

φ -FRAMES AND φ -RIESZ BASES ON LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS

RAJAB ALI KAMYABI GOL AND REIHANEH RAISI TOUSI

ABSTRACT. We introduce φ -frames in $L^2(G)$, as a generalization of a -frames defined in [8], where G is a locally compact Abelian group and φ is a topological automorphism on G . We give a characterization of φ -frames with regard to usual frames in $L^2(G)$ and show that φ -frames share several useful properties with frames. We define the associated φ -analysis and φ -preframe operators, with which we obtain criteria for a sequence to be a φ -frame or a φ -Bessel sequence. We also define φ -Riesz bases in $L^2(G)$ and establish equivalent conditions for a sequence in $L^2(G)$ to be a φ -Riesz basis.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The theory of frames was introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [10] in the early 1950s to deal with problems in nonharmonic Fourier series. There has been renewed interest in the subject related to its role in wavelet theory and a lot of new applications. Several kinds of frames have been introduced up to now; e.g. frames in Hilbert C^* -modules (modular frames) [14], frames of subspaces [7], G -frames [26], p -frames [1], frames for Banach spaces [6], a -frames [8], and many others for different purposes. In this paper we define and investigate φ -frames in $L^2(G)$, using the φ -bracket product, as a vector valued inner product on $L^2(G)$ introduced in [19], where G is a locally compact Abelian (which will be abbreviated to “LCA”) group and φ is a topological automorphism on G . One of the nice things about φ -frames is the fact that they are useful in studying Gabor systems in the way that there is a close relationship between these frames and Gabor frames in $L^2(G)$. Indeed, our results relate Gabor frames in $L^2(G)$, which have become a paradigm for the spectral analysis associated with time frequency methods [6], to φ -frames. Our construction is related to an extension of Casazza and Lammers’ definition of a -frames, $a > 0$, on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ in [8], to the more general setting of $L^2(G)$, in a new and different approach. We characterize φ -frames in terms of the usual frames

Received May 18, 2009; Revised October 8, 2009.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A15; Secondary 43A25, 42C15.

Key words and phrases. φ -bracket product, φ -factorable operator, φ -frame, φ -Riesz basis, locally compact Abelian group.

in $L^2(G)$ (Theorem 2.1 below), which reveals the above mentioned relation, and we show that φ -frames have several useful properties in common with frames. We also define φ -Riesz bases in $L^2(G)$ and establish equivalent conditions for a sequence to be a φ -Riesz basis, through which we establish a relation between φ -Riesz bases and usual Riesz bases in $L^2(G)$.

Let G be a LCA group and \hat{G} denote the dual group of G . We refer the reader to the usual text books about locally compact groups [12, 16]. Let the Fourier transform $\hat{\cdot}: L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G})$, $f \mapsto \hat{f}$, be defined by $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_G f(x)\bar{\xi}(x)dx$. The Fourier transform can be extended to a unitary isomorphism from $L^2(G)$ to $L^2(\hat{G})$ known as the Plancherel transform [12, The Plancherel Theorem]. Let φ be a topological automorphism on G . Let L be a uniform lattice in G , that is, a discrete subgroup of G with compact quotient group G/L . Then obviously $\varphi(L)$ is also a uniform lattice in G . Denote by $\varphi(L)^\perp$ the annihilator of $\varphi(L)$ in \hat{G} , i.e., $\varphi(L)^\perp = \{\gamma \in \hat{G}; \gamma(\varphi(L)) = \{1\}\}$, which is a uniform lattice in \hat{G} (see [18, 21]). For a uniform lattice L in G , a fundamental domain is a measurable set S_L in G such that every $x \in G$ can be uniquely written in the form $x = ks$, where $k \in L$ and $s \in S_L$. The existence of a fundamental domain for a uniform lattice in an LCA group is guaranteed by [22, Lemma 2].

Choosing the counting measure on L , a relation between the Haar measures dx on G and $d\dot{x}$ on $G/\varphi(L)$ is given by the following special case of Weil's formula [12]:

For $f \in L^1(G)$, we have $\sum_{k \in L} f(x\varphi(k^{-1})) \in L^1(G/\varphi(L))$ and

$$(1.1) \quad \int_G f(x)dx = \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{\varphi(k^{-1}) \in \varphi(L)} f(x\varphi(k^{-1}))d\dot{x},$$

where $\dot{x} = x\varphi(L)$.

