

CONTINUITY OF (α, β) -DERIVATIONS OF OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

CHENGJUN HOU AND QING MENG

ABSTRACT. We investigate the continuity of (α, β) -derivations on $B(\mathfrak{X})$ or C^* -algebras. We give some sufficient conditions on which (α, β) -derivations on $B(\mathfrak{X})$ are continuous and show that each (α, β) -derivation from a unital C^* -algebra into its a Banach module is continuous when α and β are continuous at zero. As an application, we also study the ultraweak continuity of (α, β) -derivations on von Neumann algebras.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{B} be a complex algebra with a subalgebra \mathcal{A} , let α and β be two mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} , let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{B} -module and hence an \mathcal{A} -module. A linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called an (α, β) -derivation, if $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)\alpha(B) + \beta(A)\delta(B)$ holds for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$; moreover, δ is called inner, if there exists $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\delta(A) = M_0\alpha(A) - \beta(A)M_0$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. An (α, α) -derivation is called briefly an α -derivation. Clearly, an *id*-derivation is an ordinary linear derivation, where *id* denotes the embedding map from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} , and every endomorphism α on \mathcal{A} is an $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ -derivation on \mathcal{A} . Note that in our definition of an (α, β) -derivation, no extra assumptions on α and β , such as linearity, are required. The purpose of this note is to investigate the continuity of (α, β) -derivations from Banach algebras into their Banach modules.

In 1958, Kaplansky conjectured that every derivation on a C^* -algebra or a semisimple Banach algebra is continuous ([7, 8]). Sakai confirmed Kaplansky's conjecture for the C^* -algebra case in [14], and from this, Kadison deduced the ultraweak continuity of derivations when the C^* -algebras are represented on Hilbert spaces ([5]). In [13], Ringrose generalized these results to the derivations from C^* -algebras into their Banach modules. The conjecture on the continuity of derivations on semisimple Banach algebras by Kaplansky was confirmed by Johnson and Sinclair in [4]. For the detail on automatic continuity of derivations of Banach algebras, we refer to [3, 15].

Received April 15, 2010; Revised July 9, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46H40, 46L57.

Key words and phrases. automatic continuity, (α, β) -derivation, Banach algebra, C^* -algebra.

On the continuity of (α, β) -derivations on C^* -algebras, M. Mirzavazibri and S. Moslehian proved that each $*$ - (α, β) -derivation from a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} acting on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} into $B(\mathfrak{H})$ is continuous under the assumption that α and β are $*$ -linear continuous mappings from \mathcal{A} into $B(\mathfrak{H})$ ([10, 11, 12]).

For an (α, β) -derivation δ from a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} into a Banach \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} , if both α and β are bounded algebraic homomorphisms from \mathcal{A} into itself, then \mathcal{M} , equipped with the \mathcal{A} -module actions defined by $A \cdot M = \beta(A)M$, $M \cdot A = M\alpha(A)$, is also a Banach \mathcal{A} -module, denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}$, and δ is indeed an ordinary derivation from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}$. Hence it is interesting to study the continuity of an (α, β) -derivation when at least one of α and β is not an algebraic homomorphism.

This note is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give two examples of continuous (α, β) -derivations for two nonlinear and non-continuous mappings α and β . In Section 3 we give some sufficient conditions on which an (α, β) -derivation on $B(\mathfrak{X})$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space \mathfrak{X} , is continuous. In particular, we show that if \mathfrak{X} is simple and α, β are surjective and continuous at zero, then each (α, β) -derivation on $B(\mathfrak{X})$ is continuous. In Section 4, using a similar argument to the proof in [13], we show that every (α, β) -derivation of a unital C^* -algebra into its a Banach module is continuous if α, β are continuous at zero, which generalizes the main results in [10]. As corollaries, we also get the ultraweak continuity of (α, β) -derivations of von Neumann algebras when the ultraweak continuity and linearity on α and β are required.

