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#### Abstract

In this paper, our main purpose is to establish the existence of weak solutions of a class of $p-q$-Laplacian system involving concave-convex nonlinearities: $$
\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\triangle_{p} u-\triangle_{q} u=\lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2} u+\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta}, \quad x \in \Omega \\ -\triangle_{p} v-\triangle_{q} v=\theta V(x)|v|^{r-2} v+\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2} v, \quad x \in \Omega \\ u=v=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega \end{array}\right.
$$ where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{N}, \lambda, \theta>0$, and $1<\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta=$ $p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\triangle_{s} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{s-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the s-Laplacian of $u$. when $1<r<q<p<N$, we prove that there exist infinitely many weak solutions. We also obtain some results for the case $1<q<p<r<p^{*}$. The existence results of solutions are obtained by variational methods.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in finding multiple nontrivial weak solutions to the following nonlinear elliptic system of $p-q$-Laplacian type with concave-convex nonlinearities

$$
\begin{cases}-\triangle_{p} u-\triangle_{q} u=\lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2} u+\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta}, & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ -\triangle_{p} v-\triangle \triangle_{q} v=\theta V(x)|v|^{r-2} v+\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2} v, & x \in \Omega \\ u=v=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

[^0]where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{N}, \lambda, \theta>0$, and $1<r<q<p<N, 1<\alpha, \beta$, $\alpha+\beta=p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\triangle_{s} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{s-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the $s$-Laplacian of $u$.

When $u=v, \alpha=\beta$ and $\lambda=\theta$, System (1.1) reduce to the $p$ - $q$-Laplacian equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\triangle_{p} u-\triangle_{q} u=\lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2} u+|u|^{p^{*}-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\
u=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Problem (1.2) comes, for example, from a general reaction-diffusion system

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\operatorname{div}[H(u) \nabla u]+c(x, u) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(u)=|\nabla u|^{p-2}+|\nabla u|^{q-2}$. This system has a wide range of applications in physics and related science such as biophysics, plasma physics and chemical reaction design. Typically, in chemical and biological applications, the reaction term $c(x, u)$ has a polynomial form with respect to the concentration $u$.

Recently, the stationary solution of (1.3) was studied by many authors, that is many works considered the solutions of the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}[H(u) \nabla u]=c(x, u) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for example,see [6.19-21,26].
If $p=q=2,(1.2)$ can be reduced to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\triangle u=\lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2} u+|u|^{2^{*}-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.5}\\
u=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is a normal Schrodinger equation and has been widely studied, see[10$12,23]$.

The solutions of problem (1.5) corresponds to the critical points of the energy functional

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{\lambda}{r} \int_{\Omega} V(x)|u|^{r} d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} d x
$$

defined on $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. When $r=2$, the pioneer result of Brezis-Nirenberg [8] studied problem (1.5) and shows that under some suitable conditions, probnlem (1.5) possesses a positive solution in $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. For more results see $[9,17]$ and reference therein.

The typically difficulty in dealing with problem (1.5) is that the corresponding functional $I(u)$ doesn't satisfy (PS) condition due to the lack of compactness of the embedding: $H_{0}^{1} \hookrightarrow L^{2^{*}}(\Omega)$. Hence we couldn't use the standard variational methods.

However, if $1<r<2$, the situation is quite different, see [5,25]. The main essence is that when $1<r<2$, the functional $I(u)$ is sublinear, when $\lambda$ is small enough, $I(u)$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c<0$, so we can look for critical points of negative critical values of $I(u)$.

For the general $p$-Laplacian problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\triangle_{p} u=\lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2} u+|u|^{p^{*}-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.6}\\
u=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is a special case of (1.2) when $p=q$. Problem (1.6) was also studied by many authors, many results valid for problem (1.5) has been extended to problem (1.6). For example, see $[4,18,27]$. The main difficulty in extending the results for problem (1.5) to the corresponding results for problem (1.6) is that $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is not a Hilbert space in general, then more analysis is needed.

We recall some results about problem (1.4) now. In [26], M.Wu and Z.Yang proved the existence of a nontrivial solution to problem (1.4) with

$$
c(x, u)=a(x)|u|^{p-2} u+b(x)|u|^{q-2} u-f(x, u)
$$

in the whole space $\mathbf{R}^{N}$, where $a(x), b(x)$ are positive functions, also when $a(x) \equiv$ $m, b(x) \equiv n$ are positive constants, it was proved in [19] that problem (1.4) has a nontrivial solution. Recently in [20], G.Li and G.Zhang studied problem (1.4) involving critical exponent with

$$
c(x, u)=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u+\theta|u|^{r-2} u
$$

by using Lusternik-Schnirelman's theory(see also in [4]). Other results see [6,21] and reference therein.

