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#### Abstract

Homologated analogues $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ of potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ adenosine receptor ligands, IB-MECA and dimethyl-IB-MECA were synthesized from commercially available $1-O$-acetyl-2,3,5-tri- $O$-benzoyl- $\beta$-Dribofuranose (4) via $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$-catalyzed siloxymethylation as a key step. Unfortunately, homologated analogues 3a and 3b did not show significant binding affinities at three subtypes of adenosine receptors, indicating that free rotation, resulting from homologation, induced unfavorable interactions in the binding site of the receptor maybe due to the presence of many conformations.
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## Introduction

Adenosine receptors (ARs) consisting of four subtypes, $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ play an important roles in regulating many physiological functions through binding with an endogenous adenosine. ${ }^{1}$ Thus, $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ has been promising targets for the developments of new therapeutic agents against cancer, ischemia, inflammation, asthma, and glaucoma related to the signal transduction of cell. ${ }^{2}$
On the basis of the structure of adenosine, a number of adenosine analogues have been synthesized as AR ligands. ${ }^{2}$ Among these, IB-MECA (1, $N^{6}$-(3-iodobenzyl)-5'- $N$-methylcarboxamidoadenosine $)^{3}$ has been known as one of the representative $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists. This compound showed high binding affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.0 \mathrm{nM}$ ) at the human $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ with high selectivity to other subtypes. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ exhibited potent anticancer activity by inhibiting Wnt signaling pathway. ${ }^{4}$

Molecular modeling study indicates that NH of the $5^{\prime}$ uronamide served as a key hydrogen bonding donor in the binding site of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, which was essential for the conformational change of the binding site required for receptor activation. ${ }^{5}$ Thus, the addition of methyl group on the 5 'uronamide of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist $\mathbf{1}$, resulting in the formation of $\mathbf{2}$ (Dimethyl-Cl-IB-MECA) converted $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist 1 into potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=15.5 \mathrm{nM}$ ) because of the removal of a hydrogen bonding donor essential for the receptor activation. ${ }^{6}$
Introduction of the single bond between the purine base and the sugar makes the molecule adopt many conformations by free rotation, which can give a good chance to induce maximum favorable interactions in the binding site of the receptor. ${ }^{7}$ Thus, on the basis of potent binding affinity of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 at the $A_{3} A R$, we designed and synthesized the homologated analogues 3a and 3b of
compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, using $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$-catalyzed siloxymethylation ${ }^{8}$ as a key step. Herein, we report the synthesis of the homologated adenosine analogues 3a and 3b and their binding affinity at the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$.

## Results and Discussion

Our synthetic strategy was to synthesize the homologated glycosyl donor and then to condense with 6-chloropurine. The homologated glycosyl donor 6 was synthesized from


$$
\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR} \text { agonist, } K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.0 \mathrm{nM}
$$

2 (Dimethyl-CI-IB-MECA) $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=15.5 \mathrm{nM}$


Figure 1. The rationale for the design of homologated $A_{3} A R$ ligands 3a and 3b.

${ }^{a}$ Reagents \& Conditions: a) $\mathrm{HSiEt}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{8}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}, 58 \%\right.$; b) TBAF, THF, rt, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$; c) TPP, 6-chloropurine, DIAD, THF, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 68 \%$; d) 3 -iodobenzylamine, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, EtOH, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; e) NaOMe , $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; f) 2,2-dimethoxypropane, $p$-TsOH, acetone, rt, 89\%.

## Scheme $\mathbf{1}^{a}$

commercially available 1-O-acetyl-2,3,5-tri- $O$-benzoyl- $\beta$-Dribofuranose (4), as shown in Scheme 1.

