

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR TWO MAPPINGS IN \mathcal{M} -FUZZY METRIC SPACES

SHABAN SEDGHI*, JUNG HWA IM, AND NABI SHOBE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems for two nonlinear mappings in complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces. Our main results improved versions of several fixed point theorems in complete fuzzy metric spaces.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The concept of *fuzzy sets* was introduced initially by Zadeh [27] in 1965. Since then, to apply this concept in topology and analysis, many authors [9, 17, 19, 24] have expansively developed the theory of fuzzy sets and application. George and Veeramani [8] and Kramosil and Michalek [11] have introduced the concept of fuzzy topological spaces induced by fuzzy metric which have very important applications in quantum particle physics particularly in connections with both string and E -infinity theory which were given and studied by El-Naschie [3–6]. Many authors [7, 10, 12, 18, 20, 23] have proved fixed point theorem in fuzzy (probabilistic) metric spaces. Vasuki [25] obtained the fuzzy version of common fixed point theorem which had extra conditions. In fact, Vasuki [25] proved fuzzy common fixed point theorem by a strong definition of a Cauchy sequence (see Note 3.13 and Definition 3.15 of [8], also [23, 26]).

On the other hand, Dhage [1, 2] introduced the notion of generalized metric or D -metric spaces and claimed that D -metric convergence defines a Hausdorff topology and D -metric is sequentially continuous in all the three variables. Many authors have used these claims in proving fixed point theorems in D -metric spaces, but, unfortunately, almost all theorems in D -metric spaces are not valid (see [13–16, 22]).

Recently, Sedghi et al. [21] introduced D^* -metric which is a probable modification of the definition of D -metric introduced by Dhage [1, 2] and proved

* The corresponding author: sedghi_gh@yahoo.com (Shaban Sedghi)

Received November 21, 2009; Accepted February 14, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H09, 47H10, 47H17, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. D^* -metric space, \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space, the property (C), common fixed point.

some basic properties in D^* -metric spaces. Also, using the concept of the D^* -metrics, they defined \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space and proved some related fixed point theorems for some nonlinear mappings in complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces.

In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems for two nonlinear mappings in complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces. Our main results improved versions of several fixed point theorems in complete fuzzy metric spaces.

In what follows (X, D^*) will denote a D^* -metric space, N the set of all natural numbers and R^+ the set of all positive real numbers.

Definition 1.1. ([21]) Let X be a nonempty set. A *generalized metric* (or *D^* -metric*) on X is a function: $D^* : X^3 \rightarrow R^+$ that satisfies the following conditions: for any $x, y, z, a \in X$,

- (1) $D^*(x, y, z) \geq 0$,
- (2) $D^*(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (3) $D^*(x, y, z) = D^*(p\{x, y, z\})$ (symmetry), where p is a permutation function,
- (4) $D^*(x, y, z) \leq D^*(x, y, a) + D^*(a, z, z)$.

The pair (X, D^*) is called a *generalized metric space* (or *D^* -metric space*). Some immediate examples of such a function are as follows:

- (a) $D^*(x, y, z) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$.
- (b) $D^*(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$, where d is the ordinary metric on X .
- (c) If $X = R^n$, then we define

$$D^*(x, y, z) = (\|x - y\|^p + \|y - z\|^p + \|z - x\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for any $p \in R^+$.

- (d) If $X = R^+$, then we define

$$D^*(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y = z, \\ \max\{x, y, z\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In a D^* -metric space (X, D^*) , we can prove that $D^*(x, x, y) = D^*(x, y, y)$.

Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. For any $r > 0$, define the open ball with the center x and radius r as follows:

$$B_{D^*}(x, r) = \{y \in X : D^*(x, y, y) < r\}.$$

Example 1.2. Let $X = R$. Denote $D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{D^*}(1, 2) &= \{y \in R : D^*(1, y, y) < 2\} \\ &= \{y \in R : |y - 1| + |y - 1| < 2\} \\ &= \{y \in R : |y - 1| < 1\} \\ &= (0, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3. ([21]) Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space and $A \subset X$.