At the same time, much attention has been paid to the existence of solutions for elliptic systems. especially for the following case

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\triangle_{p} u=\lambda|u|^{q-2} u+\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta}, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.7}\\
-\triangle_{p} v=\theta|v|^{q-2} v+\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2} v, \quad x \in \Omega \\
u=v=0 \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha+\beta=p^{*}$. In fact system (1.7) is a special case of (1.1) when $p=q$. When $p=2$ and $q=2$, Alves et al [2] considered (1.7) and proved the existence of least energy solutions for any $\lambda, \theta \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and generalized the corresponding results of $[8]$ to the case of system (1.7), here $\lambda_{1}$ denote the first eigenvalue of operator $-\triangle$. Subsequently, Han [14] considered the existence of multiple positive solutions for(1.7) and in [16] T.S.Hsu studied system (1.7) when $1<$ $q<p<N, \alpha+\beta=p^{*}$, more results see [15,24] etc..

However, as far as we know, there are few results on problem (1.1) with concave-convex nonlinearities. Motivated by [4,16,20], we shall extend the results of the above to problem (1.1). Let us denote the Banach space $H=$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \times W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ in this paper, and for the functions $V(x)$, we add the following assumptions:
$\left(V_{0}\right)$ Suppose $V(x) \in L^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-r}}(\Omega)$ and $V(x)>\sigma>0$ in $\Omega$.
Then we have the following results:

Theorem 1.1. Assume $1<r<q<p<N$, and $\left(V_{0}\right)$ hold. Then there is a positive constant $\Lambda^{*}$ such that for any $0<(\lambda+\theta) \leq \Lambda^{*}$, problem (1.1) possesses infinitely many weak solutions in $H$.

In the present parer, we also consider problem (1.1) for the case: $1<q<p \leq$ $r<p^{*}$, and obtain the following theorem:
Theorem 1.2. If $1<q<p \leq r<p^{*}$ and ( $V_{0}$ ) hold, then there is a $\Lambda_{*}>0$, such that for any $(\lambda+\theta)>\Lambda_{*}$, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.
Remark 1.3. In [4], J.G.Azvrero and I.P.Aloson obtained that there exist a nontrivial solution for (1.6) with $V(x) \equiv 1$ by the Mountain Pass Lemma. In fact, Theorem 1.2 is an extension of Theorem 3.2 in [4] to $p$ - $q$-Laplacian system (1.1).

The present paper is organized as follows, in section 2, we give some preliminary results; in section 3, we will prove the main result, Theorem1.1.; and we will study (1.1) for the case $1<q<p \leq r<p^{*}$, and prove Theorem 1.2 in section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries results

Let $H^{\prime}$ be dual of $H,\langle$,$\rangle the duality paring between H^{\prime}$ and $H$, the norm on $H$ is given by

$$
\|z\|_{p}=\|(u, v)\|_{p}=\left(\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\|v\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

and the norm on $L^{p}(\Omega) \times L^{p}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$
|z|_{p}=|(u, v)|_{p}=\left(|u|_{p}^{p}+|v|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

where $z=(u, v) \in H$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p},|\cdot|_{p}$ are the norm on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p}(\Omega)$ respectively, that is,

$$
\|u\|_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad|u|_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

Throughout this paper, we denote weak converge by $\rightharpoonup$, and denote strong converge by $\rightarrow$, also we denote positive constants(possibly different) by $C_{i}$.

As usually, we also denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha+\beta}=\inf _{u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\left.\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha+\beta} d x\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha, \beta}=\inf _{z \in H \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|z\|^{p}}{\left.\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Easily, we have $\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x \leq S_{\alpha, \beta}^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{p}}\|z\|^{\alpha+\beta}$ and
Lemma 2.1. Assume $1<\alpha, \beta$ and $\alpha+\beta \leq p^{*}, \Omega \in \mathbf{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ be a domain (not necessarily bounded). Then we have

$$
S_{\alpha, \beta}=\left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}\right] S_{\alpha+\beta} .
$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.1. is essentially given in [2] when $p=2$, modifying the proof of [2], we can deduce our result. For the readers' convenience, we give a sketch here.

