is totally geodesic. Conversely if M is totally geodesic, then, by (3.8), we have $\nabla_X V^*=0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). From (3.2), we show that $A_{\xi}^*X = 0 \iff A_NX = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ due to $\varphi \neq 0$. Thus we have our assertions.

Take $Y \in \Gamma(D^*)$. Then we have $fY = JY \in \Gamma(D^*)$ due to (3.7). Apply J to (1.6) and use this, (1.2), (1.6), (3.2), (3.4) and (3.7), we have

$$(3.9) \qquad (\nabla_X f)Y = -ag(\nabla_X Y, V^*)\xi + 2aB(X, Y)V^*,$$

$$(3.10) B(X, fY) = bq(\nabla_X Y, V^*), \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. By the procedure same as for Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7 and by using (3.9) and (3.10), instead of (2.13)-1 and (2.13)-2, and S(TM) is integrable due to (3.2), the following two theorems hold:

Theorem 3.6. Let M be an ascreen lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . D^* is integrable if and only if we have

$$B(X, fY) = B(fX, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D^*).$$

Moreover, if M is totally geodesic, then D^* is autoparallel with respect to ∇ .

Theorem 3.7. Let M be an ascreen lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then f is parallel on D^* with respect to ∇ if and only if D^* is autoparallel with respect to ∇ .

Theorem 3.8. Let M be an ascreen lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . If M is totally geodesic, then M is locally a product manifold $L_{\xi} \times L_{V^*} \times M^{\natural}$, where L_{ξ} and L_{V^*} are null and timelike curves tangent to TM^{\perp} and $J(TM^{\perp})$ respectively and M^{\natural} is a leaf of D^* .

Proof. Assume that M is totally geodesic. Then, from Theorem 3.6, we show that D^* is autoparallel with respect to ∇ . From (1.9) and (3.8), we have $\nabla_X \xi = -\tau(X) \xi$ and $\nabla_X V^* = 0$. Thus TM^{\perp} and $J(TM^{\perp})$ are also autoparallel with respect to ∇ . Thus we have $M = L_{\xi} \times L_{V^*} \times M^{\natural}$, where L_{ξ} and L_{V^*} are lightlike and timelike curves tangent to TM^{\perp} and $J(TM^{\perp})$ respectively and M^{\natural} is a leaf of the integrable distribution D^* .

References

- [1] D. E. Blair, Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, Birkhäuser, 2002
- [2] C. Călin, Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold, Thesis, University of Iasi, Romania, 1998.
- [3] G. de Rham, Sur la réductibilité d'un espace de Riemannian, Comm. Math. Helv. 26 (1952), 328–344.

- [4] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [5] K. L. Duggal and D. H. Jin, Null curves and Hypersurfaces of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds, World Scientific, 2007.
- [6] ______, A classification of Einstein lightlike hypersurfaces of a Lorentzian space form,
 J. Geom. Phys. 60 (2010), no. 12, 1881–1889.
- [7] D. H. Jin, Screen conformal lightlike real hypersurfaces of an indefinite complex space form, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47 (2010), no. 2, 341–353.
- [8] ______, Geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite Sasakian manifold, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (2010), no. 4, 569–581.
- [9] ______, Special half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Sasakian manifold, to appear in Bull. Korean Math. Soc.
- [10] S. K. Kim, Lightlike submanifolds of indefinite cosymplectic manifolds, Ph. D. Thesis, Ulsan University, Korea, 2007.
- [11] D. N. Kupeli, Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [12] G. D. Ludden, Submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds, J. Differential Geometry 4 (1970), 237–244.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS DONGGUK UNIVERSITY

Gyeongju 780-714, Korea

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: jindh@dongguk.ac.kr}$