For a complex Banach space \mathfrak{X} , we denote by \mathfrak{X}^* , $B(\mathfrak{X})$ and $K(\mathfrak{X})$, the Banach dual space of \mathfrak{X} , the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathfrak{X} and the ideal of all compact operators in $B(\mathfrak{X})$, respectively. For nonzero vectors $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{X}^*$, we denote by $\xi \otimes f$ the rank operator defined by $(\xi \otimes f)(\eta) = f(\eta)\xi$ for each $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}$. Sometimes we write $\langle \eta, f \rangle$ in place of $f(\eta)$. Let $F_1(\mathfrak{X})$ denote the set of all rank one operators on \mathfrak{X} . Obviously, $A(\xi \otimes f)B = (A\xi) \otimes (B'f)$ for all $A, B \in B(\mathfrak{X})$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$, $f \in \mathfrak{X}^*$, where B' denotes the transpose of the bounded linear operator B , defined by $\langle \xi, B'f \rangle = \langle B\xi, f \rangle$ for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{X}^*$.

2. Reduction and examples

Let \mathcal{A} be a complex Banach algebra without identity. We take the direct sum $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ as a linear space \mathcal{A}_I . By a well-known fact, \mathcal{A}_I , endowed with a Banach algebra structure, is a unital Banach algebra. In addition, if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, then there exists a (unique) norm on \mathcal{A}_I which makes \mathcal{A}_I be a unital C^* -algebra. If we identify each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $(A, 0) \in \mathcal{A}_I$, then \mathcal{A} is a closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A}_I ([6]). We write (A, λ) as $A + \lambda I$ for each $(A, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_I$. If \mathcal{M} is a Banach \mathcal{A} -module, then it is a unital Banach \mathcal{A}_I -module under the module action given by $(A + \lambda)M = AM + \lambda M$ and $M(A + \lambda) = MA + \lambda M$ for every $A + \lambda \in \mathcal{A}_I$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

For a given mapping $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we can obtain its extension σ_I to \mathcal{A}_I by $\sigma_I(A + \lambda) = \sigma(A) + \lambda$ for each $A + \lambda \in \mathcal{A}_I$. Then σ_I is linear if and only if so is σ , σ_I preserves the identity of \mathcal{A}_I if and only if $\sigma(0) = 0$, and when \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, σ_I is a $*$ -mapping if and only if so is σ . In both Banach algebra and C^* -algebra cases, σ_I is continuous at 0 if and only if so is σ .

For an (α, β) -derivation δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , since δ is linear, we have $\delta(0) = 0$. Using the equation $0 = \delta(0) = \delta(A0) = \delta(0A)$, we have $\delta(A)\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\beta(0)\delta(A) = 0$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence if let $\alpha_0(A) = \alpha(A) - \alpha(0)$ and $\beta_0(A) = \beta(A) - \beta(0)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then δ is a (α_0, β_0) -derivation. So, for an (α, β) -derivation δ , we sometimes can assume that $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\beta(0) = 0$. Define the mapping δ_I from \mathcal{A}_I into \mathcal{M} by $\delta_I(A, \lambda) = \delta(A)$ for each $(A, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_I$. Then δ_I is an (α_I, β_I) -derivation from \mathcal{A}_I into \mathcal{M} , and $\delta_I(0, 1) = \delta(0) = 0$, $\alpha_I(0, 1) = (0, 1)$ and $\beta_I(0, 1) = (0, 1)$ by the assumptions that $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\beta(0) = 0$. Obviously, δ is bounded if and only if so is δ_I .

Hence, to obtain the continuity of an (α, β) -derivation δ of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} into its a Banach module \mathcal{M} , sometimes we can assume that \mathcal{A} is unital, \mathcal{M} is a unital \mathcal{A} -module, $\delta(1) = 0$, $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(1) = \beta(1) = 1$, where 1 is the identity of \mathcal{A} .

The following examples yield continuous (α, β) -derivations without the assumption of linearity and continuity of α and β .

Example 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , $\mathcal{A} \neq B(\mathfrak{H})$. Let α_0 and β_0 be bounded homomorphisms of \mathcal{A} into itself, $f_0, g_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be two functionals without linearity and continuity, T_0 and S_0 be nonzero operators in \mathcal{A}' with $T_0S_0 = S_0T_0 = 0$. Define the mappings α, β and δ from \mathcal{A} into $B(\mathfrak{H})$ by

$$\alpha(A) = \alpha_0(A) + f_0(A)S_0, \beta(A) = \beta_0(A) + g_0(A)S_0, \delta(A) = T_0\alpha(A) - \beta(A)T_0$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then α and β are neither continuous nor linear, and δ is an (α, β) -derivation from \mathcal{A} into $B(\mathfrak{H})$. By calculation, we have $\delta(A) = T_0\alpha_0(A) - \beta_0(A)T_0$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, hence δ is continuous.

