

FUZZY STRONGLY (r, s) -PREOPEN AND PRECLOSED MAPPINGS

SEOK JONG LEE AND JIN TAE KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notions of fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen and preclosed mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense. The relationships among fuzzy (r, s) -open, fuzzy strongly (r, s) -semiopen, fuzzy (r, s) -preopen, and fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen mappings are discussed. The characterizations for the fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen and preclosed mappings are obtained.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [16]. Chang [2] defined fuzzy topological spaces. These spaces and its generalizations are later studied by several authors, one of which, developed by Šostak [15], used the idea of degree of openness. This type of generalization of fuzzy topological spaces was later rephrased by Chattopadhyay and his colleagues [3], and by Ramadan [14].

As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov [1]. Recently, Çoker and his colleagues [4, 6] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Using the idea of degree of openness and degree of nonopenness, Çoker and Demirci [5] defined intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense as a generalization of smooth topological spaces and intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. Biljana Krsteska [8] introduced the concepts of fuzzy strongly preopen and preclosed mappings on Chang's fuzzy topological spaces and Yong Chan Kim and his colleagues [7] considered this concepts on smooth topological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the notions of fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen and preclosed mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense. The relationships among fuzzy (r, s) -open, fuzzy strongly (r, s) -semiopen, fuzzy (r, s) -preopen, and fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen mappings are discussed. The

Received September 13, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A40.

Key words and phrases. fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen, fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed.

This work was supported by the research grant of the Chungbuk National University in 2009.

characterizations for the fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen and preclosed mappings are obtained.

Let $I(X)$ be a family of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X and let $I \otimes I$ be the set of the pair (r, s) such that $r, s \in I$ and $r + s \leq 1$.

Definition 1.1 ([5]). Let X be a nonempty set. An *intuitionistic fuzzy topology in Šostak's sense* (SoIFT for short) $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ on X is a mapping $\mathcal{T} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}_1(\underline{0}) = \mathcal{T}_1(\underline{1}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(\underline{0}) = \mathcal{T}_2(\underline{1}) = 0$.
- (2) $\mathcal{T}_1(A \cap B) \geq \mathcal{T}_1(A) \wedge \mathcal{T}_1(B)$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(A \cap B) \leq \mathcal{T}_2(A) \vee \mathcal{T}_2(B)$.
- (3) $\mathcal{T}_1(\bigcup A_i) \geq \bigwedge \mathcal{T}_1(A_i)$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(\bigcup A_i) \leq \bigvee \mathcal{T}_2(A_i)$.

The $(X, \mathcal{T}) = (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is said to be an *intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Šostak's sense* (SoIFTS for short). Also, we call $\mathcal{T}_1(A)$ a *gradation of openness* of A and $\mathcal{T}_2(A)$ a *gradation of nonopenness* of A .

Definition 1.2 ([10]). Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

- (1) *fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen* if $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{pcl}(A, r, s), r, s)$,
- (2) *fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed* if $A \supseteq \text{cl}(\text{pint}(A, r, s), r, s)$.

Definition 1.3 ([10]). Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be a SoIFTS. For each $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$ and for each $A \in I(X)$, the *fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preinterior* is defined by

$$\text{stpint}(A, r, s) = \bigcup \{B \in I(X) \mid B \subseteq A, B \text{ is fuzzy strongly } (r, s)\text{-preopen}\}$$

and the *fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosure* is defined by

$$\text{stpcl}(A, r, s) = \bigcap \{B \in I(X) \mid A \subseteq B, B \text{ is fuzzy strongly } (r, s)\text{-preclosed}\}.$$

For the nonstandard definitions and notations we refer to [9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

2. Fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen and preclosed mappings

Now, we introduce the concepts of fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen and preclosed mappings, and then we investigate some of their characteristic properties.