- (1) If, for any $x \in A$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_{D^*}(x, r) \subset A$, then A is called an *open subset* of X .
- (2) A subset A of X is said to be *D^* -bounded* if there exists $r > 0$ such that $D^*(x, y, y) < r$ for all $x, y \in A$.
- (3) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be *convergent* to a point $x \in X$ if

$$D^*(x_n, x_n, x) = D^*(x, x, x_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

That is, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$D^*(x, x, x_n) < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Equivalently, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$D^*(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon, \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0.$$

- (4) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a *Cauchy sequence* if, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$D^*(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon, \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0.$$

- (5) A D^* -metric space (X, D^*) is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Let τ be the set of all $A \subset X$ with $x \in A$ if and only if there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_{D^*}(x, r) \subset A$. Then τ is a *topology* on X (induced by the D^* -metric D^*).

Definition 1.4. ([21]) Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. D^* is said to be *continuous function* on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_n, y_n, z_n) = D^*(x, y, z)$$

whenever a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}$ in X^3 converges to a point $(x, y, z) \in X^3$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z.$$

Remark 1.5. ([21]) (1) Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. Then D^* is continuous function on X^3 .

(2) If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to a point $x \in X$, then the limit x is unique.

(3) If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is converges to a point x , then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Recently, motivated by the concept of D^* -metrics, Sedghi et al. [21] introduced the concept of \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces and their properties and, further, proved some related common fixed theorems for some contractive type mappings in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces.

Definition 1.6. ([21]) A binary operation $* : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a *continuous t -norm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $*$ is associative and commutative,

- (2) $*$ is continuous,
- (3) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- (4) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Two typical examples of continuous t -norm are $a * b = ab$ and $a * b = \min(a, b)$.

Definition 1.7. ([21]) A 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm and \mathcal{M} is a fuzzy set on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $t, s > 0$,

- (1) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0$,
- (2) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (3) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{M}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$ (symmetry), where p is a permutation function,
- (4) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, z, s) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t + s)$,
- (5) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) : X^3 \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous with respect to t .

Remark 1.8. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Then, for any $t > 0$, $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t)$.

Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. For any $t > 0$, the open ball $B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, r, t)$ with the center $x \in X$ and radius $0 < r < 1$ is defined by

$$B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) > 1 - r\}.$$

A subset A of X is called an open set if, for all $x \in A$, there exist $t > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$ such that $B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, r, t) \subseteq A$.

Definition 1.9. ([21]) Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space.

- (1) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if $\mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $t > 0$.
- (2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence if, for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon, \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0.$$

- (3) An \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Example 1.10. Let X is a nonempty set and D^* be the D^* -metric on X . Denote $a * b = a \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. For any $t \in]0, \infty[$, define

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + D^*(x, y, z)}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$$

It is easy to see that $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space.

Remark 1.11. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space. If we define $\mathcal{M} : X^3 \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, x, t), \quad \forall x, y, z \in X,$$

then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space.

Lemma 1.12. ([21]) *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Then, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$, $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$ is nondecreasing with respect to t .*

Definition 1.13. ([21]) *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. \mathcal{M} is said to be continuous function on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$$

whenever a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n)\}$ in $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ converges to a point $(x, y, z, t) \in X^3 \times (0, \infty)$, that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t_n) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t).$$

Lemma 1.14. ([21]) *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Then \mathcal{M} is continuous function on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$.*

Lemma 1.15. ([21]) *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. If we define $E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}} : X^3 \rightarrow R^+ \cup \{0\}$ by*

$$E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x, y, z) = \inf\{t > 0 : \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 1 - \lambda\}, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, 1),$$

then we have the following:

- (1) *For any $\mu \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\mu, \mathcal{M}}(x_1, x_1, x_n) \\ & \leq E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_1, x_1, x_2) + E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_2, x_2, x_3) + \cdots + E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$.

- (2) *A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent in an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ if and only if $E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$. Also, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence with $E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}$.*

Lemma 1.16. ([21]) *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. If there exists $k > 1$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_0, x_0, x_1, k^n t), \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Definition 1.17. ([7]) We say that an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ has the property (C) if it satisfies the following condition: For some $x, y, z \in X$,

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = C, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \implies \quad C = 1.$$

2. The main results

Now, we are ready to give main results in this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space and S, T be two self-mappings of X satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}(Sx, TSx, Ty, kt) \geq \gamma(\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, y, t)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad (2.1)$$

or

$$\mathcal{M}(Ty, STy, Sx, kt) \geq \gamma(\mathcal{M}(y, Ty, x, t)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a function such that $\gamma(a) \geq a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,

(ii) $ST = TS$.