Suppose $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a minimizing sequence for $S_{\alpha+\beta}$, let $u_{n}=s w_{n}, v_{n}=t w_{n}$, where $s, t>0$ will be chosen later. Then from (2.2), we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha, \beta} \leq \frac{s^{p}+t^{p}}{\left(s^{\alpha} t^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \frac{\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}}=\left[\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{p \beta}{\alpha+\beta}}+\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{p \alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}\right] \frac{\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function

$$
h(x)=x^{\frac{p \beta}{\alpha+\beta}}+x^{-\frac{p \alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}, x>0 .
$$

By a direct calculation, the minimum of the function $h$ is achieved at the point $x_{0}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ with the minimum value

$$
h\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} .
$$

Thus, choosing $s, t>0$ in (2.3) such that $\frac{s}{t}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, we obtain

$$
S_{\alpha, \beta} \leq\left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}\right] S_{\alpha+\beta} .
$$

To complete the proof, let $z_{n}=\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ be a minimizing sequence for $S_{\alpha, \beta}$. Define $\omega_{n}=t_{n} v_{n}$ for some $t_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x & \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x+\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega}\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we deduce from the above inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{p}}{\left.\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} & =t_{n}^{\frac{p \beta}{\alpha+\beta}} \frac{\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{p}}{\left.\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \\
& \geq t_{n}^{\frac{p \beta}{\alpha+\beta}} \frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}{\left.\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}}+t_{n}^{\frac{p \beta}{\alpha+\beta}-p} \frac{\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|^{p}}{\left.\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{\alpha+\beta} d x\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \\
& \geq h\left(t_{n}\right) S_{\alpha+\beta} \\
& \geq h\left(t_{0}\right) S_{\alpha+\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
S_{\alpha, \beta} \geq\left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}\right] S_{\alpha+\beta} .
$$

That's end the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Let's study the energy functional associated with problem (1.1) defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(z) & =E(u, v)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p}+|\nabla v|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{q}+|\nabla v|^{q} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^{r}+\theta V(x)|u|^{r} d x-\frac{2}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $E(z)$ is even and it is well known that $E(z) \in C^{1}(H, R)$ and nontrival critical points of $E(z)$ are weak solutions of problem (1.1). By a weak solution of (1.1)we mean that $(u, v) \in H$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi+|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi+|\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi\right) d x \\
-\lambda \int_{\Omega} V(x)|u|^{r} \varphi d x-\theta \int_{\Omega} V(x)|v|^{r} \psi d x \\
-\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha-2} u v^{\beta} \varphi d x-\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha} v^{\beta-2} v \psi d x=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $(\varphi, \psi) \in E$.
Now, we define the Palais-Smale(PS)-sequence, (PS)-value, and (PS)-conditions in $H$ for $E$ as follows.
Definition 2.2. (I) For $c \in R$, a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \in H$ is a (PS) $)_{c}$-sequence for $E$ if $E\left(z_{n}\right)=c+o(1)$ and $E^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=o(1)$ strongly in $H^{\prime}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(II) $c \in R$ is a (PS)-value for $E$ if there exists a (PS) ${ }_{c}$-sequence in $H$ for $E$.
(III) $E$ satisfies the (PS) ${ }_{c}$-condition in $H$ for $E$ if every $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$-sequence in $H$ for $E$ contains a convergent sub-sequence.

Now we give some results for the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Lemma 2.3. If $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset H$ is a $(P S)_{c}$ secquence for $E$, then $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$.

Proof. Modified the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [16], we can obtain the results.
Lemma 2.4. If $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset H$ is a $(P S)_{c}$ secquence for $E$, then there exists $z \in H$ and $M>0$ such that

$$
E(z) \geq-M(\lambda+\theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}},
$$

where $M$ will be given later.
Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [16].
Lemma 2.5. E satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition with c satisfying

$$
c \leq \frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}-M(\lambda+\theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}} .
$$

Proof. Suppose $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset H$ is a $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence of $E$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(z_{n}\right)=c+o(1), E^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=o(1) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 2.3, we may assume there exist a $z \in H, E^{\prime}(z)=0$, and extracting a subsequence such that $z_{n} \rightharpoonup z$ in $H$, Thus we have that

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u, v_{n} \rightarrow v \text { in } L^{s}(\Omega), 1 \leq s<p^{*}
$$

and $u_{n} \rightarrow u, v_{n} \rightarrow v$ a.e. on $\Omega$. Hence we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{r}+\theta V(x)\left|v_{n}\right|^{r} d x=\int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^{r}+\theta V(x)|v|^{r} d x+o(1) .
$$

