

THE NEW ALGORITHM FOR LDL^T DECOMPOSITION OF BLOCK HANKEL MATRICES[†]

WENDI BAO*, ZHONGQUAN LV

ABSTRACT. In this paper, with use of the displacement matrix, two special matrices are constructed. By these special matrices the block decompositions of the block symmetric Hankel matrix and the inverse of the Hankel matrix are derived. Hence, the algorithms according to these decompositions are given. Furthermore, the numerical tests show that the algorithms are feasible.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 15A15, 15A24, 65F30.

Key words and phrases : Hankel matrix, Block symmetric Hankel matrix decomposition, Displacement matrix.

1. Introduction

Hankel matrix is of special interest in view of various applications: communication, control engineering, filter design, identification, model reduction and broadband matching, and in different fields of mathematics, e.g., in systems theory, integral equations, and operator theory. In this paper, we will obtain the new algorithm for the block LDL^T decomposition of a block symmetric Hankel matrix H by developing the idea in [5] to the block Hankel matrix. Furthermore, by applying the similar techniques to the inverse of the block Hankel matrix, we will get the UDU^T decomposition of H^{-1} . There is an extensive literature on Hankel matrix; for some reference, see [1, 2, 3, 4].

This paper is organized as follows. Some elementary definitions and relative theorems of Hankel matrices are discussed in Section 2. The decompositions of symmetric Hankel matrix H and H^{-1} are derived in Section 3. Finally, we present two numerical examples to test the algorithms in Section 4.

Received July 10, 2010. Revised September 13, 2010. Accepted October 18, 2010.

*Corresponding author. [†]This work was supported by the Foundation for the Excellent Doctoral Thesis Project of Nanjing Normal University under grant 2010bs0028

© 2011 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

2. Main theorems

We will use the following notations. M^n denotes the square matrix of order n . Let $Z = z_n \otimes I_p$ where z_n is a displacement matrix and $I_p \in M^p$ is an identity matrix. $E_i = e_i \otimes I_p$ where e_i is a unit vector of order n . $\tilde{E}_i = \tilde{e}_i \otimes I_p$ where \tilde{e}_i is a unit vector of order $2n$.

Definition 1. A matrix $H \in M^{np}$ is called a block symmetric Hankel matrix, if H satisfies $H = (\Gamma_{i+j-1})_{i,j=1}^n$ where $\Gamma_k \in M^p (k = 1, \dots, 2n - 1)$ is a symmetric matrix.

Definition 2. A matrix $H \in M^{np}$ is called a block strong-nonsingular Hankel matrix if

$$H_{kp} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & \Gamma_2 & \dots & \Gamma_k \\ \Gamma_2 & \Gamma_3 & \dots & \Gamma_{k+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Gamma_k & \Gamma_{k+1} & \dots & \Gamma_{2k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for every $k = 1, \dots, n$, H_{kp} is nonsingular.

Theorem 1. Let $H \in M^{np}$ be a block strong-nonsingular matrix. Introduce a series of matrices:

$$H^{(0)} = H = B_0, H^{(i-1)} = L_i D_i U_i^T + H^{(i)}, i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{1}$$

where

$$L_i = H^{(i-1)} E_i, U_i = (H^{(i-1)})^T E_i, D_i = (L_i(i))^{-1}, i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{2}$$

and $L_i(i) \in M^p$ is the i th block of L_i , then $L_i, U_i, H^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ have the following forms:

$$L_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ L_i(i) \\ \vdots \\ L_i(n) \end{pmatrix}, U_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ U_i(i) \\ \vdots \\ U_i(n) \end{pmatrix}, L_i(j) \in M^p, U_i(j) \in M^p, \tag{3}$$

$$H^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_i \end{pmatrix}, B_i \in M^{(n-i)p}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

and

$$H = [L_1, \dots, L_n] \text{diag}[D_1, \dots, D_n] [U_1^T, \dots, U_n^T]^T. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Since H is a block strong-nonsingular matrix, the formulas (1), (2) are reasonable. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, it is simple to verify that (3) is right. The proof of the block decomposition of H is following. Repeating the process (4) n times and recognizing that $H^{(n)} = 0$, we have