Definition 2.1. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then f is called

- (1) a *fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen* mapping if $f(A)$ is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen set in Y for each fuzzy (r, s) -open set A in X ,
- (2) a *fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed* mapping if $f(A)$ is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed set in Y for each fuzzy (r, s) -closed set A in X .

Remark 2.2. It is easy to see that the following implications are true:

- (1) fuzzy (r, s) -open \Rightarrow fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen.
- (2) fuzzy strongly (r, s) -semiopen \Rightarrow fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen.
- (3) fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen \Rightarrow fuzzy (r, s) -preopen.

However, the following examples show that all of the converses need not be true.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and let A , B , and C be intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X defined as

$$A(x) = (0.8, 0.1), \quad A(y) = (0.7, 0.2), \quad A(z) = (0.6, 0.4);$$

$$B(x) = (0.3, 0.6), \quad B(y) = (0.1, 0.8), \quad B(z) = (0.7, 0.2);$$

and

$$C(x) = (0.8, 0.1), \quad C(y) = (0.8, 0.1), \quad C(z) = (0.4, 0.5).$$

Define $\mathcal{T} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ and $\mathcal{U} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(D) = (T_1(D), T_2(D)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } D = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } D = A, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}(D) = (\mathcal{U}_1(D), \mathcal{U}_2(D)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } D = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } D = B, C, B \cap C, B \cup C, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{U} are SoIFTs on X . Consider a mapping $g : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{U})$ defined by $g(x) = x$, $g(y) = y$, and $g(z) = z$. Then g is fuzzy strongly $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -preopen, but g is neither fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -open nor fuzzy strongly $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semiopen.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and let A and B be intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X defined as

$$A(x) = (0.3, 0.6), \quad A(y) = (0.1, 0.8), \quad A(z) = (0.7, 0.2);$$

and

$$B(x) = (0.8, 0.1), \quad B(y) = (0.8, 0.1), \quad B(z) = (0.4, 0.5).$$

Define $\mathcal{T} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ and $\mathcal{U} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(D) = (\mathcal{T}_1(D), \mathcal{T}_2(D)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } D = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } D = A, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}(D) = (\mathcal{U}_1(D), \mathcal{U}_2(D)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } D = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } D = B, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{U} are SoIFTs on X . Consider a mapping $g : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{U})$ defined by $g(x) = x$, $g(y) = y$, and $g(z) = z$. Then g is fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -preopen, but g is not a fuzzy strongly $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -preopen mapping.

Theorem 2.5. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen mapping.
 (2) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X ,

$$f(\text{int}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{stpint}(f(A), r, s).$$

 (3) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y ,

$$\text{int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{stpint}(B, r, s)).$$

 (4) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y ,

$$f^{-1}(\text{stpcl}(B, r, s)) \subseteq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s).$$

 (5) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X ,

$$f(\text{int}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{pcl}(f(A), r, s), r, s).$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $A \in I(X)$. Then $\text{int}(A, r, s)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set in X . Since f is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen, $f(\text{int}(A, r, s))$ is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen set in Y . From the result $f(\text{int}(A, r, s)) \subseteq f(A)$, we have

$$f(\text{int}(A, r, s)) = \text{stpint}(f(\text{int}(A, r, s)), r, s) \subseteq \text{stpint}(f(A), r, s).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $B \in I(Y)$. Then $f^{-1}(B) \in I(X)$. By (2), we obtain

$$f(\text{int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)) \subseteq \text{stpint}(f(f^{-1}(B)), r, s) \subseteq \text{stpint}(B, r, s).$$

Thus $\text{int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{stpint}(B, r, s))$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -open set in X . Then $f(A) \in I(Y)$. By (3), we have

$$\text{int}(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{int}(f^{-1}(f(A)), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{stpint}(f(A), r, s)).$$

