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#### Abstract

Using $\mathcal{N}$-structures, the notion of an $\mathcal{N}$-essence in a subtraction algebra is introduced, and related properties are investigated. Relations among an $\mathcal{N}$-ideal, an $\mathcal{N}$-subalgebra and an $\mathcal{N}$-essence are investigated.


## 1. Introduction

A (crisp) set $A$ in a universe $X$ can be defined in the form of its characteristic function $\mu_{A}: X \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ yielding the value 1 for elements belonging to the set $A$ and the value 0 for elements excluded from the set $A$. So far most of the generalization of the crisp set have been conducted on the unit interval $[0,1]$ and they are consistent with the asymmetry observation. In other words, the generalization of the crisp set to fuzzy sets relied on spreading positive information that fit the crisp point $\{1\}$ into the interval $[0,1]$. Because no negative meaning of information is suggested, we now feel a need to deal with negative information. To do so, we also feel a need to supply mathematical tool. To attain such object, Jun et al. [6] introduced a new function which is called negative-valued function, and constructed $\mathcal{N}$-structures. They discussed $\mathcal{N}$ subalgebras and $\mathcal{N}$-ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras. Schein [8] considered systems of the form $(\Phi ; \circ, \backslash)$, where $\Phi$ is a set of functions closed under the composition "०" of functions (and hence ( $\Phi ; \circ$ ) is a function semigroup) and the set theoretic subtraction " $\backslash$ " (and hence $(\Phi ; \backslash)$ is a subtraction algebra in the sense of [1]). He proved that every subtraction semigroup is isomorphic to a difference semigroup of invertible functions. Zelinka [9] discussed a problem proposed by Schein concerning the structure of multiplication in a subtraction semigroup. He solved the problem for subtraction algebras of a special type, called the atomic subtraction algebras. Jun et al. [3, 5] introduced the notion of ideals in subtraction algebras and discussed characterization of ideals. Jun et al. [7] provided conditions for an ideal to be irreducible. They introduced the notion
of an order system in a subtraction algebra, and investigated related properties. They provided relations between ideals and order systems, and dealt with the concept of a fixed map in a subtraction algebra, and investigate related properties. In [2], Jun et al. introduced the notion of a (created) $\mathcal{N}$-ideal of subtraction algebras, and investigated several characterizations of $\mathcal{N}$-ideals. They discussed how to make a created $\mathcal{N}$-ideal of an $\mathcal{N}$-structure $(X, f)$.

In this paper, we introduced the notion of an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of a subtraction algebra, and investigate related properties. We consider relations among an $\mathcal{N}$-ideal, an $\mathcal{N}$-subalgebra and an $\mathcal{N}$-essence. We show that the union (resp. intersection) of $\mathcal{N}$-essences is also an $\mathcal{N}$-essence.

## 2. Preliminaries

By a subtraction algebra we mean an algebra ( $X ;-$ ) with a single binary operation "-" that satisfies the following identities: for any $x, y, z \in X$,
(S1) $x-(y-x)=x$;
(S2) $x-(x-y)=y-(y-x)$;
(S3) $(x-y)-z=(x-z)-y$.
The last identity permits us to omit parentheses in expressions of the form $(x-y)-z$. The subtraction determines an order relation on $X: a \leq b \Leftrightarrow$ $a-b=0$, where $0=a-a$ is an element that does not depend on the choice of $a \in X$. The ordered set $(X ; \leq)$ is a semi-Boolean algebra in the sense of [1], that is, it is a meet semilattice with zero 0 in which every interval $[0, a]$ is a Boolean algebra with respect to the induced order. Here $a \wedge b=a-(a-b)$; the complement of an element $b \in[0, a]$ is $a-b$; and if $b, c \in[0, a]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
b \vee c & =\left(b^{\prime} \wedge c^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=a-((a-b) \wedge(a-c)) \\
& =a-((a-b)-((a-b)-(a-c))) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In a subtraction algebra, the following are true (see [5]):
(a1) $(x-y)-y=x-y$.
(a2) $x-0=x$ and $0-x=0$.
(a3) $(x-y)-x=0$.
(a4) $x-(x-y) \leq y$.
(a5) $(x-y)-(y-x)=x-y$.
(a6) $x-(x-(x-y))=x-y$.
(a7) $(x-y)-(z-y) \leq x-z$.
(a8) $x \leq y$ if and only if $x=y-w$ for some $w \in X$.
(a9) $x \leq y$ implies $x-z \leq y-z$ and $z-y \leq z-x$ for all $z \in X$.
(a10) $x, y \leq z$ implies $x-y=x \wedge(z-y)$.
(a11) $(x \wedge y)-(x \wedge z) \leq x \wedge(y-z)$.
Definition 2.1 ([5]). A nonempty subset $A$ of a subtraction algebra $X$ is called an ideal of $X$, denoted by $A \triangleleft X$, if it satisfies:
(b1) $a-x \in A$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in X$.
(b2) for all $a, b \in A$, whenever $a \vee b$ exists in $X$ then $a \vee b \in A$.
Proposition 2.2 ([5]). A nonempty subset $A$ of a subtraction algebra $X$ is an ideal of $X$ if and only if it satisfies:
(b3) $0 \in A$,
(b4) $(\forall x \in X)(\forall y \in A)(x-y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A)$.
Proposition 2.3. An ideal $A$ of a subtraction algebra $X$ has the following property:

$$
(\forall x \in X)(\forall y \in A)(x \leq y \Rightarrow x \in A)
$$

Proposition 2.4 ([5]). Let $X$ be a subtraction algebra and let $x, y \in X$. If $w \in X$ is an upper bound for $x$ and $y$, then the element

$$
x \vee y:=w-((w-y)-x)
$$

is a least upper bound for $x$ and $y$.

## 3. $\mathcal{N}$-essences of subtraction algebras

Denote by $\mathcal{F}(X,[-1,0])$ the collection of functions from a set $X$ to $[-1,0]$. We say that an element of $\mathcal{F}(X,[-1,0])$ is a negative-valued function from $X$ to $[-1,0]$ (briefly, $\mathcal{N}$-function on $X$ ). By an $\mathcal{N}$-structure we mean an ordered pair $(X, f)$ of $X$ and an $\mathcal{N}$-function $f$ on $X$. In what follows, let $X$ denote a subtraction algebra and $f$ an $\mathcal{N}$-function on $X$ unless otherwise specified.

For any $\mathcal{N}$-structure $(X, f)$ and $t \in[-1,0)$, the set

$$
C(f ; t):=\{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq t\}
$$

is called a closed $(f, t)$-cut of $(X, f)$.
Definition 3.1 ([4]). If a nonempty subset $G$ of $X$ satisfies $G-X=G$, then we say that $G$ is an essence of $X$, where $G-X:=\{a-x \mid a \in G, x \in X\}$.
Definition 3.2. By an essence of $X$ based on $\mathcal{N}$-function $f$ (briefly, $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$ ), we mean an $\mathcal{N}$-structure $(X, f)$ in which every nonempty closed $(f, t)$-cut of $(X, f)$ is an essence of $X$ for all $t \in[-1,0)$.

Example 3.3. Let $(X, f)$ be an $\mathcal{N}$-structure in which $f$ is given by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}t_{1} & \text { if } x=0 \\ t_{2} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[-1,0)$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$. Then

$$
C(f ; r)= \begin{cases}\emptyset & \text { if } r \in\left[-1, t_{1}\right) \\ \{0\} & \text { if } r \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \\ X & \text { if } r \in\left[t_{2}, 0\right)\end{cases}
$$

Thus if $r \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, then $C(f ; r)-X=\{0\}-X=\{0\}=C(f ; r)$. If $r \in\left[t_{2}, 0\right)$, then $C(f ; r)-X=X-X=X=C(f ; r)$. Hence $(X, f)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$.