$$H = H^{(0)} = L_1 D_1 U_1^T + H^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i D_i U_i^T + H^{(n)}$$

$$= [L_1, \dots, L_n] \text{diag}[D_1, \dots, D_n] [U_1^T, \dots, U_n^T]^T.$$

, i.e., the formula (4) makes sense. □

Remark 1. Let $H \in M^{np}$ be a block symmetric strong-nonsingular matrix, and $L = [L_1, \dots, L_n]$, $D = \text{diag}(D_1, \dots, D_n)$ in Theorem 1, then $H = LDL^T$ just is a block LDL^T decomposition of H .

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, and suppose that

$$\Delta H^{(i)} = VH^{(i)} - H^{(i)}V^T, \tag{5}$$

where V is a low triangular matrix, then B_i ($i = 0, \dots, n - 1$) is nonsingular and $\text{rank}(\Delta H^{(i)}) \leq \text{rank}(\Delta H^{(i-1)})$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. From (1)-(4), we have

$$B_{i-1} = (L_i^T(i), \dots, L_i^T(n))^T D_i (U_i(i), \dots, U_i(n)) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $D_i = (L_i(i))^{-1} = [(U_i(i))^T]^{-1}$, then

$$B_{i-1} = \begin{pmatrix} D_i^{-1} & S_i \\ t_i & B_i + t_i D_i S_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $t_i = \begin{pmatrix} L_i(i+1) \\ \vdots \\ L_i(n) \end{pmatrix}$, $S_i = (U_i(i+1), \dots, U_i(n))$.

Recognizing that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -t_i D_i & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_i^{-1} & S_i \\ t_i & B_i + t_i D_i S_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -D_i S_i \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_i^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & B_i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

we obtain

$$\det(B_{i-1}) = \det(B_i) \det(D_i^{-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

Since $B_0 = H$ is nonsingular and $\det(D_i^{-1}) \neq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n - 1$), then

$$\det(B_i) \neq 0 \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1).$$

Denote $\Delta_i B_i = Z_3 B_i - B_i Z_3^T$, $\bar{\Delta}_i B_i^{-1} = B_i^{-1} Z_3 - Z_3^T B_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $\Delta H^{(i)} = \Delta_i B_i$, and with the formula (6) we can get

$$\bar{\Delta}_{i-1} B_{i-1}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & \bar{\Delta}_i B_i^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since the rank of the matrix is greater than the rank of its submatrix, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\Delta H^{(i)}) &= \text{rank}(\Delta_i B_i) = \text{rank}[(\Delta_i B_i) B_i^{-1}] = \text{rank}[B_i (\bar{\Delta}_i B_i^{-1})] \\ &= \text{rank}(\bar{\Delta}_i B_i^{-1}) \leq \text{rank}(\bar{\Delta}_{i-1} B_{i-1}^{-1}) = \text{rank}(\Delta H^{(i-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Two algorithms

3.1. The LDL^T decomposition for the block symmetric Hankel matrix.

Let $H \in M^{np}$ be a block symmetric strong-nonsingular Hankel matrix. In order to get the $H = LDL^T$ decomposition, we only need obtain L_i , D_i . It is easy to verify that

$$ZH - HZ^T = mE_1^T - E_1m^T,$$

where

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Gamma_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Gamma_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\text{rank}(\Delta H^0) \leq 2p$. Moreover, from Theorem 2, we know that

$$\text{rank}(\Delta H^{(i-1)}) \leq 2p,$$

and note that $\Delta H^{(i-1)}$ is a block antisymmetric matrix, thus there are two matrices

$$X_{i-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ X_{i-1}(i) \\ \vdots \\ X_{i-1}(n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_{i-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ Y_{i-1}(i) \\ \vdots \\ Y_{i-1}(n) \end{pmatrix},$$

such that

$$\Delta H^{(i-1)} = X_{i-1}Y_{i-1}^T - Y_{i-1}X_{i-1}^T. \quad (7)$$

On one hand, right multiplying (5) and (7) by E_i respectively, and noting that $H^{(i-1)}Z^TE_i = H^{(i-1)}E_{i-1} = 0$, we can get

$$ZH^{(i-1)}E_i - H^{(i-1)}Z_n^TE_i = (X_{i-1}Y_{i-1}^T - Y_{i-1}X_{i-1}^T)E_i.$$

