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AbStract. In this paper, our main purpose is to consider the quasilinear elliptic equation

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=(p-1) f(u)
$$

on a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$, where $p>1, N>1$ and $f$ is a smooth, increasing function in $[0, \infty)$. We get some estimates of a solution $u$ satisfying $u(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $d(x, \partial \Omega) \rightarrow 0$ under different conditions on $f$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we will be concerned with the boundary behavior for solutions to quasi-linear problem of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=(p-1) f(u) \text { in } \Omega, u(x) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } x \rightarrow \partial \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}, p>1$ is a bounded smooth domain, and let $f(t)$ be a smooth, increasing function in $[0, \infty)$, which satisfies $f(0)=0$. A local weak solution $u$ of (1.1) is said to be a blow-up solution if $u$ is continuous on $\Omega$ and $u(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $d(x, \partial \Omega) \rightarrow 0$.

In [1], the author considered blow-up solutions to the question

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=g(x) f(u) \text { for } x \in \Omega, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $d(x, \partial \Omega) \rightarrow 0$. The following growth condition on $f$ at infinity, first introduce by [2] and [3], is crucial in the investigation of the existence of
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blow-up solutions in this paper:
$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{(p F(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}}<\infty, \text { where } F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s, \quad(F-1)
$$
where $p=2$. Under some conditions on $g$, it is possible to show the existence of a non-negative blow-up solution. Meanwhile, some people also investigate asymptotic boundary estimates of such blow-up solutions and its main result can be listed as follows:
$$
\lim _{\delta(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\phi\left(g(x)^{1 / p} \delta(x)\right)}=1
$$
where $\phi$ is the function defined as $\int_{\phi(s)}^{0} \frac{d t}{(2 F(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}}=s, \delta(x)$ denotes the distance of $x$ from $\partial \Omega$.

In the papers $[2,3]$ the condition $(F-1)$ when $p=2$ was shown to be necessary and sufficient condition for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle u=f(u) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

to admit a blow-up solution on a bounded domain $\Omega$. The investigation in these papers led to several papers where important contributions were made to the question of existence, uniqueness, asymptotic boundary behavior, symmetry and convexity of blow-up solutions. We refer to the papers [4-10] and references therein for such results.

In [5], they considered a secondary effect in the asymptotic behavior of solutions of equation (1.3), namely, the behavior of

$$
\frac{u}{\phi(\delta(x))} \rightarrow 1 \text { as } \delta(x) \rightarrow 0
$$

They derived estimates for this expression under different conditions on $f$, which were valid for a large class of nonlinearities and extended a result of [9].

It was shown in [14] that problem

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u\right)+q(x) u^{-\gamma}=0 \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}
$$

has a positive entire solution if $q \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}\right), 0 \leq \gamma<p-1$, for any

$$
0<\epsilon<(N-p)(p-1-|\gamma|) /(p-1)
$$

such that

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} r^{p+\epsilon-1}+[(N-p)|\gamma| /(p-1)] q(r) d r<\infty
$$

for $r \in(0,1), \delta<1, q(r)=O\left(r^{-\delta}\right)$.
In the recent paper [12], with the aim to investigate the second order term of the expansion of the solution $u(x)$ of equation (1.3), the following condition on $f(t)$ is assumed.

$$
\frac{2 F(t) f(t)}{(f(t))^{2}}=1+[\alpha+o(1)](\log t)^{-1}, \quad F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) d \tau
$$

where $\alpha>1, o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. They show that this condition implies the following inequality:

$$
C t(\log t)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}<(F(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}<C t(\log t)^{\alpha+\varepsilon}
$$

for some $C>0,0<\varepsilon<\alpha-1$. Under this assumption and some additional condition for $f$ it is shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(\delta)\left[1+\frac{\alpha-1}{2(2 \alpha-1)}(N-1) K \delta-\varepsilon \delta-C_{\varepsilon} \delta^{2}\right]<u(x) \\
& \quad<\phi(\delta)\left[1+\frac{\alpha-1}{2(2 \alpha-1)}(N-1) K \delta+\varepsilon \delta+C_{\varepsilon} \delta^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi$ is the function defined as $\int_{\phi(s)}^{0} \frac{d t}{(2 F(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}}=s, \delta=\delta(x)$ denotes the distance of $x$ from $\partial \Omega$ and $K=K(x)$ is the mean curvature of the surface $\{x \in \Omega: \delta(x)=$ constant $\}$.