Let $f, g \in L^2(G)$. The φ -bracket product of f, g is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad [f, g]_\varphi(\dot{x}) = \sum_{k \in L} f\bar{g}(x\varphi(k^{-1}))$$

for all $x \in G$. We define the φ -norm of f as $\|f\|_\varphi(\dot{x}) = ([f, f]_\varphi(\dot{x}))^{1/2}$. The φ -bracket product is in fact a vector valued inner product on $L^2(G)$ (see [19, Proposition 2.4]). In particular, Cauchy Schwartz Inequality holds for it, i.e.,

$$(1.3) \quad |[f, g]_\varphi| \leq \|f\|_\varphi \|g\|_\varphi$$

for $f, g \in L^2(G)$.

A sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^2(G)$ is called φ -orthonormal if $[g_n, g_m]_\varphi = 0$ for all $n \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|g_n\|_\varphi = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A φ -orthonormal sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a φ -orthonormal basis if $[f, g_n]_\varphi = 0$ a.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, implies $f = 0$ a.e..

[19, Proposition 14] asserts that $L^2(G)$ admits a φ -orthonormal basis.

One of the main tools in our studies is φ -factorable operators. For the sake of completeness, we recall some of our results on φ -factorable operators on

$L^2(G)$. For a detailed exposition of the φ -bracket product and φ -factorable operators confer [19, 20].

For $\gamma \in \hat{G}$, denote by M_γ the modulation operator on $L^2(G)$, i.e.,

$$M_\gamma f(x) = \gamma(x)f(x)$$

for all $f \in L^2(G)$. Let U be a bounded operator from $L^2(G)$ to $L^2(E)$, where E is a subgroup of G or $G/\varphi(L)$. U is called φ -factorable if

$$(1.4) \quad U(M_\gamma g) = M_\gamma U(g) \text{ for all } g \in L^2(G), \gamma \in \varphi(L)^\perp.$$

It is easily verified that if $U : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G)$ is a bounded φ -factorable operator, then its adjoint U^* is also φ -factorable. Moreover,

$$(1.5) \quad [U(f), g]_\varphi = [f, U^*(g)]_\varphi, \text{ a.e. for all } f, g \in L^2(G).$$

We have the following Riesz Representation Theorem ([20, Theorem 2.4]), which characterizes all φ -factorable operators from $L^2(G)$ to $L^1(G/\varphi(L))$.

Theorem 1.1. *A bounded operator $U : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^1(G/\varphi(L))$ is φ -factorable if and only if there exists $g \in L^2(G)$ such that $U(f) = [f, g]_\varphi$ a.e. for all $f \in L^2(G)$. Moreover $\|U\| = \|g\|$.*

Let us now define a φ -frame and a φ -Bessel sequence.

Definition 1.2. A sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2(G)$ is said to be a φ -frame if there exist $0 < A, B < \infty$, such that for every $f \in L^2(G)$,

$$(1.6) \quad A\|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \leq B\|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x})$$

for a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$. A, B are called φ -frame bounds. Those sequences which satisfy only the upper inequality in (1.6), are called φ -Bessel sequences. In this case B is called φ -Bessel bound.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we investigate φ -frames and φ -Bessel sequences in $L^2(G)$, where G is a second countable LCA group and φ is a topological isomorphism on G . We characterize φ -frames in terms of frames in $L^2(G)$ (Theorem 2.1). We also define φ -pre-frame and φ -analysis operators. Then we study φ -frames and φ -Bessel sequences in terms of these operators. In Section 3 we introduce φ -Riesz bases and give equivalent conditions for a sequence in $L^2(G)$ to be a φ -Riesz basis (Theorem 3.4).

2. φ -Frames in $L^2(G)$

Throughout this paper we always assume that G is a second countable LCA group, L is a uniform lattice in G and φ is a topological isomorphism on G .

In this section we investigate φ -frames and characterize them with regard to standard frames in $L^2(G)$. We then define the associated φ -analysis and φ -pre-frame operators, with which we obtain criteria for a sequence to be a φ -frame or a φ -Bessel sequence.

Here is the characterization of φ -frames in terms of frames in $L^2(G)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(G)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a φ -frame.
- (2) $(M_\gamma f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \varphi(L)^\perp}$ is a frame.