Furthermore, from Theorem 1, we have $H^{(i-1)}E_i = L_i$, then

$$ZL_i = X_{i-1}(Y_{i-1}(i))^T - Y_{i-1}(X_{i-1}(i))^T. \quad (8)$$

With use of the formula (8), $L_i(i), \dots, L_i(n-1)$ can be determined by the following formulas:

$$L_i(j) = X_i(j+1)(Y_{i-1}(i))^T - Y_{i-1}(j+1)(X_{i-1}(i))^T, \quad j = i, \dots, n-1.$$

and

$$X_{i-1}(i)(Y_{i-1}(i))^T = Y_{i-1}(i)(X_{i-1}(i))^T. \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, from the last row block of $H = LDL^T$, it holds

$$\sum_{j=1}^i L_j(n)D_jL_j^T(i) = \Gamma_{n+i-1}(i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

then

$$L_i(n) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_n, & i = 1, \\ \Gamma_{n+i-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} L_j(n)D_jL_j^T(i), & i \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Inserting $\Delta H^{(i)} = ZH^{(i)} - H^{(i)}Z^T$ by $H^{(i)} = H^{(i-1)} - L_iD_iL_i^T$ and using (7), we can obtain

$$X_i(Y_i)^T - Y_i(X_i)^T = X_{i-1}(Y_{i-1})^T - Y_{i-1}(X_{i-1})^T - ZL_iD_i(L_i)^T + L_iD_i(L_i)^T Z^T.$$

with the formula (8), the above formula will become

$$\begin{aligned} X_i(Y_i)^T - Y_i(X_i)^T = & X_{i-1}(Y_{i-1})^T - Y_{i-1}(X_{i-1})^T \\ & - [X_{i-1}(Y_{i-1}(i))^T - Y_{i-1}(X_{i-1}(i))^T]D_iL_i^T \\ & + L_iD_i[X_{i-1}(Y_{i-1}(i))^T - Y_{i-1}(X_{i-1}(i))^T]^T. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Noting that the formula (8) and $D_i = D_i^T$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), we have the formula (10) by denoting

$$X_i = X_{i-1} - L_iD_iX_{i-1}(i), \quad Y_i = Y_{i-1} - L_iD_iY_{i-1}(i). \quad (11)$$

Hence, with the formulas (8)-(10), the algorithm for the LDL^T decomposition of the block symmetric strong-nonsingular Hankel matrix is given by Algorithm 1.

3.2. The UDU^T decomposition of H^{-1} . Constructing the two matrices of order $2np$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} H & I_n \otimes I_p \\ I_n \otimes I_p & 0_n \otimes I_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} Z & 0 \\ 0 & Z^T \end{pmatrix},$$

and applying Theorem 1 to the matrix Q , we have

$$Q^{(0)} = \tilde{R}_0 = Q, \quad Q^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{ip \times ip} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{R}_i \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{R}_i \in M^{(2n-i)p}$.

Let

$$\tilde{L}_i = Q^{(i-1)}\tilde{E}_i, \quad \tilde{D}_i = (\tilde{L}_i(i))^{-1} \in M^p,$$

thus

$$Q^{(i-1)} = \tilde{L}_i\tilde{D}_i\tilde{L}_i^T + Q^{(i)}. \quad (12)$$

Since Q is a band matrix, then \tilde{L}_i has the following form

$$\tilde{L}_i = [0, \dots, 0, (\tilde{L}_i(i))^T, \dots, (\tilde{L}_i(i+n))^T, 0, \dots, 0]^T,$$

