VAGUE q-IDEALS IN BCI-ALGEBRAS

Yun Sun Hwang and Sun Shin Ahn*

Abstract. The notion of vague q-ideals of BCI-algebras is introduced, and several properties of them are investigated. Relations between a vague ideal and a vague q-ideal are discussed. Characterizations of a vague q-ideal are considered.

1. Introduction

Several authors from time to time have made a number of generalizations of Zadeh's fuzzy set theory [12]. Of these, the notion of vague set theory introduced by Gau and Buehrer [3] is of interest to us. Using the vague set in the sense of Gau and Buehrer, Biswas [2] studied vague groups. Jun and Park [6,10] studied vague ideals and vague deductive systems in subtraction algebras. In [8], the concept of vague BCK/BCI-algebras is discussed. S. S. Ahn, Y. U. Cho and C. H. Park [1] studied vague quick ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras. Y. B. Jun and K. J. Lee ([7]) introduced the notion of positive implicative vague ideals in BCK-algebras. They established relations between a vague ideal and a positive implicative ideals.

In this paper, we also use the notion of vague set in the sense of Gau and Buehrer to discuss the vague theory in BCI-algebras. We introduce the notion of vague q-ideal of BCI-algebras and investigate several properties of them. We study a relation between a vague ideal and a vague q-ideal. We establish characterizations of a vague q-ideal.

2. Preliminaries

We review some definitions and properties that will be useful in our results.

Received August 27, 2012. Accepted October 10, 2012. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35, 03G25. Key words and phrases. vague ideal, vague q-ideal.

^{*}Corresponding author.

By a BCI-algebra we mean an algebra (X, *, 0) of type (2,0) satisfying the following conditions:

- (a1) $(\forall x, y, z \in X)$ (((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0),
- (a2) $(\forall x, y \in X) ((x * (x * y)) * y = 0),$
- (a3) $(\forall x \in X) (x * x = 0),$
- (a4) $(\forall x, y \in X)$ $(x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y).$

In any BCI-algebra X one can define a partial order " \leq " by putting $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0.

A BCI-algebra X has the following properties:

- (b1) $(\forall x \in X) (x * 0 = x).$
- (b2) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y).$
- (b3) $(\forall x, y \in X) (0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)).$
- (b4) $(\forall x, y \in X) (x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y).$
- (b5) $(\forall x, y, z \in X)$ $(x \le y \Rightarrow x * z \le y * z, z * y \le z * x).$
- (b6) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * z) * (y * z) \le x * y).$

A non-empty subset S of a BCI-algebra X is called a subalgebra of X if $x*y \in S$ whenever $x,y \in S$. A non-empty subset A of a BCI-algebra X is called an ideal of X if it satisfies:

- (c1) $0 \in A$,
- (c2) $(\forall x \in A) \ (\forall y \in X) \ (y * x \in A \Rightarrow y \in A).$

Note that every ideal A of a BCI-algebra X satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in A) (\forall y \in X) (y \le x \Rightarrow y \in A).$$

A non-empty subset A of a BCI-algebra X is called a q-ideal of X if it satisfies (c1) and

(c3)
$$(\forall x, y, z \in A)(x * (y * z) \in A, y \in A \Rightarrow x * z \in A).$$

Note that any q-ideal is an ideal, but the converse is not true in general.

We refer the reader to the book [4] for further information regarding BCI-algebras.

Definition 2.1.([2]) A vague set A in the universe of discourse U is characterized by two membership functions given by:

1. A true membership function

$$t_A:U\to[0,1],$$

and

2. A false membership function

$$f_A: U \to [0,1],$$

where $t_A(u)$ is a lower bound on the grade of membership of u derived from the "evidence for u", $f_A(u)$ is a lower bound on the negation of u derived from the "evidence against u", and

$$t_A(u) + f_A(u) \le 1.$$

Thus the grade of membership of u in the vague set A is bounded by a subinterval $[t_A(u), 1 - f_A(u)]$ of [0, 1]. This indicates that if the actual grade of membership of u is $\mu(u)$, then

$$t_A(u) \le \mu(u) \le 1 - f_A(u).$$

The vague set A is written as

$$A = \{ \langle u, [t_A(u), f_A(u)] \rangle \mid u \in U \},$$

where the interval $[t_A(u), 1 - f_A(u)]$ is called the *vague value* of u in A, denoted by $V_A(u)$.

For $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ we now define (α, β) -cut and α -cut of a vague set. Recall that if $I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$ and $I_2 = [a_2, b_2]$ are two subintervals of [0, 1], we can define a relation by $I_1 \succeq I_2$ if and only if $a_1 \ge a_2$ and $b_1 \ge b_2$.

