

Use of Terminal Restriction Length Polymorphism (T-RFLP) Analysis to Evaluate Uncultivable Microbial Community Structure of Soil

Puneet Singh Chauhan, Charlotte C. Shagol, Woojong Yim, Sherlyn C. Tipayno, Chang-Gi Kim¹, and Tongmin Sa*

Department of Agricultural Chemistry, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Chungbuk, 361-763, South Korea, ¹Bio-Evaluation Center, KRIBB, Cheongwon 363-883, South Korea

Various environmental ecosystems are valuable sources for microbial ecology studies, and their analyses using recently developed molecular ecological approaches have drawn significant attention within the scientific community. Changes in the microbial community structures due to various anthropogenic activities can be evaluated by various culture-independent methods e.g. ARISA, DGGE, SSCP, T-RFLP, clone library, pyrosequencing, etc. Direct amplification of total community DNA and amplification of most conserved region (16S rRNA) are common initial steps, followed by either fingerprinting or sequencing analysis. Fingerprinting methods are relatively quicker than sequencing analysis in evaluating the changes in the microbial community. Being an efficient, sensitive and time- and cost effective method, T-RFLP is regularly used by many researchers to access the microbial diversity. Among various fingerprinting methods T-RFLP became an important tool in studying the microbial community structure because of its sensitivity and reproducibility. In this present review, we will discuss the important developments in T-RFLP methodology to distinguish the total microbial diversity and community composition in the various ecosystems.

Key words: Microbial diversity, Community structure, T-RFLP, 16S rRNA

Introduction

Microbial communities are present in diverse environments and these play an important role in their respective environments. The study of their structure and dynamics is an important, yet intimidating task due to the large number of inhabitant bacterial population in a sample (e.g. approximately 10^{8-10} g^{-1} in soil). Microorganisms in soil are critical to the maintenance of soil function in both natural and managed agricultural soils because of their involvement in such key processes as soil structure formation, decomposition of organic matter, toxin removal, and the cycling of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and sulphur (Garbeva et al., 2004). In association with larger organisms these microbial communities play decidedly important role in maintaining the health of soil and host organism. The management or treatment of soil affects microbial

community structures has long been recognized (Islam et al., 2011). The physicochemical properties of soil, soil particle size distribution, the presence and age of specific plant species, and crop rotations are key determinative factors. Application of pesticides, amendment with chemical fertilizers, compost or manure, and the introduction of plant growth promoting living microbial inoculants or genetically modified microorganisms have all been shown to affect soil microbial community structures (Nautiyal et al., 2010).

Due to the vast importance of bacterial communities it is imperative to understand how they interact with their environment and the changes that take place. Soil microbial communities are often difficult to fully characterize, mainly because of their immense phenotypic and genotypic diversity and heterogeneity. While all of the cultivation based methods are excellent in being able to yield actual microorganisms which can be biochemically characterized, the cultivation methods miss an estimated 85%-99.9% of the microorganisms in an environmental sample with many microorganisms being unculturable (Amann et al., 1995; Hill et al.,

2002). Various cultivation independent methods are used to determine the diversity of microbial communities [(DGGE/ TGGE (Muyzer et al., 1993), SSCP (Lee et al., 1996), ARDRA/RFLP (Liu et al., 1997), ARISA (Fisher and Triplett, 1999)]. All these molecular methods are now widely used to detect microbe specific genes because they are efficient, time- and cost effective and sensitive compared to conventional cultivation dependent methods. Among these molecular methods, fingerprinting techniques based on 16S rRNA genes have been successfully used in numerous studies to explore microbial diversity of predominant populations in various habitats and offer the advantage that they are more amenable to high throughput and more comprehensive than cultivation-dependent methods (Rappe and Giovannoni, 2003; Torsvik et al., 2002; Weng et al., 2006). This review will provide the information on the important developments in T-RFLP methodology to discriminate between uncultivable microbial populations in the various ecosystems.

Direct Cultivation Techniques

Identifying the makeup of the microbial communities provides insights into how the microbes interact and what potential metabolic processes are occurring within these communities. There are a plethora of methods available for elucidating the microbial populations in these communities. These methods may be cultivation based, wherein samples are diluted and individual microorganisms are grown in some form of growth media or these may be cultivation independent methods, whereby DNA is extracted from the sample and the microorganisms are identified by molecular markers. Standard culture techniques have a limited ability to adequately describe microbial communities. Culture techniques are typically time consuming, and cumbersome, requiring a battery of individual biochemical and nutritional tests to characterize each isolate, which may require excessive incubation time for adequate growth. Most soil microorganisms are not easily grown in the laboratory, if they can be grown at all. Consequently, culture techniques grossly underestimate diversity, only describing approximately 0.3% of a community (Amann et al., 1995). For cultivation methods the media that is used can either be in solid or liquid form, and either a rich media or a defined minimal media. The cultivation

conditions can also be varied depending on the environmental requirements to replicate as closely as possible the original environment from where the microorganisms were isolated (Dedysh et al., 2006; Elshahed et al., 2004; Hopkins et al., 2001; Ladapo and Barlaz, 1997; Nottingham and Hungate, 1968; Spieck et al., 2006; Wise et al., 1999). While microorganisms have been traditionally isolated and characterized in this manner, there are some drawbacks to these plating and cultivation methods. Many traditional cultivation methods are biased in the microorganisms they select for and some of these viable but non-culturable microorganisms could be cultivated under laboratory conditions by changing the culture media to more accurately reflect their environment. Zengler et al. (2002) used a gel micro-droplet technology to create a high through put cultivation system to allow a greater number of microorganisms to be isolated and characterized from the environment.

Culture-Independent Techniques

Since the cultivation methods require that the microorganisms be culturable in growth media, and these methods miss such a large proportion of the microbial communities, cultivation independent methods have been developed to bypass the need for growing the microorganisms in the lab. These methods rely on the use of total community DNA isolated from the environmental sample, followed by amplification with specific genes of interest targeted by polymerase chain reaction. These amplified gene products are then analyzed through various fingerprinting and sequencing methods and identified (Table 1). These identified gene products can then be used to shed some light on the source organisms. Collection of these gene products from the environmental sample, a profile of the microbial community can be developed indicating what microorganisms are present, which can be used to develop phylogenetic relationships between the sequences. In order to analyze the phylogenetic relationship, gene products need to be chosen that are common among the members of the microbial community in question. Ribosomal genes are the preferred gene of choice for microbial community analysis. The ribosomal DNA genes code for ribosomal RNA (rRNA) which provides the structural backbone for both the 30S and the 50S

components of the bacterial ribosome. The rDNA genes are an excellent choice as targets for this type of analysis because the chemistry of protein synthesis is conserved throughout the tree of life. Since the rRNA provides catalytic sites for mRNA association and translocation of the ribosome down the mRNA, these catalytic sites on the rRNA are conserved across phylogenetic groups. These sites make excellent primer targets since their conserved sequences allow for a single set of primers to amplify a vast number of sequences (Olsen et al., 1986). The amplified rDNA genes can then be sequenced and the direct sequence can then be used to identify the organisms in the community. When nucleic acid sequencing was first carried out on ribosomal RNA sequences from bacterial ribosomes, the initial sequencing effort was carried out on the 5S and 5.8S rRNAs from prokaryotic and eukaryotic targets, respectively (Donis-Keller et al., 1977; Krupp and Gross, 1979; MacKay and Doolittle, 1981; Simoncsits et al., 1977; Szymanski et al., 2001; Tanaka et al., 1980). The 5S rRNA is the smallest of the three bacterial ribosomal RNAs. It is approximately 120 nucleotides in length and is part of the large subunit (50S) of the bacterial ribosome (Blaut et al., 2002; Olsen et al., 1986), while the 5.8S rRNA serves the same function in the eukaryotes. This rRNA made a good initial sequencing target since it is fairly short in length, and therefore could be readily sequenced, given the state of art of DNA sequencing at the time. Sequencing of the 5S rRNA was able to provide some sequence information for initial sequence based identification of microorganisms. However, the short length of the 5S rRNA did not contain enough sequence information to support differentiation of the strains from which the 5S rRNA sequences were obtained (Olsen et al., 1986). The 16S rRNA is approximately 1,500 nucleotides in length and compared to the 120 nucleotides of the 5S rRNA giving almost 12.5 fold more sequence information to differentiate the rRNA sequences, could be used to differentiate the species of microorganisms more easily, the longer 16S rRNA was suggested for use as the next sequencing target (Olsen et al., 1986).

Polymerase chain reaction based cultivation-independent microbial community analysis methods Once the technology of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was

developed in 1986 and reported by Kary Mullis (Mullis et al., 1986), the current sequence database could be used to generate primers to amplify the actual DNA sequences which can serve as the templates for the rRNA sequences. PCR now allowed the genomic DNA (gDNA) to be used as the basis for generating sequence data. Using the gDNA as the template, as opposed to having to harvest the ribosomes or target the rRNA, PCR allowed researchers to bypass the need to attempt to cultivate microorganisms from the environment. Coupling PCR amplification of the 16S rDNA gene from environmental samples with molecular cloning and high throughput sequencing allowed for vast libraries of rDNA genes to be sequenced straight from the environmental sample (Bowman and McCuaig, 2003; Dunbar et al., 2002; Eckburg et al., 2005; Elshahed et al., 2004; Leser et al., 2002; McGarvey et al., 2005; McGarvey et al., 2007; Nogales et al., 1999; Nogales et al., 2001; Wright et al., 2004). Since the need for cultivation of microorganisms was now unnecessary, determining the microorganisms that make up any given community became less difficult. This also allowed for more environments to be characterized.

Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis is another high throughput microbial community analysis method. Like the other methods, it is based on the use of 16S rDNA directed PCR reactions. However, unlike the other methods, sequencing of the rDNA genes is not necessary. T-RFLP was first developed in 1997 by Liu et al. (1997) to examine the microbial communities associated with environmental samples from activated sludge, bioreactor sludge, aquifer sand and termite intestines. Because of its relative simplicity, T-RFLP analysis has been applied to the analysis of fungal ribosomal genes (Genney et al., 2006; Johnson et al., 2004; Kennedy et al., 2005; Lord et al., 2002), bacterial 16S rRNA genes (Hullar et al., 2006; Katsivela et al., 2005; Noll et al., 2005; Pérez-Piqueres et al., 2006; Rasche et al., 2006; Schmidt et al., 2006; Sessitsch et al., 2001; Thies 2007), and archaeal 16S rRNA genes (Kotsyurbenko et al., 2004; Leybo et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2005; Moeseneder et al., 1999; Ramakrishnan et al., 2000; Weber et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2006). In addition, T-RFLP has been used for the analysis of functional genes (Horz et al., 2000;

Lueders and Friedrich, 2003; Mintie et al., 2003; Pérez-Jiménez and Kerkhof, 2005; Rich et al., 2003) such as those encoding for nitrogen fixation (Rösch and Bothe, 2004; Tan et al., 2003) and methane oxidation (Horz et al., 2000; Mohanty et al., 2006). The method, as stated above, is based on PCR directed on the bacterial 16S or 18S rRNA gene. T-RFLP is based on a combination of PCR and subsequent digestion of the PCR product, and relies on the use of fluorescently labeled primers, normally found on the 5' primer to label each amplified 16S rDNA gene, which is subsequently tracked. The resulting mixture of rRNA gene amplicons is then digested with one or more restriction enzymes that have four base-pair recognition sites, and the sizes and relative abundances of the fluorescently labeled T-RFs are determined using an automated DNA sequencer. Since, differences in the sizes of T-RFs reflect differences in the sequences of 16S rRNA genes (i.e., sequence polymorphisms), phylogenetically distinct populations of organisms can be resolved. Thus, the pattern of T-RFs is a composite of DNA fragments with unique lengths that reflects the composition of the numerically dominant populations in the community. While T-RFLP shares problems inherent to any PCR-based method (Acinas et al., 2004; Becker et al., 2000;

Crosby and Criddle, 2003; Kanagawa, 2003; Kurata et al., 2004; Lueders and Friedrich, 2003; Polz and Cavanaugh, 1998; Qiu et al., 2001; Reysenbach et al., 1992; Suzuki and Giovannoni, 1996; Terahara et al., 2004; von Wintzingerode et al., 1997; Wang and Wang, 1997), it has been shown to provide a facile means to assess changes in microbial community structure on temporal and spatial scales by monitoring the gain or loss of specific fragments from the profiles (Franklin and Mills, 2003; Lukow et al., 2000; Mummey and Stahl, 2003). When coupled with 16S rRNA clone library construction and clone sequencing, additional specific information on the composition of microbial communities can be obtained. Osborn et al. (2000) undertook a systematic evaluation of T-RFLP based methods for community analysis by using T-RFLP to examine the microbial community structures and dynamics in soil communities. In this work, T-RFLP analysis was compared to other common high throughput analysis methods (DGGE, temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TGGE), and single strand conformational polymorphism (SSCP)) for analyzing community structures. They point out that the main drawback to these previously used methods is that while they do allow for a community profile to be generated, the

Table 1. Advantages and disadvantages of different fingerprinting methods to study microbial diversity of soil.

Method	Advantage	Disadvantage	Reference
DGGE and TGGE	Large number of samples can be analyzed simultaneously; Reliable, reproducible and rapid	PCR biases; Dependent on lysing and extraction efficiency; Sample handling influence community; One band can represent more species; Only detects dominant species	Muyzer et al., 1993; Duineveld et al., 2001
SSCP	Same as DGGE/TGGE; No GC clamp; No gradient	PCR biases; Some ssDNA can form more than one stable conformation	Lee et al., 1996; Tiedje et al., 1999
ARDRA or RFLP	Detect structural changes in microbial community	PCR biases; Banding patterns often too complex	Liu et al. 1997; Tiedje et al., 1999
T-RFLP	Simpler banding patterns than RFLP; Can be automated; large number of samples; Highly reproducible; Compare differences in microbial communities	Dependent on extraction and lysing efficiency PCR biases; Type of Taq can increase variability; Choice of universal primers; Choice of restriction enzymes will influence community fingerprint	Tiedje et al., 1999; Dunbar et al., 2000 Osborn et al., 2000
RISA or ARISA	Highly reproducible community profiles; Can be automated (ARISA)	Requires large quantities of DNA; Resolution tends to be low-PCR biases	Fisher and Triplett, 1999

DGGE and *TGGE* denaturing and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis, *SSCP* single strand conformation polymorphism, *ARDRA* amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis, *RFLP* restriction fragment length polymorphism, *RISA* ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis, *ARISA* automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis.

profiles do not give immediate taxonomic information (Table 1). In their study they attempted to investigate the variability found at each step of the T-RFLP process, from DNA isolation to PCR amplification, restriction digestion, and subsequent running of the samples on the gels. They also examined the differences caused by varying the DNA template concentration, primer annealing temperatures, number of amplification cycles, and even the differences between *Taq* polymerases and concentrations of restriction endonucleases used. They pointed out that the use of primers for full length amplification, or near full length amplification of the targeted 16S rDNA gene is preferred since the availability of current 16S rDNA databases with full length products could allow for an automated matching of terminal fragments found in the sample to known 16S rDNA genes. They also analyzed restriction enzymes, focusing on 4 base pair recognition site enzymes and found that primer choice can have an impact on the enzymes that give the best resolution. In examining sample variation, with T-RFLP profiles, it was found that the major source for variation were in the minor peak heights found in the samples indicating that in replicates the major peaks were consistent across the samples. They also showed that using automated systems for the loading of the gels provided more reproducible results in comparison to hand loading of the gels, thus increasing reproducibility. They also showed that as DNA concentration of the template was reduced in the PCR reaction, so was the subsequent fluorescent intensity of the associated restriction fragments. By decreasing the amount of restriction enzyme loaded into each digest, it was seen that there was a decrease in the number of smaller sized restriction fragments and an increase in the larger restriction fragments, indicating possibly the lack of complete digestion of the PCR products. Ultimately they were able to demonstrate that T-RFLP was a robust method for rapidly examining complex microbial systems and that it has the potential to be a very powerful tool to examine these communities (Osborn et al., 2000).

One of the variables affecting the ability of T-RFLP to differentiate the 16S rDNA genes is the choice of enzymes used to digest the PCR products. Osborn et al. (2000) pointed this out as discussed above, and it was examined again by Engebreston and Moyer (2003). In this study, the authors discussed that by choosing the

correct enzymes and number of enzymes the resulting array of fragments would more accurately reflect the community being examined. Since the restriction endonucleases have different recognition sites, it is possible that certain restriction sites will be distributed more randomly throughout the sequences, thus making certain enzymes better choices for examining communities. By assaying 4,603 16S rDNA sequences in simulated digests, they found that there were two constraints on the fidelity of the enzymes assayed. One was the ability of the enzyme to digest unique sequence variants and the other was the number of sequence variants that fell within a specific size range. Of the two constraints, the second was more important since the resolving power of the capillary system is potentially unable to detect multiple fragments that have nearly identical fragment sizes (Engebreston and Moyer, 2003).

T-RFLP is highly adaptable, and since it only uses the terminal fragment of the PCR product, by combining multiple primer sets, each with a separate fluorescent marker, it is possible to generate fragment profiles from across multiple taxa, which is an important advantage since PCR primers tend to have biases associated with their ability to amplify across different taxa. Singh et al. (2006) used this form of “multiplex” T-RFLP, m-T-RFLP, to examine bacteria, archaea, and fungi in soil microbial communities. Bacteria, archaea, and fungi were examined using four separate tagged primers. They compared “standard” T-RFLP, one primer set, to their m-T-RFLP method, pooled primer sets and showed that both methods were not only comparable in generating the same peaks for each taxon, but the peak intensities were comparable. Interestingly, this method can not only be used for gaining insights into multiple taxa, but can also be used to more finely differentiate a single OTU by using multiple primer sets with multiple fluorescent markers, which could generate four different terminal fragments that could then be matched to a specific microorganism (Singh et al., 2006). In another adaptation of T-RFLP, functional genes can be assayed as well as 16S rDNA genes. This is an interesting adaptation of the method since it focuses on specific metabolic processes of the community as opposed to determining who is present in the community. Using more traditional community analysis methods, which are 16S rDNA based, a profile of the community is developed but the metabolic capabilities of that

Table 2. Involvement of T-RFLP analysis to discriminate the microbial diversity or community structure of different soils.

Type or treatment of soil	Reference
Negative effects of TiO ₂ and ZnO nanoparticles	Ge et al., 2011
Effects of sieving, drying and rewetting	Thomson et al., 2010
Impact of long-term fertilization on the composition in a paddy soil	Chen et al., 2010
Rhizoplane of two contrasting plants from an acidic bog	Cadillo-Quiroz et al., 2009
The rhizosphere and PAH amendment in sandy peat soil	Yrjälä et al., 2010
Archaeal ammonia oxidizers and nirS-type denitrifiers in subtropical macrotidal estuary	Abell et al., 2010
Metal-reducing bacterial assemblages in ground waters of different redox conditions	Luna et al., 2009
Intercropping and intercropping plus rhizobial inoculation in rhizosphere of alfalfa	Sun et al., 2009
Changes in land use alter in Western Amazon soils.	daCJesus et al., 2009
Influence of <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> and natural variation in root exudates	Micallef et al., 2009
Methanotrophs in the soil-water interface and rhizospheric soil from a flooded temperate rice field.	Ferrando and Tarlera, 2009
Effects of transgenic fructan-producing potatoes on rhizosphere and phyllosphere bacteria	Becker et al., 2008
Changes of diversity pattern of proteolytic bacteria over time and space in an agricultural soil	Fuka et al., 2009
High aromatic ring-cleavage diversity in birch rhizosphere	Sipilä et al., 2008
Selective stimulation of type I methanotrophs in a rice paddy soil by urea fertilization	Noll et al., 2008
Seasonal fluctuations in agricultural soil	Meier et al., 2008
Diazotrophic and denitrifying bacteria associated with mangrove roots	Flores-Mireles et al., 2007
Sandy and a loamy soil after long-term manure application	Ulrich et al., 2008
Comparison of rhizosphere bacterial communities in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> mutants for systemic acquired resistance	Hein et al., 2007
Basidiomycete fungal communities in Australian sclerophyll forest soil are altered by repeated prescribed burning	Anderson et al., 2007
Soils of evergreen broad-leaved forests in south-west China	Chan et al., 2006
Archaeal diversity in Icelandic hot springs	Kvist et al., 2007
Impact of protists on the activity and structure of the bacterial community in a rice field soil.	Murase et al., 2006
Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide levels	Grüter et al., 2006
Temperate anoxic soils	Wu et al., 2006
Redox fluctuation in a wet tropical soil	Pett-Ridge and Firestone, 2005
Influence of different <i>Oryza</i> cultivars in roots of rice	Knauth et al., 2005
Bioremediation of petroleum waste sludge in landfarming sites	Katsivela et al., 2005
Paddy soil oxygen gradient	Noll et al., 2005
Serpentine soil and of rhizosphere of the nickel-hyperaccumulator plant <i>Alyssum bertolonii</i>	Mengoni et al., 2004
Effect of microbial inoculants on the indigenous actinobacterial endophyte population in the roots of wheat	Conn and Franco, 2004
Natural forest soils	Hackl et al., 2004

Table 2. Involvement of T-RFLP analysis to discriminate the microbial diversity or community structure of different soils.