1-O-Acetyl-2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl- $\beta$-D-ribofuranose (4) was treated with carbon monoxide and a hydrosilane $\left(\mathrm{HSiEt}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ in the presence of catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ to give the siloxymethylated compound 5. ${ }^{8}$ Treatment of 5 with tetra- $n$ butylammonium fluoride (TBAF) afforded glycosyl donor 6 which is ready for the Mitsunobu condensation. Condensation of $\mathbf{6}$ with 6 -chloropurine under the Mitsunobu conditions produced 6 -chloropurine derivative 7 . Treatment of 7 with 3-iodobenzyl amine afforded the $N^{6}$-(3-iodobenzyl)-
amine derivative 8 , which was treated with sodium methoxide to give triol 9 . Compound 9 was treated with 2,2dimethoxypropane under acidic conditions to give acetonide 10.

Scheme 2 illustrates the conversion of 4'-hydroxymethyl moiety into amide. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with PDC in DMF afforded acid 11, which was coupled with methylamine and dimethylamine in the presence of HOBt and EDC to give the methylamido derivative $\mathbf{1 2}$ and dimethylamido derivative 13, respectively. ${ }^{9}$ Removal of the isopropylidene moiety in $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ under acidic conditions produced the final nucleo-
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$\xrightarrow{a}$

$b \downarrow$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and Conditions: a) PDC, DMF, rt, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$; b) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}-\mathrm{HCl}$ or $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HOBt}$, EDC, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt; c) $2 N \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$

Scheme $\mathbf{2}^{a}$

Table 1. Binding affinities of homologated adenosine derivatives $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ at three subtypes of ARs

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $K_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}\right)^{a}$ | $K_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}\right.$ | $K_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}\right)^{a}$ |
| Compounds | nM or \% displ. at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | nM or \% displ. at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | nM or \% displ. at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 3a $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$ | $0.3 \pm 0.3 \%$ | $14.0 \pm 5.6 \%$ | $8.7 \pm 6.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 b}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$ | $3.3 \pm 3.3 \%$ | $13.0 \pm 8.2 \%$ | $8.9 \pm 1.8 \%$ |
| IB-MECA (1) | $1620 \pm 760$ | $2910 \pm 580$ | $1.8 \pm 0.7$ |
| Dimethyl-Cl-IB-MECA (2) | $5870 \pm 930$ | $>10,000$ | $29.0 \pm 4.9$ |

All AR experiments were performed using adherent CHO cells stably transfected with cDNA encoding the human ARs. Binding was carried out using radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA}$ at the human $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR},\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CGS} 21680$ at the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, or $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] I-\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{MECA}$ at the human $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. Values from the present study are expressed as mean $\pm$ s.e.m., $n=3-5$.
sides 3a and 3b, respectively.
Radioligand binding assay was performed using adherent mammalian CHO (Chinese hamster ovary) cells stably transfected with cDNA encoding the appropriate human ARs ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ $A R$ and $A_{3} A R$ in CHO cells and $A_{2 A}$ AR in HEK-293 cells), using $1 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA}$ (2-chloro- $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-cyclopentyladenosine) for $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}, 10 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CGS21680 $\{2-[p$-(2-carboxyethyl)-phenylethylamino]-5'- N -ethylcarboxamido-adenosine\} for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, or $0.5 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{MECA}$ [ $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-(4-amino-3-iodo-benzyl)-5'- $N$-methylcarboxamidoadenosine] for $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ as radioligands, respectively. ${ }^{9}$ Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ sem, $n=3-4$ (outliers eliminated), and normalized against a non-specific binder, $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (NECA, $10 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Percentage value indicates the percent inhibition at a fixed concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
As shown in Table 1, homologated compounds 3a and 3b exhibited very low binding affinities at all three subtypes of human ARs, when compared with those of IB-MECA (1) and dimethyl-IB-MECA (2), which showed potent and selective binding affinity at the human $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. This result indicates that the homologation disrupted favorable binding interactions of the compound at the ARs despite free rotation. Loss of binding affinities may be attributed to many conformations caused by free rotation, some of which induced unfavorable interactions at the AR binding sites.
In summary, we have accomplished the synthesis of homologated adenosine analogues $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ of potent $\mathrm{A}_{3} A R$ ligands, IB-MECA and dimethyl-IB-MECA. Homologation was achieved using $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$-catalyzed siloxymethylation. Despite their poor binding affinities at the AR, the result obtained from this study may be utilized for the identification of the binding mode of ARs.