Definition 2.2.([2]) Let A be a vague set of a universe X with the true-membership function t_A and the false-membership function f_A . The (α, β) -cut of the vague set A is a crisp subset $A_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ of the set X given by

$$A_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \{ x \in X \mid V_A(x) \succeq [\alpha,\beta] \}.$$

Clearly $A_{(0,0)} = X$. The (α, β) -cuts of the vague set A are also called vaque-cuts of A.

Definition 2.3.([2]) The α -cut of the vague set A is a crisp subset A_{α} of the set X given by $A_{\alpha} = A_{(\alpha,\alpha)}$.

Note that $A_0 = X$, and if $\alpha \ge \beta$ then $A_{\alpha} \subseteq A_{\beta}$ and $A_{(\alpha,\beta)} = A_{\alpha}$. Equivalently, we can define the α -cut as

$$A_{\alpha} = \{ x \in X \mid t_A(x) \ge \alpha \}.$$

3. Vague q-ideals

For our discussion, we shall use the following notations on interval arithmetic:

Let I[0,1] denote the family of all closed subintervals of [0,1]. We define the term "imax" to mean the maximum of two intervals as

$$imax(I_1, I_2) := [max(a_1, a_2), max(b_1, b_2)],$$

where $I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$, $I_2 = [a_2, b_2] \in I[0, 1]$. Similarly we define "imin". The concepts of "imax" and "imin" could be extended to define "isup" and "iinf" of infinite number of elements of I[0, 1].

It is obvious that $L = \{I[0,1], \text{ isup, iinf, } \succeq\}$ is a lattice with universal bounds [0,0] and [1,1] (see [2]).

In what follows let X be a BCI-algebra unless specified otherwise.

Definition 3.1.([8]) A vague set A of a BCI-algebra X is called a vaque BCI-algebra of X if the following condition is true:

(d0)
$$(\forall x \in X)(V_A(x * y) \succeq \min\{V_A(x), V_A(y)\}).$$

that is,

$$t_A(x * y) \ge \min\{t_A(x), t_A(y)\},\$$

1 - f_A(x * y) \geq \min\{1 - f_A(x), 1 - f_A(y)\}

for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 3.2.([8]) A vague set A of X is called a *vague ideal* of X if the following conditions are true:

(d1) $(\forall x \in X)(V_A(0) \succeq V_A(x)),$ (d2) $(\forall x, y \in X)(V_A(x) \succeq \min\{V_A(x * y), V_A(y)\}).$

$$t_A(0) \ge t_A(x), 1 - f_A(0) \ge 1 - f_A(x),$$

and $t_A(x) \ge \min\{t_A(x * y), t_A(y)\}$
 $1 - f_A(x) \ge \min\{1 - f_A(x * y), 1 - f_A(y)\}$

for all $x, y \in X$.

that is.

Proposition 3.3.([8]) Every vague ideal of a BCI-algebra X satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $(\forall x, y \in X)(x \le y \Rightarrow V_A(x) \succeq V_A(y)),$
- (ii) $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(V_A(x * z) \succeq imin\{V_A((x * y) * z), V_A(y)\}).$

Definition 3.4. A vague set A of X is called a *vague q-ideal* of X if it satisfies (d1) and

(d3)
$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(V_A(x * z) \succeq \min\{V_A(x * (y * z)), V_A(y)\}).$$

that is,

$$t_A(x*z) \ge \min\{t_A(x*(y*z)), t_A(y)\},\$$

1 - f_A(x*z) \ge \min\{1 - f_A(x*(y*z)), 1 - f_A(y)\}

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 3.5. Let $X := \{0, a, b\}$ be a BCI-algebra([9]) in which the *-operation is given by the following table:

Let A be the vague set in X defined as follows:

$$A = \{\langle 0, [0.8, 0.1] \rangle, \langle a, [0.8, 0.1] \rangle, \langle b, [0.5, 0.3] \rangle\}.$$

It is routine to verify that A is a vague q-ideal of X.

Theorem 3.6. Every vague q-ideal of a BCI-algebra X is both a vague ideal of X and a vague BCI-algebra of X.

Proof. Let A be a vague q-ideal of X. Put z := 0 in (d3). Use (b1), we have (d2). Hence A is a vague ideal of X.

Putting y := z in (d3), for any $x, y, z \in X$ we have

$$V_A(x*z) \succeq \min\{V_A(x*(z*z)), V_A(z)\}$$

= $\min\{V_A(x*0), V_A(z)\}$
= $\min\{V_A(x), V_A(z)\}.$

It means that A is a vague BCI-algebra of X

The converse of Theorem 3.6 is not true in general as the following example.