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a φ -frame with bounds A, B and $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a φ -orthonormal basis for $L^2(G)$. Define $U : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G)$ by $U(M_\gamma g_n) = M_\gamma f_n$ for $\gamma \in \varphi(L)^\perp$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $M_\gamma g_n$'s form an orthonormal basis for $L^2(G)$, which guarantees that U is well defined. Then U is φ -factorable and so we have

$$(2.1) \quad [U^* f, g_n]_\varphi = [f, U(g_n)]_\varphi = [f, f_n]_\varphi,$$

a.e.. Since $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a φ -orthonormal basis

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|U^* f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[U^* f, g_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \\ &\leq B \|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in L^2(G)$ and a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$. Integrating (2.2) over $G/\varphi(L)$ and using Weil's formula, we have $\|U^* f\|_2^2 \leq B \|f\|_2^2$. That is, U^* is bounded. Also U^* is one-to-one. Indeed, if $U^* f = 0$ for some $f \in L^2(G)$, then $[U^* f, g_n]_\varphi = 0$. So by (2.1), $[f, f_n]_\varphi = 0$, which implies that $f = 0$, since $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a φ -frame. Similarly U^{*-1} is bounded. Hence U^* is an isomorphism (note that U^* has dense range). Now by [3, Theorem 4.1], $\{M_\gamma f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \varphi(L)^\perp}$ is a frame. This completes the proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $\{M_\gamma f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \varphi(L)^\perp}$ be a frame. By [3, Theorem 4.1], U^* is an isomorphism. Thus using (2.2) we have

$$A \|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \leq B \|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x})$$

for a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$, in which $A = \|U^{*-1}\|^{-2}$, $B = \|U^*\|^2$. That is, (2) implies (1). \square

We now intend to define φ -pre-frame and φ -analysis operators. First, we need to introduce a vector space which plays the role of $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ in the standard case. To this end, define $l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$ as the space of the sequences in $L^2(G/\varphi(L))$ convergent in $L^1(G/\varphi(L))$, i.e.,

$$(2.3) \quad l_1^2(G/\varphi(L)) = \{\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^2(G/\varphi(L)); \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |g_i(\dot{x})|^2 d\dot{x} < \infty\}.$$

$l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$ is an inner-product space with the inner product defined as follows: $[\cdot, \cdot]_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))} : l_1^2(G/\varphi(L)) \times l_1^2(G/\varphi(L)) \rightarrow L^1(G/\varphi(L))$,

$$[\{g_i\}, \{h_i\}]_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g_i \overline{h_i}$$

for $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \{h_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$. Note that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g_i \overline{h_i} \in L^1(G/\varphi(L))$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g_i \overline{h_i} \right\|_{L^1(G/\varphi(L))} &\leq \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |g_i(\dot{x})| |h_i(\dot{x})| d\dot{x} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |g_i(\dot{x})|^2 d\dot{x} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |h_i(\dot{x})|^2 d\dot{x} \right)^{1/2} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$, define the pointwise norm by

$$\|\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))}(\dot{x}) = \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |g_i(\dot{x})|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

and the uniform norm by

$$\|\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))} = \left(\int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |g_i(\dot{x})|^2 d\dot{x} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a φ -bounded φ -Bessel sequence in $L^2(G)$. Define the φ -analysis operator as the mapping $T_\varphi : L^2(G) \rightarrow l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$ given by

$$T_\varphi f = \{[f, f_n]_\varphi\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Define $\theta : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^1(G/\varphi(L))$ by $\theta(f) = [T_\varphi f, \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))}$ for some sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$. Note that if T_φ is bounded, then θ is a bounded φ -factorable operator. So by Riesz Representation Theorem for φ -factorable operators (Theorem 1.1), there exists $T_\varphi^*(\{g_n\}) \in L^2(G)$ with

$$\|T_\varphi^*(\{g_n\})\|_2 = \|\theta\|$$

such that $\theta(f) = [f, T_\varphi^*(\{g_n\})]_\varphi$. Note that $\|T_\varphi\| = \|T_\varphi^*\|$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|[T_\varphi f, \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))}\|_{L^1(G/\varphi(L))} \\ &= \int_{G/\varphi(L)} |[T_\varphi f, \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))}(\dot{x})| d\dot{x} \\ &= \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x}) \overline{g_n(\dot{x})} \right| d\dot{x} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 d\dot{x} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |g_n(\dot{x})|^2 d\dot{x} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|T_\varphi f\|_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))} \|\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\varphi^*(\{g_n\})\|_2 &= \|\theta\| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_2 \leq 1} \|[T_\varphi f, \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))}\|_{L^1(G/\varphi(L))} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|T_\varphi\| \|\{g_n\}\|_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))}.$$

