East Asian Mathematical Journal Vol. 28 (2012), No. 5, pp. 579–585 http://dx.doi.org/10.7858/eamj.2012.043



NOTE ON UPPER BOUND SIGNED 2-INDEPENDENCE IN DIGRAPHS

Hye Kyung Kim

ABSTRACT. Let D be a finite digraph with the vertex set V(D) and arc set A(D). A two-valued function $f: V(D) \to \{-1, 1\}$ defined on the vertices of a digraph D is called a signed 2-independence function if $f(N^{-}[v]) \leq 1$ for every v in D. The weight of a signed 2-independence function is $f(V(D)) = \sum_{v \in V(D)} f(v)$. The maximum weight of a signed 2-

independence function of D is the signed 2-independence number $\alpha_s^{2}(D)$ of D. Recently, Volkmann [3] began to investigate this parameter in digraphs and presented some upper bounds on $\alpha_s^2(D)$ for general digraph D. In this paper, we improve upper bounds on $\alpha_s^{2}(D)$ given by Volkmann [3].

1. Introduction

All digraphs considered in this paper are finite, without loops and multiple arcs. For notation and terminology not defined here, we generally follow [1]. For a digraph D, we denote the vertex set of D and the arc set of D by V(D) and A(D), respectively. We say that u is an *in-neighbor* of v and v is an *out-neighbor* of u if uv is an arc of D. For a vertex $v \in V(D)$, the sets of in-neighbors and outneighbors of v are called the *open in-neighborhood* and *open out-neighborhood* of v are denoted by $N_D^-(v)$ and $N_D^+(v)$, respectively. The closed in-neighborhood of v is $N_D^-[v] = N_D^-(v) \cup \{v\}$. The numbers $d_D^-(v) = |N_D^-(v)|$ and $d_D^+(v) =$ $|N_D^+(v)|$ are the *in-degree* and *out-degree* of v, respectively. We use $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$, $\Delta^- = \Delta^-(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, and $\Delta^+ = \Delta^+(D)$ to denote the minimum indegree, maximum in-degree, minimum out-degree and maximum out-degree of a vertex in D, respectively. For $S \subseteq V(D)$, D[S] denotes the subdigraph induced by S. If $S \subseteq V(D)$ and $v \in V(D)$, then E(S, v) is the set of arcs from S to v. If S and T are two disjoint vertex sets of a digraph D, then E(S,T) is the set of arcs from S to T.

©2012 The Youngnam Mathematical Society



Received August 17, 2012; Accepted September 26, 2012.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. MSC: 05C69.

Key words and phrases. Signed 2-independence function, signed 2-independence number, directed graph.

This work was supported by research grants from the Catholic University of Daegu in 2011.

For a function $f : V(D) \to \{-1, 1\}$, the weight of f is defined $w(f) = \sum_{v \in V(D)} f(v)$, and for $S \subseteq V(D)$ we define $f(S) = \sum_{v \in S} f(v)$, so w(f) = f(V(D)). For a vertex $v \in V(D)$, we denote $f(N^{-}[v])$ by f[v] for notational convenience.

The study of signed 2-independence number of undirected graphs was initiated by Zelink [4] and continued in [2] and elsewhere. Recently, Volkmann [3] began to investigate this parameter in digraphs. Formally, a function $f: V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is called a signed 2-independence function (abbreviated by S2IF) if $f[v] \leq 1$ for every vertex $v \in V(D)$. The singed 2-independence number, denoted by $\alpha_s^2(D)$, of D is the maximum weight of a S2IF on D. We call a S2IF of weight $\alpha_s^2(D)$ a $\alpha_s^2(D)$ -function on D. Volkmann [3] presented some upper bounds on $\alpha_s^2(D)$ for general digraph D,

Throughout this paper, if f is a S2IF of D, then we let P and M denote the sets of those vertices in D which are assigned under f the value 1 and -1, respectively and let p = |P| and m = |M|. Then |V(D)| = p + m and $\alpha_s^2(D) = p - m$.

In this paper, we improve upper bounds on $\alpha_s^2(D)$ given by Volkmann [3].

2. Main results

In this section, we study to improve upper bounds on $\alpha_s^2(D)$ given by Volkmann [3].

