

## EXTENDING THE APPLICATION OF THE SHADOWING LEMMA FOR OPERATORS WITH CHAOTIC BEHAVIOUR

IOANNIS K. ARGYROS

ABSTRACT. We use a weaker version of the celebrated Newton–Kantorovich theorem [3] reported by us in [1] to find solutions of discrete dynamical systems involving operators with chaotic behavior. Our results are obtained by extending the application of the shadowing lemma [4], and are given under the same computational cost as before [4]-[6].

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that complicated behaviour of dynamical systems can easily be detected via numerical experiments. However, it is very difficult to prove mathematically in general that a given system behaves chaotically.

Several authors have worked on various aspects of this problem, see, e.g., [4]-[6], and the references therein. In particular the shadowing lemma [4, p. 1684] proved via the celebrated Newton–Kantorovich theorem [3] was used in [4] to present a computer-assisted method that allows us to prove that a discrete dynamical system admits the shift operator as a subsystem. Motivated by this work and using a weaker version of the Newton–Kantorovich theorem reported by us in [1], [2] (see Theorem 2.1 that follows) we show that it is possible to weaken the shadowing Lemma on on which the work in [4] is based. In particular we show that under weaker hypotheses and the same computational cost a larger upper bound on the crucial norm of operator  $M^{-1}$  (see (7)) is found and the information on location of the shadowing orbit is more precise. Other advantages have already been reported in [1]. Clearly this apporach widens the applicability of the shadowing lemma.

## 2. The shadowing lemma

We need the definitions: Let  $D \subseteq \mathbf{R}^k$  be an open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^k$  (k a natural number), and let  $f: D \to D$  be an injective operator. Then the pair (D, f) is a discrete dynamical system. Denote by  $S = l^{\infty} (\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R}^k)$  the space of  $\mathbf{R}^k$ 

©2011 The Youngnam Mathematical Society



Received February 11, 2010; Accepted August 3, 2011.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 58F13, 65G99, 47H17, 49M15.

Key words and phrases. discrete dynamical systems, Newton's method, Banach space, pseudo-orbits, shadowing orbits, Newton–Kantorovich hypothesis, Fréchet derivative, shadowing Lemma.

valued bounded sequences  $x = \{x_n\}$  with norm  $||x|| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x_n|_2$ . Here we use the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and denote it by  $|\cdot|$ , omitting the index 2. A  $\delta_0$ -pseudo-orbit is a sequence  $y = \{y_n\} \in D^{\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $|y_{n+1} - f(y_n)| \leq \delta_0 \ (n \in \mathbb{Z})$ . A *r*-shadowing orbit  $x = \{x_n\}$  of a  $\delta_0$ -pseudo-orbit *y* is an orbit of (D, f) with  $|y_n - x_n| \leq 2 \ (n \in \mathbb{Z})$ .

We need the following semilocal convergence theorem for Newton method [1, page 132, Case 3 for  $\delta = \delta_0$ ].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $F : D \subseteq X \to Y$  be a Fréchet differentiable operator. Assume there exist  $x_0 \in D$ , positive constant  $\eta, \beta, L_0$  and L such that:

$$F'(x_0)^{-1} \in L(Y,X),$$

$$\left\|F'\left(x_0\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \beta,\tag{1}$$

$$\left\|F'(x_0)^{-1}F(x_0)\right\| \leq \eta,$$
 (2)

$$\|F'(x) - F'(y)\| \leq L \|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in D,$$
(3)

$$|F'(x) - F'(x_0)|| \leq L_0 ||x - x_0||, \text{ for all } x \in D,$$
(4)

$$h_A = \beta L_1 \eta \le 1, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\bar{U}(x_0, s^*) = \{x \in X : ||x - x_0|| \le s^*\} \subseteq D,$$

where

$$s^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n,$$
  

$$s_0 = 0, s_1 = \eta, s_{n+2} = s_{n+1} + \frac{L(s_{n+1} - s_n)}{2(1 - L_0 s_{n+1})} \quad (n \ge 0),$$
  

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{4} (L + 4 L_0 + \sqrt{L^2 + 8 L_0 L}).$$