Repeating the step (12) n times, we have the decomposition of Q

$$Q = (\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2, \dots, \tilde{L}_n) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{D}_1 & & & \\ & \tilde{D}_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \tilde{D}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{L}_1^T \\ \tilde{L}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{L}_n^T \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{R}_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Algorithm 1 $H = LDL^T$ **Require:** A block symmetric strong-nonsingular Hankel matrix H .**Ensure:** The matrices L, D .

```

1:  $X_0(1) = 0 \otimes I_p$   $Y_0(1) = 1 \otimes I_p$ 
2: for  $k = 2$  to  $n$  do
3:    $X_0(k) = \Gamma_{k-1}$   $Y_0(k) = 0 \otimes I_p$ 
4: end for
5: for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
6:   for  $k = i$  to  $n - 1$  do
7:      $L_i(k) = X_{i-1}(k+1)(Y_{i-1}(i))^T - Y_{i-1}(k+1)(X_{i+1}(i))^T$ 
8:   end for
9:   if  $i = 1$  then
10:     $L_i(n) = \Gamma_n$ 
11:   else
12:     $L_i(n) = \Gamma_{n+i-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} L_j(n)D_jL_j^T(i)$ 
13:   end if
14:    $D_i = [L_i(i)]^{-1}$ 
15:   for  $k = i + 1$  to  $n$  do
16:      $X_i(k) = X_{k-1}(k) - L_i(k)D_iX_{i-1}(i)$ 
17:      $Y_i(k) = Y_{k-1}(k) - L_i(k)D_iY_{i-1}(i)$ 
18:   end for
19: end for
20: return

```

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_1(1) & & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ L_1(n) & \dots & L_n(n) & \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & D_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Partitioning

$$(\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2, \dots, \tilde{L}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 \\ U_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{D} = \text{diag}(\tilde{D}_1, \dots, \tilde{D}_n), \quad (14)$$

where $L_1 \in M^{np}$ is a block low triangular matrix, $U_1 \in M^{np}$ is a block up triangular matrix, substituting (13) by (14) and comparing the two sides of (13), we have

$$H = L_1 \tilde{D} L_1^T, \quad I_{np} = L_1 \tilde{D} U_1^T = U_1 \tilde{D} L_1^T.$$

Hence, $H^{-1} = U_1 \tilde{D} U_1^T$, this just is the block triangular decomposition of H^{-1} .

In the following process, \tilde{L}_i, \tilde{D}_i are derived.

Let $\Delta Q^{(i)} = FQ^{(i)} - Q^{(i)}F^T$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), observe that

$$FQ - QF^T = \tilde{h} \tilde{E}_1^T - \tilde{E}_1 \tilde{h}^T, \quad \tilde{h} = (0, \Gamma_1^T, \dots, \Gamma_{n-1}^T, 0, \dots, 0)^T \quad (15)$$

we know $rank(\Delta Q^{(i-1)}) \leq 2p$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$) from Theorem 2. Since $\Delta Q^{(i-1)}$ is a block antisymmetric matrix, there are two matrices of order $2np \times p$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_{i-1} &= (0, \dots, 0, (\tilde{X}_{i-1}(i))^T, \dots, (\tilde{X}_{i-1}(2n))^T)^T, \\ \tilde{Y}_{i-1} &= (0, \dots, 0, (\tilde{Y}_{i-1}(i))^T, \dots, (\tilde{Y}_{i-1}(2n))^T)^T, \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\Delta Q^{(i-1)} = \tilde{X}_{i-1}\tilde{Y}_{i-1}^T - \tilde{Y}_{i-1}\tilde{X}_{i-1}^T. \tag{16}$$

Multiplying (16) by \tilde{E}_i and noting that $Q^{(i-1)}F^T\tilde{E}_i = Q^{(i-1)}\tilde{E}_{i-1} = 0$, we can obtain

$$F\tilde{L}_i = \tilde{X}_{i-1}(\tilde{Y}_{i-1}(i))^T - \tilde{Y}_{i-1}(\tilde{X}_{i-1}(i))^T. \tag{17}$$

Hence $\tilde{L}_i(i), \dots, \tilde{L}_i(n-1), \tilde{L}_i(n-2), \dots, \tilde{L}_i(n+i)$ can be determined by formula (17), but $\tilde{L}_i(n), \tilde{L}_i(n+1)$ can not.