Example 2.2. Let \mathfrak{H} be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $V \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ a partial isometry with $V^*V = I$, $VV^* = P \neq I$. Let $T_0 \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator with $T_0P = 0$, let $f_0 : B(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow \{V^*\}'$ be a mapping without linearity and continuity, (e.g., f is an nonlinear and non-continuous functional on $B(\mathfrak{H})$), where $\{V^*\}'$ is the commutant of $\{V^*\}$ in $B(\mathfrak{H})$. Define the mappings $\alpha, \delta, : B(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{H})$ by $\alpha(A) = \frac{1}{2}(VAV^* + f_0(A)T_0)$ and $\delta(A) = VAV^*$ for each $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$. Then α is neither linear nor continuous on $B(\mathfrak{H})$, but δ is a continuous α -derivation.

3. The $B(\mathfrak{X})$ case

In the following lemma, we list some properties given in [10] of an (α, β) -derivation.

Lemma 3.1 ([10]). *Let \mathcal{B} be a complex algebra with a subalgebra \mathcal{A} and let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{B} -module. Let $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be two mappings. If δ is an (α, β) -derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , then, for each $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, the following equations hold:*

- (i) $\delta(A)\alpha(0) = \beta(0)\delta(A) = 0$;
- (ii) $\delta(A)(\alpha(\lambda B + \mu C) - \lambda\alpha(B) - \mu\alpha(C)) = 0$;
- (iii) $(\beta(\lambda A + \mu B) - \lambda\beta(A) - \mu\beta(B))\delta(C) = 0$;
- (iv) $(\beta(AB) - \beta(A)\beta(B))\delta(C) = \delta(A)(\alpha(BC) - \alpha(B)\alpha(C))$. In particular, $(\beta(0) - \beta(0)\beta(B))\delta(C) = 0 = \delta(A)(\alpha(0) - \alpha(B)\alpha(0))$.

Proof. By the equation $0 = \delta(0) = \delta(A0) = \delta(0A)$, we can obtain (i). Using the linearity and the multiplicative rule of δ to expand the left of the following equations: $\delta(A(\lambda B + \mu C)) - \lambda\delta(AB) - \mu\delta(AC) = 0$, $\delta((\lambda A + \mu B)C) - \lambda\delta(AC) - \mu\delta(BC) = 0$, $\delta((AB)C) - \delta(A(BC)) = 0$, we can get (ii), (iii) and (iv). \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a complex Banach space, α and β be mappings from $B(\mathfrak{X})$ into itself. Let $\delta : B(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{X})$ be an (α, β) -derivation. Suppose that α and β satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (i) α is an automorphism, β is continuous at 0 and the set $\{\beta(T) : T \in F_1(\mathfrak{X})\}$ separates the points of \mathfrak{X} in the sense that, for each pair $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{X}$ with $\xi \neq \eta$, there is a rank one operator T such that $\beta(T)\xi \neq \beta(T)\eta$, equivalently, the set $\{\beta(T) : T \in F_1(\mathfrak{X})\}$ has no nonzero right annihilators in $B(\mathfrak{X})$.
- (ii) β is an automorphism, α is continuous at 0 and the set $\{\alpha(T) : T \in F_1(\mathfrak{X})\}$ has no nonzero left annihilators in $B(\mathfrak{X})$.
- (iii) α and β are continuous at 0, $\text{span}\{\alpha(T)\xi : T \in F_1(\mathfrak{X}), \xi \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ is dense in \mathfrak{X} and there is a rank one S such that $\beta(S)$ is injective.

Then δ is continuous. Moreover, if (i), or when \mathfrak{X} is reflexive and (ii), holds, δ is inner.

