

## BETA-EXPANSIONS WITH PISOT BASES OVER $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$

MOHAMED HBAIB

ABSTRACT. It is well known that if the  $\beta$ -expansion of any nonnegative integer is finite, then  $\beta$  is a Pisot or Salem number. We prove here that in  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ , the  $\beta$ -expansion of the polynomial part of  $\beta$  is finite if and only if  $\beta$  is a Pisot series. Consequently we give an other proof of Scheicher theorem about finiteness property in  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ . Finally we show that if the base  $\beta$  is a Pisot series, then there is a bound of the length of the fractional part of  $\beta$ -expansion of any polynomial  $P$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .

### 1. Introduction

The  $\beta$ -expansions of real numbers were introduced by A. Rényi [7]. Since then, their arithmetic, diophantine and ergodic properties have been extensively studied by several authors.

Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real number. The  $\beta$ -expansion of a real number  $x \in [0, 1)$  is defined as the sequence  $d_\beta(x) = (x_i)_{i \geq 1}$  with values in  $\{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\}$  produced by the  $\beta$ -transformation  $T_\beta : x \rightarrow \beta x \pmod{1}$  as follows:

$$\forall i \geq 1, x_i = [\beta T_\beta^{i-1}(x)], \quad \text{and thus} \quad x = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{x_i}{\beta^i}.$$

Now let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $x \geq 1$ , then there is a unique  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\beta|^k \leq x < |\beta|^{k+1}$ . Hence  $|\frac{x}{\beta^{k+1}}| < 1$  and we can represent  $x$  by shifting  $d_\beta(\frac{x}{\beta^{k+1}})$  by  $k+1$  digits to the left. Therefore, if  $d_\beta(x) = 0.d_1d_2d_3\dots$ , then  $d_\beta(\beta x) = d_1.d_2d_3\dots$ . An expansion is finite if  $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is eventually 0. A  $\beta$ -expansion is periodic if there exists  $p \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1$  such that  $x_k = x_{k+p}$  holds for all  $k \geq m$ ; if  $x_k = x_{k+p}$  holds for all  $k \geq 1$ , then it is purely periodic. We denote by  $\text{Fin}(\beta)$  the nonnegative numbers with finite  $\beta$ -expansions.

Let  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  be the smallest ring containing  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]_{\geq 0}$  the non negative elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ . We say that the number  $\beta$  has the finiteness property (F) if

$$\text{Fin}(\beta) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}]_{\geq 0}$$

---

Received September 1, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R06, 37B50.

*Key words and phrases.* formal power series,  $\beta$ -expansion, Pisot series.

holds. This property was introduced by Frougny and Solomyak [3]. They showed that it implies  $\beta$  is a Pisot number, i.e., a real algebraic integer greater than 1 with all conjugates strictly inside the unit circle, and they found the following class of Pisot numbers satisfying this property.

**Theorem 1.1** ([3]). *If  $\beta$  is the dominant root of polynomial  $x^d - b_1x^{d-1} - b_2x^{d-2} - \dots - b_d \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_d$ , then  $\beta$  is a Pisot number and has the property (F).*

Another class of Pisot numbers with property (F) was found by Hollander.

**Theorem 1.2** ([5]). *If  $\beta$  is the dominant root of polynomial*

$$x^d - b_1x^{d-1} - b_2x^{d-2} - \dots - b_d \text{ with } b_1 > \sum_{i=2}^d b_i \text{ and } b_i \geq 0 \ (1 \leq i \leq d),$$

*then  $\beta$  is a Pisot number satisfying the finiteness property.*

Note that there are Pisot numbers without property (F), in particular all numbers with infinite expansion of one.

It is proved in [3] that if  $\mathbb{N} \subset \text{Fin}(\beta)$ , then  $\beta$  is a Pisot or a Salem number and it is not the case if we have only  $d_\beta(1)$  finite. Also S. Akiyama has proved in [1] that if  $\beta$  has the finiteness property, then there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that any rational in  $[0, c[$  has purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansion.