## Experimental Section

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$, or $\left.\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ were
recorded on Varian Unity Inova 400 MHz . Chemical shifts were reported in ppm units with TMS as the internal standard. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$, or DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) were recorded on Varian Unity Inova 100 MHz . Optical rotations were determined on Jasco in methanol or DMSO. UV spectra were recorded on U-3000 made by Hitachi in methanol or DMSO. Elemental analyses were measured on EA1110. The crude products were purified using a silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh, Merck). Reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Company. All the anhydrous solvents were distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ or Na /benzophenone prior to the reaction.

1-Diethylmethylsilyloxymethyl-2,3,5-tribenzoyl- $\beta$-Dribofuranose (5). To the 250 mL of round bottomed flask flashed with CO ( 1 atm from a stock balloon), $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ ( $752.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{HSiEt}_{2} \mathrm{Me}(17.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 118.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were added at room temperature. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min , a solution of $4(20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 39.6$ mmol ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h under CO ( 1 atm ). After the removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give 5 (13.2 $\mathrm{g}, 58 \%$ ) as a colorless syrup: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+166.9^{\circ}(c 8.30, \mathrm{MeOH})$; HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 577.2258$; Found: 577.2230; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 0.96$ (m, 6H), 3.88 (d, 2H, $J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.39 (q, 1H, $J=$ $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67$ (dd, 1H, $J=3.6,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.69 (m, 2H), 7.31-8.08 (m, 15H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.89,6.23,6.87,62.93,64.91,73.14$, $73.32,79.02,83.63,128.50,128.56,129.36,129.62,129.84$, 129.86, 1239.91, 133.22, 133.43, 133.46, 165.49, 165.69, 166.41; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si}$ : C, 66.64 ; $\mathrm{H}, 6.29$. Found: C, 66.71; H, 6.31.

1-Hydroxymethyl-2,3,5-tribenzoyl- $\beta$-D-ribofuranose (6). To a solution of $5(12.0 \mathrm{~g}, 20.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TBAF $(25.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give $6(8.35 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as a colorless syrup: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}$ $+70.92^{\circ}$ (c 6.50, MeOH); HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 477.1549; Found: 477.1521; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $15 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 61.93,64.33,72.18,73.04,80.18$, $82.73,128.60,128.69,129.28,129.34,129.74,129.80$, $129.88,129.89,129.92,133.33,133.46,133.62,165.61$, 165.79, 166.67; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, $68.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.08$. Found: C, 68.11; H, 5.06.