Proof. In order to obtain the continuity of δ , we use the closed graph theorem. Let $A_n \in B(\mathfrak{X})$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with $A_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta(A_n) \rightarrow A$. For every $\xi \otimes f$, $\eta \otimes g \in F_1(\mathfrak{X})$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(A_n\eta)\delta(\xi \otimes g) \\ &= \delta(\xi \otimes f \cdot A_n \cdot \eta \otimes g) \\ &= \delta(\xi \otimes f)\alpha(A_n\eta \otimes g) + \beta(\xi \otimes f)\delta(A_n)\alpha(\eta \otimes g) + \beta(\xi \otimes f)\beta(A_n)\delta(\eta \otimes g). \end{aligned}$$

If α and β are continuous at 0, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\delta(\xi \otimes f)\alpha(0) + \beta(\xi \otimes f)A\alpha(\eta \otimes g) + \beta(\xi \otimes f)\beta(0)\delta(\eta \otimes g) = 0.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\beta(\xi \otimes f)A\alpha(\eta \otimes g) = 0$$

for every $\xi \otimes f, \eta \otimes g \in F_1(\mathfrak{X})$.

If (i) holds, then for each $\eta \otimes g \in F_1(\mathfrak{X})$, we have $A\alpha(\eta \otimes g) = 0$. Since α is an automorphism, it is inner, i.e., there is an invertible bounded linear operator $T_0 \in B(\mathfrak{X})$ such that $\alpha(T) = T_0 T T_0^{-1}$ for each $T \in B(\mathfrak{X})$. So $(AT_0\eta) \otimes g = 0$ for all $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $g \in \mathfrak{X}^*$. Hence $A = 0$. Consequently, δ is continuous.

In this case, we can show that δ is inner. Choose $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $f_0 \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ such that $f_0(\xi_0) = 1$, and define the mapping $A_0 : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ by $A_0\xi = \delta(T_0^{-1}\xi \otimes f_0)T_0\xi_0$ for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$. Obviously, A_0 is linear and bounded. For each $T \in B(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta((T\xi) \otimes f_0) &= \delta(T(\xi \otimes f_0)) = \delta(T)\alpha(\xi \otimes f_0) + \beta(T)\delta(\xi \otimes f_0) \\ &= \delta(T)T_0(\xi \otimes f_0)T_0^{-1} + \beta(T)\delta(\xi \otimes f_0). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by the operator T_0 , we have

$$\delta((T\xi) \otimes f_0)T_0 = \delta(T)T_0(\xi \otimes f_0) + \beta(T)\delta(\xi \otimes f_0)T_0.$$

Applying mappings in two sides of the equation to ξ_0 , we get $A_0(T_0T\xi) = \delta(T)T_0\xi + \beta(T)A_0(T_0\xi)$. Since ξ is arbitrary, we have $A_0T_0T = \delta(T)T_0 + \beta(T)A_0T_0$, and hence $\delta(T) = A_0\alpha(T) - \beta(T)A_0$ for each $T \in B(\mathfrak{X})$. So δ is inner.

If (ii) holds, then by the equation $\beta(\xi \otimes f)A\alpha(\eta \otimes g) = 0$, we have $\beta(\xi \otimes f)A = 0$. Since β is an automorphism, there is an invertible bounded linear operator $S_0 \in B(\mathfrak{X})$ such that $\beta(T) = S_0 T S_0^{-1}$ for each $T \in B(\mathfrak{X})$. So $(\xi \otimes f)S_0^{-1}A = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{X}^*$. Hence $A = 0$, so δ is continuous.

In this case, choose $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $f_0 \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ such that $f_0(\xi_0) = 1$. We define the mapping $B_0 : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ by

$$\langle B_0\xi, f \rangle = \langle S_0^{-1}\delta((\xi_0 \otimes f)S_0)\xi, f_0 \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{X}, f \in \mathfrak{X}^*.$$

Suppose that \mathfrak{X} is reflexive. Then B_0 is well-defined. The continuity and linearity of δ imply the continuity and linearity of B_0 . For each $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$, $f \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $T \in B(\mathfrak{X})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\beta(T)B_0 - B_0\alpha(T))\xi, f \rangle \\ &= \langle B_0\xi, \beta(T)'f \rangle - \langle B_0\alpha(T)\xi, f \rangle \\ &= \langle S_0^{-1}\delta((\xi_0 \otimes \beta(T)'f)S_0)\xi, f_0 \rangle - \langle S_0^{-1}\delta((\xi_0 \otimes f)S_0)\alpha(T)\xi, f_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle S_0^{-1}\delta((\xi_0 \otimes f)\beta(T)S_0)\xi, f_0 \rangle - \langle S_0^{-1}\delta((\xi_0 \otimes f)S_0)\alpha(T)\xi, f_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle S_0^{-1}\delta((\xi_0 \otimes f)S_0T)\xi, f_0 \rangle - \langle S_0^{-1}\delta((\xi_0 \otimes f)S_0)\alpha(T)\xi, f_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle S_0^{-1}\beta((\xi_0 \otimes f)S_0)\delta(T)\xi, f_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle (\xi_0 \otimes f)\delta(T)\xi, f_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta(T)\xi, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\delta(T) = \beta(T)B_0 - B_0\alpha(T)$ for each $T \in B(\mathfrak{X})$, and so, δ is inner.

