Structures and Formation Energies of Li_xC₆ (x=1-3) and its Homologues for Lithium Rechargeable Batteries

Chil-Hoon Doh,* ByungChan Han,† Bong-Soo Jin, and Hal-Bon Gu[‡]

Korea Electrotechnology Research Institute, Changwon 641-120, Korea. *E-mail: chdoh@keri.re.kr [†]Dept. of Mechanical Eng., Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA 94305 [‡]Dept. of Electrical Eng., Chonnam National Univ., Gwangju 500-757, Korea Received February 26, 2011, Accepted April 21, 2011

Using first principles density functional theory the formation energies of various binary compounds of lithium graphite and its homologues were calculated. Lithium and graphite react to form Li_1C_6 (+141 mV) but not form Li_2 (-143 mV), Li_3 (-247 mV) and Li_2 (-529 mV) because they are less stable than lithium metal itself. Properties of structure and reaction potentials of C₅B, C₅N and B₃N₃ materials as iso-structural graphite were studied. Boron and nitrogen substituted graphite and boron-nitrogen material as a iso-electronic structured graphitic material have longer graphene layer spacing than that of graphite. The layer spacing of Li_xC₆, Li_xC₅B, Li_xC₅N materials increased until to x=1, and then decreased until to x=2 and 3. Nevertheless Li_xB₃N₃ has opposite tendency of layer spacing variation. Among various lithium compositions of Li_xC₅B, Li_xC₅N and Li_xC₅B (x=1-3) and Li_xC₅(x=1) from total energy analyses have positive values against lithium deposition.

Key Words : Lithium battery, Graphites, Intercalation, Potential, Formation energy

Introduction

Carbonacious materials including graphite have been used as active anode materials of rechargeable lithium ion batteries.^{1,2} Lithium ions intercalate into graphite in a reversible manner. Staging phenomena of graphene sheets can take by the dominant lithium intercalation to lithium rich graphene stacks. Among lithium intercalated graphites (LIGs) Li_1C_6 is known as a lithium richest compound under typical electrochemical conditions of lithium ion batteries. The *c*-axis of LiC₆ elongates about 10.5% resulting in the same amount of volume expansion. Eq. (1) shows the lithium intercalation reaction to graphite. The specific capacity and the capacity density of graphite are 372 Ah/kg and 840 Ah/L applying the density of graphite as 2.26 g/mL.

 $Li^+ + e^- + C_6 \rightarrow LiC_6$ $\Delta H vs. Li^+/Li^0 < 0$ (1)

specific capacity : 372 Ah/kg, capacity density : 840 Ah/L

Under typical conditions, the deposition of metallic lithium becomes thermodynamically more stable than intercalation of more of one lithium ion as shown Eq. (2)-(5).

- $Li^+ + e^- \rightarrow Li^0$ $\Delta H \text{ vs. } Li^+/Li^0 = 0$ (2)
- $\mathrm{Li}^{+} + \mathrm{e}^{-} + \mathrm{C}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Li}_{1}\mathrm{C}_{4} \qquad \Delta \mathrm{H} \ vs. \ \mathrm{Li}^{+}/\mathrm{Li}^{0} > 0 \qquad (3)$
- $2Li^{\scriptscriptstyle +}+2e^{\scriptscriptstyle -}+C_6 \rightarrow Li_2C_6 \quad \Delta H \text{ vs. } Li^{\scriptscriptstyle +}\!/Li^0 > 0 \quad (4)$
- $3Li^{+} + 3e^{-} + C_6 \rightarrow Li_3C_6 \quad \Delta H \text{ vs. } Li^{+}/Li^0 > 0 \quad (5)$

The volume expansion (~10.5%) of Li_1C_6 is relatively small compared to other anode materials such as silicon and tin. It is a reason to exhibit a good cyclic performance for graphite. As such, the key challenging issue for LIGs is to enhance the specific capacity (larger than 372 Ah/kg) and capacity density (exceeding 840 Ah/L) with the same or better cycleability.