If $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ have the property (C), then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X , define

$$\begin{cases} x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}, \\ x_{2n+2} = Sx_{2n+1}, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

(1) Let $d_m(t) = \mathcal{M}(x_m, x_{m+1}, x_{m+1}, t)$ for any $t > 0$. Then, for any even $m = 2n \in N$, by (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(kt) &= \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, kt) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n-1}, TSx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}, kt) \\ &\geq \gamma(\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t)) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}, t) \\ &= d_{2n-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d_{2n}(kt) \geq d_{2n-1}(t)$ for all even $m = 2n \in N$ and $t > 0$.

Similarly, for any odd $m = 2n + 1 \in N$, we have also

$$d_{2n+1}(kt) \geq d_{2n}(t).$$

Hence we have

$$d_n(kt) \geq d_{n-1}(t), \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, by (2.4), we have

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, \frac{1}{k}t) \geq \cdots \geq \mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1, x_1, \frac{1}{k^n}t).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.16, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and, by the completeness of X , $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point x in X and so

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+1} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n+1} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+2} = x. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that $Tx = x$. Replacing x, y by x_{2n-1}, x , respectively, in (i), we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n-1}, TSx_{2n-1}, Tx, kt) \geq \gamma(\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, x, t)),$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, Tx, kt) &\geq \gamma(\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, x, t)) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.5), we have

$$\mathcal{M}(x, x, Tx, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) = 1,$$

which implies that $Tx = x$, that is, x is a fixed point of T .

Next, we prove that $Sx = x$. Replacing x, y by x, x_{2n} , respectively, in (2.1), we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}(Sx, TSx, Tx_{2n}, kt) \geq \gamma(\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x_{2n}, t)) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x_{2n}, t).$$

By (ii), since $TS = ST$, we get

$$\mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, Tx_{2n}, kt) \geq \gamma(\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x_{2n}, t)) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x_{2n}, t). \quad (2.6)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), we have

$$\mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, x, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x, t)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x, \frac{1}{k}t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x, \frac{1}{k^2}t) \\ &\dots \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x, \frac{1}{k^n}t). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 1.12 that

$$\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x, k^nt) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x, t).$$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x, t) = C$ for all $t > 0$. Since $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ has the property (C), it follows that $C = 1$ and so $Sx = x$, that is, x is a fixed point of S . Therefore, x is a common fixed point of the self-mappings S and T .

(2) By using (2.2) and (2.3), let $d_m(t) = \mathcal{M}(x_{m+1}, x_m, x_m, t)$ for any $t > 0$. Then, for any even $m = 2n \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(kt) &= \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}, kt) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, kt) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(Tx_{2n}, STx_{2n-2}, Sx_{2n-1}, kt) \\ &\geq \gamma(\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, t)) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}, t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, t) \\ &= d_{2n-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d_{2n}(kt) \geq d_{2n-1}(t)$ for all even $m = 2n \in N$ and $t > 0$.

Similarly, for any odd $m = 2n + 1 \in N$, we have also

$$d_{2n+1}(kt) \geq d_{2n}(t).$$

Hence we have

$$d_n(kt) \geq d_{n-1}(t), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

The remains of the proof are almost same to the case of (2.1).

Now, to prove the uniqueness, let x' be another common fixed point of S and T . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, x, x', kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Sx, TSx, Tx', kt) \\ &\geq \gamma(\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, x', t)) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x', t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, x, x', t) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x', \frac{1}{k}t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x', \frac{1}{k^2}t) \\ &\dots \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x', \frac{1}{k^n}t). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.12 that

$$\mathcal{M}(x, x, x', t) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x', \frac{1}{k^n}t)$$

and hence $\mathcal{M}(x, x, x', t) = C$ for all $t > 0$. Since $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ has the property (C) , it follows that $C = 1$, that is, $x = x'$. Therefore, x is a unique common fixed point of S and T . This completes the proof. \square

By Theorem 2.1, we have the following:

Corollary 2.2. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Let T be a mapping from X into itself such that there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}(Tx, T^2x, Ty, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, Tx, y, t), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

*If $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ have the property (C), then T have a unique fixed point in X .*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, if we set $\gamma(a) = a$ and $S = T$, then the conclusion follows. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Let T be a mapping from X into itself such that there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}(T^n x, T^{2n} x, T^n y, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, T^n x, y, t)$$