Motivated by the papers of [5] and [12], we further study the asymptotic behavior of large solutions of (1.1), the results of the semilinear equation are extended to the quasilinear ones. We can find the related results for $p=2$ in [5, 12]. We need some conditions as follows.
$(F-2) F(t) / t^{p}$ is monotone increasing for large t .
$(F-3)$ Let $G(t)=\int_{0}^{t}(F(s))^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d s$. There exist $a, b$, with $1<a<b$, such that

$$
a F / f \leq G / G^{\prime} \leq b F / f
$$

for large $t$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (F-3)^{*} \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1, \delta \rightarrow 0} \sup \phi^{\prime}(\alpha \delta) / \phi^{\prime}(\delta)<\infty \\
& (F-4) C t(\log t)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}<(F(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}<C t(\log t)^{\alpha+\varepsilon}, C>0,0<\varepsilon<\alpha-1, \alpha>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. An estimate in strip domains.

Let us first consider the 1-dimensional problem

$$
\left(\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} \phi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=(p-1) f(\phi) \text { with } \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \phi(x)=\infty
$$

All solutions are of the form $\phi_{c}=\psi_{c}{ }^{-1}$, where $\psi_{c}(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{(p F(s)+c)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$.
By a modification of the method given in [5], we obtain the following Lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $f(t)$ is a smooth, increasing in $[0, \infty)$, satisfying $f(0)=0$ as well as condition $(F-1)$, for any real numbers $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}\left(\phi_{c_{1}}(x)-\phi_{c_{2}}(x)\right)=0$.

Proof. Let $c_{1}>c_{2}$. Then $\phi_{c_{1}}(x)<\phi_{c_{2}}(x)$. Fix $x_{0}$ in the domains of definition of $\phi_{c_{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$. Let it be so close to 0 that $\phi_{c_{i}}>0$. Define $L=\phi_{c_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)-\phi_{c_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $z=\phi_{c_{2}}\left(x+\varepsilon_{0}\right)-L$, where $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ is any small positive number such that $\phi_{c_{2}}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)>0$. It satisfies

$$
\left(\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=(p-1) f\left(\phi_{c_{2}}\left(x+\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right) \geq(p-1) f(z)
$$

for $x<x_{0}, z(0)<\infty$ and $z\left(x_{0}\right)<\phi_{c_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right)$. By $(F-1)$ the difference $z-\phi_{c_{1}}$ cannot have a positive maximum in $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$. We thus have $z(x)<\phi_{c_{1}}(x)$. Since this inequality holds for any $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{c_{1}}(x) \leq \phi_{c_{2}}(x) \leq \phi_{c_{1}}(x)+L \quad \text { in }\left(0, x_{0}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c$ be any positive number. By definition

$$
-\phi_{c}{ }^{\prime}=\sqrt[p]{p F\left(\phi_{c}\right)+c}=\sqrt[p]{p F\left(\phi_{c}\right)} \sqrt[p]{1+\frac{c}{p F\left(\phi_{c}\right)}}
$$

whence

$$
\int_{\phi_{c}(x)}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{(p F(s))^{\frac{1}{p}}}=\int_{0}^{x} \sqrt[p]{1+\frac{c}{p F\left(\phi_{c}\right)}} d \xi=x+(1+o(1)) \frac{c}{p^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d \xi}{F\left(\phi_{c}\right)}
$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$
\phi_{c}(x)=\phi\left(x+(1+o(1)) \frac{c}{p^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d \xi}{F\left(\phi_{c}\right)}\right)
$$

By mean value theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{c}(x)=\phi(x)+\phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})(1+o(1)) \frac{c}{p^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d \xi}{F\left(\phi_{c}\right)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
x \leq \tilde{x} \leq x+(1+o(1))\left(c / p^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d \xi}{F\left(\phi_{c}\right)}
$$