Table 1. Cayley table

| - | 0 | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $a$ | $a$ | 0 | $a$ | 0 |
| $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | 0 | 0 |
| $c$ | $c$ | $b$ | $a$ | 0 |

Example 3.4. Let $X=\{0, a, b, c\}$ be a subtraction algebra with the Cayley table which is given in Table 1 (see [4]). Let $(X, f)$ be an $\mathcal{N}$-structure in which $f$ is given by

$$
f=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & a & b & c \\
-0.7 & -0.7 & -0.4 & -0.4
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that $(X, f)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$. But, if we consider an $\mathcal{N}$-structure $(X, g)$ in which $g$ is given by

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & a & b & c \\
-0.7 & -0.4 & -0.4 & -0.7
\end{array}\right)
$$

then

$$
C(g ; t)= \begin{cases}X & \text { if }-0.4 \leq t<0 \\ \{0, c\} & \text { if }-0.7 \leq t<-0.4 \\ \emptyset & \text { if }-1 \leq t<-0.7\end{cases}
$$

If $-0.7 \leq t<-0.4$, then $C(g ; t)-X=\{0, a, b, c\} \neq\{0, c\}=C(g ; t)$. Hence $(X, g)$ is not an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$.

Proposition 3.5. If an $\mathcal{N}$-structure $(X, f)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$, then

$$
(3.1) \quad(\forall t \in[-1,0))(C(f ; t)=\{x \in X \mid x \leq e \text { for some } e \in C(f ; t)\})
$$

Proof. Let $E:=\{x \in X \mid x \leq e$ for some $e \in C(f ; t)\}$. If $x \in E$, then $x \leq e$, i.e., $x-e=0$, for some $e \in C(f ; t)$, and so

$$
x=x-0=x-(x-e)=e-(e-x) \in C(f ; t)-X=C(f ; t)
$$

by using (a2) and (S2). Hence $E \subseteq C(f ; t)$. Now let $x \in C(f ; t)$. Then $x=$ $e-y \leq e$ for some $e \in C(f ; t)$ and $y \in X$. Thus $x \in E$, and therefore $C(f ; t) \subseteq$ $E$.

The following example shows that if $(X, f)$ is not an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$ in Proposition 3.5, then (3.1) is not valid, i.e., there exists $t \in[-1,0)$ such that

$$
C(f ; t) \neq\{x \in X \mid x \leq e \text { for some } e \in C(f ; t)\}
$$

Example 3.6. Note that the $\mathcal{N}$-structure $(X, g)$ in Example 3.4 is not an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$. If we take $t \in[-0.7,-0.4)$, then

$$
C(g ; t)=\{0, c\} \neq X=\{x \in X \mid x \leq c\} .
$$

Lemma 3.7 ([4]). Let $E$ be an essence of $X$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x \in X)(\forall a \in E)(x \leq a \Rightarrow x \in E) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.8 ([4]). For any subset $H$ of $X$ with $0 \in H$, we have

$$
(\forall G \subseteq X)(G \subseteq G-H)
$$

Theorem 3.9. Given an essence $E$ of $X$ and $a \in X$, let $\left(X, f_{a}\right)$ be an $\mathcal{N}$ structure in which $f_{a}$ is given by

$$
f_{a}(x)= \begin{cases}\alpha & \text { if } x \in\{y \in X \mid y-a \in E\} \\ \beta & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in[-1,0)$ with $\alpha<\beta$. Then $\left(X, f_{a}\right)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in[-1,0)$. If $\gamma<\alpha$, then $C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)=\emptyset$. If $\alpha \leq \gamma<\beta$, then $C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)=\{y \in X \mid y-a \in E\}$. Let $z \in C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)$ and $x \in X$. Then $(z-$ $x)-a=(z-a)-x \leq z-a$. Since $z-a \in E$ and $E$ is an essence, it follows from Lemma 3.7 that $(z-x)-a \in E$ so that $z-x \in C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)$. This shows that $C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)-X=C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)$. The reverse inclusion follows from Lemma 3.8. Hence $C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)-X=C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)$. If $\gamma \geq \beta$, then $C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)=X$ and thus $C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)-X=C\left(f_{a} ; \gamma\right)$. Therefore $f_{a}$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$.