*for all $x, y \in X$ and $n \geq 2$. If $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ has the property (C), then T have a unique fixed point in X .*

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, T^n have a unique fixed point in X . Thus there exists $x \in X$ such that $T^n x = x$. Since

$$T^{n+1} x = T^n(Tx) = T(T^n x) = Tx,$$

we have $Tx = x$. \square

Next, by using Lemma 1.16 and the property (C), we can prove the main results in this paper.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with $t*t = t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let S and T be mappings from X into itself such that there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(y, Ty, Ty, t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Ty, Ty, \alpha t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(y, Sx, Sx, (2 - \alpha)t) \\ & \quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, where $a, b, c, d, e : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are five functions such that

$$a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + d(t) + e(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Then there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $x_1 = Sx_0$ and $x_2 = Tx_1$. Inductively, we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\begin{cases} x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}, \\ x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Now, we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . If we set

$$d_m(t) = \mathcal{M}(x_m, x_{m+1}, x_{m+1}, t), \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

then we prove that $\{d_m(t)\}$ is increasing with respect to $m \in N$. In fact, for any odd $m = 2n + 1 \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{2n+1}(kt) \\
&= \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, kt) \\
&= \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt) \\
&\geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \\
&\quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, \alpha t) \\
&\quad + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, (2 - \alpha)t) \\
&\quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t) \\
&= a(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t) \\
&\quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, \alpha t) \\
&\quad + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, (2 - \alpha)t) \\
&\quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{2n+1}(kt) \\
&\geq a(t)d_{2n}(t) + b(t)d_{2n+1}(t) + c(t)d_{2n}(t) * d_{2n+1}(qt) \\
&\quad + d(t) + e(t)d_{2n}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

The equality in (2.10) is true because, if set $\alpha = 1 + q$ for any $q \in (k, 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, (1 + q)t) \\
&= \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, (1 + q)t) \\
&\geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, qt) \\
&= d_{2n}(t) * d_{2n+1}(qt).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we claim that

$$d_{2n+1}(t) \geq d_{2n}(t), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

In fact, if $d_{2n+1}(t) < d_{2n}(t)$, then, since

$$d_{2n+1}(qt) * d_{2n}(t) \geq d_{2n+1}(qt) * d_{2n+1}(qt) = d_{2n+1}(qt)$$

in (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{2n+1}(kt) &> a(t)d_{2n+1}(qt) + b(t)d_{2n+1}(qt) + c(t)d_{2n+1}(qt) \\
&\quad + d(t)d_{2n+1}(qt) + e(t)d_{2n+1}(qt) \\
&= d_{2n+1}(qt)
\end{aligned}$$

and so $d_{2n+1}(kt) > d_{2n+1}(qt)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $d_{2n+1}(t) \geq d_{2n}(t)$ for all $n \in N$ and $t > 0$. By (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n+1}(kt) &\geq a(t)d_{2n}(qt) + b(t)d_{2n}(qt) + c(t)d_{2n}(qt) * d_{2n}(qt) \\ &\quad + d(t)d_{2n}(qt) + ep(t)d_{2n}(qt) \\ &= d_{2n}(qt). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $m = 2n$, then, by (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(kt) &= \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, kt) \\ &\geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, t) \\ &\quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, \alpha t) \\ &\quad + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, (2 - \alpha)t) \\ &\quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}, t) \\ &= a(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, \alpha t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}, (2 - \alpha)t) \\ &\quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}, t) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(kt) &\geq a(t)d_{2n-1}(t) + b(t)d_{2n}(t) + c(t)d_{2n-1}(t) * d_{2n}(qt) \\ &\quad + d(t) + e(t)d_{2n-1}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The equality in (2.11) is true because, if $\alpha = 1 + q$ for any $q \in (k, 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, (1 + q)t) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}, (1 + q)t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, qt) \\ &= d_{2n-1}(t) * d_{2n}(qt). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we also claim that

$$d_{2n}(t) \geq d_{2n-1}(t), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