6-Chloro-(2,3,5-tribenzoyl- $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl-9-ylmethyl)purine (7). To a solution of 6-chloropurine $(1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 11.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(4.13 \mathrm{~g}, 15.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIAD $(3.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min . To this mixture was added a solution of $\mathbf{3}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The reaction mixture
was evaporated, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give $7(4.39 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%)$ as a white foam: UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 265.0(\mathrm{pH} 7) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-35.2^{\circ}(c$ 14.0, MeOH ); HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 613.1490; Found: 613.1460; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.47$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.55-4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $6.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.60(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36-7.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $15 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 45.02$, $64.05,72.33,72.84,78.68,81.45,128.73,128.85,129.07$, $129.26,129.74,129.93,131.30,133.72,133.86,133.91$, $146.33,151.26,152.20,152.25,165.53,165.58,166.17$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : C, 62.70; H, 4.11; N, 9.14. Found: C, 62.83; H, 4.16; N, 9.18.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{\mathbf{6}}$-(3-Iodobenzylamino)-(2,3,5-tribenzoyl- $\beta$-D-ribofur-anosyl-9-ylmethyl)adenine (8). To a solution of 6-chloropurine derivative $7(4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3-iodobenzylamine ( $1.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.79 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.04 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After evaporating the solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give $\mathbf{8}(4.45 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$ as a white foam: UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max } 268.5 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{pH} 7) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-60.46^{\circ}(c 6.50$, MeOH ); HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 810.1425; Found: 810.1393; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.48-4.80$ (m, 8 H ), 5.35 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.59(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $3.6,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.03(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6$ Hz), 7.29-7.42 (m, 7 H), 7.51-7.60 (m, 4H), 7.70 (brs, 1H), $7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 44.54,64.27,72.55,72.91,78.85,81.26,127.11$, 128.61, 128.66, 128.74, 129.05, 129.16, 129.50, 129.86, 129.91, 129.96, 130.54, 133.42, 133.68, 133.74, 136.69, 136.78, 141.17, 153.41, 154.57, 165.50, 165.57, 166.25; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : C, 57.86; H, 3.98; N, 8.65. Found: C, 57.82; H, 4.01; N, 8.70.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{6}$-(3-Iodobenzylamino)-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl-9-ylmethyl)adenine (9). To a solution of $\mathbf{8}(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaOMe}(0.934 \mathrm{mg}, 17.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h , neutralized with glacial AcOH , and evaporated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give $9(2.29 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as a white solid: UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ $271.1 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{pH} 7) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-171.0^{\circ}$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); mp 174$178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 498.0638$; Found: 498.0615; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}+\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.54(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $3.2,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 4.30 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.46 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8$, $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.76$ (brs, 2 H ), $7.06(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}+\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 44.27$, $46.91,62.42,72.14,73.97,82.89,85.87,94.93,119.92$, 127.68, 131.17, 137.21, 137.36, 142.51, 142.74, 153.53, 155.68; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 43.47 ; H, 4.05 ; N, 14.08. Found: C, 43.50; H, 4.02; N, 14.13 .
$N^{6}$-(3-Iodobenzylamino)-( $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{3}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ - O -isopropylidene- $\beta$-D-ribo-furanosyl-9-ylmethyl)adenine (10). To a stirred suspension of $9(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous acetone $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2,2-dimethoxypropane ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the suspension was stirred at room temperature until a clean solution was achieved. The reaction mixture was treated with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 16.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred at room temperature for additional 30 min . The solid was filtered, and the filtrate was dried and purified by silica gel column chromatography to give $10(2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$ as a white foam: $\mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }} 266.5 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{pH} 7) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-27.47^{\circ}(c$ 10.7, MeOH); HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 538.0951$; Found: 538.0923; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.71 (dd, 1H, $J=2.4,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.15 (m, 1H), 4.19 (dd, 1H, $J$ $=3.2,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.82 (brs, 2H), 4.92 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=2.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.07(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $25.58,27.30,44.01,46.67,62.33,82.83,83.56,85.11,88.12$, $94.79,113.66,127.10,130.61,136.78,136.82,139.91,141.03$, 153.07, 155.02; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 46.94; H, 4.50; N, 13.03. Found: C, 46.98; H, 4.52; N, 13.06.
$N^{6}$-(3-Iodobenzylamino)-(2',3'-O-isopropylidene-5'- $N$ -methylcarboxamide- $\beta$-d-ribofuranosyl-9-ylmethyl)adenine (12). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF ( 30 mL ) was added pyridinium dichromate $(14.0 \mathrm{~g}$, 37.2 mmol ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h . The reaction mixture was poured into water $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The precipitate was filtered, and the filter cake was washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried under high vacuum to give brownish solid $11(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$, which was used in the next step without further purification.

