J. Appl. Math. & Informatics Vol. **29**(2011), No. 3 - 4, pp. 921 - 936 Website: http://www.kcam.biz

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC SYSTEM WITH CONCAVE-CONVEX NONLINEARITIES[†]

HONGHUI YIN AND ZUODONG YANG*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, our main purpose is to establish the existence of weak solutions of a class of p-q-Laplacian system involving concave-convex nonlinearities:

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle_{p}u - \triangle_{q}u = \lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2}u + \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^{\beta}, & x \in \Omega\\ -\triangle_{p}v - \triangle_{q}v = \theta V(x)|v|^{r-2}v + \frac{2\beta}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2}v, & x \in \Omega\\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N , $\lambda, \theta > 0$, and $1 < \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta = p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\Delta_s u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{s-2}\nabla u)$ is the s-Laplacian of u. when 1 < r < q < p < N, we prove that there exist infinitely many weak solutions. We also obtain some results for the case $1 < q < p < r < p^*$. The existence results of solutions are obtained by variational methods.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 35B09, 35J47. *Key words and phrases* : *p-q*-Laplacian, critical exponent, concave-convex nonlinearities, weak solution.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in finding multiple nontrivial weak solutions to the following nonlinear elliptic system of p-q-Laplacian type with concave-convex nonlinearities

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle_p u - \triangle_q u = \lambda V(x) |u|^{r-2} u + \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} |u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta}, & x \in \Omega \\ -\triangle_p v - \triangle_q v = \theta V(x) |v|^{r-2} v + \frac{2\beta}{\alpha+\beta} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta-2} v, & x \in \Omega \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

Received March 23, 2010. Revised July 7, 2010. Accepted July 27, 2010. *Corresponding author. [†]Project Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No.10871060). Project Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China(Grant No.08KJB110005).

 $[\]bigodot$ 2011 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N , $\lambda, \theta > 0$, and 1 < r < q < p < N, $1 < \alpha, \beta$, $\alpha + \beta = p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\Delta_s u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{s-2}\nabla u)$ is the s-Laplacian of u.

When u = v, $\alpha = \beta$ and $\lambda = \theta$, System (1.1) reduce to the *p*-*q*-Laplacian equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle_p u - \triangle_q u = \lambda V(x) |u|^{r-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega \\ u = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

Problem (1.2) comes, for example, from a general reaction-diffusion system

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}[H(u)\nabla u] + c(x, u) \tag{1.3}$$

where $H(u) = |\nabla u|^{p-2} + |\nabla u|^{q-2}$. This system has a wide range of applications in physics and related science such as biophysics, plasma physics and chemical reaction design. Typically, in chemical and biological applications, the reaction term c(x, u) has a polynomial form with respect to the concentration u.

Recently, the stationary solution of (1.3) was studied by many authors, that is many works considered the solutions of the following problem

$$-\operatorname{div}[H(u)\nabla u] = c(x, u). \tag{1.4}$$

for example, see [6.19-21, 26].

If p = q = 2, (1.2) can be reduced to

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle u = \lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2}u + |u|^{2^*-2}u, \quad x \in \Omega\\ u = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

which is a normal Schrödinger equation and has been widely studied, see[10-12,23].

The solutions of problem (1.5) corresponds to the critical points of the energy functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_{\Omega} V(x) |u|^r dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx$$

defined on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. When r = 2, the pioneer result of Brezis-Nirenberg [8] studied problem (1.5) and shows that under some suitable conditions, problem (1.5) possesses a positive solution in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. For more results see [9,17] and reference therein.

The typically difficulty in dealing with problem (1.5) is that the corresponding functional I(u) doesn't satisfy (PS) condition due to the lack of compactness of the embedding: $H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$. Hence we couldn't use the standard variational methods.

However, if 1 < r < 2, the situation is quite different, see [5,25]. The main essence is that when 1 < r < 2, the functional I(u) is sublinear, when λ is small enough, I(u) satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition for c < 0, so we can look for critical points of negative critical values of I(u).

For the general *p*-Laplacian problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda V(x) |u|^{r-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega\\ u = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(1.6)

which is a special case of (1.2) when p = q. Problem (1.6) was also studied by many authors, many results valid for problem (1.5) has been extended to problem (1.6). For example, see [4,18,27]. The main difficulty in extending the results for problem (1.5) to the corresponding results for problem (1.6) is that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is not a Hilbert space in general, then more analysis is needed.

We recall some results about problem (1.4) now. In [26], M.Wu and Z.Yang proved the existence of a nontrivial solution to problem (1.4) with

$$c(x, u) = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q-2}u - f(x, u)$$

in the whole space \mathbf{R}^N , where a(x), b(x) are positive functions, also when $a(x) \equiv m, b(x) \equiv n$ are positive constants, it was proved in [19] that problem (1.4) has a nontrivial solution. Recently in [20], G.Li and G.Zhang studied problem (1.4) involving critical exponent with

$$c(x, u) = |u|^{p^* - 2}u + \theta |u|^{r - 2}u$$

by using Lusternik-Schnirelman's theory (see also in [4]). Other results see [6,21] and reference therein.