Since $\phi^{\prime}<0$ and $\left(\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} \phi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$,

$$
\left(\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} \phi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\left(-\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\left(-\phi^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\left(-\left|-\phi^{\prime}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

which implies $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p-1}$ is decreasing, $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|>\left|\phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})\right|$. This inequality and (2.2) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq\left|\phi(x)-\phi_{c}(x)\right| \leq\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|(1+o(1)) \frac{c}{p^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d \xi}{F\left(\phi_{c}\right)}=\eta(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.1) there exists a constant $L$ such that $\phi_{c} \geq \phi-L$. Hence

$$
\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d \xi}{F\left(\phi_{c}\right)} \leq \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d \xi}{F(\phi-L)}=\int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{F(s-L)(p F(s))^{1 / p}}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\eta(x) \leq(1+o(1))\left(c / p^{2}\right)(p F(\phi))^{1 / p} \int_{\phi}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{F(s-L)(p F(s))^{1 / p}} \leq \text { const } \int_{\phi}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{F(s-L)}
$$

The assertion now follows from (2.3) and $(F-2)$.

## 3. Estimates for radially symmetric solutions.

In this section we consider radially symmetric solutions in the annuli

$$
A(\rho, R)=\{x: \rho<|x|<R\}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(t):=\frac{1}{F(t)} \int_{0}^{t}(p F(s))^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d s \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now introduce the following notation. Assume that $f$ satisfies the KellerOsserman condition (F-1). Then it is known ( see Lemma 2.1 of [13]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(F(t))^{(p-1) / p}}{f(t)}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by the Bernoulli-1'Hospital rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(t)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a modification of the method given in [5], we obtain the following Lemma. Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $f(t)$ is a smooth, increasing in $[0, \infty)$, satisfying $f(0)=0$ as well as condition $(F-1)$.
(i) Let $v(r)$ be a radial solution of (1.1) in $A(\rho, R)$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow R} v(r)=$ $\infty$.Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(v(r))=R-r-\frac{N-1}{(p-1) p R}(1+o(1)) \int_{r}^{R} \Gamma(v(s)) d \text { s as } r \rightarrow R \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Let $w(r)$ be a radial solution of (1.1) in $A(\rho, R)$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \rho} w(r)=\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(w(r))=r-\rho-\frac{N-1}{(p-1) p \rho}(1+o(1)) \int_{\rho}^{r} \Gamma(w(s)) d s \text { as } r \rightarrow \rho \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first establish the result for the solution $v$. For $r \in(\rho, R)$ it satisfies the equation

$$
\left(\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{N-1}{r}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} v^{\prime}=(p-1) f(v), \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow R} v(r)=\infty .
$$

Multiplication by $v^{\prime}$ and integration yield

$$
\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p}-\left|v^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)\right|^{p}+\frac{p}{p-1}(N-1) \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p}}{s} d s=p\left[F(v(r))-F\left(v\left(r_{0}\right)\right)\right]
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p}+\frac{p}{p-1}(N-1) I=p F(v)\left(1+\frac{g\left(v\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}{F(v)}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
I:=\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p}}{s} d s \text { and } g\left(v\left(r_{0}\right)\right)=\frac{\left|v^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)\right|^{p}}{p}-F\left(v\left(r_{0}\right)\right)
$$

For $r$ sufficiently close to $R, v^{\prime}(r) \geq 0$. Otherwise in every left neighborhood of $R$ there would exist an interval $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ such that $v^{\prime}$ is positive at its end points but negative at some point inside. Since $v$ blows up at $R$ this contradicts the equation. Accordingly we choose $\bar{r}$ sufficiently close to $R$ so that $v$ is monotone increasing in $(\bar{r}, R)$ and assume that $\bar{r} \leq r_{0} \leq R$. Then

$$
\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p} \leq p F(v)\left(1+\frac{g\left(v\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}{F\left(v\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}\right)
$$

and consequently

$$
\left(\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=(p-1) f(v)-\frac{N-1}{r}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} v^{\prime}>(p-1) f(v)-c^{\prime}(F(v))^{(p-1) / p}
$$

for some constant $c^{\prime}$. This inequality and (3.2) imply that $\left|v^{\prime}\right|$ is monotone increasing in a left neighborhood of $R$. Hence

$$
I \leq\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p}(r) \log \frac{r}{r_{0}}=\varepsilon\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p}(r) \text { for } r \in\left(r_{0}, R\right)
$$

