## A modified device for intraoral radiography to assess the distal osseous defects of mandibular second molar after impacted third molar surgery

## Faria-Inocencio Ana, Gallas-Torreira Mercedes\*

Stomatology Department, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Santiago de Compostela University, Santiago de Compostela, Spain \*Comprehensive Adult Dental Care, Stomatology Department, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Santiago de Compostela University, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

## ABSTRACT

**Purpose** : This article is to describe a modified device for intraoral radiography which was developed to obtain reproducible radiographic images for assessment of distal osseous defects of the mandibular second molar (2 Mm) after impacted third molar (3 Mm) surgery.

**Materials and Methods** : A commercial available alignment system for posterior region was modified by adding a reference gauge pin (millimetric) and threading a hollow acrylic cylinder at the ring of the radiographic positioner to attach the X-ray collimator. The design included customized resin acrylic stent for the occlusal surface of the 2 Mm in maximum intercuspal position, individualizing the biteblock positioner. Periapical radiographs were taken before and after surgical extraction of 3 Mm, employing the radiographic technique of parallelism described by Kugelberg (1986) with this modified film holder and inserting the gauge pin on the deepest bone probing depth point.

**Results** : This technique permitted to obtain standardized periapical radiographs with a moderate to high resolution, repeatability, and accuracy. There was no difference between the measurements on the pre- and post-operative radiographs. This technique allowed better maintenance of the same geometric position compared with conventional one. The insertion of the gauge pin provided the same reference point and localized the deepest osseous defect on the two-dimensional radiographs.

**Conclusion** : This technique allowed better reproducibility in posterior radiographic records (distal surface of 2 Mm) and more accurate measurements of radiographic bone level by the use of a millimetric pin. (*Imaging Sci Dent 2011; 41 : 115-21*)

KEY WORDS : Radiography, Dental; Alveolar Bone Loss; Periodontal Attachment Loss; Molar, Third

## Introduction

Intraoral periapical radiography is a noninvasive diagnostic tool widely used in the clinical practice for assessment of radiographic bone level around teeth or implants. The periapical radiographs with paralleling technique - also known as right angle technique or long cone technique allow obtaining more accurate records of crestal bone in

Correspondence to : Prof. Gallas-Torreira Mercedes

School of Dentistry, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Santiago de Compostela, C/ ENTRERRÍOS S/N, C.P.: 15782 SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA Tel) +34981563100 ext 12354, Fax) +34981562226, E-mail) mercedes.gallas.torreira@usc.es

relation to the tooth root and bone density changes, resulting in a radiographic guidance of teeth in their osseous structures.<sup>1</sup>

The intraoral paralleling technique has some limitations such as small vertical and horizontal angulations of the Xray beam with respect to the film, less-defined interproximal area of the cervical portion of the tooth mainly cementoenamel junction, inability to clearly assess the bone defects on buccolingual or palatal surfaces, difficulty in clinical performance, and inexact reproducibility of the technique in further evaluations mainly in posterior teeth. These limitations should be avoided in order to reduce evaluating and diagnostic errors inherent in all periapical radiographs,

Copyright © 2011 by Korean Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Imaging Science in Dentistry • pISSN 2233-7822 eISSN 2233-7830

Received June 11, 2011; Revised July 14, 2011; Accepted July 21, 2011

like poor identification of anatomical landmarks such as root apex and cementoenamel junction, impossibility of evaluation of buccolingual/palatal bone morphology, and distortions of coronal aspect of the tooth.<sup>2-8</sup>

The differences of parallelism (vertical/horizontal angulations) in radiographic imaging of longitudinal studies originate from the alterations of three-dimensional positioning between the X-ray collimator system/positioner device /X-ray film.<sup>6-8</sup> Commercially available devices for intraoral paralleling technique provide perpendicularity between the central ray and the film, however they are not useful in preventing other errors during the clinical performance of the technique.<sup>7,8</sup>