Comparing the last row block of $H = L_1\tilde{D}L_1^T$, we yield

$$\tilde{L}_i(n) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_n, & i = 1, \\ \Gamma_{n+i-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{L}_j(n)\tilde{D}_j\tilde{L}_j^T(i), & i \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

In the same way, with use of the first column block of $I = L_1\tilde{D}U_1^T$, there are

$$\tilde{L}_i(n+1) = \begin{cases} I_p, & i = 1, \\ -\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{L}_j(n+1)\tilde{D}_j\tilde{L}_j^T(i), & i \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Inserting $\Delta Q^{(i)} = FQ^{(i)} - Q^{(i)}F^T$ by $Q^{(i)} = Q^{(i-1)} - \tilde{L}_i\tilde{D}_i\tilde{L}_i^T$ and using (16), (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_i\tilde{Y}_i^T - \tilde{Y}_i\tilde{X}_i^T &= \tilde{X}_{i-1}\tilde{Y}_{i-1}^T - \tilde{Y}_{i-1}\tilde{X}_{i-1}^T - [\tilde{X}_{i-1}(\tilde{Y}_{i-1}(i))^T - \tilde{Y}_{i-1}(\tilde{X}_{i-1}(i))^T] \\ &\quad \tilde{D}_i\tilde{L}_i^T + \tilde{L}_i\tilde{D}_i[\tilde{X}_{i-1}(\tilde{Y}_{i-1}(i))^T - \tilde{Y}_{i-1}(\tilde{X}_{i-1}(i))^T]^T. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$\tilde{X}_i = \tilde{X}_{i-1} - \tilde{L}_i\tilde{D}_i\tilde{X}_{i-1}(i), \quad \tilde{Y}_i = \tilde{Y}_{i-1} - \tilde{L}_i\tilde{D}_i\tilde{Y}_{i-1}(i). \tag{20}$$

The algorithm for the UDU^T decomposition of H^{-1} are obtained by (16)-(20) and given in the Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 2 $H^{-1} = UDU^T$ **Require:** A block symmetric strong-nonsingular Hankel matrix H .**Ensure:** The matrices U, D .

```

1:  $X_0(1) = 0 \otimes I_p$     $Y_0(1) = 1 \otimes I_p$ 
2: for  $k = 2$  to  $n$  do
3:    $X_0(k) = \Gamma_{k-1}$ 
4: end for
5: for  $k = n + 1$  to  $2n$  do
6:    $X_0(k) = 0 \otimes I_p$ 
7: end for
8: for  $k = 2$  to  $2n$  do
9:    $Y_0(k) = 0 \otimes I_p$ 
10: end for
11: for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
12:   for  $k = i$  to  $n - 1$  do
13:      $L_i(k) = X_{i-1}(k + 1)(Y_{i-1}(i))^T - Y_{i-1}(k + 1)(X_{i+1}(i))^T$ 
14:   end for
15:   if  $i = 1$  then
16:      $L_i(n) = \Gamma_n$ 
17:   else
18:      $L_i(n) = \Gamma_{n+i-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} L_j(n)D_jL_j^T(i)$ 
19:   end if
20:   if  $i = 1$  then
21:      $L_i(n + 1) = I_p$ 
22:   else
23:      $L_i(n + 1) = - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} L_j(n + 1)D_jL_j^T(i)$ 
24:   end if
25:    $D_i = [L_i(i)]^{-1}$ 
26:   for  $k = i + 1$  to  $n + i$  do
27:      $L_i(k) = X_{i-1}(k - 1)(Y_{i-1}(k))^T - Y_{i-1}(k - 1)(X_{i-1}(i))^T$ 
28:      $X_i(k) = X_{k-1}(k) - L_i(k)D_iX_{i-1}(i)$ 
29:      $Y_i(k) = Y_{k-1}(k) - L_i(k)D_iY_{i-1}(i)$ 
30:   end for
31: end for
32: return