By choosing $r_{0}$ sufficiently close to $\mathrm{R}, \varepsilon$ can be made arbitrarily small. Inserting this estimate into (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p}=p F(v)(1+o(1)) \text { as } r \rightarrow R . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=R^{-1}(1+o(1)) \int_{r_{0}}^{r}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p} d s=R^{-1}(1+o(1)) \int_{r_{0}}^{r}(p F(v))^{(p-1) / p} v^{\prime} d s \\
=R^{-1}(1+o(1)) \int_{v\left(r_{0}\right)}^{v(r)}(p F(v))^{(p-1) / p} d v, \text { as } r_{0}, r \rightarrow R,
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that

$$
I / F(v)=(1+o(1)) \frac{\Gamma(v(r))}{R}, \text { as } r \rightarrow R
$$

Inserting this expression into (3.6) we obtain

$$
v^{\prime}(r)=(p F(v))^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(1-\frac{(N-1) \Gamma(v)}{(p-1) R}(1+o(1))\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Hence, by (3.3),

$$
v^{\prime}(r)=(p F(v))^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(1-\frac{(N-1) \Gamma(v)}{(p-1) p R}(1+o(1))\right) \text { as } r \rightarrow R
$$

Dividing this expression by $(p F(v))^{1 / p}$ and integrating, we get

$$
\psi\left(v\left(r_{0}\right)\right)-\psi(v(r))=r-r_{0}-(1+o(1)) \frac{N-1}{(p-1) p R} \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \Gamma(v(s)) d s
$$

Now let $r \rightarrow R$, we obtain (3.4).
The proof of the second assertion is very similar. We omit the details.

Put

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega=\frac{N-1}{(p-1) p R}(1+o(1)) \int_{r}^{R} \Gamma(v(s)) d s \\
& \tilde{\omega}=\frac{N-1}{(p-1) p \rho}(1+o(1)) \int_{\rho}^{r} \Gamma(w(s)) d s \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1

$$
\begin{gather*}
v(r)=\phi(\delta-\omega)=\phi(\delta)-\phi^{\prime}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \omega, \text { where } \delta=R-r \geq \delta^{\prime} \geq \delta-\omega, \\
w(r)=\phi(\delta+\tilde{\omega})=\phi(\delta)+\phi^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\delta^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{\omega}, \text { where } \delta=r-\rho \leq \tilde{\delta}^{\prime} \leq \delta+\tilde{\omega} . \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

This will be the key for the estimates concerning the behavior of the large radial solutions.

By a modification of the method given in [5, 12], we obtain the following main results.

Theorem 3.2. Let $v$ and $w$ be solutions of problem (1.1) in $A(\rho, R)$, as in the previous lemmas, and assume that $f(t)$ is smooth, increasing in $[0, \infty)$ and satisfies conditions $(F-1)$ and $(F-2)$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) Put $\delta=R-r$ and let $o(1)$ denote a quantity which tends to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\delta) \leq v(r) \leq \phi(\delta)\left[1+(1+o(1)) \frac{N-1}{(p-1) R} \delta\right] . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Put $\delta=r-\rho$ and let $o(1)$ denote a quantity which tends to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. If $(F-3)$ holds, then there exists a constant $c_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\delta) \geq w(r) \geq \phi(\delta)+c_{1} \frac{1+o(1)}{(p-1) \rho} \delta^{2} \phi^{\prime}(\delta) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $0<-\delta \phi^{\prime}(\delta) \leq p \phi(\delta / p)$. Alternatively, if $(F-3)^{*}$ holds, then there exists a constant $c_{1}{ }^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\delta) \geq w(r) \geq \phi(\delta)-c_{1}{ }^{*} \frac{1+o(1)}{(p-1) \rho} \delta \phi(\delta) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $f$ satisfies condition $(F-4)$, then for some $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and a suitable $C(p)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)<\phi(R-r)\left[1+C(p)(R-r)^{1-\sigma}\right] \text { for } r \text { near } R \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r)>\phi(r-\rho)\left[1-C(p)(r-\rho)^{1-\sigma}\right] \text { for } r \text { near } \rho \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) By the definition of $\Gamma(s)$ and the monotonicity of F