Theorem 2.1. Let D be a digraph of order n. If n_0 is the number of vertices of odd in-degree of V(D), Then

$$\alpha_s^{-2}(D) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\{(\Delta^+ + 1) - 2\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil\}n - 2n_0}{\Delta^+ + 1} & \text{if } \delta^- \text{ is even} \\ \frac{(\Delta^+ + 1) - 2\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil}{\Delta^+ + 1}n & \text{if } \delta^- \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let f be a signed 2-independence function on D for which $\alpha_s^2(D) = f(V(D))$. Put $P_0 = \{v \in P | d^-(v) \text{ is odd}\}, P_e = P - P_0, M_0 = \{v \in M | d^-(v) \text{ is odd}\}, M_e = M - M_0$, and let $p_0 = |P_0|, p_e = |P_e|, m_0 = |M_0|$ and $m_e = |M_e|$.

By the condition $f[v] \leq 1$ for each $v \in V(D)$, it follows that

- (1) if $v \in P_0$, then $|E(P,v)| \le |E(M,v)| 1$,
- (2) if $v \in P_e$, then $|E(P,v)| \le |E(M,v)|$,
- (3) if $v \in M_0$, then $|E(P, v)| \le |E(M, v)| + 1$,
- (4) if $v \in M_e$, then $|E(P, v)| \le |E(M, v)| + 2$.

Moreover,

(5)
$$\delta^{-} \leq d^{-}(v) = |E(P, v)| + |E(M, v)|.$$

Now, from (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5), we obtain

(6)
$$|E(M,v)| \ge \lceil \frac{\delta^{-} + 1}{2} \rceil \text{ for each } v \in P_0,$$

(7)
$$|E(M,v)| \ge \lceil \frac{\sigma}{2} \rceil$$
 for each $v \in P_e$,

(8)
$$|E(M,v)| \ge \lceil \frac{\delta^{-} - 1}{2} \rceil$$
 for each $v \in M_0$,

(9) and
$$|E(M,v)| \ge \lceil \frac{\delta^{-} - 2}{2} \rceil$$
 for each $v \in M_e$.

Using (6), (7), (8) and (9), we have

$$\begin{split} |E(M,P)| &= \sum_{v \in P} |E(M,v)| = \sum_{v \in P_0} |E(M,v)| + \sum_{v \in P_e} |E(M,v)| \\ &\geq p_0 \lceil \frac{\delta^- + 1}{2} \rceil + (p - p_0) \lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil \\ &= (n-m) \lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil + p_0 (\lceil \frac{\delta^- + 1}{2} \rceil - \lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil) \end{split}$$

(10)and

(11)
$$|E(D[M])| = \sum_{v \in M} |E(M,v)| = \sum_{v \in M_0} |E(M,v)| + \sum_{v \in M_e} |E(M,v)|$$
$$\geq m_0 \lceil \frac{\delta^- - 1}{2} \rceil + (m - m_0) \lceil \frac{\delta^- - 2}{2} \rceil$$
$$= m \lceil \frac{\delta^- - 2}{2} \rceil + m_0 (\lceil \frac{\delta^- - 1}{2} \rceil - \lceil \frac{\delta^- - 2}{2} \rceil).$$

From (11), we get that

$$|E(M,P)| = \sum_{v \in M} d^+(v) - |E(D[M])|$$

$$\leq m\Delta^+ - m\lceil \frac{\delta^- - 2}{2}\rceil - m_0(\lceil \frac{\delta^- - 1}{2}\rceil - \lceil \frac{\delta^- - 2}{2}\rceil).$$

Now, we consider two cases.

Case1 : $\delta^- = \text{even.}$

It is easy to check that $\lceil \frac{\delta^- + k}{2} \rceil - \lceil \frac{\delta^- + (k-1)}{2} \rceil = 1$ (k = 1 or -1) and $\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil - \lceil \frac{\delta^- - 2}{2} \rceil = 1$. It implies $(n - m) \lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil + p_0 \le m\Delta^+ - m \lceil \frac{\delta^- - 2}{2} \rceil - m_0$ from (10) and (12).