Theoretical studies were performed as follows; Fauster et $al.^3$ studied band-structures of LiC₆ based on the calculated Fermi level and found that the potential of lithiumintercalated graphite was reduced for 3 eV compared to graphite. Yazami *et al.*⁴ reported the structures of LiC_6 , LiC_4 , LiC₃ and LiC₂ and found that the average Li-Li distance of a Li₂ pair in LiC₂ is 2.46 Å. Which value is shorter than 4.26 Å in LiC₆ having hexagonal structure and 3.04 Å in lithium metal also. Titantah et al.5 performed ENLES (energy-loss near-edge structure) calculations on lithium and carbon of LiC₆ and related compounds by the density functional theory (DFT) calculations using the programs of WIEN2k and Monte Carlo simulation to get distribution of the density of states (DOS) and net charge properties of lithium and carbon atoms for LiC₃₆, LiC₁₂ and LiC₆. Suzuki et al.⁶ studied on the stacking structures of the lithium intercalated graphene sheets by the MO calculations using MOPAC 2000 program and discussed on the possibility of the multiple Li ion layer storage between graphene sheets. Li et al.⁷ studied total energy, stability, ionization potential, electron affinity and dissociation channel of LiC_n, LiC_n⁺ and LiC_n^{-} (n = 1-10) using the GAUSSIAN program. Yamamoto et al.8 studied that the chemical energy of the lithium intercalation to graphite could be obtained by the FLAPW (Wien97) calculation using by LDA-GW and GGA-PBE potential code in the year of 2004 and found that the reaction potentials of Li₃C₈ (Li_{2.25}C₆) and Li₁₇C₆₀ (Li_{1.7}C₆) (0.375 and 0.283 of x^{-1} in LiC_x) as -500 and -230 mV (Li/Li⁺), respectively. Imai et al.9 studied crystal structures and total energies of the lithium intercalated graphites such as LiC₁₈,

LiC₁₂, LiC₈, LiC₆, LiC₄ and LiC₂ using the Cambridge Serial Total Energy Package (CASTEP) program and the potential codes of LDA and GGA and found the following. The chemical potentials of LiC₆ varied with LDA and GGA potential codes as +480 mV and +230 mV, respectively. The chemical potentials of LiC₄ for two different structures of A α 'B and α AA stacking in lithium arrangements were evaluated as -300 mV and -30 mV with the potential code of LDA and as -430 mV and -450 mV with the potential code of GGA, respectively. The different tendency of the chemical potentials by the structures and potential codes were obtained in this study. The chemical potentials of LiC₂ were -860 mV and -930 mV for the LDA and GGA potential codes, respectively.

In this article the structure and the reaction potential of the lithiated graphites and its homologues were considered using the first principles calculations of VASP. The possibility of the higher lithium intercalation to graphite than 1 lithium atom per 6 carbon atoms was explored. For this exploration boron and nitrogen substituted graphite and boron-nitrogen isoelectronic structured graphitic material were also studied.

Calculation

The total-energy calculation and full structural optimization were performed using the Vienna *ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP 4.6).¹⁰ Projector augmented-wave pseudopotentials were used.¹¹ The exchange and correlation were treated within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA).¹² The

size of the k-mesh was chosen to be $9 \times 16 \times 10$ for the conventional cell. To assure convergence of the energy, a cutoff value of 330 eV was used. It was found that the convergence in the total energy was better that 1 meV/atom using this cutoff energy and k-mesh grid. Total-energy minimization *via* a lattice parameter optimization and atomic position relaxation in a conjugate gradient routine was obtained by calculating the Hellmann-Feynman forces which are reduced to within the 0.01 eV/Å for each atom. Other computational and structural details are available in references.¹³

The reaction potentials were calculated from the total energies of reactants and products using Eq. (6).¹⁴⁻¹⁶ The total energy calculations were performed for iso-structural C_5B , C_5N , B_3N_3 using same structural data of graphite.

$$V(x) = \frac{\mu_{\text{Li}}^{\text{cathode}}(x) - \mu_{\text{Li}}^{\text{anode}}(x)}{-nF}$$
(6)

Results and Discussion

Graphite accomplished by the piling (stacking) of graphene sheets which has continuous connections of the benzene resonance structures. Lithium can be accommodated to the center of benzene hexagon and stabilized by the delocalized p orbital. The higher lithium content than Li₁C₆ can be considered such as Li₁C₄, Li₂C₆ and Li₃C₆ theoretically. The structural information was collected at Table 1 including graphite, Li₁C₆ and hypothetical lithium graphite of Li₁C₄,