At the same time, much attention has been paid to the existence of solutions for elliptic systems. especially for the following case

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle_p u = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u + \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} |u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta}, & x \in \Omega \\ -\triangle_p v = \theta |v|^{q-2} v + \frac{2\beta}{\alpha+\beta} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta-2} v, & x \in \Omega \\ u = v = 0 \quad x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

where $\alpha + \beta = p^*$. In fact system (1.7) is a special case of (1.1) when p = q. When p = 2 and q = 2, Alves et al [2] considered (1.7) and proved the existence of least energy solutions for any $\lambda, \theta \in (0, \lambda_1)$ and generalized the corresponding results of [8] to the case of system (1.7), here λ_1 denote the first eigenvalue of operator $-\Delta$. Subsequently, Han [14] considered the existence of multiple positive solutions for(1.7) and in [16] T.S.Hsu studied system (1.7) when $1 < q < p < N, \alpha + \beta = p^*$, more results see [15,24] etc..

However, as far as we know, there are few results on problem (1.1) with concave-convex nonlinearities. Motivated by [4,16,20], we shall extend the results of the above to problem (1.1). Let us denote the Banach space $H = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in this paper, and for the functions V(x), we add the following assumptions:

 (V_0) Suppose $V(x) \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}}(\Omega)$ and $V(x) > \sigma > 0$ in Ω .

Then we have the following results:

Theorem 1.1. Assume 1 < r < q < p < N, and (V_0) hold. Then there is a positive constant Λ^* such that for any $0 < (\lambda + \theta) \le \Lambda^*$, problem (1.1) possesses infinitely many weak solutions in H.

In the present parer, we also consider problem (1.1) for the case: $1 < q < p \le r < p^*$, and obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. If $1 < q < p \leq r < p^*$ and (V_0) hold, then there is a $\Lambda_* > 0$, such that for any $(\lambda + \theta) > \Lambda_*$, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

Remark 1.3. In [4], J.G.Azvrero and I.P.Aloson obtained that there exist a nontrivial solution for (1.6) with $V(x) \equiv 1$ by the Mountain Pass Lemma. In fact, Theorem 1.2 is an extension of Theorem 3.2 in [4] to *p*-*q*-Laplacian system (1.1).

The present paper is organized as follows, in section 2, we give some preliminary results; in section 3, we will prove the main result, Theorem1.1.; and we will study (1.1) for the case $1 < q < p \leq r < p^*$, and prove Theorem 1.2 in section 4.

2. Preliminaries results

Let H' be dual of H, \langle,\rangle the duality paring between H' and H, the norm on H is given by

$$||z||_p = ||(u,v)||_p = (||u||_p^p + ||v||_p^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and the norm on $L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega)$ is given by

$$|z|_p = |(u,v)|_p = (|u|_p^p + |v|_p^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where $z = (u, v) \in H$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$, $|\cdot|_p$ are the norm on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $L^p(\Omega)$ respectively, that is,

$$||u||_p = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \ |u|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Throughout this paper, we denote weak converge by \rightarrow , and denote strong converge by \rightarrow , also we denote positive constants(possibly different) by C_i .

As usually, we also denote by

$$S_{\alpha+\beta} = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha+\beta} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}}$$
(2.1)

and

$$S_{\alpha,\beta} = \inf_{z \in H \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|z\|^p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}}.$$
(2.2)

Easily, we have $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} dx \leq S_{\alpha,\beta}^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{p}} ||z||^{\alpha+\beta}$ and **Lemma 2.1.** Assume $1 < \alpha, \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta \leq p^*, \ \Omega \in \mathbf{R}^N (N \geq 3)$ be a domain (not necessarily bounded). Then we have

$$S_{\alpha,\beta} = \left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \right] S_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.1. is essentially given in [2] when p = 2, modifying the proof of [2], we can deduce our result. For the readers' convenience, we give a sketch here.

Suppose $\{w_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence for $S_{\alpha+\beta}$, let $u_n = sw_n, v_n = tw_n$, where s, t > 0 will be chosen later. Then from (2.2), we infer that

$$S_{\alpha,\beta} \leq \frac{s^p + t^p}{(s^{\alpha}t^{\beta})^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \frac{\|w_n\|^p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{\alpha+\beta} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} = \left[\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{p\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{p\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}\right] \frac{\|w_n\|^p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{\alpha+\beta} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \quad (2.3)$$

Define the function

$$h(x) = x^{\frac{p\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + x^{-\frac{p\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}, x > 0.$$

By a direct calculation, the minimum of the function h is achieved at the point $x_0 = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ with the minimum value

$$h(x_0) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}.$$

Thus, choosing s, t > 0 in (2.3) such that $\frac{s}{t} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, we obtain

$$S_{\alpha,\beta} \leq [(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}]S_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

To complete the proof, let $z_n = (u_n, v_n)$ be a minimizing sequence for $S_{\alpha,\beta}$. Define $\omega_n = t_n v_n$ for some $t_n > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\alpha+\beta} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\omega_n|^{\alpha+\beta} dx.$$

Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\alpha} |\omega_n|^{\beta} dx \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\alpha+\beta} dx + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega} |\omega_n|^{\alpha+\beta} dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\alpha+\beta} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\omega_n|^{\alpha+\beta} dx.$$

Therefore, we deduce from the above inequality that

$$\frac{\|z_{n}\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u_{n}|^{\alpha}|v_{n}|^{\beta}dx\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} = t_{n}^{\frac{p\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}\frac{\|z_{n}\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u_{n}|^{\alpha}|\omega_{n}|^{\beta}dx\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \\
\geq t_{n}^{\frac{p\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}\frac{\|u_{n}\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u_{n}|^{\alpha+\beta}dx\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} + t_{n}^{\frac{p\beta}{\alpha+\beta}-p}\frac{\|\omega_{n}\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|\omega_{n}|^{\alpha+\beta}dx\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \\
\geq h(t_{n})S_{\alpha+\beta} \\
\geq h(t_{0})S_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Passing to the limit in the above inequality, we obtain

$$S_{\alpha,\beta} \ge [(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}]S_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