Since $n_0 = p_0 + m_0$, we have $m \ge \frac{n \lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil + n_0}{\Delta^+ + 1}$. Thus

$$\alpha_s^{\ 2}(D) = n - 2m \le n - 2\frac{n\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil + n_0}{\Delta^+ + 1} = \frac{\{(\Delta^+ + 1) - 2\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil\}n - 2n_0}{\Delta^+ + 1}.$$

Case2 : $\delta^- = \text{odd.}$

Since $\lceil \frac{\delta^- + k}{2} \rceil - \lceil \frac{\delta^- + (k-1)}{2} \rceil = 0$ (k = 1 or -1) and $\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil - \lceil \frac{\delta^- - 2}{2} \rceil = 1$, we get $(n-m)\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil \le m\Delta^+ - m\lceil \frac{\delta^- - 2}{2} \rceil$, from (10)and (12). Thus $m \ge \frac{n\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil}{\Delta^+ + 1}$ and $\alpha_s^2(D) = n - 2m \le n - 2\frac{n\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil}{\Delta^+ + 1} = \frac{(\Delta^+ + 1) - 2\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil}{\Delta^+ + 1}n.$

Corollary 2.2. ([3, Theorem 12]) Let D be a digraph of order n. Then

$${\alpha_s}^2(D) \le \frac{\Delta^+ + 1 - 2\lceil \frac{\delta^-}{2} \rceil}{\Delta^+ + 1} n.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let D be a digraph of order n. If n_0 is the number of vertices of odd in-degree of V(D), Then

$$\alpha_s^{-2}(D) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(2\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor + 1 - \delta^+)n - 2n_0}{\delta^+ + 1} & \text{if } \Delta^- \text{ is even} \\ \frac{2\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor + 1 - \delta^+}{\delta^+ + 1}n & \text{if } \Delta^- \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let f be a signed 2-independence function on D for which $\alpha_s^2(D) = f(V(D))$. Let $P_0, M_0, P_e, M_e, p_0, p_e, m_0$ and m_e be defined as in the proof of Theorem 1. From (1), (2), (3), (4) in the proof of Theorem 1, and

(13)
$$\Delta^{-} \ge d^{-}(v) = |E(P,v)| + |E(M,v)|.$$

Using (1), (2), (3), (4) in the proof of Theorem 1, and (13), we have

(14)
$$|E(P,v)| \le \lfloor \frac{\Delta^{-} - 1}{2} \rfloor \text{ for each } v \in P_0,$$

(15)
$$|E(P,v)| \le \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor$$
 for each $v \in P_e$,

(16)
$$|E(P,v)| \le \lfloor \frac{\Delta^{-} + 1}{2} \rfloor \text{ for each } v \in M_0,$$

(17) and
$$|E(P,v)| \le \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 2}{2} \rfloor$$
 for each $v \in M_e$.

From (14), (15), (16) and (17), we get

(18)

$$|E(P,M)| = \sum_{v \in M} |E(P,v)| = \sum_{v \in M_0} |E(P,v)| + \sum_{v \in M_e} |E(P,v)|$$

$$\leq m_0 \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 1}{2} \rfloor + (m - m_0) \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 2}{2} \rfloor$$

$$= m \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 2}{2} \rfloor + m_0 (\lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 1}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 2}{2} \rfloor)$$

582

and

$$|E(D[P])| = \sum_{v \in P} |E(P,v)| = \sum_{v \in P_0} |E(P,v)| + \sum_{v \in P_e} |E(P,v)|$$

$$\leq p_0 \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- - 1}{2} \rfloor + p_e \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor = p_0 \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- - 1}{2} \rfloor + (p - p_0) \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor$$
(19)
$$= p \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor + p_0 (\lfloor \frac{\Delta^- - 1}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor).$$

From (19), we have

(20)
$$|E(P,M)| = \sum_{v \in P} d^+(v) - |E(D[P])|$$
$$\geq p\delta^+ - p\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor - p_0(\lfloor \frac{\Delta^- - 1}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor).$$

Now, we consider two cases. Case1 : Δ^- is even.

Using (18) and (20),

(21)
$$p\delta^+ - p\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor + p_0 \le m\lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 2}{2} \rfloor - m_0.$$

Substitute p = n - m into (21), $(n - m)(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + p_0 + m_0 \le m \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 2}{2} \rfloor$. $m(\delta^+ + 1) \ge n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + n_0$, and $m \ge \frac{n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + n_0}{\delta^+ + 1}$. It follows that

$$\alpha_s^2(D) = n - 2m \le n - \frac{2\{n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \rfloor) + n_0\}}{\delta^+ + 1}$$
$$= \frac{(2\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \rfloor + 1 - \delta^+)n - 2n_0}{\delta^+ + 1}.$$

Case2 : Δ^- is odd.