That is, $\|T_\varphi^*\| \leq \|T_\varphi\|$. Also obviously, $T_\varphi = T_\varphi^{**}$. So $\|T_\varphi\| = \|T_\varphi^*\|$.

To obtain the φ -preframe operator T_φ^* explicitly, we calculate as follows.

Let $f \in L^2(G)$, $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f, T_\varphi^*(\{g_n\})]_\varphi(\dot{x}) &= [T_\varphi f, \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]_{l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))}(\dot{x}) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_\varphi f(\dot{x}) \overline{g_n}(\dot{x}) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x}) \overline{g_n}(\dot{x}) \\ &= [f, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n g_n]_\varphi(\dot{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{G/\varphi(L)} [f, T_\varphi^*(\{g_n\})]_\varphi(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} = \int_{G/\varphi(L)} [f, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n g_n]_\varphi(\dot{x}) d\dot{x}.$$

That is,

$$\langle f, T_\varphi^*(\{g_n\}) \rangle_{L^2(G)} = \langle f, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n g_n \rangle_{L^2(G)}.$$

Hence

$$(2.4) \quad T_\varphi^*(\{g_n\}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n g_n.$$

T_φ^* is called the φ -preframe operator.

In the following proposition we characterize φ -Bessel sequences in terms of the φ -preframe operator. To be more precise, we show that a φ -bounded sequence is φ -Bessel if and only if the φ -preframe operator is bounded.

Remark 2.2. (i) For $f \in L^2(G)$ we have

$$\|f\|_\varphi(\dot{x}) = \sup\{|[f, g]_\varphi(\dot{x})|; \|g\|_\varphi(\dot{x}) \leq 1\}$$

for a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$. Indeed, by Cauchy Schwartz Inequality (1.3) we have

$$\sup\{|[f, g]_\varphi(\dot{x})|; \|g\|_\varphi(\dot{x}) \leq 1\} \leq \|f\|_\varphi(\dot{x})$$

for a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$. Also

$$\sup\{|[f, g]_\varphi(\dot{x})|; \|g\|_\varphi(\dot{x}) \leq 1\} \geq |[f, \frac{f}{\|f\|_\varphi}]_\varphi(\dot{x})| = \|f\|_\varphi(\dot{x})$$

for a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$.

(ii) By a similar argument as in the standard L^2 -space theory it is verified that $(L^2(G), \|\cdot\|_\varphi)$ is a Banach space.

We say $g \in L^2(G)$ is φ -bounded if there exists $M > 0$ so that $\|g\|_\varphi \leq M$ a.e.. Note that for $f, g \in L^2(G)$ the function $[f, g]_\varphi g$ need not generally be in $L^2(G)$. But if $f, g, h \in L^2(G)$ and g, h are φ -bounded, then $[f, g]_\varphi h \in L^2(G)$ (see [19]).

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a φ -bounded sequence in $L^2(G)$. Then $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is φ -Bessel with bound B if and only if T_φ^* is a well defined bounded operator from $l^2(G/\varphi(L))$ into $L^2(G)$ and $\|T_\varphi\| \leq \sqrt{B}$.*

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a φ -Bessel sequence with bound B in $L^2(G)$. Assume that $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n g_i f_i - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i f_i \right\|_\varphi(\dot{x}) \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=m+1}^n g_i f_i \right\|_\varphi(\dot{x}) \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|_\varphi \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=m+1}^n g_i f_i, g \right|_\varphi(\dot{x}) \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|_\varphi \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=m+1}^n g_i [f_i, g]_\varphi(\dot{x}) \right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n |g_i(\dot{x})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \sup_{\|g\|_\varphi \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n |[f_i, g]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{B} \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n |g_i(\dot{x})|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i f_i$ is Cauchy in $(L^2(G), \|\cdot\|_\varphi)$ and therefore convergent. Thus T_φ^* is well defined. Also obviously $\|T_\varphi^*\| \leq B$. For the converse assume T_φ^* and so T_φ is bounded. Then $\|T_\varphi(hf)\|_{l^2(G/\varphi(L))} \leq \|T_\varphi\| \|hf\|_2$ for every $h \in L^\infty(G/\varphi(L))$. Therefore,

$$\int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[hf, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 d\dot{x} \leq \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \|hf\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \|T_\varphi\|^2 d\dot{x}.$$