Then, sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $(n \ge 0)$  generated by Newton's method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n - F'(y_n)^{-1} F(y_n) \quad (n \ge 0)$$

is well defined, remains in  $\overline{U}(x_0, s^*)$  for all  $n \ge 0$  and converges to a unique solution  $y^* \in \overline{U}(x_0, s^*)$ , so that estimates

$$||y_{n+1} - y_n|| \le s_{n+1} - s_n$$

and

$$||y_n - y^*|| \le s^* - s_n \le 2\eta - s_n$$

hold for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Moreover  $y^*$  is the unique solution of equation F(y) = 0 in  $U(x_0, R)$  provided that

$$L_0\left(s^* + R\right) \le 2$$

and

$$U\left(x_0, R\right) \subseteq D.$$

The advantages of Theorem 2.1 over the Newton-Kantorovich theorem [3] have been explained in detail in [1], [2].

From now on we set  $X = Y = \mathbf{R}^k$ .

Sufficient conditions for a  $\delta_0$ -pseudo-orbit y to admit a unique r-shadowing orbit are given in the following main result.

**Theorem 2.2.** (Weak version of the shadowing lemma) Let  $D \subseteq \mathbf{R}^k$  be open,  $f \in C^{1,Lip}(D,D)$  be injective,  $y = \{y_n\} \in D^{\mathbf{Z}}$  be a given sequence,  $\{A_n\}$  be a bounded sequence of  $k \times k$  matrices and let  $\delta_0, \delta, \ell_0, \ell$  be positive constants. Assume that for the operator

$$M : S \to S \text{ with } \{M z\}_n = z_{n+1} - A z_n \tag{6}$$

 $is \ invertible \ and$ 

$$||M^{-1}|| \le a = \frac{1}{\delta + \sqrt{\ell_1 \, \delta_0}},$$
(7)

where

$$\ell_1 = \frac{1}{4} \ (\ell + 4 \ \ell_0 + \sqrt{\ell^2 + 8 \ \ell_0 \ \ell}).$$

Then, the numbers  $t^*$ , R given by

$$t^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n \tag{8}$$

and

$$R = \frac{2}{\ell_0} - t^*$$
 (9)

satisfy  $0 < t^* \leq R$ , where sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is given by

$$t_0 = 0, t_1 = \eta, t_{n+2} = t_{n+1} + \frac{\ell \left(t_{n+1} - t_n\right)^2}{2 \left(1 - \ell_0 t_{n+1}\right)} \quad (n \ge 0)$$
(10)

and

$$\gamma = \frac{\delta_0}{\frac{1}{\|M^{-1}\|} - \delta}.$$
(11)

Let  $r \in [t^*, R]$ . Moreover, assume that

$$\overline{\bigcup_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}U\left(y_{n},r\right)}\subseteq D\tag{12}$$

and for every  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ 

$$|y_{n+1} - f(y_n)| \leq \delta_0, \tag{13}$$

$$|A_n - Df(y_n)| \leq \delta, \tag{14}$$

$$|F'(u) - F'(0)| \leq \ell_0 |u|$$
(15)

and

$$|F'(u) - F'(v)| \le \ell |u - v|,$$
 (16)

for all  $u, v \in U(y_n, r)$ .

I. K. ARGYROS

Then there is a unique  $t^*$ -shadowing orbit  $x^* = \{x_n\}$  of y. Moreover, there is no orbit  $\bar{x}$  other than  $x^*$  such that

$$\|\bar{x} - y\| \le r. \tag{17}$$

*Proof.* We shall solve the difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n) \quad (n \ge 0)$$
 (18)

provided that  $x_n$  is close to  $y_n$ . Setting

$$x_n = y_n + z_n \tag{19}$$

and

$$g_n(z_n) = f(z_n + y_n) - A_n z_n - y_{n+1}$$
(20)

we can have

$$z_{n+1} = A_n z_n + g_n (z_n) \,. \tag{21}$$

Define  $D_0 = \{z = \{z_n\} : ||z|| \le 2\}$  and nonlinear operator  $G : D_0 \to S$ , by

$$\left[G\left(z\right)\right]_{n} = g_{n}\left(z_{n}\right). \tag{22}$$

Operator G can naturally be extended to a neighborhood of  $D_0$ . Equation (21) can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma(x) = M \ x - G(x) = 0,$$
 (23)

where F is an operator from  $D_0$  into S.