Proposition 3.10. Every $\mathcal{N}$-essence $(X, f)$ of $X$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x \in X)(f(0) \leq f(x)) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $(X, f)$ be an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$. Then $C(f ; \alpha)-X=C(f ; \alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Im}(f)$. Since $C(f ; \alpha) \neq \emptyset$, there exists $x \in C(f ; \alpha)$ and so

$$
0=x-x \in C(f ; \alpha)-X=C(f ; \alpha)
$$

It follows $f(0) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.
Theorem 3.11. For any $a \in X$, let $(X, f)$ be an $\mathcal{N}$-structure in which $f$ is given by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\alpha & \text { if } x \leq a \\ \beta & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in[-1,0)$ with $\alpha<\beta$. Then $(X, f)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in[-1,0)$. If $\gamma<\alpha$, then $C(f ; \gamma)=\emptyset$. If $\alpha \leq \gamma<\beta$, then $C(f ; \gamma)=\{x \in X \mid x \leq a\}$. Let $x \in C(f ; \gamma)$ and $y \in X$. Then $x \leq a$, and so $x-$ $y \leq a-y \leq a$. Hence $x-y \in C(f ; \gamma)$, which shows that $C(f ; \gamma)-X \subseteq C(f ; \gamma)$. The reverse inclusion follows from Lemma 3.8. Hence $C(f ; \gamma)-X=C(f, \gamma)$. If $\gamma \geq \beta$, then clearly $C(f ; \gamma)-X=C(f, \gamma)$. Thus $f$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$.

Definition 3.12 ([2]). By an ideal (resp. subalgebra) of $X$ based on $\mathcal{N}$-function $f$ (briefly, $\mathcal{N}$-ideal (resp. $\mathcal{N}$-subalgebra) of $X$ ), we mean an $\mathcal{N}$-structure $(X, f)$ in which every nonempty closed $(f, t)$-cut of $(X, f)$ is an ideal (resp. subalgebra) of $X$ for all $t \in[-1,0)$.

Theorem 3.13. Every $\mathcal{N}$-ideal is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence.
Proof. Let $(X, f)$ be an $\mathcal{N}$-ideal of $X$. Assume that $C(f ; \alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in$ $[-1,0)$. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in C(f ; \alpha)$. Since $y-x \leq y$ and $C(f ; \alpha)$ is an ideal, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $y-x \in C(f ; \alpha)$. This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(f ; \alpha)-X \subseteq C(f ; \alpha) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.4) and Lemma 3.8, we have $C(f ; \alpha)-X=C(f ; \alpha)$. Hence $(X, f)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$.

The converse of Theorem 3.13 is not true in general as seen in the following example.

Example 3.14. Consider the subtraction algebra $X=\{0, a, b, c\}$ which is established in Example 3.4. Let $(X, f)$ be an $\mathcal{N}$-structure in which $f$ is given by

$$
f=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & a & b & c \\
-0.7 & -0.7 & -0.7 & -0.5
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that $(X, f)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$. But it is not an $\mathcal{N}$-ideal of $X$ since $C(f ; t)=\{0, a, b\}$ is not an ideal of $X$ for $t \in[-0.7,-0.5)$.

Theorem 3.15. Every $\mathcal{N}$-essence is an $\mathcal{N}$-subalgebra.
Proof. Let $(X, f)$ be an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$. Assume that $C(f ; \alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in[-1,0)$. For any $x, y \in C(f ; \alpha)$, we have $x-y \in C(f ; \alpha)-C(f ; \alpha) \subseteq$ $C(f ; \alpha)-X=C(f ; \alpha)$. Thus $C(f ; \alpha)$ is a subalgebra of $X$, and so $(X, f)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-subalgebra of $X$.

The converse of Theorem 3.15 is not true in general as seen in the following example.

Example 3.16. Consider the $\mathcal{N}$-structure $(X, g)$ which is given in Example 3.4. Then it is an $\mathcal{N}$-subalgebra of $X$, but not an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$.

Combining Theorems 3.13 and 3.15 , we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.17. Every $\mathcal{N}$-ideal is an $\mathcal{N}$-subalgebra.
For any family $\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\}$ of real numbers, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vee\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\}:= \begin{cases}\max \left\{a_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\} & \text { if } \Lambda \text { is finite, } \\
\sup \left\{a_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \\
& \wedge\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\}:= \begin{cases}\min \left\{a_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\} & \text { if } \Lambda \text { is finite }, \\
\inf \left\{a_{i} \mid i \in \Lambda\right\} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $\mathcal{N}$-structures $(X, f)$ and $(X, g)$, we consider new two $\mathcal{N}$-structures $(X, f \cap g)$ and $(X, f \cup g)$ in which $f \cap g$ and $f \cup g$ are given by

$$
(f \cap g)(x)=\wedge\{f(x), g(x)\} \text { and }(f \cup g)(x)=\vee\{f(x), g(x)\}
$$

respectively, for all $x \in X$. Note that $C(f \cup g ; \alpha)=C(f ; \alpha) \cap C(g ; \alpha)$ and $C(f \cap g ; \alpha)=C(f ; \alpha) \cup C(g ; \alpha)$.