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(v) \leq \frac{p^{1-\frac{1}{p}} v}{(F(v))^{1 / p}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r_{0}$ be sufficiently close to R so that $v(r)$ is increasing for $r>r_{0}$. Then by ( $F-2$ ) and (3.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{R} \Gamma(v(s)) d s \leq \frac{p^{1-\frac{1}{p}} v(r)}{(F(v(r)))^{1 / p}} \delta \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.9) and the fact that $\phi(\delta)$ is decreasing, we have

$$
-\phi^{\prime}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=\left(p F\left(\phi\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq(p F(\phi(\delta-\omega)))^{\frac{1}{p}}=(p F(v(r)))^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

Inserting this inequality in the first part of (3.9) and using (3.8) and (3.16) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r) \leq \phi(\delta)+(p F(v(r)))^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega \leq \phi(\delta)+\frac{N-1}{(p-1) R}(1+o(1)) v(r) \delta . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left inequality in (i) is an immediate consequence of (3.9). To verify the right inequality in (i) we observe that, by (3.17),

$$
v(r) / \phi(\delta) \leq\left(1-\frac{N-1}{(p-1) R}(1+o(1)) \delta\right)^{-1}
$$

Hence

$$
v(r) / \phi(\delta) \leq 1+(1+o(1)) \frac{N-1}{(p-1) R} \delta
$$

This proves (i).
(ii) We turn to the proof of the assertion assuming that $(F-3)^{*}$ holds. Since $\tilde{w} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup \frac{\phi^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\delta^{\prime}}\right)}{\phi^{\prime}(\delta+\tilde{\omega})} \leq c<\infty
$$

Consequently, by the second part of (3.9),

$$
w(r)-\phi(\delta) \geq c \tilde{\omega} \phi^{\prime}(\delta+\tilde{\omega})=-c \tilde{\omega}(p F(w))^{1 / p}
$$

Further, by $(F-2),(3.17)$ and (3.10),

$$
\tilde{\omega}(p F(w))^{1 / p} \leq \frac{N-1}{(p-1) \rho}(1+o(1)) w(r) \delta .
$$

The last two inequalities and (3.9) imply (3.14).
Next we prove the assertion assuming that $(F-3)$ holds. Let $\gamma:=\Gamma \circ w$. Then, by (3.9) and (3.1)
$\gamma^{\prime}(r)=\Gamma^{\prime}(w(r)) w^{\prime}(r)=-\Gamma^{\prime}(w(r))(p F(w(r)))^{1 / p}(1+o(1))=p(1+o(1))\left(\frac{f G}{F^{2-\frac{1}{p}}}-1\right)$,
where $o(1)$ is a quantity which tends to zero as $r \rightarrow \rho$. Hence, by ( $F-3$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(a-1) \leq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}(r)}{1+o(1)} \leq p(b-1) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (3.3), $\gamma(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \rho$. Therefore, (3.18) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(w(r)) \leq(1+o(1)) p(b-1)(r-\rho) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}(r) \leq c_{1} \frac{1+o(1)}{(p-1) \rho} \delta^{2}, \quad \text { where } c_{1}=(b-1)(N-1) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by (3.9) and (3.20),

$$
\phi(\delta) \geq w(r) \geq \phi(\delta)+\phi^{\prime}(\delta) \omega(r) \geq \phi(\delta)+c_{1} \frac{1+o(1)}{(p-1) \rho} \delta^{2} \phi^{\prime}(\delta)
$$