Obviously, if (iii) holds, then $A = 0$, which yields the continuity of δ . \square

For a linear mapping T from a Banach space \mathfrak{E} into a Banach space \mathfrak{F} , the separating space $\mathfrak{S}(T)$ is defined to be the set of elements ξ in \mathfrak{F} such that

there is a sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ in \mathfrak{E} with $\xi_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathfrak{E} and $T(\xi_n) \rightarrow \xi$ in \mathfrak{F} . Clearly, $\mathfrak{S}(T) = \overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{T(\eta) : \|\eta\| < \frac{1}{n}\}}$, hence is a closed linear subspace of \mathfrak{F} , and by the closed graph theorem, T is continuous if and only if $\mathfrak{S}(T) = \{0\}$.

Recall that a Banach space \mathfrak{X} is called simple, if $B(\mathfrak{X})$ has a unique nontrivial norm-closed two-sided ideal. For example, l^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$), c_0 and a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} are simple. In this case, the norm closure of all the finite rank operators is the ideal of compact operators, which is wot-dense in $B(\mathfrak{X})$ and is the unique nontrivial norm-closed two-sided ideal of $B(\mathfrak{X})$.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that \mathfrak{X} is a simple complex Banach space, \mathcal{A} is a unital norm closed subalgebra of $B(\mathfrak{X})$, $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{X})$ are surjective and continuous at 0. If at least one of α and β is not an algebraic homomorphism, then every (α, β) -derivation δ from \mathcal{A} into $B(\mathfrak{X})$ is automatically continuous.*

Proof. We first show that $\mathfrak{S}(\delta)$ is a closed two-sided ideal of $B(\mathfrak{X})$. For arbitrary $A \in \mathfrak{S}(\delta)$ and $B \in B(\mathfrak{X})$, there is a sequence $\{A_n\}$ in \mathcal{A} with $A_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta(A_n) \rightarrow A$. Since α and β are surjective, there are $S, T \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $B = \alpha(S) = \beta(T)$. Hence $TA_n \rightarrow 0$, $A_nS \rightarrow 0$. Also since α and β are continuous at 0, using Lemma 3.1, we have $\delta(TA_n) = \delta(T)\alpha(A_n) + \beta(T)\delta(A_n) \rightarrow \delta(T)\alpha(0) + BA = BA$ and $\delta(A_nS) = \delta(A_n)\alpha(S) + \beta(A_n)\delta(S) \rightarrow AB + \beta(0)\delta(S) = AB$. Hence $AB, BA \in \mathfrak{S}(\delta)$. So $\mathfrak{S}(\delta)$ is a closed two-sided ideal of $B(\mathfrak{X})$. Since \mathfrak{X} is simple, we have $\mathfrak{S}(\delta) = 0, B(\mathfrak{X})$, or $K(\mathfrak{X})$.

For an arbitrary $A \in \mathfrak{S}(\delta)$, let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{A} with $A_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta(A_n) \rightarrow A$. For all pairs $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, using (iv) of Lemma 3.1, we have $(\beta(A_nB) - \beta(A_n)\beta(B))\delta(C) = \delta(A_n)(\alpha(BC) - \alpha(B)\alpha(C))$ and $(\beta(BC) - \beta(B)\beta(C))\delta(A_n) = \delta(B)(\alpha(CA_n) - \alpha(C)\alpha(A_n))$. The continuity of α and β at 0 implies that $(\beta(0) - \beta(0)\beta(B))\delta(C) = A(\alpha(BC) - \alpha(B)\alpha(C))$ and $(\beta(BC) - \beta(B)\beta(C))A = \delta(B)(\alpha(0) - \alpha(C)\alpha(0))$. Using (iv) of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(1) \quad A(\alpha(BC) - \alpha(B)\alpha(C)) = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad (\beta(BC) - \beta(B)\beta(C))A = 0$$

