Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **26** (2011), No. 3, pp. 349–371 DOI 10.4134/CKMS.2011.26.3.349

FUZZY SUBGROUPS BASED ON FUZZY POINTS

Young Bae Jun, Min Su Kang, and Chul Hwan Park

ABSTRACT. Using the "belongs to" relation and "quasi-coincident with" relation between a fuzzy point and a fuzzy subgroup, Bhakat and Das, in 1992 and 1996, initiated general types of fuzzy subgroups which are a generalization of Rosenfeld's fuzzy subgroups. In this paper, more general notions of "belongs to" and "quasi-coincident with" relation between a fuzzy point and a fuzzy set are provided, and more general formulations of general types of fuzzy (normal) subgroups by Bhakat and Das are discussed. Furthermore, general type of coset is introduced, and related fundamental properties are investigated.

1. Introduction

To solve complicated problems in economics, engineering, and environment, we can not successfully use classical methods because of various uncertainties typical for those problems. There are three theories: theory of probability, theory of fuzzy sets, and the interval mathematics which we can consider as mathematical tools for dealing with uncertainties. But all these theories have their own difficulties. Uncertainties can not be handled using traditional mathematical tools but may be dealt with using a wide range of existing theories such as probability theory, theory of (intuitionistic) fuzzy sets, theory of vague sets, theory of interval mathematics, and theory of rough sets. However, all of these theories have their own difficulties which have been pointed out in [10]. Maji et al. [9] and Molodtsov [10] suggested that one reason for these difficulties may be due to the inadequacy of the parametrization tool of the theory. To overcome these difficulties, Molodtsov [10] introduced the concept of soft set as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties that is free from the difficulties that have troubled the usual theoretical approaches. Molodtsov pointed out several directions for the applications of soft sets. The algebraic structure of set theories dealing with uncertainties has been studied by some authors. The most appropriate theory for dealing with uncertainties

©2011 The Korean Mathematical Society



Received February 1, 2010.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20N25, 08A72.

Key words and phrases. (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup, (strong) $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup, $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup generated by a fuzzy subset, $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup, $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy left (resp. right) coset, $(\in \lor q_k)$ -level subgroup.

is the theory of fuzzy sets developed by Zadeh [17]. Murali [13] proposed a definition of a fuzzy point belonging to fuzzy subset under a natural equivalence on fuzzy subset. The idea of quasi-coincidence of a fuzzy point with a fuzzy set, which is mentioned in [14], played a vital role to generate some different types of fuzzy subsets. It is worth pointing out that Bhakat and Das [3, 4] initiated the concepts of (α, β) -fuzzy subgroups by using the "belongs to" relation (\in) and "quasi-coincident with" relation (q) between a fuzzy point and a fuzzy subgroup, and introduced the concept of an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup. In particular, an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup is an important and useful generalization of Rosenfeld's fuzzy subgroup. Liu [8] defined the fuzzy normality of a fuzzy subgroup in 1982. A coherent study of the fuzzy normal subgroups was initiated by Mukherjee and Bhattacharya [11, 12]. This notion was further studied in detail by Bhakat [1, 2], Bhakat and Das [3], and Yuan et al. [16]. It is now natural to investigate similar type of generalizations of the existing subsystems with other algebraic structures, and to discuss more general types than well-known types. With this objective in view, we use a general form of quasi-coincidence with a fuzzy subset. We introduce the notion of (strong) $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup which is a generalization of an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup, and the concept of an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup. We give characterizations of an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy (normal) subgroup, and deal with several related properties. Using a chain of subgroups of a group, we make an $(\in,$ $\in \lor q_k$)-fuzzy subgroup. Using a fuzzy subset of a group, we generate an $(\in,$ $\in \lor q_k$)-fuzzy subgroup. We introduce the notion of $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy left (resp. right) coset, and investigate several properties. The important achievement of the study with an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy (normal) subgroup is that the notion of an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy (normal) subgroup is a special case of an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy (normal) subgroup. Since the transition from non-membership to membership is gradual rather than abrupt in fuzzy set theory, Dubois and Prade [6] introduced a new concept in fuzzy set theory, that of a gradual element. The notion of gradual elements is a new concept which is dealt with in fuzzy set theory. Of course, it is worth us wile to study the relationship between the results in Dubois and Prade's paper [6] and the results in this paper in the near future.

2. Preliminaries

A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of a group G is called a *fuzzy subgroup* of G ([15]) if it satisfies:

(a1)
$$(\forall x, y \in G) (\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min{\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}}),$$

(a2) $(\forall x \in G) (\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \mathcal{A}(x)).$

For any fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of a set X and any $t \in [0, 1]$ the set

$$\mathcal{A}_t = \{ x \in X \mid \mathcal{A}(x) \ge t \}$$

is called an \in -level subset of \mathcal{A} .

A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of a set X of the form

$$\mathcal{A}(y) := \begin{cases} t \in (0,1] & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x, \end{cases}$$

is said to be a *fuzzy point* with support x and value t and is denoted by [x; t].

For a fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of a set X, we say that a fuzzy point [x; t] is

- (a3) contained in \mathcal{A} , denoted by $[x;t] \in \mathcal{A}$, ([14]) if $\mathcal{A}(x) \ge t$.
- (a4) quasi-coincident with \mathcal{A} , denoted by $[x;t] q \mathcal{A}$, ([14]) if $\mathcal{A}(x) + t > 1$.
- For a fuzzy point [x; t] and a fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of a set X, we say that
- (a5) $[x;t] \in \forall q \mathcal{A} \text{ if } [x;t] \in \mathcal{A} \text{ or } [x;t] q \mathcal{A}.$
- (a6) $[x;t] \overline{\alpha} \mathcal{A}$ if $[x;t] \alpha \mathcal{A}$ does not hold for $\alpha \in \{\in, q, \in \lor q\}$.

Definition 2.1. A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of a group G is called an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G if for any $x, y \in G$ and $t, r \in (0, 1]$,

- (a7) $[x;t] \in \mathcal{A}, [y;r] \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow [xy;\min\{t,r\}] \in \mathcal{A}.$
- (a8) $[x;t] \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow [x^{-1};t] \in \mathcal{A}.$

Note that the notion of (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup coincide with the notion of fuzzy subgroup. Hence we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. For any fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of a group G, the following are equivalent.

- (1) \mathcal{A} is an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G.
- (2) $(\forall t \in (0,1]) (\mathcal{A}_t \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_t \text{ is a subgroup of } G).$

3. Generalizations of $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -level subsets

Let $t \in (0, 1]$ and $k \in [0, 1)$. For a fuzzy point [x; t] and a fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of a set G, we say that

- (b1) $[x;t] q_k \mathcal{A} \text{ if } \mathcal{A}(x) + t + k > 1.$
- (b2) $[x;t] \in \forall q_k \mathcal{A} \text{ if } [x;t] \in \mathcal{A} \text{ or } [x;t] q_k \mathcal{A}.$
- (b3) $[x;t] \not\in \mathcal{A} \text{ if } \mathcal{A}(x) + t \ge 1.$
- (b4) $[x;t] \underline{q}_k \mathcal{A} \text{ if } \mathcal{A}(x) + t + k \ge 1.$
- (b5) $[x;t] \overline{\alpha} \mathcal{A}$ if $[x;t] \alpha \mathcal{A}$ does not hold for $\alpha \in \{q_k, \in \lor q_k, \underline{q}, \underline{q}_k\}$.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of a set G and $t \in (0, 1]$. Then the set

$$Q(\mathcal{A};t) := \{ x \in X \mid [x;t] \neq \mathcal{A} \}$$

is called the q-level subset of G, the set

$$Q_k(\mathcal{A}; t) := \{ x \in X \mid [x; t] q_k \mathcal{A} \}$$

is called the q_k -level subset of G, the set

$$Q(\mathcal{A};t) := \{ x \in X \mid [x;t] \neq \mathcal{A} \}$$

is called the *closed* q-*level subset* of G, the set

$$Q_{k}(\mathcal{A};t) := \{x \in X \mid [x;t] \underline{q}_{k} \mathcal{A}\}$$

is called the *closed* q_k -*level subset* of G, the set

$$U(\mathcal{A};t) := \{ x \in G \mid [x;t] \in \lor q\mathcal{A} \} = \mathcal{A}_t \cup Q(\mathcal{A};t)$$

is called $(\in \lor q)$ -level subset of G (see [1]), the set

$$U_k(\mathcal{A};t) := \{ x \in G \mid [x;t] \in \lor q_k \mathcal{A} \} = \mathcal{A}_t \cup Q_k(\mathcal{A};t)$$

is called an $(\in \lor q_k)$ -level subset of G, and the set

$$\underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t) := \{ x \in G \mid [x;t] \in \lor \underline{\mathbf{q}}_k \mathcal{A} \} = \mathcal{A}_t \cup \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$$

is called a *closed* $(\in \lor q_k)$ -*level subset* of G.