That is,

$$\int_{G/\varphi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 d\dot{x} \leq \int_{G/\varphi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^2 \|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \|T_\varphi\|^2 d\dot{x}$$

for every $h \in L^\infty(G/\varphi(L))$. Hence

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \leq B \|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x})$$

for a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$, where $B = \|T_\varphi\|^2$. So $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is φ -Bessel. \square

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a φ -frame. Assume that each f_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is φ -bounded in $L^2(G)$. The φ -frame operator defined by $S_\varphi := T_\varphi^* T_\varphi$ is bounded. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} [S_\varphi f, f]_\varphi &= \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [f, f_n]_\varphi f_n, f \right]_\varphi \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [f, f_n]_\varphi \overline{[f, f_n]_\varphi} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, f_n]_\varphi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$A[f, f]_\varphi \leq [S_\varphi f, f]_\varphi \leq B[f, f]_\varphi,$$

which implies

$$A \int_{G/\varphi(L)} [f, f]_\varphi(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \leq \int_{G/\varphi(L)} [S_\varphi f, f]_\varphi(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \leq B \int_{G/\varphi(L)} [f, f]_\varphi(\dot{x}) d\dot{x}.$$

Therefore, $AI \leq S_\varphi \leq BI$. By a standard argument as in the frame theory S_φ is invertible and $B^{-1}I \leq S_\varphi^{-1} \leq A^{-1}I$.

We can now characterize φ -frames with the aid of the φ -preframe operator.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a φ -bounded sequence in $L^2(G)$. Then $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a φ -frame if and only if T_φ^* is well defined, bounded and onto.*

Proof. Let f_n be a φ -frame. Then by the above remarks S_φ is onto and so is T_φ^* . The rest follows from Proposition 2.3.

Conversely, we have $f = S_\varphi S_\varphi^{-1} f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [S_\varphi^{-1} f, f_n]_\varphi f_n$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) &= [f, f]_\varphi(\dot{x}) \\ &= \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [S_\varphi^{-1} f, f_n]_\varphi f_n, f \right]_\varphi(\dot{x}) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [S_\varphi^{-1} f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x}) [f_n, f]_\varphi(\dot{x}) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[S_\varphi^{-1} f, f_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f_n, f]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|T_\varphi(S_\varphi^{-1} f)\|_{l^2(G/\varphi(L))}(\dot{x}) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f_n, f]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|T_\varphi\| \|S_\varphi^{-1}\| \|f\|_\varphi(\dot{x}) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f_n, f]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$. That is,

$$A\|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f_n, f]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2,$$

where $A = \|T_\varphi\|^{-2}\|S_\varphi^{-1}\|^{-2}$. Now Proposition 2.3 completes the proof. \square

Next we consider the case when two φ -Bessel sequences may also be φ -frames.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two φ -bounded φ -Bessel sequences in $L^2(G)$. If $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [f, g_n]_\varphi f_n$, a.e. for all $f \in L^2(G)$, then both $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are φ -frames.*

Proof. Let us denote by B the φ -Bessel bound of $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For all $f \in L^2(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_\varphi^4(\dot{x}) &= [f, f]_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \\ &= \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [f, g_n]_\varphi f_n, f \right]_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [f, g_n]_\varphi(\dot{x}) [f_n, f]_\varphi(\dot{x}) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, g_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f_n, f]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2 \\ &\leq B \|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, g_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$B^{-1} \|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |[f, g_n]_\varphi(\dot{x})|^2$$

for every $f \in L^2(G)$, for a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$. Hence $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a φ -frame. A similar argument shows that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also a φ -frame. \square

It is clear that every φ -orthonormal basis is a Parseval φ -frame, but the converse is not true.