for each $A \in \mathfrak{S}(\delta)$ and $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly, using (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 3.1, for each $A \in \mathfrak{S}(\delta)$, $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we get that

$$(3) \quad A(\alpha(\lambda B + \mu C) - \lambda\alpha(B) - \mu\alpha(C)) = 0,$$

$$(4) \quad (\beta(\lambda B + \mu C) - \lambda\beta(B) - \mu\beta(C))A = 0.$$

Suppose that $\mathfrak{S}(\delta) = B(\mathfrak{X})$ or $\mathfrak{S}(\delta) = K(\mathfrak{X})$. Then it follows from (1), (2), (3) and (4) that both α and β are algebraic homomorphisms, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathfrak{S}(\delta) = 0$, and so, δ is continuous. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a simple Banach space, δ an (α, β) -derivation from $B(\mathfrak{X})$ into itself. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta : B(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{X})$ are surjective and continuous at 0. Then δ is continuous.*

Proof. If at least one of α and β is not an algebraic homomorphism, then Proposition 3.3 yields the continuity of δ . If both α and β are algebraic homomorphisms, then they are bounded automorphisms of $B(\mathfrak{X})$. Theorem 3.2 implies that δ is continuous. \square

Removing the continuity in above theorem, we have the following results.

Theorem 3.5. *For a complex Banach space \mathfrak{X} and two mappings α, β on $B(\mathfrak{X})$, assume that α and β are surjective and multiplicative and there are rank one operators T_0 and S_0 such that $\alpha(T_0) \neq 0$ and $\beta(S_0) \neq 0$. Then every (α, β) -derivation from $B(\mathfrak{X})$ into itself is continuous.*

Proof. It suffices to show that α and β are (bounded) automorphisms of $B(\mathfrak{X})$. Assume that $\delta \neq 0$.

Since α and β are surjective and multiplicative, it is not difficult to show that, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, there are scales $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ such that $\alpha(\lambda I) = f(\lambda)I$, $\beta(\lambda I) = g(\lambda)I$ and $\alpha(I) = I$, $\beta(I) = I$. Note that $\delta(I) = \delta(I)\alpha(I) + \beta(I)\delta(I) = 2\delta(I)$, which yields $\delta(I) = 0$. Hence for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $T \in B(\mathfrak{X})$, $\lambda\delta(T) = \delta(T \cdot \lambda I) = \delta(T)\alpha(\lambda I) + \beta(T)\delta(\lambda I) = \delta(T)\alpha(\lambda I) = f(\lambda)\delta(T)$, which implies that $f(\lambda) = \lambda$, and thus, $\alpha(\lambda I) = \lambda I$. Hence α is homogeneous. Similarly, using $\lambda\delta(T) = \delta(\lambda I \cdot T)$, we can get that β is also homogeneous.

Now we show that α and β are injective.

Let $T_0 = \xi_0 \otimes f_0$ be the rank one operator such that $\alpha(T_0) \neq 0$. For each rank one operator $\xi \otimes f$, choose $g_0 \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $\eta_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $g_0(\xi) = f(\eta_0) = 1$. Then $\xi_0 \otimes f_0 = (\xi_0 \otimes g_0)(\xi \otimes f)(\eta_0 \otimes f_0)$, which implies $\alpha(\xi \otimes f) \neq 0$ for all rank one operators $\xi \otimes f$.

If $\alpha(T) = 0$, then $T = 0$. For, otherwise, there exists $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ with $T\xi \neq 0$. For $f_0 \in \mathfrak{X}^*$, $f_0 \neq 0$, we have $T\xi \otimes f_0$ is a rank one operator, but $\alpha(T\xi \otimes f_0) = \alpha(T)\alpha(\xi \otimes f_0) = 0$, which is a contradiction with above argument.