Note that $\mathcal{A}_t \subseteq U(\mathcal{A}; t) \subseteq U_k(\mathcal{A}; t)$ for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $k \in [0, 1)$. However, the reverse inclusions may not be true.

Example 3.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of a set $G = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \\ 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_{0.55} = \{a, c, f\} \neq U(\mathcal{A}; 0.55) = \{a, c, e, f\} \neq U_{0.23}(\mathcal{A}; 0.55) = \{a, b, c, e, f\}.$

Proposition 3.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of a set G. For any $m, n \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$m < n \Rightarrow (\forall t \in (0,1]) (U_m(\mathcal{A};t) \subseteq U_n(\mathcal{A};t)).$$

Proof. Straightforward.

If t > r, then $U_k(\mathcal{A}; t)$ may not be a subset of $U_k(\mathcal{A}; r)$ for some $k \in [0, 1)$. In Example 3.2, $b \in U_{0,1}(\mathcal{A}; 0.7)$ but $b \notin U_{0,1}(\mathcal{A}; 0.47)$.

Proposition 3.4. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be fuzzy subsets of a set G. Then

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1) & U_k(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}; t) = U_k(\mathcal{A}; t) \cup U_k(\mathcal{B}; t). \\ (2) & U_k(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}; t) = U_k(\mathcal{A}; t) \cap U_k(\mathcal{B}; t). \\ (3) & U_k(\mathcal{A} \cup (\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C}); t) = U_k(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}; t) \cap U_k(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{C}; t). \\ (4) & U_k(\mathcal{A} \cap (\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}); t) = U_k(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}; t) \cup U_k(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}; t). \end{array}$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in U_k(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}; t) &\Leftrightarrow [x; t] \in \lor \operatorname{q}_k(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})(x) \ge t \text{ or } (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})(x) + t > 1 - k \\ &\Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{A}(x) \ge t \text{ or } \mathcal{B}(x) \ge t] \text{ or} \\ &[\mathcal{A}(x) + t > 1 - k \text{ or } \mathcal{B}(x) + t > 1 - k] \\ &\Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{A}(x) \ge t \text{ or } \mathcal{A}(x) + t > 1 - k] \text{ or} \\ &[\mathcal{B}(x) \ge t \text{ or } \mathcal{B}(x) + t > 1 - k] \\ &\Leftrightarrow [x; t] \in \lor \operatorname{q}_k \mathcal{A} \text{ or } [x; t] \in \lor \operatorname{q}_k \mathcal{B} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in U_k(\mathcal{A}; t) \text{ or } x \in U_k(\mathcal{B}; t) \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in U_k(\mathcal{A}; t) \cup U_k(\mathcal{B}; t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x \in U_k(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}; t) &\Leftrightarrow [x; t] \in \lor \operatorname{q}_k(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B})(x) \ge t \text{ or } (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B})(x) + t > 1 - k \\ &\Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{A}(x) \ge t \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(x) \ge t] \text{ or} \\ &[\mathcal{A}(x) + t > 1 - k \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(x) + t > 1 - k] \\ &\Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{A}(x) \ge t \text{ or } \mathcal{A}(x) + t > 1 - k] \text{ and} \\ &[\mathcal{B}(x) \ge t \text{ or } \mathcal{B}(x) + t > 1 - k] \\ &\Leftrightarrow [x; t] \in \lor \operatorname{q}_k \mathcal{A} \text{ and } [x; t] \in \lor \operatorname{q}_k \mathcal{B} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in U_k(\mathcal{A}; t) \text{ and } x \in U_k(\mathcal{B}; t) \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in U_k(\mathcal{A}; t) \cap U_k(\mathcal{B}; t) \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1) and (2). Using (1) and (2), one can show that (3) and (4). \Box

If we take k = 0 in Proposition 3.4, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 ([1]). Let A and B be fuzzy subsets of a set G. Then

- (1) $U(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}; t) = U(\mathcal{A}; t) \cup U(\mathcal{B}; t).$
- (2) $U(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}; t) = U(\mathcal{A}; t) \cap U(\mathcal{B}; t).$
- (3) $U(\mathcal{A} \cup (\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C}); t) = U(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}; t) \cap U(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{C}; t).$
- (4) $U(\mathcal{A} \cap (\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}); t) = U(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}; t) \cup U(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}; t).$

Proposition 3.6. For a fuzzy subset A of a set G, we have

$$U_k(\mathcal{A};t)^c \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{1-t}^c \cap \mathcal{A}_{t+k}^c,$$

where \mathcal{A}^c denotes the complement of \mathcal{A} , that is, $\mathcal{A}^c(x) = 1 - \mathcal{A}(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

Proof. We get

$$\begin{aligned} x \in U_k(\mathcal{A}; t)^c &\Rightarrow x \notin U_k(\mathcal{A}; t) \Rightarrow [x; t] \overline{\in \vee \mathbf{q}_k} \mathcal{A} \\ &\Rightarrow [x; t] \overline{\in} \mathcal{A} \text{ and } [x; t] \overline{\mathbf{q}_k} \mathcal{A} \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x) < t \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(x) + t + k \leq 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}^c(x) = 1 - \mathcal{A}(x) > 1 - t \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{A}^c(x) = 1 - \mathcal{A}(x) \geq t + k \\ &\Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{A}_{1-t}^c \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{A}_{t+k}^c \\ &\Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{A}_{1-t}^c \cap \mathcal{A}_{t+k}^c, \end{aligned}$$

and so $U_k(\mathcal{A};t)^c \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{1-t}^c \cap \mathcal{A}_{t+k}^c$.

Proposition 3.7. For fuzzy subsets A and B of a set G, we have

$$(U_k(\mathcal{A};t) \cup U_k(\mathcal{B};t))^c \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{1-t}^c \cap \mathcal{B}_{1-t}^c \cap \mathcal{A}_{t+k}^c \cap \mathcal{B}_{t+k}^c.$$

Proof. It follows from Propositions 3.4(1) and 3.6.

TABLE 1. Multiplication table for G

	a	b	c
$a \mid$	a	b	с
$b \mid$	b	c	a
$c \mid$	c	a	b

4. Generalizations of $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroups

In what follows, let G denote a group with e as the identity element, and k an arbitrary element of [0, 1) unless otherwise specified.

Definition 4.1. A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G is called a *strong* $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G if for any $x, y \in G$ and $t, r \in (0, 1]$,

(c1) $[x;t] \in \mathcal{A}, [y;r] \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow [xy;\min\{t,r\}] \in \lor q_k \mathcal{A}.$ (c2) $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \mathcal{A}(x).$

A strong $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G with k = 0 is called a strong $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G.

Lemma 4.2. The condition (c2) is equivalent to the following condition

(c3) $(\forall x \in G) \ (\forall t \in (0,1]) \ ([x;t] \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow [x^{-1};t] \in \mathcal{A}).$

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 4.3. A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G is called an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G if it satisfies (c1) and

(c4) $(\forall x \in G) \ (\forall t \in (0,1]) \ ([x;t] \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow [x^{-1};t] \in \lor q_k \mathcal{A}).$

An $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G with k = 0 is called an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ fuzzy subgroup of G. Obviously, every strong $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup, but the converse is not true in general as seen in the following example.