Table 1. The structural parameters for graphite and lithium intercalated graphites

	Cı	from inorga	Fully relaxed crystallographic data by VASP ab initio calculation									
Materials	Structural parameters (Å, °)	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Density (g/mL)	Distance graphene layers (Å)	Distance between Li-C (Å)	Distance between Li-Li (Å)	Structural parameters	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Density (g/mL)	Distance graphene layers (Å)	Distance between Li-C (Å)	Distance between Li-Li (Å)
Graphite (P6 ₃ /mmc)	a=2.464 c=6.711 α=90 γ=120	35.29	2.261	3.355	-	-	a=2.469 c=7.377 α=90 γ=120	38.93	2.409	3.6885	-	-
Li ₁ C ₆	a=4.290 c=3.737 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	59.56	2.203	3.737	2.353	3.737	a=4.319 c=3.672 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	59.33	2.211	3.6723	2.334	3.672
Li ₁ C ₄ (Li ₆ C ₂₄)	a=8.580 c=3.737 α=90 γ=120	59.56	2.203	3.737	2.353	2.477	a=8.7402 c=3.660 α=90 γ=120	60.532 (241.25)	2.271	3.6599	2.339	2.528
Li ₂ C ₆	a=4.300 c=7.400 α=90 γ=120	59.25	-	3.700 ²⁰⁾	-	2.477	a=4.395 c=7.222 α=90 γ=120	60.42	2.362	3.6112	2.326	2.538
Li ₃ C ₆	N.A.	-	-	-	-	2.477	a=4.488 c=3.513 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	61.28	2.517	3.51293	2.307	2.591

Structures and Formation Energies of Li_xC_6 (x=1-3)



Figure 1. The plane structures of the lithium intercalated graphites of (a) Li_1C_6 , (b) Li_1C_4 , (c) Li_2C_6 , (d) Li_3C_6 .

Li₂C₆ and Li₃C₆.

Graphite has two different stacking structures of graphene sheets as AA and ABC having the similar structural stability. Li_1C_6 has a stacking structure of graphene sheets as AAA being arranged neatly as the results of the translation of graphene sheets. Li_xC_6 (x=1.5, 2, 3) may also have same AAA stacking of graphene sheets. The plane structures of Li_1C_6 , Li_1C_4 , Li_2C_6 and Li_3C_6 were depicted at Figure 1 considering the structural stability.

The possibility of staging between Li_1C_6 and Li_3C_6 was not mentioned. The structure of Li_2C_6 was studied by S. Rabii and D. Geurard.¹⁷ Li_1C_6 , Li_1C_4 , Li_2C_6 and Li_3C_6 have same stacking patterns of graphene sheets with the different ordering and content of the lithium occupation. The layer spacing of graphene sheets in Li_1C_6 , Li_1C_4 , Li_2C_6 and Li_3C_6 will be varied by the different interaction of each atom.

The variations of the layer spacing were presented in Table 1 as the results of the first principles calculations of VASP by the full relaxation. By the change from Li₁C₆ to Li₁C₄, Li₂C₆ and Li₃C₆ the layer spacing were shrunk from 3.6723 Å to 3.660 Å, 3.6112 Å and 3.5129 Å, respectively. The shrinkages of Li₁C₄, Li₂C₆ and Li₃C₆ were 0.34%, 1.7% and 4.3% compared to Li₁C₆. The expansions of Li₁C₄, Li₂C₆ and Li₃C₆ were 9.5%, 9.12%, 7.7% and 4.7% compared to 3,354 Å of graphite. The graphene layer spacing of Li₁C₆, Li₁C₄ and Li₂C₆ have the shorter values for the calculation than that of the inorganic database and the published results. The distances of Li-Li bond in Li₃C₆ was 2.591 Å to be longer than that of 2.528 Å in Li₁C₄ (x =1.5, 2,