Using (18) and (20),

(22)
$$p\delta^+ - p\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor \le m\lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 2}{2} \rfloor.$$

Substitute p = n - m into (22), $(n - m)(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) \le m \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 2}{2} \rfloor$. Therefore, $n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) \le m(\delta^+ + 1)$. It follows that

$${\alpha_s}^2(D) = n - 2m \le n - 2\frac{n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor)}{\delta^+ + 1} = \frac{2\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor + 1 - \delta^+}{\delta^+ + 1}n.$$

Corollary 2.4. ([3, Theorem 13]) If D is a digraph of order n, then

$${\alpha_s}^2(D) \le \frac{2\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor + 1 - \delta^+}{\delta^+ + 1}n.$$

Theorem 2.5. Let D be a digraph of order n such that $\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor \ge 0$. Let n_0 be the number of vertices whose in-degree of V(D) is odd and m_0 the number of vertices whose in-degree is odd and assigned value is -1. Then

$$\alpha_s^{-2}(D) \leq \begin{cases} n+1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor & -2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor)^2 + n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + n_0} \\ & \text{if } \Delta^- \text{ is even} \\ n+1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor & -2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor)^2 + n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + m_0} \\ & \text{if } \Delta^- \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let f be a signed 2-independence function on D for which $\alpha_s^2(D) = f(V(D))$, and let $P_0, M_0, P_e, M_e, p_0, p_e, m_0$ and m_e be defined as in the proof of Theorem 1. By the definition of S2IF, each vertex of M_0 has at most m in-neighbors in P and each vertex of M_e has at most (m + 1) in-neighbors in P. Thus $|E(P, M_0)| \leq m_0 m$ and $|E(P, M_e)| \leq (m - m_0)(m + 1)$. It follows that

$$|E(P,M)| = |E(P,M_0)| + |E(P,M_e)| \le m(m+1) - m_0.$$

Using (20) in the proof of Theorem 3,

$$(n-m)(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + p_0(\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- - 1}{2} \rfloor) \le m^2 + m - m_0.$$

Now, we consider two cases.

Case1 : Δ^- is even.

$$(n-m)(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + p_0 \le m^2 + m - m_0.$$

Thus

$$m^{2} + (1 + \delta^{+} - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^{-}}{2} \rfloor)m - n(\delta^{+} - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^{-}}{2} \rfloor) - n_{0} \ge 0,$$

and

$$m \ge -\frac{1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor)^2 + n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + n_0}.$$

Now, we get the bound as follows

$$\alpha_s^{\ 2}(D) = n - 2m$$

$$\leq n + 1 + \delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor - 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(1 + \delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor)^2 + n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + n_0}$$

Case2 : Δ^- is odd.

Since $(n-m)(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) \le m^2 + m - m_0$, it implies that

$$m \ge -\frac{1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor)^2 + n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) + m_0}.$$

So, we get the bound as follows

 $\alpha_s^{\ 2}(D) = n - 2m$

$$\leq n+1+\delta^+-\lfloor\frac{\Delta^-}{2}\rfloor-2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(1+\delta^+-\lfloor\frac{\Delta^-}{2}\rfloor)^2+n(\delta^+-\lfloor\frac{\Delta^-}{2}\rfloor)+m_0}.$$

Corollary 2.6. ([3, Theorem 14]) Let D be a digraph of order n such that $\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor \ge 0$. Then

$$\alpha_s^{-2}(D) \leq \begin{cases} n+1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor & -2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}(1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor)^2 + n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) \\ & \text{if } \Delta^- \text{ is even} \\ n+1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor & -2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}(1+\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor)^2 + n(\delta^+ - \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-}{2} \rfloor) \\ & \text{if } \Delta^- \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

References

- G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, *Graphs and digraphs*, 4th ed. Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton, 2005.
- [2] M. A. Henning, Signed 2-independence in graphs, Discrete Math. 250 (2002), 93-107.
- [3] L. Volkmann, Signed 2-independence in digraphs, Discrete. Math. 312 (2012), 465-471.
- [4] B. Zelinka, On signed 2-independence numbers of graphs, Manuscript.

HYE KYUNG KIM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF DAEGU, KYEONGSAN 712-702, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: hkkim@cu.ac.kr$