Therefore, a radiographic device was modified using the radiographic holder Rinn<sup>®</sup> XCP Instrument Kit (Dentsply, Elgin, IL, USA), in order to maximize the reproducibility of the radiographic paralleling technique modified by Kugelberg and to minimize the errors, resulting on the calibrated and superimposable digital radiographic images.<sup>6,7,9</sup>

#### **Materials and Methods**

Periapical radiographs were taken at the posterior area of the patients submitted to mandibular third molar (3 Mm) surgical extraction employing a modification of the radiographic technique verified by Kugelberg et al in 1986.<sup>9</sup> The extracted 3 Mms were fully impacted without contact with the oral cavity and in close relation with the distal aspect of mandibular second molar (2 Mm) (Type II/III, B/C of the Pell and Gregory classification<sup>10</sup> and in mesioangular, horizontal or vertical positions of the Winter classification<sup>11</sup>).

The patients were radiographed with a photostimulable phosphor (PSP) plate (31 × 41 mm, Digora<sup>®</sup> OPTIME, Soredex Orion Corporation, Helsinki, Finland) and intraoral radiographic equipment (Philips Oralix 65, Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) set at 65 kVp, 7.5 mA, and 0.2 seconds. The implemented radiographic technique was paralleling technique focusing the central ray on the center of the crown of their 2 Mm. The focus-to-object distance was about 20 cm using an X-ray film positioner for posterior region (Rinn<sup>®</sup> XCP Instrument Kit, Dentsply, Elgin, IL, USA), consisting of a ring, an arm and a bite-block. The PSP plates were scanned with Digora<sup>®</sup> OPTIME scanner, and then the images were saved.

For the achievement of reproducibility in the radiographic technique, there were essential factors including the stability of the X-ray collimator/positioner (positioning device) for intraoral radiography/PSP plate at the space planes: the vertical plane or parallel to the longitudinal axis of the 2 Mm, the horizontal plane or occlusal and buccolingual plane.<sup>2-4,7</sup> To ensure the stability in the space planes, an additional device was fabricated with modification of the commercial film positioner Rinn<sup>®</sup> XCP Instrument Kit, in the form of a hollow cylinder adapted to the positioner and the collimator, so that it was attached to the collimator of the X-ray machine to prevent unwanted movements and undesirable angulations.

In addition, a biteblock of the positioner was individualized for each patient with acrylic resin (Pattern Resin<sup>®</sup>, GC America Inc., Alsip, IL, USA) at the 2 Mm in maximum intercuspal position in order to ensure the reproducibility



Fig. 1. A. Hollow cylinder adapted to the X-ray film positioner (posterior system Rinn<sup>®</sup> XCP Instrument Kit, Dentsply, Elgin, IL, USA) with a bite-block customized with acrylic resin (Pattern Resin<sup>®</sup>, GC America Inc., Alsip, IL, USA) for each patient. B. Detail of the bite-block customized.

of the radiographic technique in the occlusal plane. In this way, the PSP plate was centered and parallel to the longitudinal axis of the 2 Mm, in a reproducible position and per-



Fig. 2. Gauge pin calibrated-tip of periodontal standardized probe (PCP-UNC15<sup>®</sup>, Hu-Friedy, Chicago, IL, USA) and radiographic image.

pendicular to the horizontal (occlusal) plan (Fig. 1).

A specific X-ray gauge pin was used for assessment of bone defects distal to the 2 Mm after impacted 3 Mm surgery.<sup>9</sup> This gauge pin consisted of an active tip of a conventional probe (PCP-UNC 15<sup>®</sup>, Hu-Friedy, Chicago, IL, USA) of 15 mm in length, to allow the maximum intercuspal bite with customized biteblock constructed in acrylic resin. This probe was chosen for having millimeter indentations visible radiographically to measure directly the bone defects and to obtain a conversion scale depending on the radiographic processing and computerized measuring system used. Another peculiarity of this gauge pin was a hook at its end to tie dental floss in order to avoid swallowing (Fig. 2). Prior to taking each radiograph, the millimetric gauge pin was inserted into the same probing point through the small hole of the biteblock. Therefore, it allowed comparing the different images taken at the different times after surgery, verifying the reproducibility of three-dimensional geometric relationship.