Table 2. The reaction energies of Li_xC_6 materials from graphite and lithium

Reactions	Total energy of product (eV)	Calculated reaction energy (eV)	Calculated reaction potential (mV)	Specific capacity (mAh/g)
Li ⁰	-1.901			
C ₆	-55.261			
$Li^0 + C_6 \rightarrow Li_1C_6$	-57.303	-0.141	+141	372
$Li^0 + C_4 \rightarrow Li_1C_4$	-38.599	0.143	-143	558
$2\mathrm{Li}^{0} + \mathrm{C}_{6} \rightarrow \mathrm{Li}_{2}\mathrm{C}$	₆ -58.570	0.493	-247	744
$3Li^0 + C_6 \rightarrow Li_3C$	₆ -59.376	1.588	-529	1116

3) were shorter than that of Li₁C₆(3 .672 Å) and Li metal (2.978 Å).The total energies of C₆, Li₁C₆, Li₁C₄, Li₂C₆ and Li₃C₆ were evaluated as -55.291 eV, -57.303 eV, -38.599 eV, -58.570 eV and -59.376 eV by the VASP calculations, respectively. The formation energies and potentials of Li₁C₆, Li₁C₄, Li₂C₆ and Li₃C₆ were derived from the total energies including the total energy of lithium as -1.191 eV per lithium as Table 2. The reaction potential of Li₁C₆ from lithium and graphite was +141 mV which means that the formation of Li₁C₆ is favorable. However the reaction potentials of Li₁C₄, Li₂C₆ and Li₃C₆ were -143 mV, -247 mV and -529 mV, respectively. These negative reaction potentials have the meaning of that the deposition of lithium is easier than the formation of Li₁C₄, Li₂C₆ and Li₃C₆.

The reaction potentials of Li₃C₈ (Li_{2.25}C₆) and Li₁₇C₆₀ $(Li_{1.7}C_6)$ (0.375 and 0.283 of x⁻¹ in LiC_x) were reported as -500 and -230 mV (Li/Li⁺) in 2004.¹⁸ The reaction potential of Li₃C₈ as -500 mV is similar to the reaction potential of Li_3C_6 as -529 mV of this study. And the reaction potential of $Li_{17}C_{60}$ as -230 mV is lower than the reaction potential of LiC₄ as -143 mV of this report however is similar to the reaction potential of Li₂C₆ as -247 mV of this study. The differences of chemical potentials were originated from the differences of the program of FLAPW (Wien97) and VASP the potential codes of LDA and GGA, and other calculation parameters. +230 mV of the reaction potential¹⁹ of LiC₆ calculated by using Cambridge Serial Total Energy Package (CASTEP) with the GGA potential code is different compared to +141 mV calculated by using VASP. These two different values are higher than +70 mV²⁰ as the experimental potential of Li1C6. The reaction potentials of LiC4 with α with action potentials of LiC₆ of Li Total Energy Package (CASTEP) with the GGA potential code is different compared to +141 mV calculated by using VASP. These two different values are higher than +70 mV as the experimental potential of Li₁C₆. The reaction potentials of LiC₄ with action potentials of LiCof Li with the GGA potential codation and -143 mV for VASP calculation with the same potential code of GGA, respectively, to be some different values within the same negative potentials. The reaction potentials of LiC₂ with potential code of GGA were -930 mV and -529 mV for CASTEP and VASP calculations, respectively.

2048 Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2011, Vol. 32, No. 6

Tossici *et al.*^{21,22} prepared LiC₂ by the mechanical ball milling^{23,24} of lithium and graphite, assembled LiC₂/Li cell, and controlled the voltage of LiC₂/Li cell to get the information of deintercalation and intercalation. LiC₂/Li cell showed the potential above 0 V *vs.* Li/Li⁺ for the 1st deintercalation with the specific capacity of 1038 mAh/g

which was close to the theoretical values of 1115 mAh/g. The specific capacity for the next intercalation was only 368 mAh/g to form LiC_6 not LiC_2 .

Similar studies were performed for iso-structural C_5B , C_5N and B_3N_3 materials. Known structural data and VASP calculated structural data were shown at Table 3, 4, 5.