which proves (3.11).
(iii) According to Lemma 3.1, since $\phi$ is the inverse function of $\psi$, by (3.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)=\phi(R-r)-\phi^{\prime}(\omega) \frac{N-1}{(p-1) p R}(1+o(1)) \int_{r}^{R} \Gamma(v(s)) d s \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R-r>\omega>R-r-\frac{N-1}{(p-1) p R}(1+o(1)) \int_{r}^{R} \Gamma(v(s)) d s \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F$ is increasing and $\phi$ is decreasing, by (3.22) and (3.4) we find

$$
-\phi^{\prime}(\omega)=(p F(\phi(\omega)))^{1 / p} \leq(p F(v))^{1 / p}
$$

Insertion of the latter estimate into (3.21) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)<\phi(R-r)+C_{1} \frac{(p F(v))^{1 / p}}{p(p-1)} \int_{r}^{R} \Gamma(v(s)) d s \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $C_{i}$ suitable positive constants.
By (3.1) and the left-hand side of $(F-4)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(t) \leq \frac{p t}{(p F)^{1 / p}}<C_{2} \frac{p^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{(\log t)^{\alpha-\epsilon}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.23), using the right-hand side of $(F-4)$ and (3.24) we find

$$
v(r)<\phi(R-r)+\frac{C_{3}}{p-1} v(\log (v))^{\alpha+\epsilon} \int_{r}^{R} \frac{1}{(\log (v))^{\alpha-\epsilon}} d s
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)<\phi(R-r)+\frac{C_{4}}{p-1} v(r)(\log (v(r)))^{2 \epsilon}(R-r) \text { for } r \text { near } R . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other side by (3.4) we find, for $r$ near to $R$,

$$
\psi(v(r))>\left(1-\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)(R-r)
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)<\phi\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)(R-r)\right] . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.2) and the left-hand side of $(F-4)$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \phi(s)<C_{5} p^{1 / p}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1-\epsilon}} . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (3.26) implies

$$
\log (v(r))<C_{6} p^{1 / p}\left(\frac{p(p-1)}{\left(p^{2}-p-1\right)(R-r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1-\epsilon}} .
$$

Insertion of the latter estimate into (3.24) yields

$$
v(r)<\phi(R-r)+C(p)(R-r)^{1-\sigma} v(r)
$$

where $C(p)=C_{6} \frac{p^{\frac{1}{p}}}{p-1}\left(\frac{p^{2}-p}{p^{2}-p-1}\right)^{\frac{2 \epsilon}{\alpha-1-\epsilon}}$ and $\sigma=\frac{2 \epsilon}{\alpha-1-\epsilon}$, from which we find

$$
v(r)\left[1-C(p)(R-r)^{1-\sigma}\right] \leq \phi(R-r)
$$

Let us prove (3.14). By (3.5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)=\phi(r-\rho)+\phi^{\prime}(\tilde{\omega}) \frac{N-1}{(p-1) p \rho}(1+o(1)) \int_{\rho}^{r} \Gamma(v(s)) d s \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
r-\rho<\tilde{\omega}<r-\rho+\frac{N-1}{(p-1) p \rho}(1+o(1)) \int_{\rho}^{r} \Gamma(v(s)) d s
$$

Since $\phi^{\prime}(s)$ is increasing, we have $\phi^{\prime}(\tilde{\omega})>\phi^{\prime}(r-\rho)$, and (3.28) implies

$$
v(r)>\phi(r-\rho)+\frac{C_{1}}{p(p-1)} \phi^{\prime}(r-\rho) \int_{\rho}^{r} \Gamma(v(s)) d s
$$

By using (3.24), the last estimate yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)>\phi(r-\rho)-\frac{C_{2}}{p-1}(F(\phi(r-\rho)))^{1 / p} \frac{1}{(\log v)^{\alpha-\epsilon}}(r-\rho) \text { for } r \text { near } \rho . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other side by (3.5) we find, for $r$ near $\rho$

$$
\psi(v(r))<2(r-\rho)
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)>\phi(2(r-\rho)) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.2) and the right-hand side of $(F-4)$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\log \phi(s)}<C_{3} p^{1 / p} s^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1+\epsilon}} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the latter estimate and (3.30) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\log v(r)}<C_{3} p^{1 / p}(2(r-\rho))^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1+\epsilon}} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, using again the right-hand side of $(F-4)$ and (3.27) we have

$$
(F(\phi(r-\rho)))^{1 / p}<C_{4} \phi(r-\rho)(\log (\phi(r-\rho)))^{\alpha+\epsilon}<C_{5} \phi(r-\rho)\left(p^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{\alpha+\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{r-\rho}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon}{\alpha-1-\epsilon}} .
$$