Thus $f(A) = f(\text{int}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{stpint}(f(A), r, s) \subseteq f(A)$. Hence $f(A) = \text{stpint}(f(A), r, s)$. Therefore f is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen mapping.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let $B \in I(Y)$. By (3), we obtain

$$\text{int}(f^{-1}(B^c), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{stpint}(B^c, r, s)).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{stpcl}(B, r, s)) &= (f^{-1}(\text{stpint}(B^c, r, s)))^c \\ &\subseteq (\text{int}(f^{-1}(B^c), r, s))^c = \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

(4) \Rightarrow (3) Let $B \in I(Y)$. By (4), we obtain

$$f^{-1}(\text{stpcl}(B^c, r, s)) \subseteq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B^c), r, s).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) &= (\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B^c), r, s))^c \\ &\subseteq (f^{-1}(\text{stpcl}(B^c, r, s)))^c = f^{-1}(\text{stpint}(B, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(1) \Rightarrow (5) Suppose that f is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen. Then $f(\text{int}(A, r, s))$ is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen set in Y . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{int}(A, r, s)) &\subseteq \text{int}(\text{pcl}(f(\text{int}(A, r, s)), r, s), r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{int}(\text{pcl}(f(A), r, s), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -open set in X . Then by hypothesis, $f(A) = f(\text{int}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{pcl}(f(A), r, s), r, s)$. Thus $f(A)$ is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen set in Y . Therefore f is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) f is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed.

(2) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X ,

$$\text{stpcl}(f(A), r, s) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)).$$

(3) For each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X ,

$$\text{cl}(\text{pint}(f(A), r, s), r, s) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)).$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that f is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed. Let $A \in I(X)$. Then $f(\text{cl}(A, r, s))$ is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed set in Y . Since $f(A) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s))$, we have

$$\text{stpcl}(f(A), r, s) \subseteq \text{stpcl}(f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)), r, s) = f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -closed set in X . According to the assumption,

$$f(A) \subseteq \text{stpcl}(f(A), r, s) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)) = f(A).$$

Thus $f(A) = \text{stpcl}(f(A), r, s)$. Hence $f(A)$ is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed in Y . Therefore f is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed mapping.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Let f be fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed. Then $f(\text{cl}(A, r, s))$ is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed in Y . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(\text{pint}(f(A), r, s), r, s) &\subseteq \text{cl}(\text{pint}(f(\text{cl}(A, r, s))), r, s), r, s) \\ &\subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -closed set in X . According to the assumption, we obtain

$$\text{cl}(\text{pint}(f(A), r, s), r, s) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)) = f(A).$$

Hence $f(A)$ is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed in Y . Therefore f is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed mapping. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a bijective mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then f is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen if and only if it is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed.*

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are true:*

(1) *If $f(\text{int}(\text{pcl}(A, r, s), r, s)) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{pcl}(f(A), r, s), r, s)$ for each fuzzy (r, s) -open set A in X , then f is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen mapping.*

- (2) If $f(\text{cl}(\text{pint}(A, r, s), r, s)) \supseteq \text{cl}(\text{pint}(f(A), r, s), r, s)$ for each fuzzy (r, s) -closed set A in X , then f is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed mapping.

Proof. (1) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -open set in X . Then

$$A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{pcl}(A, r, s), r, s).$$

By hypothesis, we have

$$f(A) \subseteq f(\text{int}(\text{pcl}(A, r, s), r, s)) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{pcl}(f(A), r, s), r, s),$$

and hence $f(A)$ is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen set in Y . Thus f is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen mapping.

- (2) Similar to (1). \square

Theorem 2.9. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a *SoIFTS* X to a *SoIFTS* Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then f is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen if and only if for each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y and each fuzzy (r, s) -closed set A in X with $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$, there is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed set C in Y such that $B \subseteq C$ and $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq A$.