Example 2.6. Consider the LCA group $G = \mathbb{R}^+$. As a uniform lattice in G we choose $L = \{2^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $L^\perp = \mathbb{Z}$. We can choose $S_L := [1, 2)$ as a fundamental domain for L in G . Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be the topological automorphism defined by $\varphi(x) = x^2$. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a φ -orthonormal basis for $L^2(G)$ (e.g. consider the orthonormal basis $\{M_\gamma T_k \chi_{S_L}; (k, \gamma) \in L \times L^\perp\}$, as in [23, Theorem 3.1.7] for $L^2(G)$, where M_γ is the modulation operator. By [19, Theorem 14], $\{T_k \chi_{S_L}; k \in L\}$ is a φ -orthonormal basis for $L^2(G)$). Then $\{f_1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}f_2, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}f_2, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}f_3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}f_3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}f_3, \dots\}$ is a Parseval φ -frame but not a φ -orthonormal basis.

It is easy to see that if $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Parseval φ -frame and $\|f_n\|_\varphi = 1$ a.e. for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a φ -orthonormal basis.

3. φ -Riesz Bases in $L^2(G)$

Our goal in this section is to define and investigate φ -Riesz bases in $L^2(G)$, applying φ -factorable operators.

Riesz bases in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ have several equivalent definitions (see [9, 15, 27]). The main result of this section (Theorem 3.4), sets out equivalent conditions for a sequence in $L^2(G)$ to be a φ -Riesz basis, where G is a second countable LCA group and φ is a topological automorphism on G . We start with a definition.

Definition 3.1. A sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2(G)$ is said to be a φ -Riesz basis if there exists a φ -orthonormal basis $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a φ -factorable operator $U : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G)$, which is a topological automorphism such that $U(g_n) = f_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We introduce a φ -complete (φ -total) sequence in $L^2(G)$ as follows:

Definition 3.2. Given a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^2(G)$, by $\overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_\varphi}(f_n) = L^2(G)$ we mean that for every $f \in L^2(G)$ there exists a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$, such that $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty h_n f_n$, a.e. We say a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^2(G)$ is φ -complete (φ -total) in $L^2(G)$, if $\overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_\varphi}(f_n) = L^2(G)$.

The following lemma will be needed in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose U is a bounded φ -factorable operator on $L^2(G)$. For every $f \in L^2(G)$, we have $\|Uf\|_\varphi \leq \|U\| \|f\|_\varphi$ a.e.

Proof. For every φ -periodic $h \in L^\infty(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{G/\varphi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^2 \|U(f)\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\
 &= \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{k \in L} |U(f)(x\varphi(k^{-1}))|^2 |h(x\varphi(k^{-1}))|^2 d\dot{x} \\
 &= \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{k \in L} |U(hf)(x\varphi(k^{-1}))|^2 d\dot{x} \\
 &= \|U(hf)\|_2^2 \\
 &\leq \|U\|^2 \|hf\|_2^2 \\
 &= \|U\|^2 \int_G |hf(x)|^2 dx \\
 &= \|U\|^2 \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \sum_{k \in L} |hf(x\varphi(k^{-1}))|^2 d\dot{x} \\
 &= \|U\|^2 \int_{G/\varphi(L)} |h(\dot{x})|^2 \|f\|_\varphi^2(\dot{x}) d\dot{x},
 \end{aligned}$$

which obviously completes the proof. \square

In the following theorem we establish equivalent conditions for a sequence in $L^2(G)$ to be a φ -Riesz basis. As a matter of fact Theorem 3.4 gives a characterization of φ -Riesz bases with regard to standard Riesz bases in $L^2(G)$, which implies that a φ -Riesz basis shares many useful properties with a Riesz basis.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(G)$. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is φ -complete, and there exist positive constants A and B such that for any sequence $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$ one has

$$(3.1) \quad A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n f_n \right\|_{\varphi}^2 \leq B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n|^2 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

- (2) $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a φ -Riesz basis.