Let $\widetilde{v}_{n}=u_{n}-u, \widetilde{v}_{n}=v_{n}-v$ and $\widetilde{z}_{n}=\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}, \widetilde{v}_{n}\right)$. Then by Brezis-Lieb's Lemma(see[7]), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}=\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\|z\|_{p}^{p}+o(1),\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{q}^{q}=\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{q}^{q}-\|z\|_{q}^{q}+o(1) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an argument of Han [15,Lemma 2.1], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x+o(1) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (2.4)-(2.6), we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{p}\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{q}^{q}-\frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega}\left(\lambda V(x)|u|^{r}+\theta V(x)|v|^{r}\right) d x-\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x \\
+\frac{1}{p}\|z\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\|z\|_{q}^{q}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x=c+o(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{q}^{q}-\int_{\Omega}\left(\lambda V(x)|u|^{r}+\theta V(x)|v|^{r}\right) d x-2 \int_{\Omega}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x \\
+\|z\|_{p}^{p}+\|z\|_{q}^{q}-2 \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x=o(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

Or we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{q}^{q}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x=c-E(z)+o(1) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{q}^{q}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x=o(1) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we may suppose that

$$
\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p} \rightarrow a,\left\|\widetilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{q}^{q} \rightarrow b, 2 \int_{\Omega}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x \rightarrow l
$$

if $a=0$, then we have $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ in $H$, we complete the proof. On the contrary, we ssume $a>0$, then from (2.2) and (2.8), we obtain

$$
a \leq l \leq 2\left(S_{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}} a^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}},
$$

which implies that $a \geq 2\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}$.

On the other hand, from (2.7) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =\frac{a}{p}+\frac{b}{q}-\frac{l}{p^{*}}+E(z) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) a+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) b+E(z) \\
& >\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}-M(\lambda+\theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts $c \leq \frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}-M(\lambda+\theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}}$.
The following is the classical Deformation Lemma:
Lemma 2.6 (see[1]). Let $f \in C^{1}(X, R)$ and satisfy (PS) condition. If $c \in R$ and $N$ is any neighborhood of $K_{c} \doteq\left\{u \in X \mid f(u)=c, f^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}$, there exists $\eta(t, x) \equiv \eta_{t}(x) \in C([0,1] \times X, X)$ and constants $\bar{\epsilon}>\epsilon>0$ such that
(1) $\eta_{0}(x)=x$ for all $x \in X$,
(2) $\eta_{t}(x)=x$ for all $x \bar{\in} f^{-1}[c-\bar{\epsilon}, c+\bar{\epsilon}]$,
(3) $\eta_{t}(x)$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ onto $X$ for all $t \in[0,1]$,
(4) $f\left(\eta_{t}(x)\right) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in X, t \in[0,1]$,
(5) $\eta_{1}\left(A_{c+\epsilon}-N\right) \subset A_{c+\epsilon}$, where $A_{c}=\{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq c\}$ for any $c \in R$,
(6) if $K_{c}=\emptyset, \eta_{1}\left(A_{c+\epsilon}\right) \subset A_{c-\epsilon}$,
(7) if $f$ is even, $\eta_{t}$ is odd in $x$.

Remark 2.7. Lemma 2.6 is also true if $f$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c<c_{0}$ for some $c_{0} \in R$.

At the end of this section, we recall some concepts in minimax theory. Let $X$ be a Banach space, and

$$
\Sigma=\{A \subset X \backslash\{0\} \mid A \text { is closed, }-A=A\}
$$

and

$$
\Sigma_{k}=\{A \in \Sigma \mid \gamma(A) \geq k\}
$$

where $\gamma(A)$ is the $Z_{2}$ genus of $A$, that is
$\gamma(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\inf \left\{n: \text { there exist odd, continuous } h: A \rightarrow R^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right\}, \\ +\infty, \text { if it doesn't exist odd, continuous } h: A \rightarrow R^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \forall n \in Z_{+}, \\ 0, \text { if } A=\varnothing .\end{array}\right.$
The main properties of genus are contained in the following lemma.
Lemma 2.8 (see[22]). Let $A, B \in \Sigma$. Then
(1) If there exists $f \in C(A, B)$, odd, then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$.
(2) If $A \subset B$, then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$.
(3) If there exists an odd homeomorphism between $A$ and $B$, then $\gamma(A)=$ $\gamma(B)$.
(4) If $S^{N-1}$ is the sphere in $R^{N}$, then $\gamma\left(S^{N-1}\right)=N$.
(5) $\gamma(A \cup B) \leq \gamma(A)+\gamma(B)$.
(6) If $\gamma(A)<\infty$, then $\gamma(\overline{A-B}) \geq \gamma(A)-\gamma(B)$.
(7) If $A$ is compact, then $\gamma(A)<\infty$, and there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\gamma(A)=$ $\gamma\left(N_{\delta}(A)\right)$, where $N_{\delta}(A)=\{x \in X \mid d(x, A) \leq \delta\}$.
(8) If $X_{0}$ is a subspace of $X$ with codimension $k$, and $\gamma(A)>k$, then $A \cap X_{0} \neq$ Ø.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will prove the existence of infinitely many solutions for system (1.1) in this section. We try to use Lusternik-Schnirelman's theory for $Z_{2}$-invariant functional (see [22]). But since the functional $E(z)$ defined in section 2 is not bounded from below, so we following [4](or see [20]) to consider a truncated functional $E_{\infty}(z)$ which will be constructed later.