Example 3.7. Let $X := \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a BCI-algebra([9]) in which the *-operation is given by the following table:

Let A be the vague set in X defined as follows:

$$A = \{ \langle 0, [0.7, 0.2] \rangle, \langle a, [0.5, 0.4] \rangle, \langle b, [0.5, 0.4] \rangle, \langle c, [0.5, 0.4] \rangle \}.$$

It is routine to verify that A is both a vague ideal of X and a vague BCI-algebra of X. But it is not a vague q-ideal of X since $V_A(c*a) = V_A(b) \not\succeq \min\{V_A(c*(0*a)), V_A(0)\}.$

Theorem 3.8. Let A be a vague ideal of a BCI-algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is a vague q-ideal of X.
- (2) $(\forall x, y \in X)(V_A(x * y) \succeq V_A(x * (0 * y)).$
- (3) $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(V_A((x * y) * z) \succeq V_A(x * (y * z)).$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Put y=0 and z=y in (d3). Hence for any $x,y\in X,$ we have

$$V_A(x * y) \succeq \min\{V_A(x * (0 * y)), V_A(0)\}$$

= $V_A(x * (0 * y)).$

 $(2)\Rightarrow(3)$ Since for any $x,y,z\in X$

$$((x*y)*(0*z))*(x*(y*z)) = ((x*y)*(x*(y*z)))*(0*z)$$

$$\leq ((y*z)*y)*(0*z)$$

$$= (0*z)*(0*z) = 0,$$

we have ((x*y)*(0*z))*(x*(y*z)) = 0, it follows from Proposition 3.3(i) that $V_A(x*(y*z)) \leq V_A((x*y)*(0*z)) \leq V_A((x*y)*z)$. Thus (3) holds.

 $(3)\Rightarrow(1)$ Using Proposition 3.3(ii) and (3), we have

$$V_A(x*z) \succeq \min\{V_A((x*y)*z), V_A(y)\}$$

$$\succeq \min\{V_A(x*(y*z)), V_A(y)\},$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Thus (d3) holds. Thus A is a vague q-ideal of X. \square

Theorem 3.9. Let A be a vague ideal of a BCI-algebra X such that

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(V_A(x * y) \succeq V_A(x)).$$

Then it is a vague q-ideal of X.

Proof. Using (d2) and assumption, we have

$$V_{A}(x*z) \succeq \min\{V_{A}((x*z)*(y*z)), V_{A}(y*z)\}$$

$$= \min\{V_{A}((x*(y*z))*z), V_{A}(y*z)\}$$

$$\succeq \min\{V_{A}(x*(y*z)), V_{A}(y*z)\}$$

$$\succeq \min\{V_{A}(x*(y*z)), V_{A}(y)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Hence (d3) holds. Thus A is a vague q-ideal of X. \square

The converse of Theorem 3.9 is not true in general as seen the following example.

Example 3.10. Consider a BCI-algebra $X := \{0, a, b\}$ and a vague set A as in Example 3.5. Then A is a vague q-ideal of X, but it does not satisfy $V_A(x * y) \succeq V_A(x)$ since $V_A(0 * b) = V_A(b) \not\succeq V_A(0)$.

Definition 3.11 A BCI-algebra X is said to be associative ([4]) if (x * y) * z = x * (y * z) for any $x, y, z \in X$. A BCI-algebra X is said to be quasi-associative ([11]) if $(x * y) * z \le x * (y * z)$ for any $x, y, z \in X$.

Every associative BCI-algebra X is quasi-associative, but the converse is not true in general (see [11]).

Proposition 3.12. Let X be a quasi-associative BCI-algebra. Every vague ideal of X is a vague q-ideal of X.

Proof. Let A be a vague ideal of X. Since X is a quasi-associative BCI-algebra, we have $(x*y)*z \le x*(y*z)$ for any $x,y,z \in X$. It follows from Proposition 3.3(i) that $V_A((x*y)*z) \succeq V_A(x*(y*z))$. By Theorem 3.8, A is a vague q-ideal of X.

Proposition 3.12 is not true in general if X is not a quasi-associative BCI-algebra as seen in the following example.

Example 3.13. Consider a BCI-algebra $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and a vague set A of X as in Example 3.7. Since $(a * b) * c \nleq a * (b * c)$, X is not a quasi-associative BCI-algebra. Then A is a vague ideal of X but not a vague q-ideal of X.

Corollary 3.14. Let X be an associative BCI-algebra. Every vague ideal of X is a vague q-ideal of X.

Proof. Straightforward. \Box

Theorem 3.15. Let A be a vague q-ideal of a BCI-algebra X. Then for any $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$, the vague-cut $A_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ of A is a crisp q-ideal of X.