Example 4.4. Let $G = \{a, b, c\}$ be the cyclic group where the multiplication is defined by Table 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of G defined by $\mathcal{A}(a) = 0.4$, $\mathcal{A}(b) = 0.7$ and $\mathcal{A}(c) = 0.9$. Then \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G for $k \in [0.2, 1)$. But it is not a strong $(\in, \in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G since

$$\mathcal{A}(c^{-1}) = \mathcal{A}(b) = 0.7 \ngeq 0.9 = \mathcal{A}(c).$$

Theorem 4.5. A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G if and only if it satisfies:

- (1) $(\forall x, y \in G) (\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}),$ (2) $(\forall x \in G) (\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}).$

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \text{ Suppose that } \mathcal{A} \text{ is an } (\in, \in \lor q_k) \text{-fuzzy subgroup of } G. \text{ Let } x \in G \text{ and assume that } \mathcal{A}(x) < \frac{1-k}{2}. \text{ If } \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) < \mathcal{A}(x), \text{ then } \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) < t \leq \mathcal{A}(x) \text{ for some } t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}). \text{ It follows that } [x;t] \in \mathcal{A} \text{ but } [x^{-1};t] \in \mathcal{A}. \text{ Since } \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) + t < 2t < 1-k, \text{ we get } [x^{-1};t] \overline{q_k} \mathcal{A}. \text{ Therefore } [x^{-1};t] \in \nabla q_k \mathcal{A}, \text{ which is a contradiction. Hence } \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \mathcal{A}(x). \text{ Now, if } \mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}, \text{ then } [x;\frac{1-k}{2}] \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and so } [x^{-1};\frac{1-k}{2}] \in \lor q_k \mathcal{A} \text{ by (c4). Hence } \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \frac{1-k}{2} \text{ or } \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) + \frac{1-k}{2} > 1-k. \text{ It follows that } \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}. \text{ Otherwise, } \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) + \frac{1-k}{2} < \frac{1-k}{2} + \frac{1-k}{2} = 1-k, \text{ a contradiction. Consequently, } \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x),\frac{1-k}{2}\} \text{ for all } x \in G. \text{ Let } x, y \in G \text{ and suppose that } \min\{\mathcal{A}(x),\mathcal{A}(y)\} < \frac{1-k}{2}. \text{ We claim that } \mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x),\mathcal{A}(y)\}. \text{ If not, then } \mathcal{A}(xy) < t \leq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x),\mathcal{A}(y)\} \text{ for some } t \in (0,\frac{1-k}{2}). \text{ It follows that } [x;t] \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } [y;t] \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ but } [xy;t] \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(xy) + t < 2t < 1-k, \text{ i.e., } [xy;t] \overline{q_k} \mathcal{A}. \text{ This is a contradiction, and so } \mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x),\mathcal{A}(y)\} \text{ whenever } \min\{\mathcal{A}(x),\mathcal{A}(y)\} < \frac{1-k}{2}. \text{ If } \min\{\mathcal{A}(x),\mathcal{A}(y)\} \geq \frac{1-k}{2}, \text{ then } [x;\frac{1-k}{2}] \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } [y;t] \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } (\in, \in \lor q_k)\text{-fuzzy} \text{ subgroup, it follows from } (c1) \text{ that } term$

$$[xy; \frac{1-k}{2}] = [xy; \min\{\frac{1-k}{2}, \frac{1-k}{2}\}] \in \lor q_k \mathcal{A}$$

so that $\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \frac{1-k}{2}$ or $\mathcal{A}(xy) + \frac{1-k}{2} > 1-k$. If $\mathcal{A}(xy) < \frac{1-k}{2}$, then
 $\mathcal{A}(xy) + \frac{1-k}{2} < \frac{1-k}{2} + \frac{1-k}{2} = 1-k$,

i.e., $[xy; \frac{1-k}{2}] \overline{q_k} \mathcal{A}$. This is impossible, and thus $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) are valid. Let $x, y \in G$ and $t, r \in (0, 1]$ be such that $[x; t] \in \mathcal{A}$ and $[y; r] \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$ and $\mathcal{A}(y) \geq r$. Suppose that $[xy; \min\{t, r\}] \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}(xy) < \min\{t, r\}$. If $\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} < \frac{1-k}{2}$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} \ge \min\{t, r\}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$, and so

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) + \min\{t, r\} > 2\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge 2\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = 1-k,$$

i.e., $[xy; \min\{t, r\}] \neq_k \mathcal{A}$. Therefore $[xy; \min\{t, r\}] \in \lor q_k \mathcal{A}$. Now let $x \in G$ and $t \in (0, 1]$ be such that $[x; t] \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$. Assume that $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) < t$. If $\mathcal{A}(x) < \frac{1-k}{2}$, then $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$, a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$, and so

$$\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) + t > 2\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge 2\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = 1-k.$$

Hence $[x^{-1}; t] \in \lor q_k \mathcal{A}$. Consequently, \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G.

Corollary 4.6 ([4]). A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G is an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G if and only if it satisfies:

- (1) $(\forall x, y \in G) (\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min{\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}}),$
- (2) $(\forall x \in G) \ (\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\}).$

Theorem 4.7. For a fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) $(\forall t \in (\frac{1-k}{2}, 1]) \ (\mathcal{A}_t \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_t \text{ is a subgroup of } G).$
- (2) \mathcal{A} satisfies the following conditions:
 - (2.1) $(\forall x, y \in G) (\max\{\mathcal{A}(xy), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}),$ (2.2) $(\forall x, y \in G) (\max\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \mathcal{A}(x)).$
- $(2.2) \quad (\forall x, y \in G) \quad (\max\{\forall (x = 1), \frac{1}{2}\} \leq \forall (x)).$

Proof. Assume that (1) is valid. If there exist $a, b \in G$ such that

$$\max\{\mathcal{A}(ab), \frac{1-k}{2}\} < \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \mathcal{A}(b)\},\$$

then $\max\{\mathcal{A}(ab), \frac{1-k}{2}\} < t \leq \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \mathcal{A}(b)\}$ where $t \in (\frac{1-k}{2}, 1]$. Then $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_t$ and so $ab \in \mathcal{A}_t$ since \mathcal{A}_t is a subgroup of G. It follows that $\mathcal{A}(ab) \geq t$, a contradiction. Therefore $\max\{\mathcal{A}(xy), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in G$. Suppose that (2.2) is not valid. Then

$$\max\{\mathcal{A}(a^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} < t_a \le \mathcal{A}(a)$$

for some $a \in G$, where $t_a \in (\frac{1-k}{2}, 1]$. Hence $a \in \mathcal{A}_{t_a}$ but $a^{-1} \notin \mathcal{A}_{t_a}$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\max\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \geq \mathcal{A}(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{A} satisfies two conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Let $t \in (\frac{1-k}{2}, 1]$ such that $\mathcal{A}_t \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}_t$. Then $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$ and so

$$\max\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \mathcal{A}(x) \ge t$$

by (2.2). Since $t \in (\frac{1-k}{2}, 1]$, it follows that $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq t$ so that $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_t$. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_t$. Using (2.1), we have

$$\max\{\mathcal{A}(xy), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} \ge t > \frac{1-k}{2},$$

and thus $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq t$, i.e., $xy \in \mathcal{A}_t$. Consequently, \mathcal{A}_t is a subgroup of G for all $t \in (\frac{1-k}{2}, 1]$.

Corollary 4.8 ([16]). Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of G. Then \mathcal{A}_t is a subgroup of G for all $t \in (0.5, 1]$ if and only if it satisfies:

- (1) $(\forall x, y \in G) (\max\{\mathcal{A}(xy), 0.5\} \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}),$
- (2) $(\forall x, y \in G) (\max{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}), 0.5} \ge \mathcal{A}(x)).$

If \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G, then for any $t \in (0, 1]$, \mathcal{A}_t may not be a subgroup of G as seen in the following example.