In fact, if $d_{2n}(t) < d_{2n-1}(t)$, then, since

$$d_{2n}(qt) * d_{2n-1}(t) \geq d_{2n}(qt) * d_{2n}(qt) = d_{2n}(qt)$$

in (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(kt) &> a(t)d_{2n}(qt) + b(t)d_{2n}(qt) + c(t)d_{2n}(qt) + d(t)d_{2n}(qt) + e(t)d_{2n}(qt) \\ &= d_{2n}(qt) \end{aligned}$$

and so $d_{2n}(kt) > d_{2n}(qt)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $d_{2n}(t) \geq d_{2n-1}(t)$ for all $n \in N$ and $t > 0$. By (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{2n}(kt) \\ & \geq a(t)d_{2n-1}(qt) + b(t)d_{2n-1}(qt) + c(t)d_{2n-1}(qt) * d_{2n-1}(qt) \\ & \quad + d(t)d_{2n-1}(qt) + e(t)d_{2n-1}(qt) \\ & = d_{2n-1}(qt) \end{aligned}$$

and so $d_{2n}(kt) \geq d_{2n-1}(qt)$. Thus we have

$$d_n(kt) \geq d_{n-1}(qt), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, \frac{q}{k}t) \geq \dots \geq \mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1, x_1, (\frac{q}{k})^n t).$$

Hence, by Lemma 1.16, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and, by the completeness of X , $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point $x \in X$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+2} = x.$$

Now, we prove that $Sx = x$. In fact, letting $\alpha = 1$, $x = x$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (2.7), respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Sx, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, Sx, Sx, t) \\ & \quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

If $Sx \neq x$, then, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (23.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Sx, x, x, kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) \\ & > \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus it follows that $Sx = x$.

Similarly, we can prove that $Tx = x$. In fact, again, replacing x by x_{2n} and y by x in (2.7), respectively, for $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Tx, Tx, kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Tx, Tx, t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, Tx, Tx, t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t) \\ & \quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x, x, t) \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and so, if $Tx \neq x$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(x, Tx, Tx, kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Tx, Tx, t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Tx, Tx, t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) \\ & > \mathcal{M}(x, Tx, Tx, t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $Tx = x$. Therefore, $Sx = Tx = x$ and x is a common fixed point of the self-mappings S and T of X .

The uniqueness of a common fixed point x is easily verified by using the hypothesis. In fact, if x' be another fixed point of S and T , then, for $\alpha = 1$, by (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(x, x', x', kt) \\ & = \mathcal{M}(Sx, Tx', Tx', kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x', Tx', Tx', t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Tx', Tx', t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x', Sx, Sx, t) + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x, x', x', t) \\ & > \mathcal{M}(x, x', x', t). \end{aligned}$$

and so $x = x'$. □

Example 2.5. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space, where $X = [0, 1]$ with t -norm defined $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + |x - y| + |y - z| + |x - z|}, \quad \forall t > 0, x, y, z \in X.$$

Define the self-mappings T and S on X as follows:

$$Tx = 1, \quad Sx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is rational,} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational.} \end{cases}$$

We can find the functions $a, b, c, d, e : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + d(t) + e(t) = 1$ and the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(y, Ty, Ty, t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Ty, Ty, \alpha t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(y, Sx, Sx, (2 - \alpha)t) \\ & \quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the all the conditions of Theorem 3.4 hold and 1 is a unique common fixed point of S and T .

From Theorem 2.4, we have the following:

Corollary 2.6. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with $t*t = t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let S be a mapping from X into itself such that there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Sx, Sy, Sy, kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(y, Sy, Sy, t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sy, Sy, \alpha t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(y, Sx, Sx, (2 - \alpha)t) \\ & \quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, where $a, b, c, d, e : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are five functions such that

$$a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + d(t) + e(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Corollary 2.7. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with $t*t = t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let S be a mapping from X into itself such that there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Sx, y, y, kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, \alpha t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(y, Sx, Sx, (2 - \alpha)t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, where $a, b, c, d : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are five functions such that

$$a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + d(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Corollary 2.8. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with $t*t = t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let S and T be mappings from X into itself such that there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(S^n x, T^m y, T^m y, kt) \\ & \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, S^n x, S^n x, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(y, T^m y, T^m y, t) \\ & \quad + c(t)\mathcal{M}(x, T^m y, T^m y, \alpha t) + d(t)\mathcal{M}(y, S^n x, S^n x, (2 - \alpha)t) \\ & \quad + e(t)\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $n, m \geq 2$, where $a, b, c, d, e : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are five functions such that

$$a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + d(t) + e(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