Proof. Obviously, $0 \in A_{(\alpha,\beta)}$. Let $x * (y * z) \in A_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $y \in A_{(\alpha,\beta)}$. Then $V_A(x * (y * z)) \succeq [\alpha,\beta]$ and $V_A(y) \succeq [\alpha,\beta]$, i.e., $t_A(x * (y * z)) \ge \alpha$, $t_A(y) \ge \alpha$ and $1 - f_A(x * (y * z)) \ge \beta$, $1 - f_A(y) \ge \beta$. It follows that

$$t_A(x*z) \ge \min\{t_A(x*(y*z)), t_A(y)\} \ge \alpha$$

and

$$1 - f_A(x * z) \ge \min\{1 - f_A(x * (y * z)), 1 - f_A(y)\} \ge \beta.$$

Hence $x * z \in A_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and so $A_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a crisp q-ideal of X.

The ideals like $A_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ are also called vague cut q-ideals of X.

Theorem 3.16. Any q-ideal I of a BCI-algebra X is a vague-cut ideal of some vague q-ideal of X.

Proof. Proof. Consider the vague set A of X given by

$$V_A(x) = \begin{cases} [\alpha, \alpha] & \text{if } x \in I \\ [0, 0] & \text{if } x \notin I \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Since $0 \in I$, we have $V_A(0) = [\alpha, \alpha] \succeq V_A(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x * (y * z) \in I$ and $y \in I$. If $x * z \notin I$, then

$$t_A(x*z) = 0 \le \min\{t_A(x*(y*z)), t_A(y)\}\$$

and
$$1 - f_A(x * z) = 0 \le \min\{1 - f_A(x * (y * z)), 1 - f_A(y)\}.$$

If $x * z \in I$, then

$$t_A(x*z) = \alpha = \min\{t_A(x*(y*z)), t_A(y)\}\$$

and
$$1 - f_A(x * z) = \alpha = \min\{1 - f_A(x * (y * z)), 1 - f_A(y)\}.$$

Thus A is a vague q-ideal of X. Clearly, $I = A_{(\alpha,\alpha)}$.

Theorem 3.17. Let A be a vague q-ideal of a BCI-algebra X. Then the set

$$I := \{x \in X | V_A(x) = V_A(0)\}$$

is a crisp q-ideal of X.

Proof. Clearly, $0 \in I$. Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x * (y * z) \in I$ and $y \in I$. Then $V_A(x * (y * z)) = V_A(0)$ and $V_A(y) = V_A(0)$ and so

$$V_A(x*z) \succeq \min\{V_A(x*(y*z)), V_A(y)\} = V_A(0).$$

Since $V_A(0) \succeq V_A(x)$ for all $x \in X$, it follows that $V_A(x * z) = V_A(0)$. Hence $x * z \in I$. Therefore I is a crisp q-ideal of X.

4. Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions.

References

- S. S. Ahn, Y. U. Cho and C. H. Park, Vague quick ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, Honam Math. J., 30(2008), 65-74.
- [2] R. Biswas, Vague groups, Internat. J. Comput. Cognition, 4 (2006), no. 2, 20-23.
- [3] W. L. Gau and D. J. Buehrer, *Vague sets*, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, **23** (1993), 610-614.
- [4] Q. P. Hu and K. Iséki, On BCI-algebra satisfying (x*y)*z = x*(y*z), Math. Seminar Notes Kobe Univ., 8 (1980), 553-555.
- [5] Y. Huang, BCI-algebras, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [6] Y. B. Jun and C. H. Park, Vague ideals of subtraction algebras, Int. Math. Forum, 2(2007), no.59, 2919-2926.
- [7] Y. B. Jun and K. J. Lee, *Positive implicative vague ideal in BCK-algebras*, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 1(2011), 1-9.
- [8] K. J. Lee, K. S. So and K. S. Bang, Vague BCK/BCI-algebras, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math., 15(2008), 297-308.
- [9] Y. L. Liu, J. Meng, X. H. Zhang and Z. C. Yue, q-ideals and a-ideals in BCI-algebras, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 24(2000), 243-253.
- [10] C. H. Park, Vague deductive systems of subtraction algebras, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 26(2008), 427-436.
- [11] C. C. Xi, On a class of BCI-algebras, Math. Jpn, 35(1990), 13-17
- [12] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control, 8(1965), 338-353.

Yun Sun Hwang

Department of Mathematics Education, Dongguk University,

Seoul 100-715, Korea.

E-mail: hwangyunsun@nate.com

Sun Shin Ahn

Department of Mathematics Education, Dongguk University,

Seoul 100-715, Korea.

E-mail: sunshine@dongguk.edu