Example 4.9. Consider the Klein's 4-group $G = \{e, a, b, c\}$ where the multiplication is defined by Table 2. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of G defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & c \\ 0.35 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G for k = 0.3, but if t > 0.35, then $\mathcal{A}_t = \{a\}$ which is not a subgroup of G and hence we know that \mathcal{A} is not an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G.

We provide characterizations of an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup.

TABLE 2. Multiplication table for G

		a		
e	e	$egin{array}{c} e \\ c \\ b \end{array}$	b	c
a	a	e	c	b
b	b	c	e	a
c	c	b	a	e

Theorem 4.10. For any fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G, the following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G.
- (2) $(\forall t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}])$ $(\mathcal{A}_t \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_t \text{ is a subgroup of } G).$

We say that \mathcal{A}_t is an $(\in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -level subgroup of \mathcal{A} in G.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G and let $t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]$ such that $\mathcal{A}_t \neq \emptyset$. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_t$. Using Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \min\{t, \frac{1-k}{2}\} = t$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \min{\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}} \ge \min{\{t, \frac{1-k}{2}\}} = t.$$

Hence $xy \in \mathcal{A}_t$ and $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_t$, i.e., \mathcal{A}_t is a subgroup of G.

Conversely, suppose that (2) is valid. If there exist $a, b \in G$ such that

 $\mathcal{A}(ab) < \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \mathcal{A}(b), \frac{1-k}{2}\},\$

then $\mathcal{A}(ab) < t \leq \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \mathcal{A}(b), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for some $t \in (0, 1]$. Then $t \leq \frac{1-k}{2}$ and so $t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]$, and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_t$. But $ab \notin \mathcal{A}_t$, which is a contradiction. Therefore

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

for all $x, y \in G$. If there exists $a \in G$ such that $\mathcal{A}(a^{-1}) < \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$, then $\mathcal{A}(a^{-1}) < t_a \leq \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for some $t_a \in (0, 1]$. Then $t_a \leq \frac{1-k}{2}$ and so $t_a \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]$, and $a \in \mathcal{A}_{t_a}$. But $a^{-1} \notin \mathcal{A}_{t_a}$. This is impossible. Therefore $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for all $x \in G$. Using Theorem 4.5, we conclude that \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G. \Box

Corollary 4.11 ([4, Theorem 3.7]). For any fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G, the following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G.
- (2) $(\forall t \in (0, 0.5]) (\mathcal{A}_t \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_t \text{ is a subgroup of } G).$

Theorem 4.12. If \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G, then

$$(\forall t \in (\frac{1-k}{2}, 1]) \ (Q_{k}(\mathcal{A}; t) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Q_{k}(\mathcal{A}; t) \text{ is a subgroup of } G).$$

Proof. Assume that $\underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A};t) \neq \emptyset$ for $t \in (\frac{1-k}{2},1]$. Let $x, y \in \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. Then $[x;t] \underline{q}_k \mathcal{A}$ and $[y;t] \underline{q}_k \mathcal{A}$, that is, $\mathcal{A}(x) + t \geq 1 - k$ and $\mathcal{A}(y) + t \geq 1 - k$. Using Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \geq \min\{1-k-t, \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \min\{1-k-t, \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

Since $t \in (\frac{1-k}{2}, 1]$, we have $1-k-t < \frac{1-k}{2}$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge 1-k-t$ and $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge 1-k-t$ so that $xy \in \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A}; t)$ and $x^{-1} \in \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A}; t)$. Hence $\underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A}; t)$ is a subgroup of G.

If we take k = 0 in Theorem 4.12, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.13. If \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G, then

$$(\forall t \in (0.5, 1]) \ (Q(\mathcal{A}; t) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Q(\mathcal{A}; t) \text{ is a subgroup of } G).$$

Theorem 4.14. For any fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G, the following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G.
- (2) $(\forall t \in (0,1])$ $(\underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$ is a subgroup of G).

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G and let $t \in (0, 1]$ such that $\underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A}; t) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A}; t)$. Then $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$ or $\mathcal{A}(x) + t \geq 1 - k$. Using Theorem 4.5(2), we obtain

(4.1)
$$\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}.$$

We consider two cases: $\mathcal{A}(x) \leq \frac{1-k}{2}$ and $\mathcal{A}(x) > \frac{1-k}{2}$. For the first case, we have $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \mathcal{A}(x)$ by (4.1). Thus if $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$, then $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq t$, and so $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_t \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. If $\mathcal{A}(x) + t \geq 1 - k$, then $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) + t \geq \mathcal{A}(x) + t \geq 1 - k$ which implies that $[x^{-1};t] \underline{q}_k \mathcal{A}$, i.e., $x^{-1} \in \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A};t) \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. Combining the second case and (4.1) induces $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$. If $t \leq \frac{1-k}{2}$, then $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq t$ and hence $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_t \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. If $t > \frac{1-k}{2}$, then $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) + t \geq \frac{1-k}{2} = 1 - k$, which implies that $[x^{-1};t] \underline{q}_k \mathcal{A}$, i.e., $x^{-1} \in \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A};t) \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. Now, let $x, y \in \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. Then we can consider the following four cases:

- (i) $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_t$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}(x) \ge t$ and $\mathcal{A}(y) \ge t$,
- (ii) $x, y \in \underline{Q}_{k}(\mathcal{A}; t)$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}(x) + t \ge 1 k$ and $\mathcal{A}(y) + t \ge 1 k$,
- (iii) $x \in \mathcal{A}_t$ and $y \in \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A}; t)$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}(x) \ge t$ and $\mathcal{A}(y) + t \ge 1 k$,
- (iv) $x \in \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A}; t)$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}_t$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}(x) + t \ge 1 k$ and $\mathcal{A}(y) \ge t$.

Since \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G, we have

(4.2)
$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

by Theorem 4.5(1). Using (i) and (4.2), we get $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{t, \frac{1-k}{2}\}$. If $t \leq \frac{1-k}{2}$, then $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq t$, i.e., $xy \in \mathcal{A}_t \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. If $t > \frac{1-k}{2}$, then $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$ and so $\mathcal{A}(xy) + t > \frac{1-k}{2} + \frac{1-k}{2} = 1 - k$. Hence $xy \in Q_k(\mathcal{A};t) \subseteq \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A};t) \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. Case (ii) and (4.2) imply that $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{1-k-t, \frac{1-k}{2}\}$. If $t \leq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. Case (ii) and (4.2) imply that $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{1-k-t, \frac{1-k}{2}\}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{1-k}{2}, \mbox{ then } \mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \frac{1-k}{2} \geq t. \mbox{ Hence } xy \in \mathcal{A}_t \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t). \mbox{ If } t > \frac{1-k}{2}, \mbox{ then } \\ \mathcal{A}(xy) \geq 1-k-t \mbox{ which implies that } xy \in \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A};t) \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t). \mbox{ Combining } \\ \mbox{case (iii) and (4.2), we have } \mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{t, 1-k-t, \frac{1-k}{2}\}. \mbox{ If } t \leq \frac{1-k}{2}, \mbox{ then } \\ \mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{t, 1-k-t\} = t \mbox{ and so } xy \in \mathcal{A}_t \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t). \mbox{ If } t > \frac{1-k}{2}, \mbox{ then } \\ \mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{1-k-t, \frac{1-k}{2}\} = 1-k-t \mbox{ and thus } xy \in \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A};t) \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t). \\ \\ \mbox{ Similarly we have } xy \in \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t) \mbox{ from the case (iv) and (4.2). Consequently, } \\ \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t) \mbox{ is a subgroup of } G. \end{array}$

Conversely, suppose that (2) is valid. If there exists $x \in G$ such that $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) < \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$, then $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) < t \leq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for some $t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]$. It follows that $x \in \mathcal{A}_t \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$ but $x^{-1} \notin \mathcal{A}_t$. Also, we have $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) + t < 2t \leq 1-k$, and so $[x^{-1};t]\overline{q_k}\mathcal{A}$, i.e., $x^{-1} \notin \underline{Q}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$. Therefore $x^{-1} \notin \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t)$, a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for all $x \in G$. Assume that there exist $a, b \in G$ such that $\mathcal{A}(ab) < \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \mathcal{A}(b), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(ab) < t_0 \leq \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \mathcal{A}(b), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for some $t_0 \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]$. It follows that $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{t_0} \subseteq \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t_0)$ so that $ab \in \underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t_0)$ because $\underline{U}_k(\mathcal{A};t_0)$ is a subgroup of G. Thus $\mathcal{A}(ab) \geq t_0$ or $\mathcal{A}(ab) + t_0 \geq 1-k$, a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for all $x, y \in G$. Using Theorem 4.5, we conclude that \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G.