Type or treatment of soil	Reference
Effect of Cry3Bb transgenic corn and tefluthrin on the soil microbial community	Devare et al., 2004
Diet-related differences of microbial communities in soil, gut, and casts of <i>Lumbricus terrestris</i> L	Egert et al., 2004
Methanogenic archaea within a riparian flooding gradient	Kemnitz et al., 2004
Endophytic actinobacterial population in the roots of wheat	Conn and Franco, 2004
Arsenic-, chromium- and copper-contaminated soils	Turpeinen et al., 2004
Co-existing grass species have distinctive arbuscular mycorrhizal communities.	Vandenkoornhuysse et al., 2003
Axial dynamics, stability, and interspecies similarity in the highly compartmentalized gut of soil-feeding termites	Schmitt-Wagner et al., 2003
Spatial and temporal variability from soil of two Wyoming grassland ecosystems.	Mummey and Stahl, 2003
Influence of drying-rewetting frequency	Fierer et al., 2003
Rice field soils in China and the Philippines	Hoffmann et al., 2002.
Predominant soil crusts of the Colorado Plateau	Redfield et al., 2002
Archaeal diversity in waters from deep South African gold mines	Takai et al., 2001
Axial differences in community structure of <i>Crenarchaeota</i> and <i>Euryarchaeota</i> in the highly compartmentalized gut of the soil-feeding termite <i>Cubitermes orthognathus</i>	Friedrich et al., 2001
Roots of submerged rice plants	Horz et al., 2000
Redox gradients in Pacific Northwest marine sediments	Braker et al., 2001
Bulk soil and rice roots of flooded rice microcosms.	Derakshani et al., 2001
Four southwestern United States soils	Dunbar et al., 2000

community can only be inferred from the identification of members of the community. However, identifying the biochemical constituents of the environment as well as the determining community members can lead to insights into what biological processes are occurring, but some of the detection methods are complex and time intensive. The more traditional approach to functional studies is PCR followed by cloning and sequencing of functional genes, in a similar fashion to 16S rDNA based community analysis methods. In this more functionally based T-RFLP approach instead of 16S rDNA genes, the functional gene of interest is amplified and used as the T-RFLP target. T-RFLP analysis has been used to examine many microbial communities (Ayala-del-Rio et al., 2004; Córdova-Kreylos et al., 2006; Friedrich et al., 2001; Grant et al., 2007; Hullar et al., 2006; Junier et al., 2008; Kent et al., 2003; Kraigher et al. 2008; Li et al., 2007; Liu et al., 1997; Nagashima et al., 2003; Scully et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2005). Since it is a high throughput and quite rapid profiling method it allows for “snapshots” of communities to be

taken each time DNA is isolated. These “snapshots” can then be compared to examine differences between the communities (Table 2).

Important Factors Responsible for Efficient T-RFLP Analysis

Primers and restriction enzyme selection Primer selection is the most critical factor which influence a community fingerprint, by using the 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic diversity of bacteria in a sample is produced. Variable regions of 16S rRNA gene can be used to select for different groups of prokaryotic organisms with different ranges of specificity (Brunk et al., 1996). Ideally, primers chosen for T-RFLP analysis should be specific to the targeted taxonomic group so that they can amplify all bacterial populations. There are no known primers that satisfy both of these criteria. For example, an in silico analysis of sequences done using the Probe Match tool of the Ribosomal Database

Project (RDP) shows that the bacterial primer 8fm potentially amplifies only 76-98% of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences in the RDP database (Marsh et al., 2000). Worse still, this analysis does not take into account that sequence databases only contain a fraction of the extant bacterial diversity, which suggests that commonly used primers such as 8fm are far from universal. In addition, the 8fm primer is not 100% specific to bacteria because the primer also matches 19 16S rRNA archaeal genes of the 19,300 archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences that were in GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/>) as of June 2007. Although there is no perfect primer, tools such as the primer-prevalence tool (Shyu et al., 2007) on the Microbial Community Analysis (MiCA) website (<http://mica.ibest.uidaho.edu/>) and the Probe Match tool (Marsh et al., 2000) found on the Ribosomal Database Project website (<http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/>) facilitate the choosing of primers because they allow researchers to compare the specificity and selectivity of different primer sets based on sequences in the database. A limitation is that neither tool is equipped for the analysis of archaeal sequences. The use of only one fluorescently labeled primer may result in underestimating the microbial diversity in a sample because different bacterial populations can share the same terminal restriction fragment length for a particular primer-enzyme combination (Marsh et al., 2000). This problem is reduced if two labeled primers are used; the premise being that some populations that cannot be resolved with one primer might be distinguished on the basis of additional information provided from the terminal fragment generated by a second labeled primer (Liu et al., 1997). The resolution of populations can be enhanced even further through the use of three or more labeled primers. Zhou et al. (2007), for example, amplified 16S rRNA genes of human vaginal bacterial communities by amplifying 16S rRNA genes in two separate PCR reactions that employed two differentially labeled forward primers in combination with the same reverse primer. After digestion, the restriction products were combined prior to analysis. Multiple primers can also be used in the same PCR reaction to study communities that contain different taxa, and this is referred to as multiplexing.

After selection of primers, the next step is restriction analysis of amplified PCR product. Selection of

restriction enzyme is another critical step in producing a TRF pattern since the conservation of cut sites for each enzyme varies between enzymes and changes for every gene. An enzyme with a cut site in a conserved portion of a gene will produce fewer peaks than an enzyme with cut sites in a variable region of a gene and have a poor ability to differentiate bacteria from different phylogenetic groups. Enzymes that cut less often typically have large peaks that represent broad groups of organisms. The discrimination of bacterial populations by T-RFLP analysis relies on detecting 16S rRNA gene sequence polymorphisms using restriction enzymes (Liu et al., 1997). Typically, enzymes that have four base-pair recognition sites are used due to the higher frequency of these recognition sites. It has been shown by several groups that the use of more than one restriction enzyme facilitates the resolution of bacterial populations (Liu et al., 1997; Marsh, 2000). This is due to the fact that different bacterial populations can share the same terminal restriction fragment length for a particular primer-enzyme combination but not others (Marsh et al., 2000). The ability of different restriction enzymes to resolve unique sequences has been examined in studies of gene sequence databases, communities with different richness, and iterative random sampling from a derived database of T-RFs (Engebretson and Moyer, 2003; Moyer et al., 1996). Engebretson and Moyer (2003) have evaluated 18 restriction enzymes and found that BstUI, DdeI, Sau961 and MspI most often resolved individual populations in their model communities. For communities with more than 50 operational taxonomic units (OTUs), none of the restriction enzymes resolved more than 70% of the total OTUs.

In silico digestion to evaluate the ability of restriction enzymes to discriminate between sequences can be done using tools such as the T-RFLP analysis program (TAP) T-RFLP (<http://rdp8.cme.msu.edu/html/TAP-T-RFLP.html>) and MiCA (<http://mica.ibest.uidaho.edu/>) for 16S rRNA genes and the ARB implemented tool TRF-CUT for functional genes (<http://www.mpi-marburg.mpg.de/downloads/>, Ricke et al., 2005). TAP T-RFLP is located on the RDP website, and it facilitates the choice of restriction enzymes by an in silico digest of all 16S rRNA genes in the database while using different primer-enzyme combinations (Marsh et al., 2000). TAP T-RFLP matches a chosen forward or reverse primer to every sequence of the database, and all the sequences

that match the primer are digested *in silico* by the chosen restriction enzyme(s). The analysis provides answers to the following questions: (i) what enzyme(s) best discriminate phylotypes for estimates of population diversity, (ii) what enzyme(s) provides the best resolution of the targeted phylogenetic groups, and (iii) what primer-enzyme(s) combinations are best for a particular data set. The default output shows the results within RDP's phylogenetic hierarchy. In addition, the results can be ordered by sequence name or terminal fragment size. While TAP T-RFLP is a powerful tool to acquire a first impression of how well different restriction enzymes can resolve phylotypes, it also has certain limitations. It only allows one primer-enzyme combination to be specified, the data cannot be sorted, and the output cannot be printed or exported to other programs (Shyu et al., 2007).

Resolution of T-RFs TRF data reported by a sequencer consists of the size (base pairs), peak height, and peak area for each TRF peak in a pattern in a sample are usually determined by capillary or polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis wherein the electrophoretic mobility of the T-RFs are compared to those of known size in an internal standard. Differences in the length and abundance of fluorescently labeled T-RFs in a sample are usually determined by capillary or polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis wherein the electrophoretic mobility of the T-RFs are compared to those of known size in an internal standard. The actual sizes of T-RFs are estimated by interpolation using algorithms such as the Local Southern algorithm that are available in software packages such as GeneScan and GeneMapper. The abundance of each T-RF is determined based on fluorescence intensity and expressed as either peak height or peak area. Generally speaking, T-RFLP analysis using capillary gel electrophoresis is more precise and reproducible than analyses done using polyacrylamide gels (Behr et al., 1999). Even so, run-to-run variability (generally ± 1 bp) results in small size discrepancies even among terminal fragments of the same bacterial populations and therefore fingerprints need to be aligned. Fragment sizes are generally assigned to categories of operational taxonomic units or "bins". Each bin may actually include more than one phylotype depending on the species complexity of the community being analyzed, the phylogenetic relatedness of the

populations present, and the resolving 'power' of the primers and enzymes used. A disadvantage of capillary systems is that they employ electrokinetic sample injection, in which charged molecules are injected into capillaries by applying an electric field (Behr et al., 1999). This can result in the preferential injection of smaller molecules, so salts and primers from the PCR reactions (Osborne et al., 2005; Tiquia et al., 2005) or the digestion reactions (Berg et al., 2005; Hoshino et al., 2006) should be removed prior to sample analysis. Accurate fragment size determination is important, especially if the goal is to infer a plausible community composition from T-RFLP profiles. Plausible community compositions are determined using web-based tools, in which the sizes of T-RFs in a profile are matched with T-RF sizes derived *in silico* from the 16S rRNA gene sequences of phylotypes in a database. Sometimes, however, this is not straightforward because pseudo T-RFs (partially single-stranded amplicons) may be formed during PCR (Egert and Friedrich, 2003, 2005) and different fluorophores can affect the electrophoretic mobility of fragments in different ways causing errors in determining fragment sizes (Tu et al., 1998). Although DNA fragment analysis by capillary electrophoresis is very precise (± 1 bp), it is not necessarily accurate, a fact that is not widely known. In our experience, DNA fragments labeled with a fluorescein dye, such as 6FAM and HEX, migrate faster than DNA fragments labeled with a rhodamine dye such as ROX. The latter one is often used to label internal size standards. As a result, the sizes of terminal fragments labeled with HEX or 6FAM can be underestimated. Unfortunately, it is not easy to adjust for differences in migration behavior because the magnitude of the discrepancy is not constant across fragment sizes. For fragment sizes smaller than 100 bp, it is up to 11 bp (Hahn et al., 2001), it decreases to 2-3 bp for fragment sizes of about 500 bp, and then increases again for fragment sizes larger than about 700 bp. Discrepancies between true and observed T-RF sizes can also be caused by the purine content (Kaplan and Kitts, 2003). Furthermore, the performance of the algorithms used to size call the T-RFs deteriorates as the DNA fragment size increases. Currently, there is no solution to correct for these migration discrepancies that arise due to the use of different fluorophores, and users should take this into account when using T-RFLP data to determine the

community composition.