The probing depth of gingival sulcus was measured at the distal aspect of 2 Mm in five equidistant points and selected the deepest probing depth point. The reference pin was inserted at this point to perform the X-ray evaluations and to determine the bone level on the pre- and post-operative radiographs. Figure 3 shows the radiographic image made with this technique with the anatomic reference points



Fig. 3. Division of distal aspect of mandibular second molar. A. Occlusal view. B. Distal view. C. Gauge pin inserted in the deepest probing depth. D. Radiographic image obtained with the anatomic reference points. B; bucal aspect of mandibular second molar, L; lingual aspect of mandibular second molar, AR; radiographic apex of distal root of mandibular second molar, NOR; radiographic bone level, LAC; cementoenamel junction. used to measure the linear radiographic distances.

All radiographs were taken prior to the extraction of the 3 Mm and after the surgery between 3 and 12 months. This evaluation period was considered appropriate, with repeated assessments, to visualize any radiographic changes and analyze the reproducibility of the radiographic technique.

The anatomical reference points and the tip of the gauge pin were recorded with a side by side comparison of the initial and final posterior periapical radiographs. The pre and post-operative radiographs were compared at the same time.



Fig. 4. Drawing (A) and radiographic image (B) prior extraction of the mandibular third molar. Drawing (C) and radiographic image (D) after extraction of the mandibular third molar. AR; radiographic apex of distal root of mandibular second molar, NOR; radiographic bone level, LAC; cementoenamel junction.



Fig. 5. A. Radiograph made only with posterior X-ray film positioner. B. Radiograph made with posterior X-ray film positioner adapted to the hollow cylinder. C. Radiograph made with the hollow cylinder adapted to the posterior X-ray film positioner, with a bite-block customized with acrylic resin. D. Radiograph made with the hollow cylinder adapted to the posterior X-ray film positioner, with a bite-block customized and the gauge pin calibrated inserted in the deepest probing deep.



# Fig. 6. Four examples of periapical radiographic images. A. Initial radiographic image before extraction of the mandibular third molar. B. Final radiographic image after extraction of the mandibular third molar.

## **Results**

The pre and post-operative radiographs showed no difference in the records of the anatomical reference points and the tip of the gauge, thus the technique could be used for the longitudinal evaluations of the alveolar bone status (Fig. 4). This technique provided a better maintenance of the same geometric position in space compared with the conventional intraoral paralleling technique (Fig. 5). In addition, the insertion of a gauge pin always marked the same reference point and localized the deepest portion of the osseous defect on the 2-dimensional radiographs (Fig. 5D). Also, their millimetric indentations allowed the direct adjustments of distortions between the repeated radiographs in the longitudinal evaluations and the direct measurement of the osseous defects. Figure 6 shows a case (2 right and 2 left sides of the mouth) employing this method to measure the radiographic bone changes on the distal aspect of 2 Mm after extraction of the 3 Mm.

#### Discussion

The radiographs are the only universally available noninvasive method of visualizing the osseous component of the periodontium *in vivo*.<sup>12</sup>

Duckworth et al established some desirable criteria for standardization of intraoral radiographs such as: the method should use readily available materials, should not be uncomfortable for the patient, should not require extensive training for use, and should provide information about the degree of standardization. The ionizing radiation should be exposed minimally with appropriate image quality to provide diagnostic information, and the projection should minimize the distortion of the anatomic structures of interest.<sup>12</sup>