- (3) $(M_{\gamma} f_n)_{\gamma \in \varphi(L)^{\perp}, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2(G)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a φ -orthonormal basis in $L^2(G)$. Then by [19, Theorem 14], $\overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}}(e_n) = L^2(G)$. Define $U : L^2(G) (= \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}}(e_n)) \rightarrow L^2(G)$ by $U(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n f_n$, where $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$. Then U is bounded. In fact, by (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| U \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right) \right\|_{\varphi}^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n f_n \right\|_{\varphi}^2 \\ &\leq B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n|^2 \\ &= B \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right\|_{\varphi}^2, \quad \text{a.e.,} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| U \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right) \right\|_2^2 &= \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \left\| U \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right) \right\|_{\varphi}^2(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\ &\leq B \int_{G/\varphi(L)} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right\|_{\varphi}^2(\dot{x}) d\dot{x} \\ &= B \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$. That is, $\|U\| \leq \sqrt{B}$. Now define $S : L^2(G) (= \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}}(f_n)) \rightarrow L^2(G)$ by $S(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n f_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n$, where $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$. Hence by (3.1) we get

$$\left\| S \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n f_n \right) \right\|_{\varphi}^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right\|_{\varphi}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n|^2 \\
&\leq 1/A \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n f_n \right\|_{\varphi}^2, \quad \text{a.e.}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that S is bounded on $L^2(G)$ and $\|S\| \leq \sqrt{1/A}$. Also obviously, $SU = I$ and $US = I$ on $L^2(G)$. Hence U is a topological isomorphism, which is clearly φ -factorable and $U(e_n) = f_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Choose a φ -orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $L^2(G)$ and the corresponding topological automorphism U which is a φ -factorable operator and $U(e_n) = f_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as in Definition 3.1. By [19, Theorem 14], $(M_{\gamma} f_n)_{\gamma \in \varphi(L)^{\perp}, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(G)$, and since U is φ -factorable

$$U(M_{\gamma} e_n) = M_{\gamma} U(e_n) = M_{\gamma} f_n$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \in \varphi(L)^{\perp}$. So $(M_{\gamma} f_n)_{\gamma \in \varphi(L)^{\perp}, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Let $S_{\varphi(L)}$ be a fundamental domain for $\varphi(L)$. By [23, Theorem 3.1.7], the system $(M_{\gamma} T_{\varphi(k)} \chi_{S_{\varphi(L)}})_{k \in L, \gamma \in \varphi(L)^{\perp}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(G)$, where $T_{\varphi(k)} \chi_{S_{\varphi(L)}}$ is the translation of $\chi_{S_{\varphi(L)}}$ by $\varphi(k)$. Define $U : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G)$ by $U(M_{\gamma_m} T_{\varphi(k_n)} \chi_{S_{\varphi(L)}}) = M_{\gamma_m} f_n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, U is a φ -factorable operator. Moreover, by [19, Theorem 14], $(T_{\varphi(k)} \chi_{S_{\varphi(L)}})_{k \in L}$ is a φ -orthonormal basis for $L^2(G)$, and obviously $U(T_{\varphi(k_n)} \chi_{S_{\varphi(L)}}) = f_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally since $(M_{\gamma} f_n)_{\gamma \in \varphi(L)^{\perp}, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis, U is a topological automorphism.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a φ -orthonormal basis and U is the corresponding topological automorphism which is a φ -factorable operator and $U(e_n) = f_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as in the Definition 3.1. Let $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1^2(G/\varphi(L))$. Then using Lemma 3.3

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n f_n \right\|_{\varphi}^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n U(e_n) \right\|_{\varphi}^2 \\
&= \left\| U \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right) \right\|_{\varphi}^2 \\
&\leq \|U\|^2 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right\|_{\varphi}^2 \\
&= \|U\|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n|^2, \quad \text{a.e.}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n|^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n \right\|_{\varphi}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \|U^{-1}U(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n)\|_{\varphi}^2 \\
 &\leq \|U^{-1}\|^2 \|U(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n)\|_{\varphi}^2 \\
 &= \|U^{-1}\|^2 \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n f_n\|_{\varphi}^2, \quad \text{a.e.}
 \end{aligned}$$

So (3.1) holds. Moreover $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is φ -complete. Indeed, given any $f \in L^2(G)$, there exists a unique $g \in L^2(G)$ with $U(g) = f$ (since U is one-to-one and onto). Write $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [g, e_n]_{\varphi} e_n$ as in [19, Theorem 18]. Then $h_n = [g, e_n]_{\varphi} \in L^{\infty}(G/\varphi(L))$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by Bessel's Inequality ([19, Theorem 11])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n(\dot{x})|^2 \leq \|f\|_{\varphi}(\dot{x}) < \infty$$

for a.e. $\dot{x} \in G/\varphi(L)$. Also

$$f = U(g) = U(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n U(e_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n f_n,$$

showing that $\overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}}(f_n) = L^2(G)$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by a grant from Ferdowsi University of Mashhad (No. MP88095KMY).