T-RFs alignment Run-to-run variability in T-RFLP analysis causes slight differences in the estimated sizes of T-RF fragments from the same bacterial phylotype. Because of this run-to-run variability, fingerprints need to be aligned before further statistical analyses can be done. The methods used to assign fragment sizes to length categories (bins) include nearest integer rounding, manual binning (Blackwood et al., 2007), and clustering-based statistical approaches (Abdo et al., 2006; Dunbar et al., 2001; Hewson and Fuhrman, 2006; Ruan, et al. 2006). The use of statistical approaches is far superior to rounding to the nearest integer or manual binning because so long as parameter choices are made based on empirical data and applied consistently, the automated procedures allow an objective analysis of large data sets with statistical justification. Hewson and Fuhrman (2006) describe a binning technique wherein profiles are aligned based on multiple bin windows of a fixed size. In their example, Hewson and Fuhrman used a bin window of 10 bp. If one excludes fragment sizes smaller than 50 bp due to primer dimer peaks, then the first bin would contain all fragments of 50-59 bp, the second would contain all fragments with a size of 60-69 bp, and so on. The alignment is done several times, and each time the starting point of the window is shifted by 1 bp. In the second round of alignment, all fragment lengths from 51-60 bp, 61-70 bp, etc., are considered identical. The number of times the alignment is done equals to the size of the bin window, and in this example, it would be ten times (It should be noted that this method was developed for the analysis of data from fragment analysis using polyacrylamide gels and not capillary gel electrophoresis. This results in a larger run-to-run variability of fragment sizes; thus, large bin windows were proposed). For each frame, all pairwise similarities among the profiles are calculated, an unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) tree is generated based on the maximum pair wise similarities among profiles, and this tree is used to draw conclusions about differences in microbial community. The performance of the binning method has only been evaluated based on samples with unknown community structure, and therefore, the ability of this approach to determine true differences in microbial communities remains unknown. An extension of this binning method has

been developed by Ruan et al. (2006) to allow different bin window sizes depending on the fragment size because the reproducibility of fragment length measurements varies with fragment size (Brown et al., 2005).

Identification of individuals in microbial communities

TRF analysis is a powerful tool for describing bacterial community structure and dynamics, but the information is also useful for identifying community members. As described above, each TRF in a pattern represents one or more organisms. There are several web-based tools available that allow users to identify plausible members of microbial communities based on T-RFLP data (Kent et al., 2003; Shyu et al., 2007; Wise and Osborn, 2001). The tools differ as to whether they automatically combine the results of profiles from several restriction digests, account for both forward and reverse primer, account for the relative abundances of fragments, and allow for mismatches between primer sequence and templates. Allowing for mismatches is important because sequences that have a small number of mismatches with the primer sequence may still amplify in PCR reactions. What follows are short descriptions of some web-based tools that can be used to identify plausible members of a microbial community based on T-RFLP data. A phylogenetic assignment tool (PAT) developed by Kent et al. (2003) uses a default database produced by using MiCA that contains the T-RFs predicted from an in silico analysis of 16S rRNA sequences using the bacterial 16S rRNA gene primer 8F and one of several restriction enzymes with tetrameric recognition sites. Custom-generated databases can be used as well. The T-RFs predicted from when a given primer-enzyme combination is used to match the empirically determined fragment lengths to those predicted from various phylogenotypes in the database. The ability of PAT to correctly determine community composition is increased by the analysis of multiple digests because species that are not resolved by a given restriction enzyme may be resolved by a different enzyme. The resolution of PAT could be further enhanced if T-RF sizes could be predicted from both the 5' and 3' ends of amplified fragments, but this is not a feature of the program. The method was validated by using T-RFLP in conjunction with sequencing cloned 16S rRNA genes to assess the variability of bacterial community structure throughout the water column of a humic lake. The taxonomic classes identified

by T-RFLP and clone library analysis matched well. TRUFFLER is a program similar to PAT except sequences are retrieved from the European Molecular Biology Laboratory DNA database and mismatches between primer sequences and templates are allowed. The authors reported that there were discrepancies between predicted and actual fragment sizes (1-2 bp) but that overall, there was a good level of agreement (Wise and Osborn, 2001). APLAUS is an algorithm that allows the definition of a bin size to address the problem of size calling errors caused by factors such as differences in migration behavior of the different fluorophores (Shyu et al., 2007). As with PAT, it allows the comparison of one or more T-RFLP profiles to the outcome of an *in silico* analysis of the database with the same primer-enzyme combination as used in the experiment (Shyu et al., 2007). An important difference is that data from the analysis of samples with multiple primer-enzyme combinations can be evaluated simultaneously, which narrows the possibilities for members of the community. Although these tools can be used to gain insight to the possible members of microbial communities, there are several caveats one should be cognizant of when implementing them. First, DNA fragments labeled with different fluorophores may differ in electrophoretic mobility (Tu et al., 1998). Second, electrophoretic mobility can be influenced by sequence composition (Kaplan and Kitts, 2003). Both of these will introduce discrepancies between the empirically determined and actual fragment sizes. Third, only a small percentage of 16S rRNA gene sequences are now archived in databases. Therefore, misidentification may result from the fact that known sequences in the database have the same sequence polymorphisms as novel and unknown sequences in the sample (Blackwood and Buyer, 2007). Even with these limitations, there are certain cases in which data from T-RFLP analysis of microbial communities can be used in conjunction with web-based tools to an advantage. For example, it is possible to presumptively identify and monitor specific bacterial populations within a microbial community so long as the sizes of the corresponding T-RFs are verified *a priori*. Nilsson and Strom (2002) developed a database that contains 16S rRNA gene sequences of fish pathogens. This database can be searched to presumptively identify common fish pathogens based on the T-RF lengths obtained with a defined primer pair and

six restriction enzymes.

Visualizing relationships among microbial communities

Principal component analysis (PCA), multidimensional scaling (MDS), self-organizing map (SOM), and Additive Main Effects and Multiplicative Interaction (AMMI) are useful methods to visualize similarities or dissimilarities among microbial communities. All four methods reduce the dimensionality of the data, which are then plotted in two-three dimensions. PCA uses a set of new variables (linear combinations of the original variables) to describe as much of the variance in the data as possible with as few variables as possible (Johnson, 1998). The so-called first principal component—the first new variable—embodies the largest amount of variation in the data. The second principal component, which is orthogonal to the first principal component, takes into consideration the second largest amount of variation and so on (Johnson, 1998). MDS can be divided into metric and nonmetric procedures. Metric MDS is also known as principal coordinate analysis. To construct a plot for metric MDS, all pair-wise distances of all profiles are first computed. Then, the multidimensional distances are plotted in two-three dimensions in such a way that the original distances among the profiles are reflected as accurately as possible. In contrast to metric MDS, nonmetric MDS is based not on the metric distances between profiles but on the ranks of the distances between profiles. Importantly, MDS has a goodness of fit test that indicates how well the generated plot reflects the relations among the original data (Young, 1987). Rees et al. (2004) extended the use of MDS to test for significant differences among groups through the analysis of similarity and they implemented similarity percentage analysis to calculate the contribution of individual T-RFs to the dissimilarity between samples. SOMs, which have been used in a few studies to analyze T-RFLP data, organize data onto a two-dimensional grid (Dollhopf et al., 2001). Each node on the grid is described by a model, and the models of neighboring nodes are more similar to each other than those located further away. Each T-RFLP profile is associated with a model on the grid that explains the structure of the profile, and accordingly, similar profiles will be organized more closely together on the grid than less similar profiles. In comparison to PCA, SOM seems better able to detect differences between profiles

that contain a large number of peaks (Dollhopf et al., 2001). Depending on the objective of the study, AMMI (Gauch, 1992) can be more useful than PCA, MDS, and SOMs because it focuses on the differences in the response of bacterial populations to treatments (Culman et al., 2006). AMMI is a combination of analysis of variance and PCA.

Diversity and distance measures Many statistical approaches discussed above are based on measures that determine the similarity among profiles. There are many different diversity measures to choose from and the choice of these measures may be greatly used to influence the results of the analysis. During T-RFLP data analysis for diversity measures, there are two things to consider: (1) should the abundances of individual phylotypes be included as an important variable or should only the presence or absence of fragments be used and (2) should the absence of a peak in two samples be regarded as a similarity between them or have no impact between two profiles. Whether abundance is included in the analysis depends on whether the objective of the study is of a purely qualitative or a quantitative nature. If changes in abundance are included in the analysis, only standardized abundances should be used due to the run-to-run variation (Liu et al. 1997; Osborn et al. 2000). The number of T-RFs detected considered as species richness and other diversity indices can be calculated based on each T-RFs abundance and their respective total sum. Diversity of T-RFLP patterns, such as the Shannon index (H), equitability index (J), richness (d), and evenness (e), can be used as means of evaluating microbial diversity of samples using the formula as follows:

$$\text{Shannon index } (H) = C/N \log_{10} N - \sum ni \log_{10} ni,$$

where $C = 2.3$; $N =$ sum of peak areas in a given T-RFLP; $ni =$ area of T-RF i ; and $i =$ number of T-RFs of each T-RFLP pattern. This calculation was derived on Shannon and Weaver's formula based on the

$$\text{Equitability index } (J) = H/H_{\text{max}},$$

where $H =$ Shannon-diversity index and H_{max} theoretical maximal Shannon index for the T-RFLP examined, assuming that each peak represents only one

member.

$$\text{Richness } (d) = S - 1/\log N,$$

where $S =$ number of T-RFs, $N =$ sum of all peak areas in a given T-RFLP pattern.

$$\text{Evenness } (e) = H/\log S,$$

where $H =$ Shannon index, $S =$ total number of T-RFs.