That's end the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Let's study the energy functional associated with problem (1.1) defined by

$$\begin{split} E(z) &= E(u,v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q + |\nabla v|^q dx \\ &- \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |u|^r + \theta V(x) |u|^r dx - \frac{2}{\alpha + \beta} \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx. \end{split}$$

Obviously, E(z) is even and it is well known that $E(z) \in C^1(H, R)$ and nontrival critical points of E(z) are weak solutions of problem (1.1). By a weak solution of (1.1)we mean that $(u, v) \in H$ satisfying

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi + |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi + |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi) dx \\ -\lambda \int_{\Omega} V(x) |u|^{r} \varphi dx - \theta \int_{\Omega} V(x) |v|^{r} \psi dx \\ -\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha-2} u v^{\beta} \varphi dx - \frac{2\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} v^{\beta-2} v \psi dx = 0. \end{split}$$

for all $(\varphi, \psi) \in E$.

Now, we define the Palais-Smale(PS)-sequence, (PS)-value, and (PS)-conditions in H for E as follows.

Definition 2.2. (I) For $c \in R$, a sequence $\{z_n\} \in H$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for E if $E(z_n) = c + o(1)$ and $E'(z_n) = o(1)$ strongly in H' as $n \to \infty$.

(II) $c \in R$ is a (PS)-value for E if there exists a (PS)_c-sequence in H for E.

(III) E satisfies the $(PS)_c$ -condition in H for E if every $(PS)_c$ -sequence in H for E contains a convergent sub-sequence.

Now we give some results for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.3. If $\{z_n\} \subset H$ is a $(PS)_c$ secquence for E, then $\{z_n\}$ is bounded in H.

Proof. Modified the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [16], we can obtain the results. \Box

Lemma 2.4. If $\{z_n\} \subset H$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for E, then there exists $z \in H$ and M > 0 such that

$$E(z) \ge -M(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}}$$

where M will be given later.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [16].

Lemma 2.5. E satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition with c satisfying

$$c \leq \frac{2}{N} (\frac{S_{\alpha,\beta}}{2})^{\frac{N}{p}} - M(\lambda+\theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}}.$$

926

Proof. Suppose $\{z_n\} \subset H$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence of E, i.e.,

$$E(z_n) = c + o(1), E'(z_n) = o(1),$$
(2.4)

by Lemma 2.3, we may assume there exist a $z \in H$, E'(z) = 0, and extracting a subsequence such that $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ in H, Thus we have that

$$u_n \to u, v_n \to v$$
 in $L^s(\Omega), 1 \le s < p^*$

and $u_n \to u, v_n \to v$ a.e. on Ω . Hence we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |u_n|^r + \theta V(x) |v_n|^r dx = \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |u|^r + \theta V(x) |v|^r dx + o(1).$$

Let $\tilde{v}_n = u_n - u, \tilde{v}_n = v_n - v$ and $\tilde{z}_n = (\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$. Then by Brezis-Lieb's Lemma(see[7]), we deduce that

$$\|\widetilde{z}_n\|_p^p = \|z_n\|_p^p - \|z\|_p^p + o(1), \ \|\widetilde{z}_n\|_q^q = \|z_n\|_q^q - \|z\|_q^q + o(1).$$
(2.5)

By an argument of Han [15,Lemma 2.1], we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\widetilde{u}_n|^{\alpha} |\widetilde{v}_n|^{\beta} dx = \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\alpha} |v_n|^{\beta} dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} dx + o(1).$$
(2.6)

Together with (2.4)-(2.6), we have that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{p} \|\widetilde{z}_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} \|\widetilde{z}_n\|_q^q - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} (\lambda V(x)|u|^r + \theta V(x)|v|^r) dx &- \frac{2}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |\widetilde{u}_n|^{\alpha} |\widetilde{v}_n|^{\beta} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{p} \|z\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} \|z\|_q^q - \frac{2}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} dx = c + o(1). \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|\widetilde{z}_n\|_p^p + \|\widetilde{z}_n\|_q^q - \int_{\Omega} (\lambda V(x)|u|^r + \theta V(x)|v|^r) dx &- 2\int_{\Omega} |\widetilde{u}_n|^{\alpha} |\widetilde{v}_n|^{\beta} dx \\ &+ \|z\|_p^p + \|z\|_q^q - 2\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} dx = o(1). \end{split}$$

Or we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \|\widetilde{z}_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} \|\widetilde{z}_n\|_q^q - \frac{2}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |\widetilde{u}_n|^{\alpha} |\widetilde{v}_n|^{\beta} dx = c - E(z) + o(1).$$
(2.7)

and

$$\|\widetilde{z}_n\|_p^p + \|\widetilde{z}_n\|_q^q - \frac{2}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |\widetilde{u}_n|^{\alpha} |\widetilde{v}_n|^{\beta} dx = o(1).$$
(2.8)

Hence, we may suppose that

$$\|\widetilde{z}_n\|_p^p \to a, \|\widetilde{z}_n\|_q^q \to b, \ 2\int_{\Omega} |\widetilde{u}_n|^{\alpha} |\widetilde{v}_n|^{\beta} dx \to l,$$

if a = 0, then we have $z_n \to z$ in H, we complete the proof. On the contrary, we ssume a > 0, then from (2.2) and (2.8), we obtain

$$a \leq l \leq 2(S_{\alpha,\beta})^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} a^{\frac{p^*}{p}},$$

which implies that $a \ge 2(\frac{S_{\alpha,\beta}}{2})^{\frac{N}{p}}$.