If we take k = 0 in Theorem 4.14, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.15. A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G is an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G if and only if it satisfies:

 $(\forall t \in (0,1]) \ (\underline{U}(\mathcal{A};t) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \underline{U}(\mathcal{A};t) \text{ is a subgroup of } G).$

Theorem 4.16. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G.

- (1) If there exists $x \in G$ such that $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$, then $\mathcal{A}(e) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{A}(e) < \frac{1-k}{2}$, then \mathcal{A} is an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$ for some $x \in G$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \min{\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}} = \frac{1-k}{2}$$

by Theorem 4.5(2), and so

$$\mathcal{A}(e) = \mathcal{A}(xx^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \frac{1-k}{2}$$

by Theorem 4.5(1).

(2) Assume that $\mathcal{A}(e) < \frac{1-k}{2}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(x) < \frac{1-k}{2}$ for all $x \in G$ by (1). It follows from Theorem 4.5 that

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}$$

and $\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \mathcal{A}(x)$ for all $x, y \in G$. Therefore \mathcal{A} is an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G.

Corollary 4.17 ([4, Theorem 3.5]). Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G.

- (1) If there exists $x \in G$ such that $\mathcal{A}(x) \ge 0.5$, then $\mathcal{A}(e) \ge 0.5$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{A}(e) < 0.5$, then \mathcal{A} is an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G.

Theorem 4.18. Let G be a group of prime order. If \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G such that $\mathcal{A}(a) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$ for some element $a \neq e \in G$, then $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$ for all $x \in G$.

Proof. Let $x \in G$ and assume that there exists an element $a(\neq e) \in G$ such that $\mathcal{A}(a) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$. Then G = (a), and so $x = a^m$ for some positive integer m. Using Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(a^2) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \mathcal{A}(a), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \frac{1-k}{2},$$

$$\mathcal{A}(a^3) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(a^2), \mathcal{A}(a), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \frac{1-k}{2},$$

and so on. Generally, we get $\mathcal{A}(a^n) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$ for every positive integer n, and thus $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$ for all $x \in G$. \Box

Corollary 4.19 ([4, Theorem 3.6]). Let G be a group of prime order and let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G. If there exists one element $a(\neq e) \in G$ such that $\mathcal{A}(a) \geq 0.5$, then $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq 0.5$ for all $x \in G$.

Theorem 4.20. Let $G_0 \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G_r = G$ be a chain of subgroups of G. Then there exists an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G whose $(\in \lor q_k)$ -level subgroups are precisely the members of the chain.

Proof. Let $\{t_i \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}] \mid i = 1, 2, ..., r\}$ be such that $t_1 > t_2 > \cdots > t_r$. Define a fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G by

$$\mathcal{A}: G \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} t_e \ (> \frac{1-k}{2}) & \text{if } x = e, \\ t \ (> t_e) & \text{if } x \in G_0 \setminus \{e\}, \\ t_1 & \text{if } x \in G_1 \setminus G_0, \\ t_2 & \text{if } x \in G_2 \setminus G_1, \\ \cdots & \\ t_r & \text{if } x \in G_r \setminus G_{r-1}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{s} = \begin{cases} G_{0} & \text{if } s \in (t_{1}, \frac{1-k}{2}], \\ G_{1} & \text{if } s \in (t_{2}, t_{1}], \\ G_{2} & \text{if } s \in (t_{3}, t_{2}], \\ \dots & \\ G_{r} = G & \text{if } s \in (0, t_{r}]. \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem 4.10, we know that \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G, and clearly whose $(\in \lor q_k)$ -level subgroups are precisely the members of the chain. \Box

Combining Theorems 2.2 and 4.20, we know that if $G_0 = \{e\}$, then \mathcal{A} defined in Theorem 4.20 is an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G.

If we take k = 0 in Theorem 4.20, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.21 ([4]). Let $G_0 \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G_r = G$ be a chain of subgroups of G. Then there exists an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G whose $(\in \lor q)$ -level subgroups are precisely the members of the chain.

Proposition 4.22. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G and let $x, y \in$ G such that $\mathcal{A}(x) < \mathcal{A}(y)$. Then

- (1) $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2} \Rightarrow \min\{\mathcal{A}(xy), \mathcal{A}(yx)\} \geq \frac{1-k}{2}.$ (2) $\mathcal{A}(x) < \frac{1-k}{2} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(xy) = \mathcal{A}(x) = \mathcal{A}(yx).$

Proof. (1) If $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$, then $\mathcal{A}(y) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$, and so $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\frac{1-k}{2}}$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{\frac{1-k}{2}}$. is a subgroup of G by Theorem 4.10, it follows that $xy, yx \in \mathcal{A}_{\frac{1-k}{2}}$ so that

 $\min\{\mathcal{A}(xy), \mathcal{A}(yx)\} \ge \frac{1-k}{2}.$ (2) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}(x) < \frac{1-k}{2}.$ Then

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \mathcal{A}(x)$$

by Theorem 4.5(1) and hypothesis. Now, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \mathcal{A}(xyy^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(xy), \mathcal{A}(y^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(xy), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

by Theorem 4.5. Since $\mathcal{A}(x) < \mathcal{A}(y)$ and $\mathcal{A}(x) < \frac{1-k}{2}$, it follows that $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \mathcal{A}(xy)$. Hence $\mathcal{A}(xy) = \mathcal{A}(x)$. Similarly, one can show that $\mathcal{A}(yx) = \mathcal{A}(x)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.23. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G with $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}) =$ $\{t_1, t_2\}$ where $t_i \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2})$ for i = 1, 2. If \mathcal{A} can be realized as a union of two $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroups \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} of G, then either $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}(e) < \frac{1-k}{2}$ where e is the identity element of G, it follows from Theorem 4.16(2) that \mathcal{A} is an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G. Thus the result follows from [5, Proposition 3.2]. \square

If $t_1 < \frac{1-k}{2} < t_2$, then \mathcal{A} can be expressed as the union of two $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ fuzzy subgroups \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} of G with $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

Example 4.24. In Example 4.9, let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} be fuzzy subsets of G defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & c \\ 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & c \\ 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & c \\ 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. Then \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroups of G for k = 0.2and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$ but $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

Let H be a subset of G. The subgroup generated by H in G is denoted by $\langle H \rangle$.

Definition 4.25. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of G. An $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup \mathcal{B} of G is said to be the $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup generated by \mathcal{A} in G if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and for any $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup \mathcal{C} of G with $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ it must be $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 4.26. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of G with $CardIm(\mathcal{A}) < \infty$. Define subgroups G_i of G as follows:

 $G_0 = \langle \{ x \in G \mid \mathcal{A}(x) \ge \frac{1-k}{2} \} \rangle,$ $G_i = \langle G_{i-1} \cup \{ x \in G \mid \mathcal{A}(x) = \sup \{ \mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_{i-1} \} \rangle$

for i = 1, 2, ..., k where $k < CardIm(\mathcal{A})$ and $G_k = G$. Let \mathcal{A}^* be a fuzzy subset of G defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^*(x) := \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(x) & \text{if } x \in G_0, \\ \sup\{\mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_{i-1}\} & \text{if } x \in G_i \setminus G_{i-1} \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{A}^* is the $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup generated by \mathcal{A} in G.