Proof. Let B be any intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y and A a fuzzy (r, s) -closed set in X with $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$. Then $A^c \subseteq f^{-1}(B^c)$, and hence $f(A^c) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(B^c)) \subseteq B^c$. Since f is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen and A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -open, we have $f(A^c) \subseteq \text{stpint}(B^c, r, s)$. Thus $A^c \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A^c)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{stpint}(B^c, r, s))$. Hence $A \supseteq f^{-1}(\text{stpcl}(B, r, s))$. Let $C = \text{stpcl}(B, r, s)$. Then C is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed in Y such that $B \subseteq C$ and $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq A$.

Conversely, let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -open set in X . Then $A^c \supseteq (f^{-1}(f(A)))^c = f^{-1}(f(A)^c)$, where A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -closed. According to the assumption, there is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed set B in Y such that $f(A)^c \subseteq B$ and $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A^c$. From $f(A)^c \subseteq B$ follows $\text{stpcl}(f(A)^c, r, s) \subseteq \text{stpcl}(B, r, s) = B$, and hence $B^c \subseteq \text{stpcl}(f(A)^c, r, s)^c = \text{stpint}(f(A), r, s)$. Since $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A^c$, we have $f^{-1}(B^c) \supseteq A$, so $B^c \supseteq f(f^{-1}(B^c)) \supseteq f(A)$. Thus $f(A) = \text{stpint}(f(A), r, s)$. Hence $f(A)$ is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen set in Y . Therefore f is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen. \square

Corollary 2.10. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a *SoIFTS* X to a *SoIFTS* Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. If f is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen mapping, then for each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y ,

$$f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{pint}(B, r, s), r, s)) \subseteq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s).$$

Proof. Let B be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y . Then $\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -closed in X containing $f^{-1}(B)$. By Theorem 2.9, there is a fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preclosed set C in Y such that $B \subseteq C$ and $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{pint}(B, r, s), r, s)) &\subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{pint}(C, r, s), r, s)) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(C) \subseteq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.11. *Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ and $g : (Y, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{S})$ be mappings and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. If g is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen and f is fuzzy (r, s) -open, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy strongly (r, s) -preopen.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **20** (1986), no. 1, 87–96.
- [2] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 182–190.
- [3] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra, and S. K. Samanta, *Gradation of openness: fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **49** (1992), no. 2, 237–242.
- [4] D. Çoker, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **88** (1997), no. 1, 81–89.
- [5] D. Çoker and M. Demirci, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense*, BUSEFAL **67** (1996), 67–76.
- [6] H. Gürçay, D. Çoker, and A. Haydar Eş, *On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math. **5** (1997), no. 2, 365–378.
- [7] Y. C. Kim, A. A. Ramadan, and S. E. Abbas, *r-fuzzy strongly preopen sets in fuzzy topological spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **55** (2003), no. 1-2, 1–13.
- [8] B. Krsteska, *Fuzzy strongly preopen sets and fuzzy strong precontinuity*, Mat. Vesnik **50** (1998), no. 3-4, 111–123.
- [9] E. P. Lee, *Semiopen sets on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense*, J. Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **14** (2004), 234–238.
- [10] S. J. Lee and J. T. Kim, *Fuzzy strongly (r, \cdot) -precontinuous mappings*, IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (2009), 581–586.
- [11] S. J. Lee and E. P. Lee, *Fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuous mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense*, J. Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **16** (2006), 108–112.
- [12] S. O. Lee and E. P. Lee, *Fuzzy (r, s) -preopen sets*, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **5** (2005), 136–139.
- [13] ———, *Fuzzy strongly (r, s) -semiopen sets*, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **6** (2006), no. 4, 299–303.
- [14] A. A. Ramadan, *Smooth topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **48** (1992), no. 3, 371–375.
- [15] A. P. Šostak, *On a fuzzy topological structure*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. **11** (1985), 89–103.
- [16] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control **8** (1965), 338–353.

SEOK JONG LEE
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
 CHEONGJU 361-763, KOREA
E-mail address: sjl@cbnu.ac.kr

JIN TAE KIM
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
 CHEONGJU 361-763, KOREA
E-mail address: kjtmath@hanmail.net