At first, let's consider the functional $E(z)$, using the Sobolev's inequality with the hypothesis $1<r<q<p<N$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(z) & \geq \frac{1}{p}\|z\|_{p}^{p}-\frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^{r}+\theta V(x)|u|^{r} d x-\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\|z\|_{p}^{p}-\frac{2}{p^{*} S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}}\|z\|_{p}^{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{r} S_{p}^{-\frac{r}{p}}|V(x)|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-r}}(\lambda+\theta)\|z\|_{p}^{r} \\
& =C_{3}\|z\|_{p}^{p}-C_{4}\|z\|_{p}^{p^{*}}-C_{5}(\lambda+\theta)\|z\|_{p}^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{3}=\frac{1}{p}, C_{4}=\frac{2}{p^{*} S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}}, C_{5}=\frac{1}{r} S_{p}^{-\frac{r}{p}}|V(x)|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-r}}$ are all positive constants.
We now consider function

$$
h(x)=C_{3} x^{p}-C_{4} x^{p^{*}}-C_{5}(\lambda+\theta) x^{r}, x>0
$$

by the hypothesis $1<r<p<p^{*}$, we easily know that there exists a $\Lambda^{*}>0$ such that for any $0<(\lambda+\theta) \leq \Lambda^{*}$, we have the following results hold:
(a) $h(x)$ reaches its positive maximum;
(b) $\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}-M(\lambda+\theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}} \geq 0$, where $M$ is given in Lemma 2.4.

From the structure of $h(x)$, we see that there are two positive solutions $R_{1}<$ $R_{2}$ of $h(x)=0$. Then we can easily know that

$$
h(x)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
<0, x \in\left(0, R_{1}\right) \cup\left(R_{2}, \infty\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
>0, x \in\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We let $\tau: R^{+} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be $C^{\infty}$ and nonincreasing function such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau(x)=1, \quad \text { if } x \in\left(0, R_{1}\right) \\
\tau(x)=0, \quad \text { if } x \in\left(R_{2}, \infty\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\varphi(u)=\tau\left(\|u\|_{p}\right)$, we consider the truncated functional

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\infty}(z)=\frac{1}{p}\|z\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\|z\|_{q}^{q}-\frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^{r}+\theta V(x)|v|^{r} d x \\
-\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} \varphi(u) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

similar as above, we consider the function

$$
\bar{h}(x)=C_{3} x^{p}-C_{4} x^{p^{*}} \tau(x)-C_{5}(\lambda+\theta) x^{r},
$$

and have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\infty}(z) \geq \bar{h}\left(\|z\|_{p}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By further analysis, we can see $\bar{h}(x) \geq h(x)$, for all $x \in(0, \infty)$; and $\bar{h}(x)=h(x)$, for $x \in\left(0, R_{1}\right]$; and $\bar{h}(x) \geq 0$, for $x \in\left[R_{2}, \infty\right)$. So we have that $E(z)=E_{\infty}(z)$ when $\|z\|_{p} \in\left(0, R_{1}\right]$, and since $\tau \in C^{\infty}$, we get $E_{\infty}(z) \in C^{1}(H, R)$. Also we obtain the following results.
Lemma 3.1. (1) If $E_{\infty}(z)<0$, then $\|z\|_{p} \in\left(0, R_{1}\right)$, and $E(w)=E_{\infty}(w)$ for all $w$ in a small enough neighborhood of $z$.
(2) There exists a $\Lambda^{*}>0$, such that when $0<(\lambda+\theta) \leq \Lambda^{*}, E_{\infty}(z)$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c<0$.
Proof. We prove (1) by contradiction, assume $E_{\infty}(z)<0$ and $\|z\|_{p} \in\left[R_{1}, \infty\right)$. Then if $\|z\|_{p} \in\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]$, by (3.1),(3.2), we see that

$$
E_{\infty}(z) \geq \bar{h}\left(\|z\|_{p}\right) \geq h\left(\|z\|_{p}\right) \geq 0
$$