On the other hand, from (2.7) we have that

$$c = \frac{a}{p} + \frac{b}{q} - \frac{l}{p^*} + E(z)$$

= $(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})a + (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*})b + E(z)$
> $\frac{2}{N}(\frac{S_{\alpha,\beta}}{2})^{\frac{N}{p}} - M(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}}$

which contradicts $c \leq \frac{2}{N} \left(\frac{S_{\alpha,\beta}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}} - M(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}}$.

The following is the classical Deformation Lemma:

Lemma 2.6 (see[1]). Let $f \in C^1(X, R)$ and satisfy (PS) condition. If $c \in R$ and N is any neighborhood of $K_c \doteq \{u \in X | f(u) = c, f'(u) = 0\}$, there exists $\eta(t, x) \equiv \eta_t(x) \in C([0, 1] \times X, X)$ and constants $\overline{\epsilon} > \epsilon > 0$ such that (1) $\eta_0(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$,

(2) $\eta_t(x) = x$ for all $x \in f^{-1}[c - \overline{\epsilon}, c + \overline{\epsilon}]$,

(3) $\eta_t(x)$ is a homeomorphism of X onto X for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

- (4) $f(\eta_t(x)) \le f(x)$ for all $x \in X, t \in [0, 1]$,
- (5) $\eta_1(A_{c+\epsilon} N) \subset A_{c+\epsilon}$, where $A_c = \{x \in X | f(x) \le c\}$ for any $c \in R$,
- (6) if $K_c = \emptyset, \eta_1(A_{c+\epsilon}) \subset A_{c-\epsilon}$,

(7) if f is even, η_t is odd in x.

Remark 2.7. Lemma 2.6 is also true if f satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition for $c < c_0$ for some $c_0 \in R$.

At the end of this section, we recall some concepts in minimax theory. Let ${\cal X}$ be a Banach space, and

$$\Sigma = \{A \subset X \setminus \{0\} | A \text{ is closed}, -A = A\},\$$

and

$$\Sigma_k = \{ A \in \Sigma | \gamma(A) \ge k \},\$$

where $\gamma(A)$ is the Z_2 genus of A, that is

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} \inf\{n : \text{there exist odd, continuous } h : A \to R^n \setminus \{0\}\}, \\ +\infty, \text{ if it doesn't exist odd, continuous } h : A \to R^n \setminus \{0\}, \forall n \in Z_+, \\ 0, \text{ if } A = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

The main properties of genus are contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8 (see[22]). Let $A, B \in \Sigma$. Then

(1) If there exists $f \in C(A, B)$, odd, then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$.

(2) If $A \subset B$, then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$.

(3) If there exists an odd homeomorphism between A and B, then $\gamma(A) = \gamma(B)$.

(4) If S^{N-1} is the sphere in \mathbb{R}^N , then $\gamma(S^{N-1}) = N$.

(5) $\gamma(A \cup B) \le \gamma(A) + \gamma(B)$.

(6) If $\gamma(A) < \infty$, then $\gamma(\overline{A-B}) \ge \gamma(A) - \gamma(B)$.

(7) If A is compact, then $\gamma(A) < \infty$, and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\gamma(A) = \gamma(N_{\delta}(A))$, where $N_{\delta}(A) = \{x \in X | d(x, A) \le \delta\}$.

(8) If X_0 is a subspace of X with codimension k, and $\gamma(A) > k$, then $A \cap X_0 \neq 0$ Ø.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will prove the existence of infinitely many solutions for system (1.1) in this section. We try to use Lusternik-Schnirelman's theory for Z_2 -invariant functional (see [22]). But since the functional E(z) defined in section 2 is not bounded from below, so we following [4] (or see [20]) to consider a truncated functional $E_{\infty}(z)$ which will be constructed later.

At first, let's consider the functional E(z), using the Sobolev's inequality with the hypothesis 1 < r < q < p < N, we obtain

$$E(z) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|z\|_{p}^{p} - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |u|^{r} + \theta V(x) |u|^{r} dx - \frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} \|z\|_{p}^{p} - \frac{2}{p^{*} S_{\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}} \|z\|_{p}^{p^{*}} - \frac{1}{r} S_{p}^{-\frac{r}{p}} |V(x)|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-r}} (\lambda + \theta) \|z\|_{p}^{r}$$

$$= C_{3} \|z\|_{p}^{p} - C_{4} \|z\|_{p}^{p^{*}} - C_{5} (\lambda + \theta) \|z\|_{p}^{r}$$

where $C_3 = \frac{1}{p}, C_4 = \frac{2}{\frac{p^*}{p^*}}, C_5 = \frac{1}{r} S_p^{-\frac{r}{p}} |V(x)|_{\frac{p^*}{p^* - r}}$ are all positive constants.