```

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} L_1(n+1) & \dots & L_n(n+1) \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & L_n(2n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. Numerical examples

Example 1. Consider the block symmetric strong-nonsingular Hankel matrix:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 10 & 12 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 10 & 11 & 0 & 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 12 & 0 & 11 & 12 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 10 & 0 & 10 & 12 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 10 & 0 & 10 & 11 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 0 & 11 & 12 \end{pmatrix},$$

By Algorithm 1, we yield the matrices D , L such that $H = LDL^T$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0 & -1.2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1.2 & 0 & 2.4 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5.7049 & -4.7295 & 3.2951 & -8.7459 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4.7295 & 5.1770 & -2.8705 & 7.3754 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3.2951 & -2.8705 & 1.9049 & -5.0541 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -8.7459 & 7.3754 & -5.0541 & 13.4951 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 10 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 10 & 11 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 12 & 0 & 11 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -3.50 & 4.50 & 46.00 & 12.50 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 4.50 & -0.10 & -8.20 & -0.10 \\ 0 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 46.00 & -8.20 & -65.40 & 9.80 \\ 1 & 0 & 1.0 & 1 & 12.50 & -0.10 & 9.80 & 11.90 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 2. Consider the block symmetric strong-nonsingular Hankel matrix:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 110 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 100 & 0 \\ 1 & 19 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 110 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 0 & 110 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 110 & 1 & 19 \\ 100 & 0 & 110 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 110 & 1 & 19 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

By Algorithm 2, we can get the matrices D , U such that $H^{-1} = UDU^T$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0091 & -0.0005 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.0005 & 0.0527 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.0100 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.0000 & 0.0091 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0047 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0016 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.9095 & 0.0527 \\ 0 & 1.0000 & 0 & 0 & 0.0479 & -5.7922 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.0000 & 0 & -1.1000 & -0.0100 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.0000 & -0.0091 & -0.1727 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.0000 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.0000 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The numerical examples show that Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 work well. Thus, the algorithms are available for block symmetric strong-nonsingular Hankel matrices and easy to realize. In future, we will consider the algorithms for block Hankel matrices under less limiting conditions.

REFERENCES

1. N. B. Atti, G. M. Toca, *lock diagonalization and LU-equivalence of Hankel matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **412** (2006), 247-269.
2. S. Belhaj, *Computing the block factorization of complex Hankel matrices*, Computing **87**(2010), 169-186.
3. K. Browne, S. Z. Qiao, Y. M. Wei, *A Lanczos bidiagonalization algorithm for Hankel matrices*. Linear Algebra Appl. **430** (2009), 1531-1543.
4. J. Chun, T. Kailath, *Displacement structure for Hankel, Vandermonde, and related(derived) matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **151**(1991), 199-277.
5. Z. J. Yuan, Z. Xu, Q. Lu, *Improvement on the fast algorithm for triangular decomposition of Hankel matrix and its inverse matrix*, Chinese Journal of Engineering Mathematics **23**(2006), 685-690.

Wendi Bao received her M.Sc. from China University of Petroleum in 2005. She is currently a doctoral student at Nanjing Normal University since 2009. She has been at China University of Petroleum since 2005. Her research interests include matrix computation and numerical methods for DAEs.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210046, P.R. China
School of Mathematic and Computational science, China University of Petroleum, Qingdao 266555, P.R. China.

e-mail: baowd@hdpu.edu.cn; baowendi@sina.com

Zhongquan Lv received his Master from Nanjing Forestry University in 2007. He is currently a doctoral student at Nanjing Normal University since 2008. He has been at Nanjing Forestry University since 2000. His research interests include matrix computation, parallel algorithm and numerical methods for DAEs .

School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210046, Nanjing,
P.R. China
College of Science ,Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, Nanjing, P.R. China.
e-mail: zhqlv@njfu.edu.cn