## 2. $\beta$ -expansions in $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of  $q$  elements,  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  the ring of polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$  the field of rational functions and  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  the field of formal power series of the form:

$$f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^l f_k x^k, \quad f_k \in \mathbb{F}_q,$$

where

$$l = \deg f := \begin{cases} \max\{k : f_k \neq 0\} & \text{if } f \neq 0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } f = 0. \end{cases}$$

Define the absolute value

$$|f| = \begin{cases} q^{\deg f} & \text{for } f \neq 0; \\ 0 & \text{for } f = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $|\cdot|$  is not Archimedean, then it fulfills the strict triangle inequality:

$$|f + g| \leq \max(|f|, |g|) \quad \text{and}$$

$$|f + g| = \max(|f|, |g|) \quad \text{if } |f| \neq |g|.$$

For  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ , define the integer (polynomial) part  $[f] = \sum_{k=0}^l f_k x^k$  where the empty sum, as usual, is defined to be zero. Therefore  $[f] \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  and  $f - [f] \in D(0, 1)$  (the open unit disc) for all  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ .

**Proposition 2.1** ([6]). *Let  $K$  be a complete field with respect to a non Archimedean absolute value  $|\cdot|$  and  $(K \subset L)$  be an algebraic extension of degree  $m$ . Then  $|\cdot|$  has a unique extension to  $L$  defined by  $|a| = \sqrt[m]{|N_{L/K}(a)|}$  and  $L$  is complete with respect to this extension.*

We apply this proposition to algebraic elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}_q[x] \subset \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ , then every algebraic element in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  can be valued. However, since  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  is not algebraically closed, such an element need not be necessarily a formal power series.

An element  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  is called a Pisot (resp Salem) element if it is an algebraic integer over  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  such that  $|\beta| > 1$  and  $|\beta_j| < 1$  for all conjugates  $\beta_j$  (resp  $|\beta_j| \leq 1$  and there exists at least one conjugate  $\beta_k$  such that  $|\beta_k| = 1$ ). Bateman and Duquette [2] characterized the Pisot and Salem elements in  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ :

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  be an algebraic integer over  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  and*

$$P(y) = y^n - A_1y^{n-1} - \dots - A_n, \quad A_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x],$$

*be its minimal polynomial. Then*

- (i)  *$\beta$  is a Pisot element if and only if  $|A_1| > \max_{2 \leq j \leq n} |A_j|$ .*
- (ii)  *$\beta$  is a Salem element if and only if  $|A_1| = \max_{2 \leq j \leq n} |A_j|$ .*

Let  $\beta, f \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  where  $|\beta| > 1$  and  $f \in M_0$ . A representation in base  $\beta$  (or  $\beta$ -representation) of  $f$  is a sequence  $(d_i)_{i \geq 1}$ ,  $d_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , such that

$$f = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{d_i}{\beta^i}.$$

A particular  $\beta$ -representation of  $f$  is called the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $f$  and noted  $d_\beta(f)$ . It is obtained by using the  $\beta$ -transformation  $T_\beta$  in  $M_0$  which is given by the mapping:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\beta : D(0, 1) &\longrightarrow D(0, 1) \\ f &\longmapsto \beta f - [\beta f]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $d_\beta(f) = (d_i)_{i \geq 1}$  if and only if  $d_i = [\beta T_\beta^{i-1}(f)]$ . Note that  $d_\beta(f)$  is finite if and only if there is a  $k \geq 0$  such that  $T_\beta^k(f) = 0$ ,  $d_\beta(f)$  is ultimately periodic if and only if there is some smallest  $p \geq 0$  (the pre-period length) and  $s \geq 1$  (the period length) for which  $T_\beta^{p+s}(f) = T_\beta^p(f)$ .

Now let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  be an element with  $|f| \geq 1$ . Then there is a unique  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\beta|^k \leq |f| < |\beta|^{k+1}$ . Hence  $|\frac{f}{\beta^{k+1}}| < 1$  and we can represent  $f$  by shifting  $d_\beta(\frac{f}{\beta^{k+1}})$  by  $k + 1$  digits to the left. Therefore, if  $d_\beta(f) = 0.d_1d_2d_3 \dots$ , then  $d_\beta(\beta f) = d_1.d_2d_3 \dots$ .