Next we show α is injective on the set of all rank one operators. Let $R = \xi_0 \otimes f_0$ and $S = \eta_0 \otimes g_0$ be two arbitrary rank one operators with $\alpha(R) = \alpha(S)$. If R and S are linearly independent, and ξ_0 and η_0 are linearly dependent, then f_0 and g_0 are linearly independent. Choosing $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ with $f_0(\xi) = 1$ and $g_0(\xi) = 0$, we have $R(\xi \otimes f_0) = \xi_0 \otimes f_0$ and $S(\xi \otimes f_0) = 0$, which is impossible, for $0 \neq \alpha(R(\xi \otimes f_0)) = \alpha(S(\xi \otimes f_0)) = 0$. If R and S are linearly independent, and ξ_0 and η_0 are linearly independent, then we can choose $h_0 \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ such that $h_0(\xi_0) = 0$ and $h_0(\eta_0) = 1$. Hence $(\xi_0 \otimes h_0)R = (\xi_0 \otimes h_0)(\xi_0 \otimes f_0) = 0$ and $(\xi_0 \otimes h_0)S = (\xi_0 \otimes h_0)(\eta_0 \otimes g_0) = \xi_0 \otimes g_0 \neq 0$. So $0 = \alpha((\xi_0 \otimes h_0)R) = \alpha((\xi_0 \otimes h_0)S) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence R and S are linearly dependent. The homogeneity of α yields $R = S$.

If $\alpha(T) = \alpha(S)$ for $T, S \in B(\mathfrak{X})$, then for each nonzero vectors $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{X}^*$, we have $\alpha(S\xi \otimes f) = \alpha(T\xi \otimes f)$. Obviously, $S\xi = 0$ if and only if

$T\xi = 0$. If $S\xi \neq 0$, using the injectivity of α on the set of all rank one operators and the arbitrariness of f , we have $S\xi = T\xi$. Hence $S = T$. We have shown that α is injective. Similarly, we can show the injectivity of β .

Hence α and β are multiplicative bijections on $B(\mathfrak{X})$. By the celebrated result of Martindale in [9], α and β are additive. Consequently, α and β are surjective algebraic homomorphisms, hence are automorphisms on $B(\mathfrak{X})$. By Theorem 3.2, δ is continuous. \square

4. The C^* -algebra case

In this section we study the continuity of (α, β) -derivations of C^* -algebras into their Banach modules. Inspiring the proof of the related results on the ordinary derivations in [13], we have the following Theorem 4.4. We start with some lemmas which can be found in Ex 4.6.39, Ex 4.6.13 and Ex 4.6.20 in [6] (see also Lemma 1 and the proof of Theorem 3 in [13]).

Lemma 4.1 ([6, 13]). *Let \mathcal{J} be a closed two-sided ideal in a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , $B \in \mathcal{J}$ a positive element with $\|B\| \leq 1$, $A \in \mathcal{J}$ with $AA^* \leq B^4$. Then $A = BC$ for some C in \mathcal{J} with $\|C\| \leq 1$.*

Lemma 4.2 ([6, 13]). *Suppose that \mathcal{D} is an infinite dimensional unital C^* -algebra. Then there is an infinite sequence $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ of nonzero positive elements in \mathcal{D} such that $A_j A_k = 0$ for $j \neq k$.*

Lemma 4.3 ([6, 13]). *Suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are unital C^* -algebras and φ is a $*$ -homomorphism from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} . For each sequence $\{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$ of positive elements of \mathcal{B} such that $B_j B_k = 0$ when $j \neq k$, there is a sequence $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ of positive elements of \mathcal{A} such that $A_j A_k = 0$ when $j \neq k$ and $\varphi(A_j) = B_j$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, let \mathcal{B} be a unital Banach algebra containing \mathcal{A} as a unital Banach subalgebra, and let \mathcal{M} be a Banach \mathcal{B} -module. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are continuous at 0. Then every (α, β) -derivation δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is continuous.*

Proof. For each A in \mathcal{A} , we define the mappings $L_A, S_A, \gamma_A, \sigma_A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by

$$L_A(T) = \delta(AT), S_A(T) = \beta(A)\delta(T), \gamma_A(T) = \delta(A)\alpha(T), \sigma_A(T) = \beta(T)\delta(A)$$

for each T in \mathcal{A} . Since δ is linear, we have L_A and S_A are linear, hence $\gamma_A = L_A - S_A$ is linear. It follows from (iii) of Lemma 3.1 that σ_A is linear. The continuity of α and β at 0 implies the continuity of γ_A and σ_A at 0, hence at every $T \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence γ_A and σ_A are bounded. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : L_A \text{ is bounded}\}$. Obviously, $0 \in \mathcal{J}$, \mathcal{J} is a subspace of \mathcal{A} , and $\mathcal{J} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : S_A \text{ is bounded}\}$. Firstly, we claim that \mathcal{J} is a norm closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} .