If $\|z\|_{p} \in\left(R_{2}, \infty\right)$, by (3.2) and above analysis, we also have that

$$
E_{\infty}(z) \geq \bar{h}\left(\|z\|_{p}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Thus $\|z\|_{p} \in\left(0, R_{1}\right)$, (1) holds.
Now, we prove (2), let $\Lambda^{*}$ as above. If $c<0$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset H$ is a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence of $E_{\infty}$, then we may assume that $E_{\infty}\left(z_{n}\right)<0$ and $E_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=o(1)$, by (1), $\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{p} \in\left(0, R_{1}\right)$, hence $E\left(z_{n}\right)=E_{\infty}\left(z_{n}\right)$ and $E^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=E_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)$. Since (b) hold when $0<(\lambda+\theta) \leq \Lambda^{*}$, By Lemma 2.5, $E(z)$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c<0$. Thus $E_{\infty}(z)$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c<0,(2)$ holds.
Now we prove our main result via genus.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\Sigma_{k}=\{A \subset H-\{(0,0)\}, A$ is closed, $A=-A, \gamma(A) \geq$ $k\}, c_{k}=\inf _{A \in \Sigma_{k}} \sup _{z \in A} E_{\infty}(z), K_{c}=\left\{z \in H \mid E_{\infty}(z)=c, E_{\infty}^{\prime}(z)=0\right\}$, and suppose that $0<(\lambda+\theta) \leq \Lambda^{*}, \Lambda^{*}$ is as above.

We claim that if $k, l \in N$ are such that $c=c_{k}=c_{k+1}=\cdots=c_{k+l}$, then $\gamma\left(K_{c}\right) \geq l+1$.

In fact, we assume

$$
E_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon}=\left\{z \in H \mid E_{\infty}(z) \leq-\varepsilon\right\}
$$

we will show for any $k \in N$, there exist an $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(k)>0$, such that

$$
\gamma\left(E_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon}(z)\right) \geq k
$$

Fix $k \in N$, denote $H_{k}$ be an $k$-dimensional subspace of $H$, choose $z=(u, v) \in$ $H_{k}$, with $\|z\|_{p}=1$, for $0<\rho<R_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E(\rho z)= & E_{\infty}(\rho z)=\frac{1}{p} \rho^{p}+\frac{\rho^{q}}{q}\|z\|_{q}^{q}-\frac{\rho^{r}}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^{r} \\
& +\theta V(x)|v|^{r} d x-\frac{2 \rho^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For $H_{k}$ is a finite dimension space, all the norms in $H_{k}$ are equivalent. So we can define

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{k}=\sup \left\{\|z\|_{q}^{q} \mid u \in H_{k},\|z\|_{p}=1\right\}<\infty,  \tag{3.4}\\
\beta_{k}=\inf \left\{|z|_{r}^{r} \mid z \in H_{k},\|z\|_{p}=1\right\}>0, \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

from (3.3)-(3.5), we have

$$
E_{\infty}(\rho z) \leq \frac{1}{p} \rho^{p}+\alpha_{k} \frac{\rho^{q}}{q}-\sigma \beta_{k} \frac{\min \{\lambda, \theta\} \rho^{r}}{r}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$ and an $0<\rho<R_{1}$ such that $E_{\infty}(\rho z) \leq-\varepsilon$ for $z \in H_{k}$, $\|z\|_{p}=1$, let $S_{\rho}=\left\{z \in H \mid\|z\|_{p}=\rho\right\}$, then $S_{\rho} \cap H_{k} \subset E_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 2.8, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(E_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon}(z)\right) \geq \gamma\left(S_{\rho} \cap H_{k}\right)=k \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E_{\infty}$ is continuous and even, with (3.6), we have $E_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon} \in \Sigma_{k}$ and $c=$ $c_{k} \leq-\varepsilon<0$. As $E_{\infty}$ is bounded from below, we see that $c=c_{k}>-\infty$ (This is the main reason that we consider $E_{\infty}$ instead of $E$ ). Then by Lemma $3.1 E_{\infty}$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ condition and it is easy to see that $K_{c}$ is a compact set.

Now we prove our claim by contradiction, suppose on the contrary $\gamma\left(K_{c}\right) \leq l$. By Lemma 2.8, there is a closed and symmetric set $U$ with $K_{c} \subset U$ and $\gamma(U) \leq l$. Since $c<0$, we also can assume that the closed set $U \subset E_{\infty}^{0}$. By Lemma 2.6, there exists an odd homeomorphism

$$
\eta: H \rightarrow H
$$

such that $\eta\left(E_{\infty}^{c+\delta}-U\right) \subset E_{\infty}^{c-\delta}$ for some $0<\delta<-c$.
From the definition of $c=c_{k+l}$, we know that there is an $A \in \Sigma_{k+l}$ such that

$$
\sup _{z \in A} E_{\infty}(z)<c+\delta
$$

i.e., $A \subset E_{\infty}^{c+\delta}$, and

$$
\eta(A-U) \subset \eta\left(E_{\infty}^{c+\delta}-U\right) \subset E_{\infty}^{c-\delta}
$$

that's meaning

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \eta(A-U)} E_{\infty}(z) \leq c-\delta \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\gamma(\eta(\overline{A-U})) \geq \gamma(\overline{A-U}) \geq \gamma(A)-\gamma(U) \geq k
$$