If $S^n T = T S^n$ and $T^m S = S T^m$, then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, S^n and T^m have a unique common fixed point in X . That is, there exists a unique point $z \in X$ such that $S^n(z) = T^m(z) = z$. Since $S(z) = S(S^n(z)) = S^n(S(z))$ and $S(z) = S(T^m(z)) = T^m(S(z))$, that is, $S(z)$ is fixed point S^n and T^m and so $S(z) = z$. Similarly, $T(z) = z$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with $t*t = t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let S and T be mappings from X into itself such that there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, kt) \geq a(t)\mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) + b(t)\mathcal{M}(y, Ty, Ty, t)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, where $a, b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are two functions such that

$$a(t) + b(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

References

- [1] B. C. Dhage, *A Study of Some Fixed Point Theorems*, Ph. D. Thesis, Marathwads University, Aurangabad, India, 1984.
- [2] B. C. Dhage, *Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **84** (1992), 329–336.
- [3] M. S. El-Naschie, *On the uncertainty of Cantorian geometry and two-slit experiment*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **9** (1998), 517–529.
- [4] M. S. El-Naschie, *A review of E-infinity theory and the mass spectrum of high energy particle physics*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **19** (2004), 209–236.
- [5] M. S. El-Naschie, *On a fuzzy Kahler-like Manifold which is consistent with two-slit experiment*, Internat. J. Nonlinear Sci. and Numer. Simul. **6** (2005), 95–98.
- [6] M. S. El-Naschie, *The idealized quantum two-slit gedanken experiment revisited—criticism and reinterpretation*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **27** (2006), 9–13.
- [7] J. X. Fang, *On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **46** (1992), 107–113.
- [8] A. George and P. Veeramani, *On some result in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **64** (1994), 395–399.
- [9] J. Goguen, *\mathcal{L} -fuzzy sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **18** (1967), 145–174.
- [10] V. Gregori and A. Sapena, *On fixed-point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **125** (2002), 245–252.
- [11] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika **11** (1975), 326–334.
- [12] D. Mihet, *A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **144** (2004), 431–439.
- [13] S. V. R. Naidu, K. P. R. Rao and N. S. Rao, *On the topology of D-metric spaces and the generation of D-metric spaces from metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2004**(2004), 2719–2740.
- [14] S. V. R. Naidu, K. P. R. Rao and N. S. Rao, *On the concepts of balls in a D-metric space*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **12** (2005), 133–141.
- [15] S. V. R. Naidu, K. P. R. Rao and N. S. Rao, *On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D-Metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **12** (2005), 1969–1988.
- [16] B. E. Rhoades, *A fixed point theorem for generalized metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 145–153.

- [17] J. R. López and S. Ramaguera, *The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **147** (2004), 273–283.
- [18] R. Saadati R, A. Razani and H. Adibi, *A common fixed point theorem in \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, doi:10.1016/j.chaos.2006.01.23.
- [19] R. Saadati and J. H. Park, *On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **27** (2006), 331–344.
- [20] B. Schweizer, H. Sherwood and R. M. Tardiff, *Contractions on PM-space examples and counterexamples*, Stochastica **1** (1988), 5–17.
- [21] S. Sedghi, K. P. R. Rao and N. Shobe, *A related fixed point theorems in three \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **33** (2009), 115–132.
- [22] B. Singh and R. K. Sharma, *Common fixed points via compatible maps in D-metric spaces*, Rad. Mat. **11** (2002), 145–153.
- [23] G. Song, *Comments on “A common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric spaces”*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **135** (2003), 409–413.
- [24] Y. Tanaka, Y. Mizno and T. Kado, *Chaotic dynamics in Friedmann equation*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **24** (2005), 407–422.
- [25] R. Vasuki, *Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1999), 419–423.
- [26] R. Vasuki and P. Veeramani, *Fixed point theorems and Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **135**(2003), 409–413.
- [27] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control **8** (1965), 338–353.

SHABAN SEDGHI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY–QAEMSHAHR BRANCH
QAEMSHAHR P.O.BOX 163, IRAN
E-mail address: sedghi_gh@yahoo.com

JUNG HWA IM
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION
GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
CHINJU-660-701, KOREA
E-mail address: uliana-rini@hanmail.com

NABI SHOBE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY–BABOL BRANCH BABOL, IRAN
E-mail address: nabi_shobe@yahoo.com