Table 3. The structural parameters for Li_xC₅B as graphitic structured material

		ographic da		Fully relaxed crystallographic data by VASP ab initio calculation								
Materials	Structural parameters (Å, °)	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Density (g/mL)	Distance graphene layers (Å)	Distance between Li-C (Å)	Distance between Li-Li (Å)	Structural parameters	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Density (g/mL)	Distance graphene layers (Å)	Distance between Li-C (Å)	Distance between Li-Li (Å)
C ₅ B(ABC)	a=4.290 c=10.062 α=90 γ=120	53.46	2.201	3.354	-	-	a=4.404 c=10.951 $\alpha=89$ $\beta=92$ $\gamma=120$	61.21	1.922	3.650	-	-
Li ₁ C ₅ B	a=4.290 c=3.737 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	59.56	2.169	3.737	2.353	3.737	a=4.418 c=3.864 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	65.44	1.974	3.864	2.422	3.864
Li ₂ C ₅ B	a=4.290 c=3.737 α =90 γ =120	59.56	2.363	3.737	-	2.477	$a=4.482b=4.493c=3.594\alpha=90\gamma=120$	62.72	2.244	3.594	2.286	2.570 2.635
Li ₃ C ₅ B	a=4.290 c=3.737 α =90 γ =120	59.56	2.556	3.737	-	2.477	a=4.569 c=3.520(5) $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	63.65	2.392	3.5205	2.299	2.637

Table 4. The structural parameters for Li_xC₅N as graphitic structured material

		lographic d	ata		Fully relaxed crystallographic data by VASP ab initio calculation							
Materials	Structural parameters (Å, °)	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Density (g/mL)	Distance graphene layers (Å)	Distance between Li-C (Å)	Distance between Li-Li (Å)	Structural parameters	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Density (g/mL)	Distance graphene layers (Å)	Distance between Li-C (Å)	Distance between Li-Li (Å)
C₅N(ABC)	a=4.290 c=10.062 α=90 γ=120	53.46	2.301	3.354	-	-	a=4.093 c=10.128 α=90 γ=120-	49.01	2.509	3.376	-	-
Li₁C₅N	a=4.290 c=3.737 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	59.56	2.258	3.737	2.353	3.737	a=4.284 c=3.876 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	61.62	2.183	3.876	2.251	3.876
Li ₂ C ₅ N	a=4.290 c=3.737 α =90 γ =120	59.56	2.452	3.737	-	2.477	a=4.379 b=4.366 c=3.661 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	60.55	2.412	3.661	2.217	2.460 2.657
Li ₃ C ₅ N	a=4.290 c=3.737 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	59.56	2.645	3.737	-	2.477	a=4.391 c=3.127 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	68.93	2.286	3.127	2.497	2.479

Structures and Formation Energies of Li_xC_6 (x=1-3)

Table 5. The structural parameters for Li_xB₃N₃ as iso-electronic graphitic structured material

		Fully relaxed crystallographic data by VASP ab initio calculation										
Materials	Structural parameters (Å, °)	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Density (g/mL)	Distance graphene layers (Å)	Distance between Li-C (Å)	Distance between Li-Li (Å)	Structural parameters	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Density (g/mL)	Distance graphene layers (Å)	Distance between Li-B Li-N (Å)	Distance between Li-Li (Å)
isoelectrinic Graphite (B ₃ N ₃) (P6 ₃ /mmc)	a=2.464 c=6.711 α=90 γ=120	35.29	2.261	3.355	-	-	a=4.3505 c=7.1767 α=90 γ=120	117.63	2.102	3.5883	-	-
$Li_1B_3N_3$	a=4.290 c=3.737 α=90 γ=120	59.56	2.203	3.737	2.353	3.737	a=4.4176 c=3.5223 α=90 γ=120	59.53	2.270	3.5223	2.310 2.285	3.5223
Li ₂ B ₃ N ₃	N.A.	-	-	-	-	-	a=4.4902 c=3.6121 $\alpha=90.$ $\gamma=120$	63.07	2.326	3.6121	2.353 2.341	2.592 3.6121
Li ₃ B ₃ N ₃	N.A.	-	-	-	-	-	a=4.5560 c=3.7696 $\alpha=90$ $\gamma=120$	67.76	2.335	3.7696	2.420 2.420	2.630 2.856 3.770



Figure 2. Graphene layer spacing of the lithium intercalated graphites and its homologues.