A lot of techniques for radiographic standardization procedures had been described using different X-ray devices, and adaptations, to meet the ideal radiographic criteria resulting in the accurate diagnosis.<sup>12-16</sup> Our technique was similar to those techniques referred above using a radiographic device with an occlusal registration for geometric standardization. Additionally, we complemented the technique with the introduction of the gauge pin, as utilized by Kugelberg et al,<sup>9</sup> allowing easy calibration between the different radiographs and knowing the angulation error between them. As a result, we could compare quantitatively the radiographic images taken at the different times after surgery, verify the reproducibility of three-dimensional geometric relationship and consequently, determine the same reference points, and measure the bone defects with a minor error. It also permitted an easy comparison side by side, analyzing the radiographs at the same moment, the direct measurement in millimeters of osseous defects, and comparing the techniques in the measurement of bone height and the percentages of root length or tooth length.<sup>17,18</sup> Through the determination of depth of the distal aspect of 2 Mm, by the insertion of the millimetric gauge pin into the same probing point selected prior to the surgery (point of lowest bone probe), the deepest portion of the bone defect was verified regardless of the type of defect. The bone level determination was precisely identified with this pin on the two-dimensional radiographs. The values obtained

from the direct digital measurement of alveolar crestal bone or length of bone defects should be analyzed with caution because the radiographic assessment underestimated bone level as compared to real measurements.<sup>5,17,19-21</sup>

According to Jeffcoat et al, our technique accorded with the requirements for resolution, readability, and accuracy in relation to specific periodontal radiographic tasks. Our method permitted to obtain the standardized periapical radiographs with a moderate to high resolution, repeatability, and accuracy, and the screening detection between 0.5 to 1 mm of bone changes<sup>22</sup> even though digital subtraction radiography would provide the higher accuracy and repeatability in detecting small bony changes on the serial standardized radiography.<sup>23-26</sup>

This technique offers other advantages such as low cost with low ionizing radiation because the material is relatively accessible in daily clinical practice, compared with other radiological techniques like a computed tomography. As a result, this method has clinical applicability without any other big technological resources.

As disadvantages, we can include the need of 4-hand work and the difficulty to perform clinically. It is a laborious technique (the need of confection of the biteblock positioner individualized for each patient with acrylic resin), with much time consuming. It was verified a slow adapting curve which means more time to reach accuracy. Also, the patient's cooperation during the technical performance due to the discomfort of the procedure is necessary. This last disadvantage could be obviated if the use of the technique would be performed in anterior areas of the oral cavity. This technique can be difficult to adapt in posterior area due to gag reflex and discomfort. Some patients have a difficulty in biting caused by the bulky bite block and the length of the gauge pin. The positioning and stability of the bite-block with maintenance of the vertical position of the gauge pin is, as well, difficult in the posterior areas. This method is also difficult to apply in patients with temporomandibular joint pathology or limitation of mouth opening.

This technique can be used for a rigorous evaluation of radiographic bone defects on two-dimensional radiographs. It can be used in clinical and research applications, mainly in the longitudinal monitoring of bone healing or in the osseous regeneration along the time. It can be utilized in all areas of the mouth, being more difficult in the posterior areas compared with the anterior ones.

This method can be applied in other fields of dentistry

(oral surgery, implantology, endodontics, periodontics, etc) which need longitudinal evaluation using the serially acquired radiographs. This procedure can be used in all localizations of the oral cavity using the appropriate intraoral alignment system.

In the future, it is necessary to perform a study which quantifies the error, repeatability, distortion of the radiograph (calculating vertical and horizontal angulations), and estimation of the differences between different examiners. It is also required to compare the radiographic measurements with the gold standard (surgical evaluation) and it is needed to apply it in other areas in the oral cavity with comparing the results within different locations.