We now consider function

$$h(x) = C_3 x^p - C_4 x^{p^*} - C_5 (\lambda + \theta) x^r, \ x > 0$$

by the hypothesis $1 < r < p < p^*$, we easily know that there exists a $\Lambda^* > 0$ such that for any $0 < (\lambda + \theta) \le \Lambda^*$, we have the following results hold:

(a) h(x) reaches its positive maximum;

(b) $\frac{2}{N} \left(\frac{S_{\alpha,\beta}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}} - M(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{q}{q-r}} \ge 0$, where *M* is given in Lemma 2.4. From the structure of h(x), we see that there are two positive solutions $R_1 < 0$ R_2 of h(x) = 0. Then we can easily know that

$$h(x) \begin{cases} < 0, \ x \in (0, R_1) \cup (R_2, \infty) \\ > 0, \ x \in (R_1, R_2) \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

We let $\tau: R^+ \to [0,1]$ be C^{∞} and nonincreasing function such that

$$\tau(x) = 1, \text{ if } x \in (0, R_1)$$

 $\tau(x) = 0, \text{ if } x \in (R_2, \infty).$

Let $\varphi(u) = \tau(||u||_p)$, we consider the truncated functional

$$E_{\infty}(z) = \frac{1}{p} ||z||_p^p + \frac{1}{q} ||z||_q^q - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |u|^r + \theta V(x) |v|^r dx$$
$$- \frac{2}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} \varphi(u) dx.$$

similar as above, we consider the function

$$\overline{h}(x) = C_3 x^p - C_4 x^{p^*} \tau(x) - C_5 (\lambda + \theta) x^r,$$

and have that

$$E_{\infty}(z) \ge h(\|z\|_p)$$
 (3.2).

By further analysis, we can see $\overline{h}(x) \ge h(x)$, for all $x \in (0, \infty)$; and $\overline{h}(x) = h(x)$, for $x \in (0, R_1]$; and $\overline{h}(x) \ge 0$, for $x \in [R_2, \infty)$. So we have that $E(z) = E_{\infty}(z)$ when $||z||_p \in (0, R_1]$, and since $\tau \in C^{\infty}$, we get $E_{\infty}(z) \in C^1(H, R)$. Also we obtain the following results.

Lemma 3.1.(1) If $E_{\infty}(z) < 0$, then $||z||_p \in (0, R_1)$, and $E(w) = E_{\infty}(w)$ for all w in a small enough neighborhood of z.

(2) There exists a $\Lambda^* > 0$, such that when $0 < (\lambda + \theta) \le \Lambda^*$, $E_{\infty}(z)$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for c < 0.

Proof. We prove (1) by contradiction, assume $E_{\infty}(z) < 0$ and $||z||_p \in [R_1, \infty)$. Then if $||z||_p \in [R_1, R_2]$, by (3.1),(3.2), we see that

$$E_{\infty}(z) \ge \overline{h}(\|z\|_p) \ge h(\|z\|_p) \ge 0.$$

If $||z||_p \in (R_2, \infty)$, by (3.2) and above analysis, we also have that

$$E_{\infty}(z) \ge \overline{h}(\|z\|_p) \ge 0$$

Thus $||z||_p \in (0, R_1)$, (1) holds.

Now, we prove (2), let Λ^* as above. If c < 0 and $\{z_n\} \subset H$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence of E_{∞} , then we may assume that $E_{\infty}(z_n) < 0$ and $E'_{\infty}(z_n) = o(1)$, by (1), $||z_n||_p \in (0, R_1)$, hence $E(z_n) = E_{\infty}(z_n)$ and $E'(z_n) = E'_{\infty}(z_n)$. Since (b) hold when $0 < (\lambda + \theta) \le \Lambda^*$, By Lemma 2.5, E(z) satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for c < 0. Thus $E_{\infty}(z)$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for c < 0, (2) holds. \Box Now we prove our main result via genus.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\Sigma_k = \{A \subset H - \{(0,0)\}, A \text{ is closed}, A = -A, \gamma(A) \geq k\}$, $c_k = \inf_{A \in \Sigma_k} \sup_{z \in A} E_{\infty}(z)$, $K_c = \{z \in H | E_{\infty}(z) = c, E'_{\infty}(z) = 0\}$, and suppose that $0 < (\lambda + \theta) \leq \Lambda^*$, Λ^* is as above.

We claim that if $k, l \in N$ are such that $c = c_k = c_{k+1} = \cdots = c_{k+l}$, then $\gamma(K_c) \ge l+1$.

In fact, we assume

$$E_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon} = \{ z \in H | \ E_{\infty}(z) \le -\varepsilon \}$$

we will show for any $k \in N$, there exist an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(k) > 0$, such that

$$\gamma(E_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon}(z)) \ge k.$$

Fix $k \in N$, denote H_k be an k-dimensional subspace of H, choose $z = (u, v) \in H_k$, with $||z||_p = 1$, for $0 < \rho < R_1$, we have

$$E(\rho z) = E_{\infty}(\rho z) = \frac{1}{p}\rho^{p} + \frac{\rho^{q}}{q} ||z||_{q}^{q} - \frac{\rho^{r}}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^{r}$$
$$+\theta V(x)|v|^{r} dx - \frac{2\rho^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} dx.$$
(3.3)

For H_k is a finite dimension space, all the norms in H_k are equivalent. So we can define n []]......a.