Proof. Obviously, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ by the construction of \mathcal{A}^* . Furthermore, the G_i 's from a chain

$$G_0 \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G_k = G$$

of subgroups ending at G. We first show that \mathcal{A}^* is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G. Let $x, y \in G$. If $x, y \in G_0$, then $xy \in G_0$ and $x^{-1} \in G_0$ since G_0 is a subgroup of G. Using Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\mathcal{A}^*(xy) = \mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}^*(x), \mathcal{A}^*(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}^{*}(x^{-1}) = \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}^{*}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

Now let $x \in G_i \setminus G_{i-1}$ and $y \in G_j \setminus G_{j-1}$. We may assume that i < j without loss of generality. Then $x, y \in G_i$ and so $xy, x^{-1} \in G_i$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{A}^*(xy) \geq \sup\{\mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_{j-1}\}$$

$$\geq \min\{\sup\{\mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_{i-1}\}, \sup\{\mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_{j-1}\}, \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{A}^*(x), \mathcal{A}^*(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^*(x^{-1}) &\geq \sup\{\mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_{j-1}\} \\
&\geq \min\{\sup\{\mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_{i-1}\}, \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\
&= \min\{\mathcal{A}^*(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{A}^* is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G whose $(\in \lor q_k)$ -level subgroups are precisely the members of the above chain by Theorem 4.20. Now, let \mathcal{B} be any $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G with $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. If $x \in G_0$, then $\mathcal{A}^*(x) = \mathcal{A}(x) \leq \mathcal{B}(x)$. Let $\{B_{t_i}\}$ be the class of $(\in \lor q_k)$ -level subgroups of \mathcal{B} in G. Let $x \in G_1 \setminus G_0$. Then $\mathcal{A}^*(x) = \sup \{\mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_0\}$ and $G_1 = \langle K_1 \rangle$ where

$$K_1 = G_0 \cup \{ x \in G \mid \mathcal{A}(x) = \sup \{ \mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_0 \} \}.$$

TABLE 3. Multiplication table for S_3

	1	(123)	(132)	(23)	(13)	(12)
1	1	(123)	(132)	(23)	(13)	(12)
(123)	(123)	(132)	1	(13)	(12)	(23)
(132)	(132)	1	(123)	(12)	(23)	(13)
(23)	(23)	(12)	(13)	1	(132)	(123)
(13)	(13)	(23)	(12)	(123)	1	(132)
(12)	(12)	(13)	(23)	(132)	(123)	1

Let $x \in K_1 \setminus G_0$. Then $\mathcal{A}(x) = \sup \{ \mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_0 \} \}$. Since $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, it follows that

$$\sup\{\mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_0\} \le \inf\{\mathcal{B}(x) \mid x \in K_1 \setminus G_0\} \le \mathcal{B}(x).$$

Putting $t_{i1} = \inf \{ \mathcal{B}(x) \mid x \in K_1 \setminus G_0 \}$, we have $x \in \mathcal{B}_{t_{i1}}$ and hence $K_1 \setminus G_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{t_{i1}}$. Since $G_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{t_{i1}}$, we get $G_1 = \langle K_1 \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{t_{i1}}$. Thus $\mathcal{B}(x) \ge t_{i1}$ for all $x \in G_1$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{A}^*(x) = \sup\{\mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in G \setminus G_0\} \le t_{i1} \le \mathcal{B}(x)$$

for all $x \in G_1 \setminus G_0$. Similarly, we can prove that $\mathcal{A}^*(x) \leq \mathcal{B}(x)$ for all $x \in G_i \setminus G_{i-1}$ where $2 \leq i \leq k$. Consequently, \mathcal{A}^* is the $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup generated by \mathcal{A} in G. \Box

5. $(\in, \in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroups

Definition 5.1 ([2]). A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G is called an (\in, \in) -fuzzy normal subgroup of G if it is an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G that satisfies:

 $(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\forall t \in (0, 1]) \ ([x; t] \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow [y^{-1}xy; t] \in \mathcal{A}).$

Definition 5.2 ([2]). A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G is called an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G if it is an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G that satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\forall t \in (0, 1]) \ ([x; t] \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow [y^{-1}xy; t] \in \lor q\mathcal{A}).$$

Definition 5.3. A fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G is called an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G if it is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G that satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\forall t \in (0, 1]) \ ([x; t] \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow [y^{-1}xy; t] \in \lor q_k \mathcal{A}).$$

An $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G with k = 0 is called an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G. Every (\in, \in) -fuzzy normal subgroup is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup. However, the converse may not be true.

Example 5.4. Consider the symmetric group

$$S_3 := \{1, (123), (132), (23), (13), (12)\}\$$

of degree 3 in which the multiplication is defined by Table 3. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy

subset of S_3 defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (123) & (132) & (23) & (13) & (12) \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of S_3 for k = 0.4. But, since $\mathcal{A}((12)(13)) = \mathcal{A}((123)) = 0.5 \neq 0.8 = \mathcal{A}((132)) = \mathcal{A}((13)(12)), \mathcal{A}$ is not an (\in, \in) -fuzzy normal subgroup of S_3 . Let

 $\Omega := \{k \in [0,1) \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ is an } (\in, \in \lor q_k) \text{-fuzzy normal subgroup of } S_3\}.$

Then $\inf \Omega$ is equal to 0.2.

Theorem 5.5. For an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup \mathcal{A} of G, the following are equivalent.

- (1) \mathcal{A} is $(\in, \in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -fuzzy normal.
- (2) $(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}).$ (3) $(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx), \frac{1-k}{2}\}).$ (4) $(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}).$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that there exist $a, b \in G$ such that $\mathcal{A}(b^{-1}ab) < d^{-1}ab$ $\min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \frac{1-k}{2}\}. \text{ Then } \mathcal{A}(b^{-1}ab) < t \le \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \text{ for some } t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}].$ It follows that $[a;t] \in \mathcal{A}$ and $[b^{-1}ab;t] \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}(b^{-1}ab) + t < 2t \leq t$ 1-k, and so $[b^{-1}ab; t] \overline{q_k} \mathcal{A}$. Therefore $[b^{-1}ab; t] \overline{\in \lor q_k} \mathcal{A}$, a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$ (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $x, y \in G$ and $t \in (0, 1]$ be such that $[x; t] \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$,

and thus

(5.1)
$$\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \min\{t, \frac{1-k}{2}\}.$$

Suppose that $[y^{-1}xy;t] \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) < t$. If $t < \frac{1-k}{2}$, then $\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) \geq t$ by (5.1). This is a contradiction, and so $t \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) + t > 2\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) \ge 2\min\{t, \frac{1-k}{2}\} = 1 - k,$$

i.e., $[y^{-1}xy;t] q_k \mathcal{A}$. Hence $[y^{-1}xy;t] \in \forall q_k \mathcal{A}$ and consequently \mathcal{A} is $(\in, \in$ $\vee q_k$)-fuzzy normal.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Using (2), we have $\mathcal{A}(xy) = \mathcal{A}(y^{-1}yxy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for all $x, y \in G$. Now (3) implies that

$$\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(yy^{-1}x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

for all $x, y \in G$.

.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$. Using Theorem 4.5 and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) &\geq & \min\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}), \mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy), \frac{1-k}{2}\}\\ &\geq & \min\{\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}, \min\{\mathcal{A}(xyy^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\}, \frac{1-k}{2}\}\\ &= & \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$.

$$(4) \Rightarrow (2)$$
. Using Theorem 4.5 and (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) &= \mathcal{A}(xx^{-1}y^{-1}xy) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}, \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 5.6 ([4]). For an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy subgroup \mathcal{A} of G, the following assertions are equivalent.