For distance measure simple matching coefficient incorporates the absence of a T-RF in two profiles as a similarity, whereas indices such as Jaccard and Bray-Curtis only account for TRFs that are present in two profiles as a similarity between the two profiles. We suggest that similarity indices should be used in which the absence of a bacterial population in one of two profiles does not impact the distance between the profiles. This is because the failure to detect a population in a profile may not mean that it is absent from a sample but rather below the detection threshold. T-RFLP, like any PCR-based method, may underestimate true diversity because only numerically dominant species are detected because of the large quantity of available template DNA. In addition, different species will have different gene copy numbers and this could bias results (Liu et al., 1997). Incomplete digestion by restriction enzymes could also lead to an overestimation of diversity (Osborn et al., 2000). Despite these limitations, some researchers are of the opinion that once standardized, T-RFLP can be a useful tool to study microbial diversity in the environment (Liu et al., 1997; Tiedje et al., 1999; Osborn et al., 2000), while others feel that it is inadequate (Dunbar et al., 2000). Dunbar et al. (2000) reported that the statistics they used detected inconsistencies in DNA banding patterns depending on the enzyme used and those samples of four different soil types were not found to be significantly different from each other. T-RFLP has also been thought to be an excellent tool with which to compare the relationship between different samples (Dunbar et al., 2000). T-RFLP has been used to measure spatial and temporal changes in bacterial communities (Acinas et al., 1997; Lukow et al., 2000), to study complex bacterial communities (Clement et al., 1998; Moeseneder et al., 1999), to detect and monitor populations (Tiedje et al., 1999) and to assess the diversity of AMF in the rhizosphere of *Viola calaminaria* in a metal-contaminated soil (Tonin

et al., 2001). Tiedje et al. (1999) reported five times greater success at detecting and tracking specific ribotypes using TRFLP than DGGE. Recently, Bent and Forney (2008) has reported assessment of richness in complex communities is futile without extensive sampling, and that some diversity indices can be estimated with reasonable accuracy through the analysis of clone libraries or pyrosequencing data, but not from community fingerprint data i.e. DGGE or T-RFLP. The data produced by sequencing and fingerprinting methods differ due to the reliance of the latter on proxy information (for example, restriction sites or GC content) rather than full sequence data (Abdo et al., 2006), and both kinds of methods have problems and biases that have been reviewed by Bent and Forney (2008).

Conclusions and Future Prospective

Molecular cultivation independent methods are offering parallel opportunities to characterize Bacteria, Archaea and Eucarya in culture and directly from various environmental samples. Modern molecular techniques developed to study microbial populations finally allow us access to the very large proportion of organisms that are present in the soil that we are currently unable to culture under laboratory conditions. They are also allowing us to begin to link and identify with function which will lead to a better understanding of how changes in soil management practices may be altering ecosystem dynamics. Great progress has been made in T-RFLP method for analysis of 16S rRNA and functional genes. Technical developments such as implementing capillary gel electrophoresis and the use of multiple labeled primers and restriction enzymes resulted in an improved reproducibility and resolution of T-RFLP profiles, while web-based tools facilitate the choice of primer and enzymes. Continually evolving technical developments open new horizons of research and applications that are enabling a far more complete and less biased view of microbial community structure of various ecosystems.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Rural Development Administration (RDA), Republic of Korea.

References

- Abdo, Z., U.M., Schütte, S.J. Bent, C.J. Williams, L.J. Forney, and P. Joyce. 2006. Statistical methods for characterizing diversity of microbial communities by analysis of terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms of 16S rRNA genes. *Environ. Microbiol.* 8:929-938.
- Abell, G.C., A.T. Reville, C. Smith, A.P. Bissett, J.K. Volkman, and S.S. Robert. 2010. Archaeal ammonia oxidizers and nirS-type denitrifiers dominate sediment nitrifying and denitrifying populations in a subtropical macrotidal estuary. *ISME J.* 4: 286-300.
- Acinas, S.G., L.A. Marcelino, V. Klepac-Ceraj, and M.F. Ploz. 2004. Divergence and redundancy of 16S rRNA sequences in genomes with multiple *rrn* operons. *J. Bacteriol.* 168: 2629-2635.
- Amann, R.L., W. Ludwig, and K. Schleifer. 1995. Phylogenetic identification and in situ detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. *Microbiol. Rev.* 59:143-169.
- Anderson, I.C., B.A. Bastias, D.R. Genney, P.I. Parkin, and J.W. Cairney. 2007. Basidiomycete fungal communities in Australian sclerophyll forest soil are altered by repeated prescribed burning. *Mycol. Res.* 111:482-486.
- Ayala-del-Rio, H.L., S.J. Callister, C.S. Criddle, and J.M. Tiedje. 2004. Correspondence between community structure and function during succession in phenol- and phenol-plus-trichloroethene-fed sequencing batch reactors. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70:4950-4960.
- Becker, R., P. Böger, R. Oehlmann, and A. Ernst. 2000. PCR bias in ecological analysis: a case study for quantitative Taq nuclease assays in analyses of microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66:4945-4953.
- Becker, R., U. Behrendt, B. Hommel, S. Kropf, and A. Ulrich. 2008. Effects of transgenic fructan-producing potatoes on the community structure of rhizosphere and phyllosphere bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 66:411-425.
- Behr, S., M. Mätzig, A. Levin, H. Eickhoff, and C. Heller. 1999. A fully automated multicapillary electrophoresis device for DNA analysis. *Electrophoresis.* 20:1492-1507.
- Bent, S.J. and L.J. Forney. 2008. The tragedy of the uncommon: understanding limitations in the analysis of microbial diversity. *ISME J.* 2:689-695.
- Berg, G., A. Krechel, M. Ditz, R.A. Sikora, A. Ulrich, and J. Hallmann. 2005. Endophytic and ectophytic potato-associated bacterial communities differ in structure and antagonistic function against plant pathogenic fungi. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 51:215-229.
- Blackwood, C.B., D. Huddleston, D.R. Zak, and J.S. Buyer. 2007. Interpreting ecological diversity indices applied to terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism data: insights from simulated microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73:5276-5283.
- Blaut, M., M.D. Collins, G.W. Welling, J. Doré, J. van Loo, and W. de Vos. 2002. Molecular biological methods for studying the gut microbiota: the EU human gut flora project. *British J. Nut.* 88:S203-S211.

- Bowman, J.P. and R.D. McCuaig. 2003. Biodiversity, community structural shifts, and biogeography of prokaryotes within Antarctic continental shelf sediment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:2463-2483.
- Braker, G., H.L. Ayala-del-Río, A.H. Devol, A. Fesefeldt, and J.M. Tiedje. 2001. Community structure of denitrifiers, bacteria, and archaea along redox gradients in Pacific Northwest marine sediments by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of amplified nitrite reductase (*nirS*) and 16S rRNA genes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67:1893-1901.
- Brown, M.V., M.S. Schwalbach, I. Hewson, and J.A. Fuhrman. 2005. Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP): an emerging method for characterizing diversity among homologous populations of amplification products. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7:1466-1479
- Brunk, C.F., E. Avaniss-Aghajani, and C.A. Brunk. 1996. A computer analysis of primer and probe hybridization potential with bacterial small-subunit rRNA sequences. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62:872-879.
- Cadillo-Quiroz, H., J.B. Yavitt, S.H. Zinder, and J.E. Thies. 2009. Diversity and community structure of Archaea inhabiting the rhizoplane of two contrasting plants from an acidic bog. *Microb. Ecol.* 59:757-767.
- Chan, O.C., X. Yang, Y. Fu, Z. Feng, L. Sha, P. Casper, and X. Zou. 2006. 16S rRNA gene analyses of bacterial community structures in the soils of evergreen broad-leaved forests in south-west China. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 58: 247-259.
- Chen, Z., X. Luo, R. Hu, M. Wu, J. Wu, and W. Wei. 2010. Impact of long-term fertilization on the composition of denitrifier communities based on nitrite reductase analyses in a paddy soil. *Microb. Ecol.* 60:850-861.
- Conn, V.M. and C.M. Franco. 2004. Analysis of the endophytic actinobacterial population in the roots of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism and sequencing of 16S rRNA clones. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70:1787-1794.
- Córdova-Kreylos, A.L., Y.P. Cao, P.G. Green, H.M. Hwang, K.M. Kuivila, M.G. LaMontagne, L.C. Van De Werfhorst, P.A. Holden, and K.M. Scow. 2006. Diversity, composition, and geographical distribution of microbial communities in California salt marsh sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72:3357-3366.
- Crosby, L.D. and C.S. Criddle. 2003. Understanding bias in microbial community analysis techniques due to *rrn* operon copy number heterogeneity. *Biotechniques.* 34:790-764.
- Culman, S.W., J.M. Duxbury, T.G. Lauren, and J.E. Thies. 2006. Microbial community response to soil solarization in Nepal's rice-wheat cropping system. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38:3359-3371.
- daCJesus, E., T.L. Marsh, J.M. Tiedje, and F.M. deSMoreira. 2009. Changes in land use alter the structure of bacterial communities in Western Amazon soils. *ISME J.* 3:1004-1011.
- Dedysh, S.V., T. Pankratov, S.E. Belova, I.S. Kulichevskaya, and W. Liesack. 2006. Phylogenetic analysis and in situ identification of *bacteria* community composition in an acidic *Sphagnum* peat bog. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72:2110-2117.
- Derakshani, M., T. Lukow, and W. Liesack. 2001. Novel bacterial lineages at the (sub) division level as detected by signature nucleotide-targeted recovery of 16S rRNA genes from bulk soil and rice roots of flooded rice microcosms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67:623-631.
- Devare, M.H., C.M. Jones, and J.E. Thies. 2004. Effect of Cry3Bb transgenic corn and tefluthrin on the soil microbial community: biomass, activity, and diversity. *J. Environ. Qual.* 33:837-843.
- Dollhopf, S.L., S.A. Hashsham, and J.M. Tiedje. 2001. Interpreting 16S rDNA T-RFLP data: application of self-organizing maps and principal component analysis to describe community dynamics and convergence. *Microb. Ecol.* 42: 495-505
- Donis-Keller, H., A.M. Maxam, and W. Gilbert. 1977. Mapping adenines, guanines and pyrimidines in RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 4:2527-2538.
- Duineveld, B.M., G.A. Kowalchuk, A. Keijzer, and J.D. van Elsas 2001. Analysis of bacterial communities in the rhizosphere of chrysanthemum via denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA as well as DNA fragments coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67:172- 178.
- Dunbar, J., L.O. Ticknor, and C.R. Kuske. 2000. Assessment of microbial diversity in four southwestern United States soils by 16S rRNA gene terminal restriction fragment analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66:2943-2950.
- Dunbar, J., L.O. Ticknor, and C.R. Kuske. 2001. Phylogenetic specificity and reproducibility and new method for analysis of terminal restriction fragment profiles of 16S rRNA genes from bacterial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67: 190-197.
- Dunbar, J., S.M. Barns, L.O. Ticknor, and C.R. Kuske. 2002. Empirical and theoretical bacterial diversity in four Arizona soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68:3035-3045.
- Eckburg P.B., E.M. Bik, C.N. Bernstein, E. Purdom, L. Dethlefsen, M. Sargent, S.R. Gill, K.E. Nelson, and D.A. Relman. 2005. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. *Science.* 308:1635-1638.
- Egert, M. and M.W. Friedrich. 2003. Formation of pseudo-terminal restriction fragments, a PCR-related bias affecting terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of microbial community structure. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:2555-2562.
- Egert, M. and M.W. Friedrich. 2005. Post-amplification Klenow fragment treatment alleviates PCR bias caused by partially single-stranded amplicons. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* 61:69-75.
- Egert, M., S. Marhan, B. Wagner, S. Scheu, and M.W. Friedrich. 2004. Molecular profiling of 16S rRNA genes reveals diet-related differences of microbial communities in soil, gut, and casts of *Lumbricus terrestris* L. (Oligochaeta: Lumbricidae). *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 48:187-197.
- Elshahed, M.S., F.Z. Najjar, B.A. Roe, A. Oren, T.A. Dewers, and L.R. Krumholz. 2004. Survey of Archaeal diversity reveals an abundance of halophilic *Archaea* in low-salt,