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.81 \mathrm{mmol})$, EDC ( $551 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72$ mmol ), HOBt ( $367 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and methylamine $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ $(183.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIPEA ( $0.946 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated, and the residue was purified by a silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc $=2: 1-1: 1$ ) to give $12(641.6 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%)$ as a white foam: UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ $265.5 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{pH} 7) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-49.88^{\circ}$ ( c 8.20, MeOH); HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 565.1060$; Found: 565.1034; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.84(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.28-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.80$ (brs, 2 H$), 4.94(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $2.4,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68$ (brs, 2 H ), 8.36 (brs, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 25.46,25.92,27.44$, 43.74, 46.73, 81.27, 84.18, 84.50, 86.10, 94.69, 114.75, $119.79,126.94,130.47,136.60,140.01,141.17,149.86$, 153.24, 154.99, 170.20; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 46.82; H, 4.46; N, 14.89. Found: C, 46.88; H, 4.50; N, 14.92.
$N^{6}$-(3-Iodobenzylamino)-( $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}-O$-isopropylidene- $\mathbf{5}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ -dimethylcarboxamide- $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl-9-ylmethyl)adenine (13). Compound 13 ( $756.9 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) was synthesized from $11(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ using dimethylamine $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(221.7 \mathrm{mg}$,
2.72 mmol ) according to the procedure used in the preparation of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$.
$\mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max } 267.0 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{pH} 7) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-44.67^{\circ}(c 10.7$, MeOH ); HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 579.1217; Found: 579.1191; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.32$ (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 2.97 (s, 3H), 3.04 (s, 3H), 4.32 (dd, 1H, J $=9.2,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ (dd, 1H, $J=2.4,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.80 (brs, 2H), 4.83 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.59(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.0), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $25.41,27.07,35.95,37.27,43.95,45.72,82.63,83.32$, 83.71, 84.97, 94.68, 113.89, 119.58, 126.96, 130.44, 136.52, 136.62, 141.42, 149.56, 153.17, 154.67, 169.85; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 47.76; H, 4.71; N, 14.53. Found: C, 46.80; H, 4.70; N, 14.59.
$N^{6}$-(3-Iodobenzylamino)-(5'- $N$-methylcarboxamide- $\beta$ -D-ribofuranosyl)-9-ylmethyl-adenine (3a). To a solution of $12(500 \mathrm{mg}, 0.886 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $2 N$ $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The mixture was neutralized with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and evaporated. The residue was purified by a silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 10: 1\right)$ to give 3a (427.3 mg, 92\%) as white solid: UV (DMSO) $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ $280.0 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{pH} 7)$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-60.30^{\circ}$ (c 6.60, DMSO); mp 192$194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 525.0747; Found: 525.0725; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.65$ (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8), 3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.26$ (dd, 1H, $J=$ $8.8,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.47 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.66$ (brs, 2 H ), 5.20 (brs, 2H), $7.10(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4$ Hz ), 8.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.44 (brs, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 25.33, 42.32, 45.94, 72.68, 74.10, 80.80, 83.88, 94.69, 126.66, 130.45, 135.36, 135.72, 141.47, 142.82, 152.20, 154.17, 170.30; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 43.52 ; H , 4.04; N, 16.03. Found: C, 43.56; H, 4.08; N, 16.09.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{6}$-(3-Iodobenzylamino)-(5'-N, $N$-dimethylcarboxamide-$\beta$-d-ribofuranosyl-9-ylmethyl)adenine (3b). Compound 3b ( $520.15 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) was synthesized from 13 ( 620 mg , 1.07 mmol ) according to the procedure used in the preparation of compound 3a.
UV (DMSO) $\lambda_{\max } 277.0 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{pH} 7) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-20.56^{\circ}(c$
5.40, DMSO); mp 184-187 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HR-MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 539.0904 ;$ Found: 539.0896; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.08 (m, 2H), 4.24 (dd, 1H, $J=7.2,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.40$ (dd, 1H, $J$ $=4.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6$ Hz ), $7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32$ (brs, 1H); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 35.10,36.47,42.26, ~ 45.19,72.70$, 73.36, 80.08, 81.00, 94.67, 126.63, 130.42, 135.28, 135.71, 141.62, 142.99, 152.23, 154.16, 169.16; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 44.62; H, 4.31; N, 15.61. Found: C, 44.58; H, 4.29; N, 15.63.
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