- (1) \mathcal{A} is $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy normal.
- (2) $(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\}).$
- (3) $(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx), 0.5\}).$

(4) $(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\}).$

Theorem 5.7. For any fuzzy subset \mathcal{A} of G, the following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G.
- (2) $(\forall t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]) (\mathcal{A}_t \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_t \text{ is a normal subgroup of } G).$

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G and let $t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]$ such that $\mathcal{A}_t \neq \emptyset$. Then \mathcal{A}_t is a subgroup of G by Theorem 4.10. We now show that \mathcal{A}_t is normal. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}_t$ and $y \in G$. Then $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$ and so

$$\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \min\{t, \frac{1-k}{2}\} = t$$

by Theorem 5.5. Hence $y^{-1}xy \in \mathcal{A}_t$, that is, \mathcal{A}_t is normal.

Conversely, let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of G such that the nonempty level set \mathcal{A}_t is a normal subgroup of G for all $t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]$. Using Theorem 4.10, \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G. Assume that $\mathcal{A}(b^{-1}ab) < \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for some $a, b \in G$. Then there exists $t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]$ such that $\mathcal{A}(b^{-1}ab) < t \leq \min\{\mathcal{A}(a), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$. Then $a \in \mathcal{A}_t$ but $b^{-1}ab \notin \mathcal{A}_t$, a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}xy) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$ for all $x, y \in G$. It follows from Theorem 5.5 that \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G.

If we take k = 0 in Theorem 5.7, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.8 ([4]). Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G. Then \mathcal{A}_t is a normal subgroup of G for all $t \leq 0.5$. Conversely, if \mathcal{A} is a fuzzy subset of G such that \mathcal{A}_t is a normal subgroup of G for all $t \leq 0.5$, then \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G.

Definition 5.9. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of G. For any $x \in G$, the fuzzy subset

$$\mathcal{A}_x^l: G \to [0,1], \ y \mapsto \mathcal{A}(yx^{-1})$$

(resp.
$$\mathcal{A}_x^r : G \to [0,1], y \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}y)$$
)

is called the *fuzzy left* (resp. *right*) *coset* of G determined by x and A.

365

If \mathcal{A} is an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G, then \mathcal{A} is an (\in, \in) -fuzzy normal if and only if $\mathcal{A}_x^l = \mathcal{A}_x^r$ for all $x \in G$. However, if \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G, then \mathcal{A}_x^l may not be equal to \mathcal{A}_x^r as shown by the following example.

Example 5.10. Consider the $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup \mathcal{A} of S_3 for k = 0.4 as defined in Example 5.4. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{(13)}^{l}((23)) = \mathcal{A}((23)(13)^{-1}) = \mathcal{A}((23)(13)) = \mathcal{A}((132)) = 0.8$$

and

J

 \mathcal{A}

$$\mathcal{A}_{(13)}^r((23)) = \mathcal{A}((13)^{-1}(23)) = \mathcal{A}((13)(23)) = \mathcal{A}((123)) = 0.5.$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}_{(13)}^{l}((23)) \neq \mathcal{A}_{(13)}^{r}((23)).$

Definition 5.11. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G. For any $x \in G$, $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x$ (resp. $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x$) : $G \to [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(y) = \min\{\mathcal{A}_x^l(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \text{ (resp. } \overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(y) = \min\{\mathcal{A}_x^r(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\})$$

is called the $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy left (resp. right) coset of G determined by x and \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 5.12. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G. Then \mathcal{A} is $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal if and only if $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x = \overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x$ for all $x \in G$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G. Let $x \in G$. For every $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{A}}_{x}(g) &= \min\{\mathcal{A}_{x}^{l}(g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(gx^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\}, \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}_{x}^{r}(g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{x}(g) \end{aligned}$$

by using Theorem 5.5. Similarly, $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(g) \leq \overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(g)$ and so $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(g) = \overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(g)$ for all $x \in G$.

Conversely, assume that $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x = \overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x$ for all $x \in G$. Then

$$\min\{\mathcal{A}_x^l(g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}_x^r(g), \frac{1-k}{2}\},\$$

that is, $\min\{\mathcal{A}(gx^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\}\$ for all $g \in G$. Taking g = xyx implies that $\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$. Using Theorem 5.5, we conclude that \mathcal{A} is $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal.

When \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal the $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy coset of G determined by x and \mathcal{A} is denoted by \mathcal{A}_x . Let $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy cosets of \mathcal{A} in G. Define a multiplication "." on $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ by

(5.2)
$$\mathcal{A}_x \cdot \mathcal{A}_y = \mathcal{A}_{xy}$$

for all $\mathcal{A}_x, \mathcal{A}_y \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\mathcal{A}_x = \mathcal{A}_y$ and $\mathcal{A}_u = \mathcal{A}_v$ where $x, y, u, v \in G$. Then $\mathcal{A}_x(g) = \mathcal{A}_y(g)$ and $\mathcal{A}_u(g) = \mathcal{A}_v(g)$, i.e.,

(5.3)
$$\min\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

and

(5.4)
$$\min\{\mathcal{A}(u^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(v^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

for all $g \in G$. Replacing g by $x^{-1}g$ (resp. gv^{-1}) in (5.4) (resp. (5.3)) and using Theorem 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{xu}(g) &= \min\{\mathcal{A}((xu)^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(u^{-1}x^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{A}(v^{-1}x^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}gv^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\}, \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}gv^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(y^{-1}gv^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mathcal{A}(v^{-1}y^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\}, \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{A}((yv)^{-1}g), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{yv}(g). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can show that $\mathcal{A}_{yv}(g) \geq \mathcal{A}_{xu}(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{xu} = \mathcal{A}_{yv}$, which shows that (5.2) is well defined. It can be easily verified that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ is a group with \mathcal{A}_e as the identity element and $\mathcal{A}_x^{-1} = \mathcal{A}_{x^{-1}}$ for any $\mathcal{A}_x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 5.13. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G. Then the fuzzy subset $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ defined by

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}) \to [0,1], \ \mathcal{A}_x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x)$$

is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}_x, \mathcal{A}_y \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$. Using Theorems 4.5 and 5.5, we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_x \cdot \mathcal{A}_y) &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_{xy}) = \mathcal{A}(xy) \\ \geq & \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= & \min\{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_x), \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}, \end{split}$$

 $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_x^{-1}) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_{x^{-1}}) = \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_x), \frac{1-k}{2}\},$ and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_x \cdot \mathcal{A}_y) &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_{xy}) = \mathcal{A}(xy) \\ \geq & \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= & \min\{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_{yx}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= & \min\{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_y \cdot \mathcal{A}_x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}. \end{split}$$

Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ by Theorems 4.5 and 5.5.

Theorem 5.14. For any $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy (normal) subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$, there exists an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy (normal) subgroup of G.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}^* be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ and define a fuzzy subset \mathcal{B} of G by $\mathcal{B}(x) = \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_x)$ for all $x \in G$. For any $x, y \in G$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}(xy) = \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_{xy}) = \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_x \cdot \mathcal{A}_y)$$

$$\geq \min\{\mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_x), \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{B}(x), \mathcal{B}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(x^{-1}) = \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_{x^{-1}}) = \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_x^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{B}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}.$$

Hence \mathcal{B} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G. Next, assume that \mathcal{A}^* is $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal. Then

$$\mathcal{B}(xy) = \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_{xy}) = \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_x \cdot \mathcal{A}_y)$$

$$\geq \min\{\mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_y \cdot \mathcal{A}_x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_{yx}), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{B}(yx), \frac{1-k}{2}\}.$$

It follows from Theorem 5.5 that \mathcal{B} is $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal.

Theorem 5.15. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G which is not an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G. Let $H := \{x \in G \mid \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_e \subseteq \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x\}$. Then

(1) *H* is a subgroup of *G* and $H = \{x \in G \mid \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_e = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x\}$. (2) If \mathcal{A} is $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal, then *H* is normal.