- sulfide- and sulfur-rich spring. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70:2230-2239.
- Engelbreton, J.J. and C.L. Moyer. 2003. Fidelity of select restriction endonucleases in determining microbial diversity by terminal-restriction fragment length polymorphism. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:4823-4829.
- Ferrando, L. and S. Tarlera. 2009. Activity and diversity of methanotrophs in the soil-water interface and rhizospheric soil from a flooded temperate rice field. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 106:306-316.
- Fierer, N., J.P. Schimel, and P.A. Holden. 2003. Influence of drying-rewetting frequency on soil bacterial community structure. *Microb. Ecol.* 45:63-71.
- Fisher, M.M. and E.W. Triplett. 1999. Automated approach for ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis of microbial diversity and its application to freshwater bacterial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65:4630-4636.
- Flores-Mireles, A.L., S.C. Winans, and G. Holguin. 2007. Molecular characterization of diazotrophic and denitrifying bacteria associated with mangrove roots. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73:7308-7321.
- Franklin, R.B. and A.L. Mills. 2003. Multi-scale variation in spatial heterogeneity for microbial community structure in an eastern Virginia agricultural field. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 44:335-346.
- Friedrich, M.W., D. Schmitt-Wagner, T. Lueders and A. Brune. 2001. Axial differences in community structure of Crenarchaeota and Euryarchaeota in the highly compartmentalized gut of the soil-feeding termite *Cubitermes orthognathus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67:4880-4890.
- Fuka, M.M., M. Engel, A. Hagn, J.C. Munch, M. Sommer, and M. Schlöter. 2008. Changes of diversity pattern of proteolytic bacteria over time and space in an agricultural soil. *Microb. Ecol.* 57:391-401.
- Garbeva, P., van Veen J.A., and J.D. van Elsas. 2004. Microbial diversity in soil: Selection of microbial populations by plant and soil type and implications for disease suppressiveness. *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.* 42:243-70.
- Gauch, H.G. Jr. 1992. Statistical analysis of regional yield trials: AMMI analysis of factorial designs. Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- Ge, Y., J.P. Schimel, and P.A. Holden. 2011. Evidence for negative effects of TiO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles on soil bacterial communities. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* DOI: 10.1021/es103040t.
- Genney, D.R., I.C. Anderson, and I.J. Alexander. 2006. Fine-scale distribution of pine ectomycorrhizas and their extramatrical mycelium. *New Phytol.* 170:381-390.
- Grant, R.J., L.M. Muckian, N.J.W. Clipson, and E.M. Doyle. 2007. Microbial community changes during the bioremediation of creosote-contaminated soil. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 44:293-300.
- Grüter, D., B. Schmid, and H. Brandl. 2006. Influence of plant diversity and elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide levels on belowground bacterial diversity. *BMC Microbiol.* 6:68.
- Hackl, E., S. Zechmeister-Boltenstern, L. Bodrossy, and A. Sessitsch. 2004. Comparison of diversities and compositions of bacterial populations inhabiting natural forest soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70:5057-5065.
- Hahn, M., J. Wilhelm, and A. Pingoud. 2001. Influence of fluorophore dye labels on the migration behavior of polymerase chain reaction-amplified short tandem repeats during denaturing capillary electrophoresis. *Electrophoresis.* 22:2691-2700.
- Hein, J.W., G.V. Wolfe, and K.A. Blee. 2007. Comparison of rhizosphere bacterial communities in *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants for systemic acquired resistance. *Microb. Ecol.* 55:333-343.
- Hewson, I. and J.A. Fuhrman. 2006. Improved strategy for comparing microbial assemblage fingerprints. *Microb. Ecol.* 51:147-153.
- Hill, J.E., R.P. Seipp, M. Betts, L. Hawkins, A.G. Van Kessel, W.L. Crosby, and S.M. Hemmingsen. 2002. Extensive profiling of a complex microbial community by high-throughput sequencing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68:3055-3066.
- Hoffmann, T., H.P. Horz, D. Kemnitz, and R. Conrad. 2002. Diversity of the particulate methane monooxygenase gene in methanotrophic samples from different rice field soils in China and the Philippines. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 25:267-274.
- Hopkins, M.J., R. Sharp, and G.T. Macfarlane. 2001. Age and disease related changes in intestinal bacterial populations assessed by cell culture, 16S rRNA abundance, and community cellular fatty acid profiles. *Gut.* 48:198-205.
- Horz, H.P., J.H. Rotthauwe, T. Lukow, and W. Liesack. 2000. Identification of major subgroups of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in environmental samples by T-RFLP analysis of amoA PCR products. *J. Microbiol. Methods.* 39:197-204.
- Hoshino, T., T. Terahara, K. Yamada, H. Okuda, I. Suzuki, S. Tsuneda, A. Hirata, and Y. Inamori. 2006. Long-term monitoring of the succession of a microbial community in activated sludge from a circulation flush toilet as a closed system. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 55:459-470.
- Hullar, M.A. J., L.A. Kaplan, and D.A. Stahl. 2006. Recurring seasonal dynamics of microbial communities in stream habitats. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72:713-722.
- Islam, M.R., P.S. Chauhan, Y. Kim, M. Kim, and T.M. Sa. 2011. Community level functional diversity and enzyme activities in paddy soils under different long-term fertilizer management practices. *Biol. Fert. Soils.* DOI: 10.1007/s00374-010-0524-2.
- Jin, M., Z.G. Zhao, Z.G. Qiu, J.F. Wang, Z.L. Chen, Z.Q. Shen, C. Li, X.W. Wang, Y. Dong, and J.W. Li. 2010. Rapid method to extract high-quality RNA from activated sludge. *Huan Jing Ke Xue.* 31:260-265
- Johnson, D., P.J. Vandenkoornhuyse, J.R. Leake, L. Gilbert, R.E. Booth, J.P. Grime, J.P.W. Young, and D.J. Read. 2004. Plant communities affect arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity and community composition in grassland microcosms. *New Phytol.* 161:503-515.
- Johnson, D.E. 1998. Applied multivariate methods for data analysts. Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, CA, USA.
- Junier, P., T. Junier, and K. Witzel. 2008. TRiFLe, a Program for *in silico* terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism

- analysis with user-defined sequence sets. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74:6452-6456.
- Kanagawa, T. 2003. Bias and artifacts in multitemplate polymerase chain reactions (PCR). *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* 96: 317-323.
- Kaplan, C.W. and C.L. Kitts. 2003. Variation between observed and true terminal restriction fragment length is dependent on true TRF length and purine content. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* 54:121-125.
- Katsivela, E., E.R.B. Moore, D. Maroukli, C. Strömpl, D. Pieper, and N. Kalogerakis. 2005. Bacterial community dynamics during in-situ bioremediation of petroleum waste sludge in land farming sites. *Biodegradation.* 16:169-180
- Kemnitz, D., K.J. Chin, P. Bodelier, and R. Conrad. Community analysis of methanogenic archaea within a riparian flooding gradient. *Environ. Microbiol.* 6:449-461.
- Kennedy, N., S. Edwards, and N. Clipson. 2005. Soil bacterial and fungal community structure across a range of unimproved and semi-improved upland grasslands. *Microb. Ecol.* 50: 463-473.
- Kent, A.D., D.J. Smith, B.J. Benson, and E.W. Triplett. 2003. Web-based phylogenetic assignment tool for analysis of terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism profiles of microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:6768-6776.
- Knauth, S., T. Hurek, and D. Brar. 2005. Reinhold-Hurek B. Influence of different *Oryza* cultivars on expression of *nifH* gene pools in roots of rice. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7:1725-1733.
- Kotsyurbenko, O.R., K.J. Chin, M.V. Glagolev, S. Stubner, M.V. Simankova, A.N. Nozhevnikova, and R. Conrad. 2004. Acetoclastic and hydrogenotrophic methane production and methanogenic populations in an acidic West-Siberian peat bog. *Environ. Microbiol.* 6:1159-1173.
- Kraigher, B., T. Kosjek, E. Heath, B. Kompare, and I. Mandic-Mulec. 2008. Influence of pharmaceutical residues on the structure of activated sludge bacterial communities in wastewater treatment bioreactors. *Water Res.* 42:4578-4588.
- Krupp, G. and H.J. Gross. 1979. Rapid RNA sequencing: nucleases from *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Neurospora crassa* discriminate between uridine and cytidine. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 6:3481-3489.
- Kurata, S., T. Kanagawa, Y. Magariyama, K. Takatsu, K. Yamada, T. Yokomaku, and Y. Kamagata. 2004. Reevaluation and reduction of a PCR bias caused by reannealing of templates. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70:7545-7549.
- Kvist, T., B.K. Ahring, and P. Westermann. 2007. Archaeal diversity in Icelandic hot springs. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 59:71-80.
- Ladapo, J.A. and M.A. Barlaz. 1997. Isolation and characterization of refuse methanogens. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 82:751-758.
- Lane, D.J., B. Pace, G.J. Olsen, D.A. Stahl, M.L. Sogin, and N.R. Pace. 1985. Rapid determination of 16S ribosomal RNA sequences for phylogenetic analyses. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 82:6955-6959.
- LaPara, T.M., C.H. Nakatsu, L.M. Pantea, and J.E. Alleman. 2002. Stability of the bacterial communities supported by a seven-stage biological process treating pharmaceutical wastewater as revealed by PCR-DGGE. *Water Res.* 36:638-646.
- Lee, C., J. Kim, K. Hwang, V. O'Flaherty, and S. Hwang. 2009. Quantitative analysis of methanogenic community dynamics in three anaerobic batch digesters treating different wastewater. *Water Res.* 43:57-165.
- Lee, D.H., Y.G. Zo, and S.J. Kim. 1996. Nonradioactive method to study genetic profiles of natural bacterial communities by PCR single strand conformation polymorphism. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62:3112-3120.
- Leser, T.D., J.Z. Amenuvor, T.K. Jensen, R.H. Lindecrona, M. Boye, and K. Møller. 2002. Culture-independent analysis of gut bacteria: the pig gastrointestinal tract microbiota revisited. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68:673-690.
- Leybo, A.I., A.I. Netrusov, and R. Conrad. 2006. Effect of hydrogen concentration on the community structure of hydrogenotrophic methanogens studied by T-RFLP analysis of 16S rRNA gene amplicons. *Microbiol.* 75:683-688.
- Li, F., M.A.J. Hullar, and J.W. Lampe. 2007. Optimization of terminal restriction fragment polymorphism (TRFLP) analysis of human gut microbiota. *J. Microbiol. Methods.* 68:303-311.
- Liu, W.T., T.L. Marsh, H. Cheng, and L.J. Forney. 1997. Characterization of microbial diversity by determining terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms of genes encoding 16S rRNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63:4516-4522.
- Lord, N.S., C.W. Kaplan, P. Shank, C.L. Kitts, and S.L. Elrod. 2002. Assessment of fungal diversity using terminal restriction fragment (TRF) pattern analysis: comparison of 18S and ITS ribosomal regions. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 42:327-337.
- Lu, Y., T. Lueders, M.W. Friedrich, and R. Conrad. 2005. Detecting active methanogenic populations on rice roots using stable isotope probing. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7:326-336.
- Lueders, T. and M.W. Friedrich. 2003. Evaluation of PCR amplification bias by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of small-subunit rRNA and *mcrA* genes by using defined template mixtures of methanogenic pure cultures and soil DNA extracts. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:320-326.
- Lukow, T., P.F. Dunfield, and W. Liesack. 2000. Use of the T-RFLP technique to assess spatial and temporal changes in the bacterial community structure within an agricultural soil planted with transgenic and non-transgenic potato plants. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 3:241-247.
- Luna. G.M., A. Dell'Anno, C. Corinaldesi, M. Armeni, and R. Danovaro. 2009. Diversity and spatial distribution of metal-reducing bacterial assemblages in ground waters of different redox conditions. *Int. Microbiol.* 12:153-159.
- Luz, A.P., V.H., Pellizari, L.G. Whyte, and C.W. Greer. 2004. A survey of indigenous microbial hydrocarbon degradation genes in soils from Antarctica and Brazil. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 50:323-333.
- MacKay, R.M. and W.F. Doolittle. 1981. Nucleotide sequences of *Acnathamoeba castellanii* 5S and 5.8S ribosomal ribonucleic acids: phylogenetic and comparative structural analyses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 9:3321-3334.
- Marsh, T.L., P. Saxman, J. Cole, and J. Tiedje. 2000. Terminal

- restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis program, a web-based research tool for microbial community analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66:3616-3620.
- McGarvey, J.A., W.G. Miller, R. Zhang, Y. Ma, and F. Mitloehner. 2007. Bacterial population dynamics in dairy waste during aerobic and anaerobic treatment and subsequent storage. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73:193-202.
- McGarvey, J.A., W.G. Miller, S. Sanchez, C.J. Silva, and L.C. Whitehand. 2005. Comparison of bacterial populations and chemical composition of dairy wastewater held in circulated and stagnant lagoons. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 99:867-877.
- Meier, C., B. Wehrli, and J.R. van der Meer. 2008. Seasonal fluctuations of bacterial community diversity in agricultural soil and experimental validation by laboratory disturbance experiments. *Microb. Ecol.* 56:210-222.
- Mengoni, A., E. Grassi, R. Barzanti, E.G. Biondi, C. Gonnelli, C.K. Kim, and M. Bazzicalupo. 2004. Genetic diversity of bacterial communities of serpentine soil and of rhizosphere of the nickel-hyperaccumulator plant *Alyssum bertolonii*. *Microb. Ecol. Nucleic Acids Res.* 48:209-217.
- Micallef, S.A., M.P. Shiaris, and A. Colón-Carmona. 2009. Influence of *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions on rhizobacterial communities and natural variation in root exudates. *J. Exp. Bot. Nucleic Acids Res.* 60:1729-1742.
- Mintie, A.T., R.S. Heichen, Jr. K. Cromack, D.D. Myrold, and P.J. Bottomley. 2003. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria along meadow-to-forest transects in the Oregon Cascade mountains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:3129-3136.
- Moeseneder, M.M., J.M. Arrieta, G. Muyzer, C. Winter, and G. Herndl. 1999. Optimization of terminal-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis for complex marine bacterioplankton communities and comparison with denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65:3518-3525.
- Mohanty, S.R., P.L.E. Bodelier, V. Floris, and R. Conrad. 2006. Differential effects of nitrogenous fertilizers on methane-consuming microbes in rice field and forest soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72:1346-1354.
- Moyer, C.L., J.M. Tiedje, F.C. Dobbs, and D.M. Karl. 1996. A computer-simulated restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of bacterial small-subunit rRNA genes: efficacy of selected tetrameric restriction enzymes for studies of microbial diversity in nature. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62:2501-2507.
- Mullis, K., F. Faloona, S. Scharf, R. Saiki, G. Horn, and H. Erlich. 1986. Specific enzymatic amplification of DNA in vitro: the polymerase chain reaction. *Cold Spring Harbor symposia on quantitative biology.* 51:263-273.
- Mummey, D.L. and P.D. Stahl. 2003. Spatial and temporal variability of bacterial 16S rDNA-based T-RFLP patterns derived from soil of two Wyoming grassland ecosystems. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 46:113-120.
- Murase, J., M. Noll, and P. Frenzel. 2006. Impact of protists on the activity and structure of the bacterial community in a rice field soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72:5436-5444.
- Muyzer, G., E.C. De Waal, and A.G. Uitterlinden. 1993. Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 59:695-700.
- Nagashima, K., T. Hisada, M. Sato, and J. Mochizuki. 2003. Application of new primer-enzyme combinations to terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism profiling of bacterial populations in human feces. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:1251-1262.
- Nautiyal, C.S., P.S. Chauhan, and C.R. Bhatia. 2010. Changes in soil physico-chemical properties and microbial functional diversity due to 14 years of conversion of grassland to organic agriculture in semi-arid agroecosystem. *Soil Till. Res.* 109:55-60.
- Nilsson, W.B. and M.S. Strom. 2002. Detection and identification of bacterial pathogens of fish in kidney tissue using terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis of 16S rRNA genes. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 48:175-185.
- Nogales, B., E.R.B. Moore, E. Llobet-Brossa, R. Rossello-Mora, R. Amann, and K.N. Timmis. 2001. Combined use of 16S ribosomal DNA and 16S rRNA to study the bacterial community of polychlorinated biphenyl-polluted soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67:1874-1884.
- Nogales, B., E.R.B. Moore, W. Abraham, and K.N. Timmis. 1999. Identification of the metabolically active members of a bacterial community in a polychlorinated biphenyl-polluted moorland soil. *Environ. Microbiol.* 1:199-212.
- Noll, M., D. Matthies, P. Frenzel, M. Derakshani, and W. Liesack. 2005. Succession of bacterial community structure and diversity in a paddy soil oxygen gradient. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7:382-395.
- Noll, M., P. Frenzel, and R. Conrad. 2008. Selective stimulation of type I methanotrophs in a rice paddy soil by urea fertilization revealed by RNA-based stable isotope probing. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 65:125-132.
- Nottingham, P.M. and R.E. Hungate. 1968. Isolation of methanogenic bacteria from feces of man. *J. Bacteriol.* 96:2178-2179.
- Olsen, G.J., D.J. Lane, S.J. Giovannoni, and N.R. Pace. 1986. Microbial ecology and evolution: A ribosomal RNA approach. *Ann. Rev. Microbiol.* 40:337-365.
- Osborn, A.M., E.R.B. Moore, and K.N. Timmis. 2000. An evaluation of terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis for the study of microbial community structure and dynamics. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2:39-50.
- Osborne, C.A., M. Galic, P. Sangwan, and P.H. Janssen. 2005. PCR-generated artifact from 16S rRNA gene-specific primers. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 248:183-187.
- Pérez-Jiménez, J.R. and L.J. Kerkhof. 2005. Phylogeography of sulfate-reducing bacteria among disturbed sediments, disclosed analysis of the dissimilatory sulfite reductase genes (*dsrAB*). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71:1004-1011.
- Pérez-Piqueres, A., V. Edel-Herrmann, C. Alabouvette, and C. Steinberg. 2006. Response of soil microbial communities to compost amendments. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38:460-470.
- Pett-Ridge, J. and M.K. Firestone. 2005. Redox fluctuation structures microbial communities in a wet tropical soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71:6998-7007.