Inserting the latter estimate and (3.32) into (3.29) we find

$$
v(r)>\phi(r-\rho)-C_{6} \phi(r-\rho)\left(p^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{2 \alpha}\left(\frac{1}{r-\rho}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon}{\alpha-1-\epsilon}}(r-\rho)^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{\alpha-1+\epsilon}}(r-\rho) .
$$

Inequality (3.14) follows with $\sigma=\frac{2 \epsilon(2 \alpha-1)}{(\alpha-1)^{2}-\epsilon^{2}}$ and a suitable $M$. Since

$$
\frac{2 \epsilon}{\alpha-1-\epsilon}<\frac{2 \epsilon(2 \alpha-1)}{(\alpha-1)^{2}-\epsilon^{2}}
$$

(3.13) and (3.14) hold both with $\sigma=\frac{2 \epsilon(2 \alpha-1)}{(\alpha-1)^{2}-\epsilon^{2}}$. The Lemma is proved.

## 4. General domains.

In this section we consider bounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. For our purposes, the boundary $\partial \Omega$ is smooth if it is of class $C^{4}$. Furthermore, if $\Omega$ is smooth, then $\partial \Omega$ satisfies a uniform interior and exterior condition. Using Theorem 3 we derive an estimate for large solutions in arbitrary smooth domains. The proof employs a weak comparison method.

By a modification of the method given in [5, 12], we obtain the following results.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, let $f(t)$ be smooth, increasing in $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0)=0$, satisfying $(F-1)$ and $(F-2)$. If $u(x)$ is a solution to problem (1.1), then the following statements hold.
(i) If $f$ satisfies condition $(F-3)^{*}$, there exists a constant $c^{*}$ such that every $u$ of problem (1.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{u(x)}{\phi(\delta(x))}-1\right| \leq \frac{c^{*}}{p-1} \delta \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $f$ satisfies condition $(F-3)$, there exists a constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{p-1} \frac{\delta^{2} \phi^{\prime}(\delta)}{\phi(\delta)} \leq \frac{u(x)}{\phi(\delta(x))}-1 \leq c \delta \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $f$ satisfies condition $(F-4)$, then for some $\sigma \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\delta)\left[1-C(p) \delta^{1-\sigma}\right]<u(x)<\phi(\delta)\left[1+C(p) \delta^{1-\sigma}\right] \text { for } x \text { near } \partial \Omega \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the function defined as $\int_{\phi(s)}^{0} \frac{d t}{(2 F(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}}=s, \delta=\delta(x)$ denotes the distance from $x$ to $\partial \Omega$ and $C(p)$ is a suitable positive constant related with $p$.

Proof. We can make use of Theorem 3.2. If $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, let $A\left(R_{1}, R\right)$ be a small annulus contained in $\Omega$ and such that $\bar{\Omega} \bigcap \bar{A}\left(\left(R_{1}, R\right)\right)=x_{0}$. If $v(x)>0$ is a radial solution of problem (1.1) in $\bar{A}\left(\left(R_{1}, R\right)\right)$, by the comparison principle for elliptic equations we have

$$
u(x) \leq v(x)
$$

Now consider the annulus $A\left(\rho, R_{2}\right)$, whose inner boundary $\Gamma_{\rho}$ is contained in $\mathbf{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega, \Gamma_{\rho} \bigcap \partial \Omega=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$, and moreover $R_{2}>\operatorname{diam} \Omega$. Of course, $\bar{\Omega} \subset \bar{A}\left(\rho, R_{2}\right)$. If $z(x)$ is a positive radial solution of problem (1.1) in $\bar{A}\left(\rho, R_{2}\right)$, then by a comparison argument we have

$$
z(x) \leq u(x)
$$

Then according to Theorem 3.2, the theorem is proved.
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