We say that  $d_\beta(f)$  is finite when  $d_i = 0$  for all sufficiently large  $i$ . This is the case when there is an integer  $i \geq 0$  such that  $T_\beta^i(f) = 0$ . If  $d_\beta(f) =$

$d_l d_{l-1} \dots d_0, d_{-1} \dots d_m$ , let  $\deg_\beta(f) = k$  and  $\text{ord}_\beta(f) = m$ , where  $m$  and  $l$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the sequel, we will use the following notation:

$$\text{Fin}(\beta) = \{f \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1})) : d_\beta(f) \text{ is finite}\}.$$

*Remark 2.2.* In contrast to the real case, there is no carry occurring, when we add two digits. Therefore, if  $z, w \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ , we have  $d_\beta(z + w) = d_\beta(z) + d_\beta(w)$  digitwise. We have also  $d_\beta(cf) = cd_\beta(f)$  for every  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([4]). *Let  $P(y) = A_n y^n + A_{n-1} y^{n-1} + \dots + A_0$ , where  $A_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $P$  admits a unique root in  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  with absolute value  $> 1$  if and only if  $|A_{n-1}| > |A_i|$  for  $i \neq n-1$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** ([4]). *An infinite sequence  $(d_j)_{j \geq 1}$  is the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $f \in M_0$  if and only if it is a  $\beta$ -representation of  $f$  and  $|d_j| < |\beta|$  for  $j \geq 1$ .*

In the field of formal series, it was proved independently by Hbaib - Mkaouar and Scheicher the following theorems:

**Theorem 2.3** ([8]).  *$\beta$  is a Pisot element if and only if  $\text{Fin}(\beta) = \mathbb{F}_q[x, \beta^{-1}]$ .*

**Theorem 2.4** ([4]).  *$\beta$  is a Pisot element if and only if  $d_\beta(1)$  is finite.*

### 3. Results

In this section, we concentrate on the case that  $\beta$  is a Pisot series of algebraical degree  $d$ . First, we begin with this theorem which gives a characterization of Pisot series:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  such that  $|\beta| > 1$ . Then  $\beta$  is a Pisot series if and only if the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $(x^m)$  is finite, where  $m = \deg(\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P(y) = y^d - A_{d-1}y^{d-1} - A_{d-2}y^{d-2} - \dots - A_0$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ . Since  $\beta$  is a Pisot series, then  $|A_{d-1}| = |\beta|$  and  $|A_i| < |\beta|$  for all  $i < d-1$ . However,  $\deg(\beta) = m$ , then  $A_{d-1}$  is the unique polynomial  $A_i$  of degree  $m$  and let  $c$  be his dominant coefficient, so

$$cx^m \beta^{d-1} = -\beta^d - (A_{d-1} - cx^m)\beta^{d-1} - A_{d-2}\beta^{d-2} - \dots - A_0.$$

Therefore

$$cx^m = \beta - (A_{d-1} - cx^m) - \frac{A_{d-2}}{\beta} - \dots - \frac{A_0}{\beta^{d-1}}.$$

According to Theorem 2.2, the last equality is the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $cx^m$ , which implies that  $d_\beta(x^m)$  is finite and  $\text{ord}_\beta(x^m) = 1 - d$ .

Reciprocally, assume that  $d_\beta(x^m) = a_1 a_0 \bullet a_{-1} \dots a_{-n}$  is finite. We have then:

$$x^m = a_1 \beta + a_0 + \frac{a_{-1}}{\beta} + \frac{a_{-2}}{\beta^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{-n}}{\beta^{-n}}.$$

Multiplying by  $\beta^n$ , we have

$$-a_1 \beta^{n+1} + \beta^n (x^m - a_0) - a_1 \beta^{n-1} - a_2 \beta^{n-2} - \dots - a_{n-1} \beta = 0.$$

Since  $|a_i| < |\beta| = |x^m|$ , then  $|x^m - a_0| > |a_i|$  for every  $i \leq 1$ . Then according to Lemma 2.3  $\beta$  is a Pisot series.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 3.1 with Remark 2.2 we obtain:

**Corollary 3.2.**  *$\beta$  is a Pisot series if and only if the  $\beta$ -expansion of the polynomial part of  $\beta$  is finite.*

The following result proved by K. Scheicher [8] can be derived from Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ ,  $|\beta| > 1$ . Then  $\beta$  is a Pisot series if and only if  $\text{Fin}(\beta) = \mathbb{F}_q[x, \beta^{-1}]$ .*

*Proof.* It is trivial that  $\text{Fin}(\beta) \subset \mathbb{F}_q[x, \beta^{-1}]$ , we need only to prove the opposite inclusion. Suppose that  $|\beta| = q^m$ , i.e.,  $\deg(\beta) = m \geq 1$ , then  $d_\beta(x^k)$  is finite for all  $0 \leq k \leq m$ . We will prove now by induction that  $d_\beta(x^k)$  is finite for all  $k \geq m$ . According to Theorem 2.4, this is true for  $k = m$ . Assume now that  $d_\beta(x^k)$  is finite, i.e.,

$$x^k = a_s \beta^s + \dots + a_0 + \frac{a_{-1}}{\beta} + \dots + \frac{a_{-n}}{\beta^n} \quad \text{where} \quad a_h = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j^h x^j, \quad -n \leq h \leq s.$$

Then,

$$x^{k+1} = xa_s \beta^s + \dots + xa_0 + \frac{xa_{-1}}{\beta} + \dots + \frac{xa_{-n}}{\beta^n}.$$

However  $\deg(a_i) \leq m - 1$ , so,  $\deg(xa_i) \leq m$ , which implies

$$x^{k+1} = (c_{m-1}^s x^m + \dots + c_0^s x) \beta^s + \dots + (c_{m-1}^0 x^m + \dots + c_0^0 x) + \frac{c_{m-1}^{-1} x^m + \dots + c_0^{-1} x}{\beta} + \dots + \frac{c_{m-1}^{-n} x^m + \dots + c_0^{-n} x}{\beta^n}.$$

If we replace in the last equality  $x^m$  by its finite  $\beta$ -expansion, we get a  $\beta$ -representation of  $x^{k+1}$  which is the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $x^{k+1}$  according to Theorem 2.1.

Finally, we conclude that  $d_\beta(x^k)$  is finite for all  $k \geq m$  and then all polynomials admits finite  $\beta$ -expansion (Remark 2.2), and if we divide by  $\beta^{-i}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , we get also a finite  $\beta$ -expansion.

Reciprocally, assume that  $\mathbb{F}_q[x, \beta^{-1}] = \text{Fin}(\beta)$ , especially,  $d_\beta(x^m)$  is finite. Therefore by Theorem 3.1,  $\beta$  is Pisot.  $\square$

We give now a quantitative version of the results above. One may ask if there is a bound on the increase of the length of the beta-expansion of polynomials. The answer is yes if the base is a Pisot element.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\beta$  be a Pisot series of algebraical degree  $d$  and let  $k \geq m = \deg(\beta)$ . Then*

$$\text{ord}_\beta(x^k) \geq (k - m + 1)(1 - d).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\beta$  is Pisot of algebraical degree  $d$ , from Lemma 3.2  $\text{ord}_\beta(x^m)$  is finite and equal to  $(1-d)$ . Let

$$x^m = a_1\beta + a_0 + \frac{a_{-1}}{\beta} + \frac{a_{-2}}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{a_{1-d}}{\beta^{d-1}}$$

be the beta-expansion of  $x^m$ . Now let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, \beta^{-1}]$  such that  $d_\beta(f) = b_s \cdots b_0 \bullet b_{-1} \cdots b_{-n}$ . We have then:

$$f = b_s\beta^s + \cdots + b_0 + \frac{b_{-1}}{\beta} + \frac{b_{-2}}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{b_{-n}}{\beta^n}.$$

Multiplying by  $x$ , we get

$$xf = b_s x\beta^s + \cdots + b_0 x + \frac{b_{-1}x}{\beta} + \frac{b_{-2}x}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{b_{-n}x}{\beta^n}.$$