$$\alpha_k = \sup\{\|z\|_q^q | u \in H_k, \|z\|_p = 1\} < \infty, \tag{3.4}$$

 $\beta_k = \inf\{|z|_r^r | z \in H_k, \|z\|_p = 1\} > 0,$ (3.5)

from (3.3)-(3.5), we have

$$E_{\infty}(\rho z) \leq \frac{1}{p}\rho^p + \alpha_k \frac{\rho^q}{q} - \sigma \beta_k \frac{\min\{\lambda, \theta\}\rho^r}{r}.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and an $0 < \rho < R_1$ such that $E_{\infty}(\rho z) \leq -\varepsilon$ for $z \in H_k$, $||z||_p = 1$, let $S_\rho = \{z \in H | ||z||_p = \rho\}$, then $S_\rho \cap H_k \subset E_\infty^{-\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 2.8, we obtain that

$$\gamma(E_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon}(z)) \ge \gamma(S_{\rho} \cap H_k) = k.$$
(3.6)

Since E_{∞} is continuous and even, with (3.6), we have $E_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon} \in \Sigma_k$ and c = $c_k \leq -\varepsilon < 0$. As E_{∞} is bounded from below, we see that $c = c_k > -\infty$ (This is the main reason that we consider E_{∞} instead of E). Then by Lemma 3.1 E_{∞} satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition and it is easy to see that K_c is a compact set.

Now we prove our claim by contradiction, suppose on the contrary $\gamma(K_c) \leq l$. By Lemma 2.8, there is a closed and symmetric set U with $K_c \subset U$ and $\gamma(U) \leq l$. Since c < 0, we also can assume that the closed set $U \subset E_{\infty}^{0}$. By Lemma 2.6, there exists an odd homeomorphism

$$\eta: H \to H$$

such that $\eta(E_{\infty}^{c+\delta} - U) \subset E_{\infty}^{c-\delta}$ for some $0 < \delta < -c$. From the definition of $c = c_{k+l}$, we know that there is an $A \in \Sigma_{k+l}$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in A} E_{\infty}(z) < c + \delta$$

i.e., $A \subset E_{\infty}^{c+\delta}$, and

$$\eta(A-U) \subset \eta(E_{\infty}^{c+\delta}-U) \subset E_{\infty}^{c-\delta},$$

that's meaning

$$\sup_{z \in \eta(A-U)} E_{\infty}(z) \le c - \delta.$$
(3.7)

Again by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\gamma(\eta(\overline{A-U})) \ge \gamma(\overline{A-U}) \ge \gamma(A) - \gamma(U) \ge k.$$

Thus we have $\eta(\overline{A-U}) \in \Sigma_k$ and $\sup_{z \in \eta(\overline{A-U})} E_{\infty}(z) \ge c_k = c$, which contradicts to (3.7). So we have proved our claim.

Now let's complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. If for all $k \in N$, we have $\Sigma_{k+1} \subset \Sigma_k, c_k \leq c_{k+1} < 0$. If all c_k are distinct, then $\gamma(K_{c_k}) \geq 1$, and we see that $\{c_k\}$ is a sequence of distinct negative critical values of E_{∞} ; if for some k_0 , there is a $l \ge 1$ such that $c = c_{k_0} = c_{k_0+1} = \cdots = c_{k_1+l}$, then by the claim, we have

$$\gamma(K_c) \ge l+1$$

which shows that K_c contains infinitely many distinct elements.

By Lemma 3.1, we know $E(z) = E_{\infty}(z)$ when $E_{\infty}(z) < 0$, so we show that there are infinitely many critical points of E(z). Theorem 1.1 is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

In this section, we will study problem (1.1) with $1 < q < p < r < p^*$, and will prove Theorem 1.2 by the following general version of the Mountain Pass Lemma(see[3]).

Lemma 4.1. Let E be a functional on a Banach space $H, E \in C^1(H, R)$. Let us assume that there exists $\rho, R > 0$ such that

(i) $E(z) > \rho$, $\forall z \in H$ with $||z||_p = R$.

(*ii*) E(0) = 0, and $E(w_0) < \rho$ for some $w_0 \in H$, with $||w_0||_p > R$. Let us define $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H) | \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = w_0\}$, and

$$\mu = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E(\gamma(t)). \tag{4.1}$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset H$, such that $E(z_n) \to \mu$, and $E'(z_n) \to 0$ in H' (dual of H) as $n \to \infty$.

Now similar to Lemma 2.5 in section 2, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose $1 < q < p \leq r < p^*$ hold, then any $(PS)_c$ sequence $\{z_n\} \subset H$ of E(z) contains a convergent subsequence when

$$c < \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}}_{\alpha,\beta}.$$
(4.2)

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From (4.1) and (4.2), we only need to show

$$\mu < \frac{2}{N} S_{\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{N}{p}},\tag{4.3}$$

then Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 give the existence of the critical point of E. To obtain (4.3), Let us choose $z_0 = (u_0, u_0) \in H$, with

$$|z_0|_{p^*} = 1, \lim_{t \to \infty} E(tz_0) = -\infty,$$

then there exists a $t_{\theta\lambda} > 0$ such that $\sup_{t\geq 0} E(tz_0) = E(t_{\theta\lambda}z_0)$ holds, and then $t_{\theta\lambda}$ satisfies

$$0 = t_{\theta\lambda}^{p-1} ||z_0||_p^p + t_{\theta\lambda}^{q-1} ||z_0||_q^q - (\lambda + \theta) t_{\theta\lambda}^{r-1} \int_{\Omega} V(x) |u_0|^r dx - t_{\theta\lambda}^{p^*-1}$$

then we get

$$(\lambda+\theta)\int_{\Omega}V(x)|u_0|^r dx = t_{\theta\lambda}^{p-r} ||z_0||_p^p + t_{\theta\lambda}^{q-r} ||z_0||_q^q - t_{\theta\lambda}^{p^*-r}$$

from $1 < q < p \leq r < p^*$, we get $t_{\theta\lambda} \to 0$ as $(\lambda + \theta) \to \infty$. Then there exists $\Lambda_* > 0$ such that for any $(\lambda + \theta) > \Lambda_*$, we have