Thus we have $\eta(\overline{A-U}) \in \Sigma_{k}$ and $\sup _{z \in \eta(\overline{A-U})} E_{\infty}(z) \geq c_{k}=c$, which contradicts to (3.7). So we have proved our claim.

Now let's complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. If for all $k \in N$, we have $\Sigma_{k+1} \subset \Sigma_{k}, c_{k} \leq c_{k+1}<0$. If all $c_{k}$ are distinct, then $\gamma\left(K_{c_{k}}\right) \geq 1$, and we see that $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ is a sequence of distinct negative critical values of $E_{\infty}$; if for some $k_{0}$, there is a $l \geq 1$ such that $c=c_{k_{0}}=c_{k_{0}+1}=\cdots=c_{k)+l}$, then by the claim, we have

$$
\gamma\left(K_{c}\right) \geq l+1
$$

which shows that $K_{c}$ contains infinitely many distinct elements.

By Lemma 3.1, we know $E(z)=E_{\infty}(z)$ when $E_{\infty}(z)<0$, so we show that there are infinitely many critical points of $E(z)$. Theorem 1.1 is proved.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

In this section, we will study problem (1.1) with $1<q<p<r<p^{*}$, and will prove Theorem 1.2 by the following general version of the Mountain Pass Lemma(see[3]).
Lemma 4.1. Let $E$ be a functional on a Banach space $H, E \in C^{1}(H, R)$. Let us assume that there exists $\rho, R>0$ such that
(i) $E(z)>\rho, \forall z \in H$ with $\|z\|_{p}=R$.
(ii) $E(0)=0$, and $E\left(w_{0}\right)<\rho$ for some $w_{0} \in H$, with $\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{p}>R$.

Let us define $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], H) \mid \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=w_{0}\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} E(\gamma(t)) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset H$, such that $E\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mu$, and $E^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{\prime}$ (dual of $H$ ) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now similar to Lemma 2.5 in section 2, we have the following result.
Lemma 4.2. Suppose $1<q<p \leq r<p^{*}$ hold, then any $(P S)_{c}$ sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset H$ of $E(z)$ contains a convergent subsequence when

$$
\begin{equation*}
c<\frac{2}{N} S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\frac{N}{p}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From (4.1) and (4.2), we only need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu<\frac{2}{N} S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\frac{N}{p}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 give the existence of the critical point of $E$.
To obtain (4.3), Let us choose $z_{0}=\left(u_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H$, with

$$
\left|z_{0}\right|_{p^{*}}=1, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E\left(t z_{0}\right)=-\infty
$$

then there exists a $t_{\theta \lambda}>0$ such that $\sup _{t \geq 0} E\left(t z_{0}\right)=E\left(t_{\theta \lambda} z_{0}\right)$ holds, and then $t_{\theta \lambda}$ satisfies

$$
0=t_{\theta \lambda}^{p-1}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p}+t_{\theta \lambda}^{q-1}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{q}^{q}-(\lambda+\theta) t_{\theta \lambda}^{r-1} \int_{\Omega} V(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{r} d x-t_{\theta \lambda}^{p^{*}-1}
$$

then we get

$$
(\lambda+\theta) \int_{\Omega} V(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{r} d x=t_{\theta \lambda}^{p-r}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p}+t_{\theta \lambda}^{q-r}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{q}^{q}-t_{\theta \lambda}^{p^{*}-r}
$$

from $1<q<p \leq r<p^{*}$, we get $t_{\theta \lambda} \rightarrow 0$ as $(\lambda+\theta) \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $\Lambda_{*}>0$ such that for any $(\lambda+\theta)>\Lambda_{*}$, we have

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} E\left(t z_{0}\right)<\frac{2}{N} S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