F

We will show the existence and uniqueness of a solution  $x^* = \{x_n\}$   $(n \ge 0)$  of equation (23) with  $||x^*|| \le r$  using Theorem 2.1. Clearly we need to express  $\eta, L_0, L$  and  $\beta$  in terms of  $||M^{-1}||, \delta_0, \delta, \ell_0$  and  $\ell$ .

(i)  $\left\| F'(0)^{-1} F(0) \right\| \le \eta.$ 

Using (13), (14) and (20) we get  $||F(0)|| \leq \delta_0$  and  $||G'(0)|| \leq \delta$ , since  $[G'(0)(w)]_n = (F'(y_n) - A_n) w_n$ .

By (7) and the Banach lemma on invertible operators [3] we get  $F'(0)^{-1}$  exists and

$$\left\|F'(0)^{-1}\right\| \le \left(\frac{1}{\|M^{-1}\|} - \delta\right)^{-1}.$$
 (24)

That is,  $\eta$  can be given by (11).

(ii)  $\|F'(0)^{-1}\| \le \beta$ .

By (24) we can set

$$\beta = \left(\frac{1}{\|M^{-1}\|} - \delta\right)^{-1}.$$
(25)

(iii)  $||F'(u) - F'(v)|| \le L ||u - v||$ . We can have using (16)

$$|(F'(u) - F'(v))(w)_n| = |(F'(y_n + u_n) - F'(y_n + v_n))w_n| \leq \ell |u_n - v_n| |w_n|.$$
(26)

Hence we can set  $L = \ell$ .

524

(iv) 
$$||F'(u) - F'(0)|| \le L_0 ||u||$$
.  
By (17) we get

$$|(F'(u) - F'(0))(w)_n| = |(F'(y_n + u_n) - F'(y_n + 0))w_n| \leq \ell_0 |u_n| |w_n|.$$
(27)

That is, we can take  $L_0 = \ell_0$ .

Crucial condition (5) is satisfied by (7) and with the above choices of  $\eta, \beta, L$ and  $L_0$ .

Therefore the claims of Theorem 2.2 follow immediately from the conclusions of Theorem 2.1.

That completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 1. In general

$$\ell_0 \le \ell \tag{28}$$

holds and  $\frac{\ell}{\ell_0}$  can be arbitrarily large [1]. If  $\ell_0 = \ell$ , Theorem 2.2 reduces to Theorem 1 in [4, p. 1684]. Otherwise our Theorem 2.2 improves Theorem 1 in [4]. Indeed, the upper bound in [4, p. 1684] is given by

$$\left\|M^{-1}\right\| \le b = \frac{1}{\delta + \sqrt{2\ell\delta_0}}.$$
(29)

By comparing (7) with (29) we deduce

b < a

(if  $\ell_0 < \ell$ ).

That is, we have justified the claims made in the introduction.

## References

- I. K. Argyros, Computational theory of iterative methods, Series: Studies in Computational Mathematics 15, Editors, C.K. Chui and L. Wuytack, Elsevier Publ. Co., New York, 2007.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, On a class of Newton-like methods for solving nonlinear equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 228 (2009), no. 1, 115–122.
- [3] L. K. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982.
- [4] K. J. Palmer and D. Stoffer, Rigorous verification of chaotic behaviour of maps using validated shadowing, Nonlinearity 12 (1999), 1683–1698.
- [5] S. Smale, Differentiable dynamical systems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 747-817.
- [6] D. Stoffer and U. Kirchgraber, Verification of chaotic behaviour in the planar restricted three body problem, Appl. Numer. Math. 39 (2001) nos. 3–4, 415–433.

IOANNIS K. ARGYROS

CAMERON UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SCIENCES, LAWTON, OK 73505, USA

E-mail address: iargyros@cameron.edu

525