### References

- White SC, Pharoah MJ. Intraoral radiographic examinations. In: White SC, Pharoah MJ. Oral radiology; principles and interpretation. 5th ed. St. Louis: Mosby, 2004. p. 121-5.
- 2. Benn DK. A review of the reliability of radiographic measurements in estimating alveolar bone changes. J Clin Periodontol 1990; 17 : 14-21.
- 3. Hausmann E. Radiographic and digital imaging in periodontal practice. J Periodontol 2000; 71 : 497-503.
- Hausmann E, Allen K, Christersson L, Genco RJ. Effect of xray beam vertical angulation on radiographic alveolar crest level measurement. J Periodontal Res 1989; 24: 8-19.
- Jenkins SM, Dummer PM, Newcombe RG. Radiographic amelocemental junction and alveolar crest: effect of X-ray beam angulation. J Oral Rehabil 1995; 22: 679-84.
- Sewerin I, Andersen V, Stoltze K. Influence of projection angles upon position of cementoenamel junction on radiographs. Scand J Dent Res 1987; 95 : 74-81.
- Hausmann E, Allen K. Reproducibility of bone height measurements made on serial radiographs. J Periodontol 1997; 68: 839-41.
- Carpio LC, Hausmann E, Dunford RG, Allen KM, Christersson LA. Evaluation of a simple modified radiographic alignment system for routine use. J Periodontol 1994; 65 : 62-7.
- 9. Kugelberg CF, Ahlstrom U, Ericson S, Hugoson A. Periodontal healing after impacted lower third molar surgery. Precision and accuracy of radiographic assessment of intrabony defects. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1986; 15 : 675-86.
- Pell GJ, Gregory GT. Impacted mandibular third molars: classification and modified techniques for removal. Dent Dig 1933; 39 : 330-8.
- 11. Winter GB. Principles of exodontia as applied to the impacted third molar: a complete treatise on the operative technic with

clinical diagnoses and radiographic interpretations. St. Louis: American Medical Book; 1926. p. 21-58.

- Duckworth JE, Judy PF, Goodson JM, Socransky SS. A method for the geometric and densitometric standardization of intraoral radiographs. J Periodontol 1983; 54 : 435-40.
- 13. Rosling B, Hollender L, Nyman S, Olsson G. A radiographic method for assessing changes in alveolar bone height following periodontal therapy. J Clin Periodontol 1975; 2 : 211-7.
- 14. Larheim TA, Eggen S. Measurements of alveolar bone height at tooth and implant abutments on intraoral radiographs. A comparison of reproducibility of Eggen technique utilized with and without a bite impression. J Clin Periodontol 1982; 9 : 184-92.
- Ortman LF, McHenry K, Hausmann E. Relationship between alveolar bone measured by 125I absorptiometry with analysis of standardized radiographs: 2. Bjorn technique. J Periodontol 1982; 53 : 311-4.
- Schmidt EF, Webber RL, Ruttimann UE, Loesche WJ. Effect of periodontal therapy on alveolar bone as measured by subtraction radiography. J Periodontol 1988; 59: 633-8.
- Schei O, Waerhaug J, Lovdal A, Arno A. Alveolar bone loss as related to oral hygiene and age. J Periodontol 1959; 30 : 7-16.
- Björn H. Radiographic assessment of periodontal disease. Int Dent J 1968; 18: 611-9.
- Hausmann E, Allen K, Clerehugh V. What alveolar crest level on a bite-wing radiograph represents bone loss? J Periodontol 1991; 62: 570-2.
- Hausmann E, Allen K, Carpio L, Christersson LA, Clerehugh V. Computerized methodology for detection of alveolar crestal bone loss from serial intraoral radiographs. J Periodontol 1992; 63: 657-62.
- Eickholz P, Hausmann E. Accuracy of radiographic assessment of interproximal bone loss in intrabony defects using linear measurements. Eur J Oral Sci 2000; 108 : 70-3.
- Jeffcoat MK, Reddy MS. A comparison of probing and radiographic methods for detection of periodontal disease progression. Curr Opin Dent 1991; 1: 45-51.
- 23. Gröndahl K, Kullendorff B, Strid KG, Gröndahl HG, Henrikson CO. Detectability of artificial marginal bone lesions as a function of lesion depth. A comparison between subtraction radiography and conventional radiographic technique. J Clin Periodontol 1988; 15 : 156-62.
- Katsarsky JW, Levine MS, Allen KM, Hausmann E. Detection of experimentally induced lesions in subtraction images of cancellous alveolar bone. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1994; 77: 674-7.
- 25. Hausmann E. Digital subtraction radiography: then (1983) and now (1998). J Dent Res 1999; 78 : 7-10.
- 26. Jeffcoat MK, Reddy MS. Digital subtraction radiography for longitudinal assessment of peri-implant bone change: method and validation. Adv Dent Res 1993; 7 : 196-201.