Now we take $w_{0}=t_{0} z_{0}$ with $t_{0}$ large enough to verify $E\left(w_{0}\right)<0$, we get

$$
\alpha \leq \max _{t \in[0,1]} E\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{0}(t)=t w_{0}$. Therefore,

$$
\mu \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} E\left(t w_{0}\right)<\frac{2}{N} S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

then we have proved (4.3), that's complete the proof.
Now let's assume $1<q<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}<p \leq \max \left\{p, p^{*}-\frac{q}{p-1}\right\}<r<p^{*}$, and define, for $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{\psi(x)}{\left(\varepsilon+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N-p}{p}}}, v_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{u_{\varepsilon}(x)}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|_{p^{*}}}
$$

where $\psi(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(B(0,2 R))$ is such that $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$, and $\psi(x) \equiv 1$ on $B(0, R)$.
We obtain the following estimates(see[13]).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{t} d x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{N(p-1)-t(N-p)}{p}}+O(1), t>\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p} \\
K_{1}|\operatorname{ln\varepsilon }|+O(1), t=\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p} \\
O(1), t<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{4.4}\\
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{t} d x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K_{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{t+N(p-1)-t N}{p}}+O(1), t>\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1} \\
K_{2}|\ln \varepsilon|+O(1), t=\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1} \\
O(1), t<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x=K_{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}}+O(1) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}=K_{3} \varepsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}}+O(1)  \tag{4.7}\\
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{p^{2}-N}{p}}+O(1), \quad p^{2}<N \\
K_{1}|\ln \varepsilon|+O(1), \quad p^{2}=N \\
O(1), p^{2}>N
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$ are positive constants independent of $\varepsilon$, and $S=\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}$ is the best Sobolev constant given in section 2.

If we choosing $w_{0}$ in the proof of Theorm 1.2 carefully, we can prove the following stronger result.

Theorem 4.3. If $1<q<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}<p \leq \max \left\{p, p^{*}-\frac{q}{p-1}\right\}<r<p^{*}$ and $V_{0}$ hold, then for any $\theta>0, \lambda>0$, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

Proof. Following [16], we can take $z_{\varepsilon}=\left(v_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)$, and

$$
g(t)=E\left(t z_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{2 t^{p}}{p}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{2 t^{q}}{q}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\frac{(\lambda+\theta) t^{r}}{r} \int_{\Omega} V(x)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{r} d x-\frac{2 t^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}}
$$

then there exists a $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $\sup _{t \geq 0} E\left(t z_{\varepsilon}\right)=E\left(t_{\varepsilon} z_{\varepsilon}\right)$ hold, and then $t_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)=2 t^{p-1}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}^{p}+2 t^{q-1} \|\left. v_{\varepsilon}\right|_{p} ^{p}-(\lambda+\theta) t^{r-1} \int_{\Omega} V(x)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{r} d x-2 t^{p^{*}-1}=0 . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+t_{\varepsilon}^{q-p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d x>t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}-p}
$$

From (4.4)-(4.8) we can know

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x=S+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right), \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d x=O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{q(N-p)}{p^{2}}}\right)
$$

set $\varepsilon$ small enough, then we can have

$$
t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}-p} \leq 2 S
$$

here we use the fact that $t_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow t_{0}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}>0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where $t_{0}$ will be given later.

Then from (4.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}^{p}<(\lambda+\theta) t_{\varepsilon}^{r-p}\|V(x)\|_{\infty}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|_{r}^{r}+2 t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}-p} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.4)-(4.8), and (4.10), choose $\varepsilon$ small enough, we have

$$
t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{p^{*}-p}} \geq \frac{S}{2}
$$

Now we consider

$$
h(t)=\frac{t^{p}}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x-\frac{t^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}}
$$

the function attains its maximum at $t_{0}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}$, and again combine with (4.4)-(4.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right) & \leq 2 h\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{q}}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d x-\frac{(\lambda+\theta) t_{\varepsilon}^{r}}{r} \int_{\Omega} V(x)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{r} d x \\
& \leq 2 h\left(\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}\right)+\frac{(2 S)^{q}}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d x-\frac{(\lambda+\theta)\left(\frac{S}{2}\right)^{r}}{r} \sigma \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{r} d x \\
& \leq \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}}+C_{6} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}+C_{7} O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{q(N-p)}{p^{2}}}\right)-C_{8} O(\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-1}{p}\left(N-r \frac{N-p}{p}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{6}, C_{7}, C_{8}$ are positive constants independent with $\varepsilon$. Since $1<q<$ $\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}<p \leq \max \left\{p, p^{*}-\frac{q}{p-1}\right\}<r<p^{*}$, we obtain that

$$
\frac{N-p}{p}>\frac{q(N-p)}{p^{2}}>\frac{p-1}{p}\left(N-r \frac{N-p}{p}\right),
$$

then we choose $\varepsilon$ small enough, by Lemma 2.1, we get $g\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)=\sup _{t \geq 0} E\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right)<$ $\frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}} \leq \frac{2}{N} S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\frac{N}{p}}$, by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we complete the proof.
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