Figure 3. Reaction enthalpies of the lithium intercalated graphites and its homologues.

Nitrogen substituted material has longer graphene layer spacing as 3.37 Å than C₆(graphite). Far differently, boron substituted material has 3.65 Å to show 8.8% expansion

Table 6. The reaction energies of $Li_{x}C_{5}B$ materials from $C_{5}B$ and lithium

Reactions	Total energy of product (eV)	Calculated reaction energy (eV)	Calculated reaction potential (mV)	Specific capacity (mAh/g)
Li ⁰	-1.901			
C ₅ B(ABC)	-51.575			
$Li^0+C_5B \rightarrow Li_1C_5B$	-55.666	-2.190	2190	378
$2Li^0{+}C_5B \rightarrow Li_2C_5B$	-57.536	-2.159	1080	756
$3Li^0+C_5B \rightarrow Li_3C_5B$	-58.991	-1.713	571	1135

Table 7. The reaction energies of Li_xC_5N materials from C_5N and lithium

Reactions	Total energy of product (eV)	Calculated reaction energy (eV)	Calculated reaction potential (mV)	Specific capacity (mAh/g)
Li ⁰	-1.901			
C ₅ N(ABC)	-53.369			
$Li^0+C_5N \rightarrow Li_1C_5N$	-55.057	0.213	-213	362
$2Li^0+C_5N \rightarrow Li_2C_5N$	-55.769	1.402	-701	724
$3Li^0+C_5N \rightarrow Li_3C_5N$	-56.036	3.036	-1012	1086

compared to graphite. B_3N_3 is iso-electronic material to graphite having 3.59 Å of layer spacing. The layer spacing of Li_xC_6 , Li_xC_5B , Li_xC_5N materials increased until to x=1, and then decreased until to x=2 and 3. Nevertheless $Li_xB_3N_3$ has opposite tendency of layer spacing variation. Results were depicted as Figure 2. Structural data of B_3N_3 was known as 2.1693 Å for a axis and 6.65626 Å for *c* axis with P6₃/mmc space group.

Table 8. The reaction energies of $Li_xB_3N_3$ materials from B_3N_3 and lithium

Reactions	Total energy of product (eV)	Calculated reaction energy (eV)	Calculated reaction potential (mV)	Specific capacity (mAh/g)
Li ⁰	-1.901			
$B_3N_3(AB)$	-52.689			
B ₃ N ₃ (ABC)	-52.690			
$Li^0+B_3N_3 \rightarrow Li_1B_3N_3$	-53.355	1.236	-1236	360
$2Li^0+B_3N_3 \rightarrow Li_2B_3N_3$	-53.944	2.548	-1274	720
$3Li^0+B_3N_3 \rightarrow Li_3B_3N_3$	-53.400	4.993	-1664	1080



Figure 4. Reaction potential behavior of lithium intercalated graphites and its homologues as (a) C_6 , (b) C_5B , (c) C_5N , (d) B_3N_3 .

Total energy of each lithium composition of $\text{Li}_x\text{C}_5\text{B}$, $\text{Li}_x\text{C}_5\text{N}$ and $\text{Li}_x\text{B}_3\text{N}_3$ were evaluated under the full relaxation condition as shown in Table 6, 7 and 8, respectively. Reaction enthalpy can be delivered from the total energy of reactants and product using described reaction equations. Reaction enthalpies were compared together at Figure 3. $\text{Li}_x\text{C}_5\text{B}$ (x=1-3) and Li_xC_5 (x=1) have negative reaction enthalpies against lithium metal deposition. Finally reaction potentials were also evaluated from each reaction enthalpies as shown in Table 6, 7, 8 and Figure 4. $\text{Li}_x\text{C}_5\text{B}$ (x=1-3) and Li_xC_5 (x=1) have positive reaction potentials against lithium deposition as indicated in enthalpy results.

Conclusion

The first principles calculations using VASP 4.6 and the structural studies of lithium graphite and its homologues were carried out to get information of structure, total energy, reaction energy and reaction potential. The reaction potential to form Li_1C_6 from lithium and graphite was calculated as +141 mV to be higher than the potential of lithium deposition. The reaction potentials to form $Li_6C_{24}(Li_1C_4)$, $Li_2C_6(Li_1C_3)$ and $Li_3C_6(Li_1C_2)$ were calculated as -143 mV, -247 mV and -529 mV, respectively, to be lower than the potential of lithium deposition.