Proof. (1) If $x \in H$, then $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_e \subseteq \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x$ and so $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_e(y) \leq \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(y)$ for all $y \in G$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(yx^{-1}) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} &= \min\{\mathcal{A}_x^l(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(y) \geq \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_e(y) &= \min\{\mathcal{A}_e^l(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{A}(ye^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} &= \min\{\mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in G$. By taking y = e and using Theorem 4.16, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(e), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \frac{1-k}{2},$$

and hence $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \frac{1-k}{2}$, i.e., $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\underline{1-k}}$. This shows that $H \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\underline{1-k}}$. Now let $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\underline{1-k}}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(yx^{-1}) &\geq & \min\{\mathcal{A}(y), \mathcal{A}(x^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\}\\ &\geq & \min\{\mathcal{A}(y), \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\}, \frac{1-k}{2}\}\\ &= & \min\{\mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in G$. It follows that

 $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(y) = \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \ge \min\{\mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_e(y)$

for all $y \in G$ so that $\overleftarrow{A}_e \subseteq \overleftarrow{A}_x$, i.e., $x \in H$. Therefore $H = \mathcal{A}_{\underline{1-k}}$ which is a subgroup of G by Theorem 4.10. Obviously, $\{x \in G \mid \overleftarrow{A}_e = \overleftarrow{A}_x\} \subseteq H$. Let $x \in H$. Then $\overleftarrow{A}_e(e) \leq \overleftarrow{A}_x(e)$, and so $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$. For any $y \in G$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_e(y) &= \min\{\mathcal{A}(y), \frac{1-k}{2}\} &= \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx^{-1}x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx^{-1}), \mathcal{A}(x), \frac{1-k}{2}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} &= \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(y), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x \subseteq \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_e$. Hence $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_e$, and thus $H = \{x \in G \mid \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_e = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x\}$. (2) If \mathcal{A} is $(\in, \in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -fuzzy normal, then $H = \mathcal{A}_{\underline{1-k}}$ is normal by Theorem

(2) If \mathcal{A} is $(\in, \in \vee \mathbf{q}_k)$ -ruzzy normal, then $H = \mathcal{A}_{\frac{1-k}{2}}$ is normal by Theorem 5.7.

Theorem 5.16. Let \mathcal{A} be an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G which is not an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G. If \mathcal{A} is $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy normal, then the following assertion is valid.

$$(\forall x, y \in G) \ (\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_y \Rightarrow (\forall t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}])(x\mathcal{A}_t = y\mathcal{A}_t)).$$

Proof. Let $t \in (0, \frac{1-k}{2}]$ and $x, y \in G$ such that $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_y$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}(yx^{-1}) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(yx^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(y) = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_y(y)$$
$$= \min\{\mathcal{A}(yy^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(e), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$
$$= \frac{1-k}{2} \geq t,$$

and so $yx^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_t$. Hence $x\mathcal{A}_t = y\mathcal{A}_t$.

The converse of Theorem 5.16 is not true as shown by the next example.

Example 5.17. Consider the dihedral group

$$D_4 = \{1, \sigma, \sigma^2, \sigma^3, \tau, \sigma\tau, \sigma^2\tau, \sigma^3\tau\}$$

of order 8 where the multiplication is defined by Table 4. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of G defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma & \sigma^2 & \sigma^3 & \tau & \sigma\tau & \sigma^2\tau & \sigma^3\tau \\ 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor \mathbf{q}_k)$ -fuzzy normal subgroup of G for k = 0.26, and $\mathcal{A}_{0.3} = \{1, \sigma, \sigma^2, \sigma^3\}$. Hence $\tau \mathcal{A}_{0.3} = \{\tau, \sigma\tau, \sigma^2\tau, \sigma^3\tau\} = \sigma^3\tau \mathcal{A}_{0.3}$. But $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{\tau}(\tau) = 0.37$ and $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{\sigma^3\tau}(\tau) = 0.3$, and so $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{\tau} \neq \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{\sigma^3\tau}$.

	1	σ	σ^2	σ^3	au	$\sigma \tau$	$\sigma^2 \tau$	$\sigma^3 \tau$
1	1	σ	σ^2	σ^3	au	$\sigma \tau$	$\sigma^2 \tau$	$\sigma^3 \tau$
σ	σ	σ^2	σ^3	1	$\sigma \tau$	$\sigma^2 \tau$	$\sigma^3 \tau$	au
	σ^2							
	σ^3							
	au							
	$\sigma \tau$							
	$\sigma^2 \tau$							
$\sigma^3 \tau$	$\sigma^{3}\tau$	$\sigma^2 \tau$	$\sigma \tau$	au	σ^3	σ^2	σ	1

TABLE 4. Multiplication table for D_4

Theorem 5.18. Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy subset of G and

 $H := \{ x \in G \mid \mathcal{A}(x) \ge \frac{1-k}{2} \}.$

If \mathcal{A} is an $(\in, \in \lor q_k)$ -fuzzy subgroup of G which is not an (\in, \in) -fuzzy subgroup of G, then

$$(\forall x, y \in G) \ (Hx = Hy \Rightarrow \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_y).$$

Proof. Note that $H = \mathcal{A}_{\underline{1-k}}$ which is a subgroup of G. It follows from Theorem 5.15 that $H = \{x \in G \mid \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_e} = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_x}\}$. Assume that Hx = Hy. Then $xy^{-1} \in H$ and so $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_e} = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_{xy^{-1}}}$, i.e.,

(5.5)
$$\min\{\mathcal{A}(z), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(zyx^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\}$$

for all $z \in G$. If we take $z = zy^{-1}$ in (5.5), then

$$\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_y(z) = \min\{\mathcal{A}(zy^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(zx^{-1}), \frac{1-k}{2}\} = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x(z)$$

for all $z \in G$. Therefore $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_x = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_y$.

References

- [1] S. K. Bhakat, ($\in \lor q$)-level subset, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **103** (1999), no. 3, 529–533.
- [2] _____, $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy normal, quasinormal and maximal subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **112** (2000), no. 2, 299–312.
- [3] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das, On the definition of a fuzzy subgroup, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 51 (1992), no. 2, 235–241.
- [4] _____, (\in , \in \lor q)-fuzzy subgroup, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 80 (1996), no. 3, 359–368.
- [5] V. N. Dixit, R. Kumar, and N. Ajmal, Level subgroups and union of fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 37 (1990), no. 3, 359–371.
- [6] D. Dubois and H. Prade, Gradual elements in a fuzzy set, Soft Comput. 12 (2008), 165–175.
- [7] T. Head, A metatheorem for deriving fuzzy theorems from crisp versions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 73 (1995), no. 3, 349–358.
- W.-J. Liu, Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 8 (1982), no. 2, 133–139.

- [9] P. K. Maji, A. R. Roy, and R. Biswas, An application of soft sets in a decision making problem, Comput. Math. Appl. 44 (2002), no. 8-9, 1077–1083.
- [10] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, Comput. Math. Appl. 37 (1999), no. 4-5, 19–31.
- [11] N. P. Mukherjee and P. Bhattacharya, Fuzzy normal subgroups and fuzzy cosets, Inform. Sci. 34 (1984), no. 3, 225–239.
- [12] _____, Fuzzy groups: some group-theoretic analogs, Inform. Sci. 39 (1986), no. 3, 247–268.
- [13] V. Murali, Fuzzy points of equivalent fuzzy subsets, Inform. Sci. 158 (2004), 277-288.
- [14] P. M. Pu and Y. M. Liu, Fuzzy topology. I. Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980), no. 2, 571–599.
- [15] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **35** (1971), 512–517.
- [16] X. Yuan, C. Zhang, and Y. Ren, Generalized fuzzy groups and many-valued implications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 138 (2003), no. 1, 205–211.
- [17] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965), 338–353.

Young Bae Jun Department of Mathematics Education (and RINS) Gyeongsang National University Chinju 660-701, Korea *E-mail address*: skywine@gmail.com

Min Su Kang Department of Mathematics Hanyang University Seoul 133-791, Korea *E-mail address:* sinchangmyun@hanmail.net

Chul Hwan Park School of Digital, Mechanics Ulsan College Ulsan 680-749,Korea *E-mail address:* skyrosemary@gmail.com