- Polz, M.F. and C.M. Cavanaugh. 1998. Bias in template-to-product ratios in multitemplate PCR. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64:3724-3730.
- Qiu, X., L. Wu, H. Huang, P.E. McDonel, A.V. Palumbo, J.M. Tiedje, and J. Zhou. 2001. Evaluation of PCR-generated chimeras, mutations, and heteroduplexes with 16S rRNA gene-based cloning. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67:880-887.
- Ramakrishnan, B., T. Lueders, R. Conrad, and M. Friedrich. 2000. Effect of soil aggregate size on methanogenesis and archaeal community structure in anoxic rice field soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 32:261-270.
- Rappe, M.S. and S.J. Giovannoni. 2003. The uncultured microbial majority. *Ann. Rev. Microbiol.* 57:369-394.
- Rasche, F., V. Hödl, C. Poll, E. Kandeler, M.H. Gerzabek, J.D. van Elsas, and A. Sessitsch. 2006. Rhizosphere bacteria affected by transgenic potatoes with antibacterial activities compared with the effects of soil, wild-type potatoes, vegetation stage and pathogen exposure. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 56:219-235.
- Redfield, E., S.M. Barns, J. Belnap, L.L. Daane, and C.R. Kuske. 2002. Comparative diversity and composition of cyanobacteria in three predominant soil crusts of the Colorado Plateau. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 40:55-63.
- Rees, G.N., D.S. Baldwin, G.O. Watson, S. Perryman, and D.L. Nielsen. 2004. Ordination and significance testing of microbial community composition derived from terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms: application of multivariate statistics. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek.* 86:339-347.
- Reysenbach, A.L., L.J. Giver, G.S. Wickham, and N.R. Pace. 1992. Differential amplification of rRNA genes by polymerase chain reaction. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 58:3417-3418.
- Rich, J.J., R.S. Heichen, P.J. Bottomley, Jr. K. Cromack, and D.D. Myrold. 2003. Community composition and functioning of denitrifying bacteria from adjacent meadow and forest soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:5974-5982.
- Ricke, P., S. Kolb, and G. Braker. 2005. Application of a newly developed ARB software-integrated tool for *in silico* terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis reveals the dominance of a novel pmoA cluster in a forest soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71:1671-1673.
- Rösch, C. and H. Bothe. 2004. Improved assessment of denitrifying, N₂-fixing, and total-community bacteria by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis using multiple restriction enzymes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71:2026-2035.
- Ruan, Q., J.A. Steele, M.S. Schwalbach, J.A. Fuhrman, and F. Sun. 2006. A dynamic programming algorithm for binning microbial community profiles. *Bioinformatics.* 22:1508-1514.
- Schmidt, M., A. Priemé, and P. Stougaard. 2006. Bacterial diversity in permanently cold and alkaline ikaite columns from Greenland. *Extremophiles.* 10:551-562.
- Schmitt-Wagner, D., M.W. Friedrich, B. Wagner, and A. Brune. 2003. Axial dynamics, stability, and interspecies similarity of bacterial community structure in the highly compartmentalized gut of soil-feeding termites (*Cubitermes* spp.). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:6018-6024.
- Scully, C., G. Collins, and V. O'Flaherty. 2005. Assessment of anaerobic wastewater treatment failure using terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 99:1463-1471.
- Sessitsch, A., A. Weilharter, M.H. Gerzabek, H. Kirchmann, and E. Kandeler. 2001. Microbial population structures in soil particle size fractions of a long-term fertilizer field experiment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67:4215-4224.
- Shyu, C., T. Soule, S.J. Bent, J.A. Foster, and L.J. Forney. 2007. MiCA: a web-based tool for the analysis of microbial communities based on terminal-restriction fragment length polymorphisms of 16S and 18S rRNA genes. *Microb. Ecol.* 53:562-570.
- Simoncsits, A., G.G. Brownlee, R.S. Brown, J.R. Rubin, and H. Guillely. 1977. New rapid gel sequencing method for RNA. *Nature.* 269:833-836.
- Singh, B.K., L. Nazaries, S. Munro, I.C. Anderson, and C.D. Campbell. 2006. Use of multiplex terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism for rapid and simultaneous analysis of different components of the soil microbial community. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72:7278-7285.
- Sipilä, T.P., A.K. Keskinen, M.L. Akerman, C. Fortelius, K. Haahtela, and K. Yrjälä. 2008. High aromatic ring-cleavage diversity in birch rhizosphere: PAH treatment-specific changes of I.E.3 group extradiol dioxygenases and 16S rRNA bacterial communities in soil. *ISME J.* 2:968-981.
- Smith, C.J., B.S. Danilowicz, A.K. Clear, F.J. Costello, B. Wilson, and W. G. Meijer. 2005. T-Align, a web-based tool for comparison of multiple terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism profiles. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 54: 375-380.
- Spieck, E., C. Hartwig, I. McCormack, F. Maixner, M. Wagner, A. Lipski, and H. Daims. 2006. Selective enrichment and molecular characterization of a previously uncultured *Nitrospira*-like bacterium from activated sludge. *Environ. Microbiol.* 8405-415.
- Sun, Y.M., N.N. Zhang, E.T. Wang, H.L. Yuan, J.S. Yang, and W.X. Chen. 2009. Influence of intercropping and intercropping plus rhizobial inoculation on microbial activity and community composition in rhizosphere of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) and Siberian wild rye (*Elymus sibiricus* L.). *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 70:62-70.
- Suzuki, M.T. and S.J. Giovannoni. 1996. Bias caused by template annealing in the amplification of mixtures of 16S rRNA genes by PCR. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62:625-630.
- Szymanski, M., M.Z. Barciszewska, V.A. Erdmann, and J. Barciszewski. 2001. 5S Ribosomal RNA Database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30:176-178.
- Takai, K., D.P. Moser, M. DeFlaun, T.C. Onstott, and J.K. Fredrickson. 2001. Archaeal diversity in waters from deep South African gold mines. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67: 5750-5760.
- Tan, Z., T. Hurek, and B. Reinhold-Hurek. 2003. Effect of N-fertilization, plant genotype and environmental conditions on nifH gene pools in roots of rice. *Environ. Microbiol.* 5:1009-1015.

- Tanaka, Y., T.A. Dyer, and G.G. Brownlee. 1980. An improved direct RNA sequencing method; its application to *Vicia faba* 5.8S ribosomal RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 8:1259-1272.
- Terahara, T., T. Hoshino, S. Tsuneda, A. Hirata, and Y. Inamori. 2004. Monitoring the microbial population dynamics at the start-up stage of wastewater treatment reactor by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis based on 16S rDNA and rRNA gene sequences. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* 98:425-428.
- Thies, J.E. 2007. Soil microbial community analysis using terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am.* 71:579-591.
- Thomson, B.C., N.J. Ostle, N.P. McNamara, A.S. Whiteley, and R.I. Griffiths. 2010. Effects of sieving, drying and rewetting upon soil bacterial community structure and respiration rates. *J. Microbiol. Methods.* 83:69-73.
- Tiedje, J.M., S. Asming-Brempong, K. Nüsslein, T.L. Marsh, and S.J. Flynn. 1999. Opening the black box of soil microbial diversity. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 13:109-122.
- Tiquia, S.M., J.M. Ichida, H.M. Keener, D.L. Elwell, E.H. Burt, and F.C. Michel. 2005. Bacterial community profiles on feathers during composting as determined by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of 16S rDNA genes. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 67:412-419.
- Tonin, C., P. Vandenkoornhuyse, E.J. Joner, J. Straczek, and C. Leyval. 2001. Assessment of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi diversity in the rhizosphere of *Viola calaminaria* and effect of these fungi on heavy metal uptake by clover. *Mycorrhiza.* 10:161-168.
- Torsvik, V., L. Øvreas, and T.F. Thingstad. 2002. Prokaryotic diversity—magnitude, dynamics, and controlling factors. *Science.* 35:1064-1066.
- Tu, O., T. Knott, M. Marsh, K. Bechtol, D. Harris, D. Barker, and J. Bashkin. 1998. The influence of fluorescent dye structure on the electrophoretic mobility of end-labeled DNA. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 26:2797-2802.
- Turpeinen, R., T. Kairesalo, and M.M. Häggblom. 2004. Microbial community structure and activity in arsenic-, chromium- and copper-contaminated soils. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 47:39-50.
- Ulrich, A., G. Klimke, and S. Wirth. 2008. Diversity and activity of cellulose-decomposing bacteria, isolated from a sandy and a loamy soil after long-term manure application. *Microb. Ecol.* 55:512-522.
- Vandenkoornhuyse, P., K.P. Ridgway, I.J. Watson, A.H. Fitter, and J.P. Young. 2003. Co-existing grass species have distinctive arbuscular mycorrhizal communities. *Mol. Ecol.* 12:3085-3095.
- von Wintzingerode, F., U.B. Gobel, and E. Stackebrandt. 1997. Determination of microbial diversity in environmental samples: pitfalls of PCR-based rRNA analysis. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 21:213-229.
- Wakelin, S.A., M.J., Colloff, and R.S. Kookana. 2008. Effect of wastewater treatment plant effluent on microbial function and community structure in the sediment of a freshwater stream with variable seasonal flow. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74:2659-2668.
- Wang, G.C.Y. and Y. Wang. 1997. Frequency of formation of chimeric molecules as a consequence of PCR co-amplification of 16S rRNA genes from mixed bacterial genomes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63:4645-4650.
- Weber, S., T. Lueders, M.W. Friedrich, and R. Conrad. 2001. Methanogenic populations involved in the degradation of rice straw in anoxic paddy soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 38:11-20.
- Weng, L., E.M. Rubin, and J. Bristow. 2006. Application of sequence-based methods in human microbial ecology. *Genome Res.* 16:316-322.
- Wise, M.G., J.V. McArthur, and L.J. Shimkets. 1999. Methanotroph Diversity in Landfill Soil: Isolation of Novel Type I and Type II methanotrophs whose presence was suggested by culture-independent 16S ribosomal DNA analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65:4887-4897.
- Wise, M.J. and A.M. Osborn. 2001. TRUFFLER: programs to study microbial community composition and flux from fluorescent DNA fingerprinting data. *Bioinformatics Bioengineering Conf.* pp 129-135.
- Wright, A.G., A.J., Williams, B. Winder, C.T. Christophersen, S.L. Rodgers, and K.D. Smith. 2004. Molecular Diversity of rumen methanogens from sheep in Western Australia. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70:1263-1270.
- Wu, X.L., M.W. Friedrich, and R. Conrad. 2006. Diversity and ubiquity of thermophilic methanogenic archaea in temperate anoxic soils. *Environ. Microbiol.* 8:394-404.
- Young, M.W. 1987. Multidimensional scaling: history, theory, and application. Hamer, R.M. (ed.) Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.
- Yrjälä, K., A.K. Keskinen, M.L. Akerman, C. Fortelius, and T.P. Sipilä. 2010. The rhizosphere and PAH amendment mediate impacts on functional and structural bacterial diversity in sandy peat soil. *Environ. Pollut.* 158:1680-1688.
- Zengler, K., G. Toledo, M. Rappe, J. Elkins, E.J. Mathur, J.M. Short, and M. Keller. 2002. Cultivating the uncultured. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 99:15681-15686.
- Zhou, X., C.J. Brown, Z. Abdo, C.C. Davis, M.A. Hansmann, P. Joyce, J.A. Foster, and L.J. Forney. 2007. Disparity in the vaginal microbial community composition of healthy Caucasian and black woman. *ISME J.* 1:121-133.