C₅B, C₅N and B₃N₃ materials as iso-structural graphite

Chil-Hoon Doh et al.

were studied. Boron and nitrogen substituted graphite and boron-nitrogen material as a iso-electronic structured graphitic material have longer graphene layer spacing than that of C₆ (graphite). The layer spacing of Li_xC₆, Li_xC₅B, Li_xC₅N materials increased until to x=1, and then decreased until to x=2 and 3. Nevertheless Li_xB₃N₃ has opposite tendency of layer spacing variation. Reaction potentials could be evaluated from each reaction enthalpies originated from total energy of each lithium composition of Li_xC₅B, Li_xC₅N and Li_xB₃N₃. Li_xC₅B (x=1-3) and Li_xC₅ (x=1) have positive reaction potentials against lithium deposition. Therefore boron-substituted graphite has the possibility to have useable specific capacity range of 756 mAh/g for the potential range of 0-1 V vs. Li/Li⁺.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Korea Research Council for Industrial Science & Technology (ISTK) and the Ministry of Knowledge Economy (MKE) of Korea.

References

- Nazri, G-A., Pistoia, G, Eds.; *Lithium Batteries*; Kluwer Academic Publishers; Boston: ISBN 1-4020-7628-2, 2004.
- Yoshio, M.; Brodd, R. J.; Kozawa, A. *Lithium-Ion Batteries*; Springer Science and Business Media, ISBN 978-0-387-34444-7, 2009.
- Fauster, J. E.; Himpsel, F. J.; Fischer, J. E.; Plummer, E. W. *Physical Review Letters* 1983, 51, 430.
- 4. Yazami, R.; Reynier, Y. J. Power Sources 2006, 153, 312.
- Titantah, J. T.; Lamoen, D.; Schowalter, M.; Rosenauer, A. Carbon 2009, 47, 2501.
- Suzuki, S.; Hasegawa, T.; Mukai, S. R.; Tamon, H. Carbon 2003, 41, 1933.
- 7. Li, G.; Li, X.; Wang, C.; Ma, G. THEOCHEM 2009, 910, 55.
- 8. Yamamoto, M.; Imamura, H. TANSO 2004, 212, 81.
- 9. Imai, Y.; Watanabe, A. J. Alloys and Compounds 2007, 439, 258.
- 10. Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B 1993, 47, 558(R); 1994, 49, 14251.
- 11. Blochl, P. E. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 750, 17953.
- Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865.
- Zhou, F.; Marianetti, C. A.; Cococcioni, M.; Morgan, D.; Ceder, G. *Phys. Rev. B* 2004, *69*, 201101(R).
- Van der Ven, A. Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2000.
- Zhou, F.; Cococcioni, M.; Marianetti, C. A.; Morgan, D.; Ceder, G. Phys. Rev. B 2004, 70, 235121.
- Zhou, F.; Cococcioni, M.; Kang, K.; Ceder, G. *Electrochem. Commun.* 2004, 6(11), 1144.
- 17. Rabii, S.; Guerard, D. J. Physics and Chemistry of Solids 2008, 69, 1165.
- 18. Yamamoto, M.; Imamura, H. TANSO 2004, 212, 81.
- 19. Imai, Y.; Watanabe, A. J. Alloys and Compounds 2007, 439, 258.
- Balbuena, P. B., Wang, Y., Eds; *Lithium-Ion Batteries Solid-Electrolyte Interphase*; Imperial College Press: ISBN 1-86094-362-4, 2004.
- Tossici, R.; Janot, R.; Nobili, F.; Gerard, D.; Marassi, R. *Electrochim.* Acta 2003, 48, 1419.
- 22. Guerard, D.; Janot, R. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 2004, 65, 147.
- 23. Hanot, R.; Conard, J.; Guerard, D. Carbon 2001, 39, 929.
- Nalimova, V. A.; Guerard, D.; Lelaurain, M.; Fateev, O. V. Carbon 1995, 33, 177.