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} E(tz_0) < \frac{2}{N} S_{\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{N}{p}}$$

Now we take $w_0 = t_0 z_0$ with t_0 large enough to verify $E(w_0) < 0$, we get

$$\alpha \le \max_{t \in [0,1]} E(\gamma_0(t))$$

where $\gamma_0(t) = tw_0$. Therefore,

$$\mu \le \sup_{t\ge 0} E(tw_0) < \frac{2}{N} S_{\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

then we have proved (4.3), that's complete the proof.

Now let's assume $1 < q < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1} < p \le \max\{p, p^* - \frac{q}{p-1}\} < r < p^*$, and define, for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{\psi(x)}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N-p}{p}}}, \ v_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(x)}{|u_{\varepsilon}(x)|_{p^*}}$$

where $\psi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(B(0, 2R))$ is such that $0 \le \psi(x) \le 1$, and $\psi(x) \equiv 1$ on B(0, R). We obtain the following estimates (see[13]).

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{t} dx = \begin{cases} K_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{N(p-1)-t(N-p)}{p}} + O(1), \ t > \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p} \\ K_{1} |ln\varepsilon| + O(1), \ t = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p} \\ O(1), \ t < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p} \end{cases}$$
(4.4)

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{t} dx = \begin{cases} K_{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{t+N(p-1)-tN}{p}} + O(1), \ t > \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1} \\ K_{2} |ln\varepsilon| + O(1), \ t = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1} \\ O(1), \ t < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1} \end{cases}$$
(4.5)

In particular, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} dx = K_{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}} + O(1)$$
(4.6)

and

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} = K_3 \varepsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}} + O(1) \tag{4.7}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} dx = \begin{cases} K_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{p^{2} - N}{p}} + O(1), \ p^{2} < N \\ K_{1} |ln\varepsilon| + O(1), \ p^{2} = N \\ O(1), \ p^{2} > N \end{cases}$$
(4.8)

where K_1, K_2, K_3 are positive constants independent of ε , and $S = \frac{K_2}{K_3}$ is the best Sobolev constant given in section 2.

If we choosing w_0 in the proof of Theorm 1.2 carefully, we can prove the following stronger result.

Theorem 4.3. If $1 < q < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1} < p \le \max\{p, p^* - \frac{q}{p-1}\} < r < p^*$ and V_0 hold, then for any $\theta > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

Proof. Following [16], we can take $z_{\varepsilon} = (v_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$, and

$$g(t) = E(tz_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{2t^p}{p} \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_p^p + \frac{2t^q}{q} \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_p^p - \frac{(\lambda+\theta)t^r}{r} \int_{\Omega} V(x) |v_{\varepsilon}|^r dx - \frac{2t^{p^*}}{p^*}.$$

then there exists a $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \ge 0} E(tz_{\varepsilon}) = E(t_{\varepsilon}z_{\varepsilon})$ hold, and then t_{ε} satisfies

$$g'(t_{\varepsilon}) = 2t^{p-1} \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{p}^{p} + 2t^{q-1} \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{p}^{p} - (\lambda + \theta)t^{r-1} \int_{\Omega} V(x) |v_{\varepsilon}|^{r} dx - 2t^{p^{*}-1} = 0.$$
(4.9)

then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{p} dx + t_{\varepsilon}^{q-p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{q} dx > t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}-p}$$

From (4.4)-(4.8) we can know

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{p} dx = S + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}), \ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{q} dx = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{q(N-p)}{p^{2}}})$$

set ε small enough, then we can have

$$t_{\varepsilon}^{p^*-p} \le 2S.$$

here we use the fact that $t_{\varepsilon} \to t_0 = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}} > 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where t_0 will be given later.

Then from (4.9) we obtain

$$2\|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{p}^{p} < (\lambda+\theta)t_{\varepsilon}^{r-p}\|V(x)\|_{\infty}|v_{\varepsilon}|_{r}^{r} + 2t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}-p}$$

$$(4.10)$$

From (4.4)-(4.8), and (4.10), choose ε small enough, we have

$$t_{\varepsilon}^{p^*-p} \ge \frac{S}{2}$$

Now we consider

$$h(t) = \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^p dx - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*}$$

the function attains its maximum at $t_0 = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}}$, and again combine with (4.4)-(4.8), we have

$$\begin{split} g(t_{\varepsilon}) &\leq 2h(t_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{q}}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{q} dx - \frac{(\lambda + \theta)t_{\varepsilon}^{r}}{r} \int_{\Omega} V(x) |v_{\varepsilon}|^{r} dx \\ &\leq 2h((\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{p} dx)^{\frac{1}{p^{*} - p}}) + \frac{(2S)^{q}}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{q} dx - \frac{(\lambda + \theta)(\frac{S}{2})^{r}}{r} \sigma \int_{\Omega} |v_{\varepsilon}|^{r} dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}} + C_{6} \varepsilon^{\frac{N - p}{p}} + C_{7} O(\varepsilon^{\frac{q(N - p)}{p^{2}}}) - C_{8} O(\varepsilon)^{\frac{p - 1}{p}(N - r\frac{N - p}{p})} \end{split}$$