References

- [1] A. Aldroubi, Q. Sun, and W. Tang, *p*-frames and shift invariant subspaces of L^p , J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **7** (2001), no. 1, 1–21.
- [2] C. de Boor, R. A. DeVore, and A. Ron, *The structure of finitely generated shift-invariant spaces in $L_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$* , J. Funct. Anal. **119** (1994), no. 1, 37–78.
- [3] P. G. Casazza, *The art of frame theory*, Taiwanese J. Math. **4** (2000), no. 2, 129–201.
- [4] ———, *Modern tools for Weyl-Heisenberg (Gabor) frame theory*, Adv. in Image. and Electron. Physics **115** (2001), 1–127.
- [5] P. G. Casazza and O. Christensen, *Weyl-Heisenberg frames for subspaces of $L^2(\mathbf{R})$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 1, 145–154.
- [6] P. G. Casazza, D. Han, and D. Larson, *Frames for Banach spaces*, The functional and harmonic analysis of wavelets and frames (San Antonio, TX, 1999), 149–182, Contemp. Math., 247, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [7] P. G. Casazza and G. Kutyniok, *Frames of subspaces*, Wavelets, frames and operator theory, 87–113, Contemp. Math., 345, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [8] P. G. Casazza and M. C. Lammers, *Bracket products for Weyl-Heisenberg frames*, Advances in Gabor analysis, 71–98, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [9] O. Christensen, *An introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [10] R. Duffin and A. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 341–366.
- [11] H. G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, *Gabor Analysis and Algorithms*, Birkhäuser, 1998.
- [12] G. B. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, CRC Press, 1995.

- [13] ———, *Real Analysis*, John Wiley New York, 1984.
- [14] M. Frank and D. Larson, *Frames in Hilbert C^* -modules and C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **48** (2002), no. 2, 273–314.
- [15] E. Hernandez and G. Weiss, *A First Course on Wavelets*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996.
- [16] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis. Vol. I: Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [17] G. Honnouvo and S. Twareque Ali, *Gabor-type frames from generalized Weyl-Heisenberg groups*, preprint.
- [18] R. A. Kamyabi Gol and R. Raisi Tousi, *A range function approach to shift-invariant spaces on locally compact Abelian groups*, Int. J. Wavelets. Multiresolut. Inf. Process, to appear.
- [19] ———, *Bracket products on locally compact Abelian groups*, J. Sci. Islam. Repub. Iran **19** (2008), no. 2, 153–157.
- [20] ———, *φ -Factorable operators and Weyl-Heisenberg frames on LCA groups*, preprint.
- [21] ———, *The structure of shift invariant spaces on a locally compact Abelian group*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **340** (2008), no. 1, 219–225.
- [22] E. Kaniuth and G. Kutyniok, *Zeros of the Zak transform on locally compact Abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), no. 12, 3561–3569.
- [23] G. Kutyniok, *Time Frequency Analysis on Locally Compact Groups*, Ph. D. thesis, Paderborn University, 2000.
- [24] G. Kutyniok and D. Labate, *The theory of reproducing systems on locally compact Abelian groups*, Colloq. Math. **106** (2006), no. 2, 197–220.
- [25] A. Ron, and Z. Shen, *Frames and stable bases for shift-invariant subspaces of $L_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$* , Canad. J. Math. **47** (1995), no. 5, 1051–1094.
- [26] W. Sun, *G-frames and g-Riesz bases*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **322** (2006), no. 1, 437–452.
- [27] R. Young, *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*, Academic Press, New york, 1980.

RAJAB ALI KAMYABI GOL

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS

FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD

CENTER OF EXCELLENCE IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS)

P. O. BOX 1159-91775, MASHHAD, IRAN

E-mail address: kamyabi@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir

REIHANEH RAISI TOUSI

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS

FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD

P. O. BOX 1159-91775, MASHHAD, IRAN

E-mail address: re.ra97@stu-mail.um.ac.ir