Lemma 3.18 ([4]). For any subsets $A, B$ and $E$ of $X$, we have
(1) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A-E \subseteq B-E, E-A \subseteq E-B$.
(2) $(A \cap B)-E \subseteq(A-E) \cap(B-E)$.
(3) $E-(A \cap B) \subseteq(E-A) \cap(E-B)$.
(4) $(A \cup B)-E=(A-E) \cup(B-E)$.
(5) $E-(A \cup B)=(E-A) \cup(E-B)$.

Theorem 3.19. If two $\mathcal{N}$-structures $(X, f)$ and $(X, g)$ are $\mathcal{N}$-essences of $X$, then so are $(X, f \cup g)$ and $(X, f \cap g)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in[-1,0)$ be such that $C(f \cup g ; \alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $x \in$ $C(f \cup g ; \alpha)$, and so $(f \cup g)(x)=\vee\{f(x), g(x)\} \leq \alpha$. It follows that $f(x) \leq \alpha$ and $g(x) \leq \alpha$, that is, $x \in C(f ; \alpha)$ and $x \in C(g ; \alpha)$ so that $C(f ; \alpha)-X=C(f ; \alpha)$ and $C(g ; \alpha)-X=C(g ; \alpha)$. Using Lemma 3.18(2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(f \cup g ; \alpha)-X & =(C(f ; \alpha) \cap C(g ; \alpha))-X \\
& \subseteq(C(f ; \alpha)-X) \cap(C(g ; \alpha)-X) \\
& =C(f ; \alpha) \cap C(g ; \alpha)=C(f \cup g ; \alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0 \in X$, the reverse inclusion follows from Lemma 3.8. Hence we have $C(f \cup g ; \alpha)-X=C(f \cup g ; \alpha)$, and so $(X, f \cup g)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$. Now assume that $C(f \cap g ; \beta) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $y \in C(f \cap g ; \beta)$, and thus $(f \cap g)(y)=\wedge\{f(y), g(y)\} \leq \beta$. It follows that $f(y) \leq \beta$ or $g(y) \leq \beta$. We may assume that $f(y) \leq \beta$ without loss of generality. Then $y \in C(f ; \beta)$, and so $C(f ; \beta)-X=C(f ; \beta)$. If $C(g ; \beta)=\emptyset$, then $C(f \cap g ; \beta)-X=(C(f ; \beta) \cup$ $C(g ; \beta))-X=C(f ; \beta)-X=C(f ; \beta)=C(f ; \beta) \cup C(g ; \beta)=C(f \cap g ; \beta)$. If $C(g ; \beta) \neq \emptyset$, then $C(g ; \beta)-X=C(g ; \beta)$. Using Lemma 3.18(4), we have $C(f \cap g ; \beta)-X=(C(f ; \beta) \cup C(g ; \beta))-X=(C(f ; \beta)-X) \cup(C(g ; \beta)-X)=$ $C(f ; \beta) \cup C(g ; \beta)=C(f \cap g ; \beta)$. Therefore $(X, f \cap g)$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-essence of $X$.

Generally, we have the following assertion.
Theorem 3.20. If $\left\{\left(X, f_{i}\right) \mid i \in \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a family of $\mathcal{N}$-essences of $X$, then so are $\left(X, \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} f_{i}\right)$ and $\left(X, \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} f_{i}\right)$, where $\left(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} f_{i}\right)(x)=\vee\left\{f_{i}(x) \mid i \in \Lambda\right\}$ and $\left(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} f_{i}\right)(x)=\wedge\left\{f_{i}(x) \mid i \in \Lambda\right\}$.
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