However  $\text{ord}_\beta(b_i x) \geq 1-d$  because  $\deg(b_i) < m$  for all  $s \leq i \leq -n$ , so  $\text{ord}_\beta(xf) \geq \text{ord}_\beta(f) + 1 - d$ . If we replace  $f$  by  $x^m$ , we will have:  $\text{ord}_\beta(x^{m+1}) \geq 2(1-d)$  and by a simple induction we get  $\text{ord}_\beta(x^k) \geq (k-m+1)(1-d)$  for all  $k \geq m$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\beta$  be a Pisot unit series of algebraical degree  $d$  and let  $k \geq m = \deg(\beta)$ . Then*

$$(k-m+1)(1-d) \leq \text{ord}_\beta(x^k) \leq \left(\frac{k}{m} - 1\right)(1-d).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show the first inequality. Let  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_d$  the conjugates of  $\beta$  in the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_d((x^{-1}))$ . Since  $\beta$  is unit we have  $|\beta\beta_2 \cdots \beta_d| = 1$ . It implies that  $|\beta_2 \cdots \beta_d| = \frac{1}{|\beta|}$ , so there exists at least one conjugate  $\beta_j$  such that

$$(1) \quad |\beta_j| > \frac{1}{|\beta|^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}.$$

Let

$$x^k = a_{-s}\beta^s + \cdots + a_0 + \frac{a_1}{\beta} + \frac{a_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{\beta^n}$$

be the expansion of  $x^k$ . We have then,

$$(2) \quad x^k = a_{-s}\beta_j^s + \cdots + a_0 + \frac{a_1}{\beta_j} + \frac{a_2}{\beta_j^2} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{\beta_j^n}.$$

So from (1) and (2), we get

$$|x^k| < \frac{|\beta|}{|\beta_j|^n} < |\beta|^{1+\frac{n}{d-1}},$$

hence  $k < m(1 + \frac{n}{d-1})$  which implies that

$$\left(\frac{k}{m} - 1\right)(d-1) < n = -\text{ord}_\beta(x^k). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\beta$  be a quadratic Pisot unit with  $\deg(\beta) = 1$ . Then for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\text{ord}_\beta(x^k) = -k$ .*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 3.5 and for  $m = 1$ , we have

$$k(1 - d) \leq \text{ord}_\beta(x^k) < (k - 1)(1 - d).$$

Since  $\beta$  is quadratic, then  $d = 2$  and  $k - 1 < -\text{ord}_\beta(x^k) \leq k$ . Therefore  $\text{ord}_\beta(x^k) = -k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $\beta$  be a quadratic Pisot unit with  $\deg(\beta) = 1$ . Then for all  $P \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ ,*

$$\text{ord}_\beta(P) = -\deg(P).$$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $\beta$  be a Pisot series of algebraical degree  $d$  with  $\deg(\beta) = m$ . Then for all polynomials  $P$  of degree  $\geq m$ ,*

$$\text{ord}_\beta(P) \geq (\deg P - m + 1)(1 - d).$$

### References

- [1] S. Akiyama, *Pisot numbers and greedy algorithm*, Number theory (Eger, 1996), 9–21, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [2] P. Bateman and A. L. Duquette, *The analogue of the Pisot-Vijayaraghavan numbers in fields of formal power series*, Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962) 594–606.
- [3] C. Frougny and B. Solomyak, *Finite beta-expansions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **12** (1992), no. 4, 713–723.
- [4] M. Hbaib and M. Mkaouar, *Sur le beta-développement de 1 dans le corps des séries formelles*, Int. J. Number Theory **2** (2006), no. 3, 365–378.
- [5] M. Hollander, *Linear numeration systems, Finite beta-expansion and discrete spectrum of substitution dynamical systems*, Ph. D thesis, University of Washington, 1966.
- [6] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher. With a foreword by G. Harder. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 322. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [7] A. Rényi, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar **8** (1957), 477–493.
- [8] K. Scheicher,  *$\beta$ -expansions in algebraic function fields over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **13** (2007), no. 2, 394–410.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES  
 FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE SFAX  
 BP 1171, 3000 SFAX, TUNISIA  
 E-mail address: mmmhbaib@gmail.com