where C_6, C_7, C_8 are positive constants independent with ε . Since $1 < q < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1} < p \le \max\{p, p^* - \frac{q}{p-1}\} < r < p^*$, we obtain that

$$\frac{N-p}{p} > \frac{q(N-p)}{p^2} > \frac{p-1}{p}(N-r\frac{N-p}{p}),$$

then we choose ε small enough, by Lemma 2.1, we get $g(t_{\varepsilon}) = \sup_{t \ge 0} E(tv_{\varepsilon}) < \frac{2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{p}} \le \frac{2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{p}}_{\alpha,\beta}$, by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we complete the proof. \Box

References

- 1. A.Ambrosetti, P.H.Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and application, J.Funct. Anal. 14(1973) 349-381.
- C.O.Alves, D.C.de Morais Filho, M.A.S.Souto, On systems of elliptic equations involving subcritical or critical Sobolev exponents, Nonlinear Anal. 42(2000)771-787.
- 3. J.P.Aubin, I.Ekeland, Applied nonlinear analysis, Wiley, New York. (1984).
- 4. J.G.Azvrero, I.P.Aloson, Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a nonsymmetric term, Trans. Amer.Math.Soc.323(1991)877-895.
- 5. A.Ambrosetti, H.Brezis, G.Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearlities in some elliptic problems, J.Funct.Anal. 122(1994)519-543.
- V.Benci,A.M.Micheletti,D.Visetti, An eigvenvalue problem for a quasilinear elliptic field equation, J.Diff.Equations.184(2)(2002)299-320.
- H.Brézis, E.lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 88 (1983)486-490.
- H.Brézis,L.Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, Comm.Pure Appl.Math. 36(1983)437-477.
- H.Brezis, Nonlinear equation involving the critical Sobolev exp- onent-survey and perspectives Crandall M C, et al, ed. Directions in Partial. Diff. Equations. New York: Academic Press Inc, (1987)17-36.
- J.Byeon, Z.Wang, Standing waves with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Archive for Rational Mechanics. Anal.165(4)(2002)295-316.
- T.Bartsch, A.Pankov, Z.Wang, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with steep potential well, Communication in Contemporary Mathematics. 3(4)(2001)549-569.
- 12. W.Ding, W.Ni, On the existence of positive entire solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation, Archive for Rational Mechanics. Anal. 31(4)(1986)283-328.
- P. Drábek and Y.Huang, Multiplicity of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic equation in R^N with critical Sobolev exponent, J.Diff. Equations.140(1)(1997) 106-132.
- 14. P.Han, High-energy positive solutions for critical growth Dirichlet problem in noncontractible domains, Nonlinear Anal.60(2005)369-387.
- 15. P.Han, The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of elliptic systems involving critical Sobolev exponents, Houston.J.Math.32(2006)1241-1257.
- T.S.Hsu, Multiple positive solutions for a critical quasilinear elliptic system with concaveconvex nonlinearities, Nonlinear Anal.71 (2009)2688-2698.
- H.Liu, Multiple positive solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation with critical Sobolev exponent, J.Math.Anal.Appl.354(2009) 451-458.
- H.Liu, Multiple positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation involving singular potential and critical Sobolev exponent, Nonlinear Anal.71(2009)1684-1690.
- 19. G.Li, The existence of nontrivial solution to the p-q-Laplacian problem with nonlinearlity asymptotic to u^{p-1} at infinity in \mathbf{R}^N , Nonlinear Anal.68(2008)1100-1119.
- G.Li,G.Zhang, Multiple solutions for the p-q-Laplician problem with critical exponent, Acta.Math.Sci.2009, 29B(4):903-918.

- G.Li,X.Liang, The existence of nontrivial solutions to nonlinear elliptic equation of p-q-Laplacian type on R^N, Nonlinear Anal.71 (2009)2316-2334.
- 22. P.H.Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical points theory with application to differential equations*, CBMS.Regional.ConfSer in Math.Vol 65.Providence, RI:Amer. Math.Soc.(1986).
- J.Su,Z.Wang,M.Willem, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with unbounded and decaying radial potentials, Communication in Contemporary Mathematics.9(4)(2007)571-583.
- 24. T.F. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic system involving sign-changing weight functions, Nonlinear Anal.68(2008)1733-1745.
- T.F.Wu, On semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents and signchanging weight function, Com.Pure.Appl. Anal.7(2008)383-405.
- M.Wu,Z.Yang. A class of p-q-Laplacian type equation with potentials eigenvalue problem in R^N, Boundary Value Problems 2009. Art.ID 185319,1-19.
- X.Zhu, Nontrivial solution of quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, Sciences Sinica.Ser A, 31(1988)1166-1181.

Honghui Yin His research interests focus on the structure of solution for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations(systems).

School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Jiangsu Nanjing 210046, China.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaiyin Normal University, Jiangsu Huaian 223001, China.

e-mail: yinhh@hytc.edu.cn

Zuodong Yang His research interests focus on the structure of solution for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations(systems) and parabolic equations(systems).

School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Jiangsu Nanjing 210046, China.

College of Zhongbei, Nanjing Normal University, Jiangsu Nanjing 210046, China. e-mail: zdyang_jin@263.net