For each J in \mathcal{J} and A in \mathcal{A} , since L_{JA} is the composition of the bounded mapping L_J and the (bounded) multiplication on the left by $A: T \rightarrow AT$ from

\mathcal{A} into itself, we have that JA is in \mathcal{J} ; on the other hand, by Lemma 3.1(iv), we have

$$S_{AJ}(T) = \beta(AJ)\delta(T) = \beta(A)S_J(T) + \gamma_A(JT) - \gamma_A(J)\alpha(T)$$

for each $T \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence S_{AJ} is continuous at 0, which yields that S_{AJ} is continuous. Hence $AJ \in \mathcal{J}$, so \mathcal{J} is a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} .

Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{J} with $A_n \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}$. For each T in \mathcal{A} , noting that σ_T is bounded, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{A_n}(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(A_n)\delta(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_T(A_n) = \sigma_T(A) = \beta(A)\delta(T) = S_A(T)$. Since $\{S_{A_n}\}$ is a sequence in $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$, the set of all the bounded linear mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , using the principle of uniform boundedness, we have S_A is also bounded, hence A belongs to \mathcal{J} . We have established the claim.

Now we show that the restriction $\delta|_{\mathcal{J}}$ to \mathcal{J} of δ is bounded. For otherwise, choose a sequence A_1, A_2, \dots in \mathcal{J} such that for each n , $\|A_n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $\|\delta(A_n)\| \geq n$. Let $B = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n A_n^*)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Then B is a positive element in \mathcal{J} with $\|B\| \leq 1$ and $A_n A_n^* \leq B^4$ for each n . By Lemma 4.1, for each n , there exists C_n in \mathcal{J} such that $\|C_n\| \leq 1$ and $A_n = BC_n$. Hence $\|L_B(C_n)\| = \|\delta(A_n)\| \geq n$ for each n , which contradicts the continuity of L_B . This proves that $\delta|_{\mathcal{J}}$ is bounded.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$ be the canonical quotient mapping which is a surjective $*$ -homomorphism. We claim that \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} is finite dimensional. On the contrary, using Lemma 4.2, we choose an infinite sequence $\{\widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2, \dots\}$ of nonzero positive elements in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} such that $\widetilde{A}_j \widetilde{A}_k = 0$ when $j \neq k$. By Lemma 4.3, there is an infinite sequence $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ of nonzero positive elements in \mathcal{A} such that $A_j A_k = 0$ when $j \neq k$ and $\pi(A_j) = \widetilde{A}_j$ for each j . Since \widetilde{A}_j is nonzero in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} , we have that A_j , and hence, A_j^2 is not in \mathcal{J} , which implies that $L_{A_j^2}$ is unbounded. Consequently, we have constructed a sequence A_1, A_2, \dots of positive elements in \mathcal{A} such that $A_j^2 \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $A_j A_k \neq 0$ when $j \neq k$. Replacing A_j by an appropriate scalar multiple, we may assume also that $\|A_j\| \leq 1$ for each j . Since $L_{A_j^2}$ is unbounded, there is T_j in \mathcal{A} such that $\|T_j\| \leq 2^{-j}$ and $\|L_{A_j^2}(T_j)\| = \|\delta(A_j^2 T_j)\| \geq \|\gamma_{A_j}\| + j$. Let $A = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j T_j$. Then $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|A\| \leq 1$ and $A_j A = A_j^2 T_j$. Hence, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_A\| &\geq \|\sigma_A(A_j)\| = \|\beta(A_j)\delta(A)\| = \|\delta(A_j A) - \delta(A_j)\alpha(A)\| \\ &= \|\delta(A_j^2 T_j) - \gamma_{A_j}(A)\| \geq \|\delta(A_j^2 T_j)\| - \|\gamma_{A_j}(A)\| \\ &\geq \|\delta(A_j^2 T_j)\| - \|\gamma_{A_j}\| \geq j, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Hence \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} is finite dimensional.

Since $\delta|_{\mathcal{J}}$ is norm continuous and \mathcal{J} has finite codimension in \mathcal{A} , it follows that δ is norm continuous. \square

Remark. When \mathcal{A} in above theorem is only a Banach algebra, we can also get the closed two-sided ideal \mathcal{J} of \mathcal{A} . Using the closed graph theorem, we can show that if \mathcal{J} has a bounded left approximate identity, then the restriction

$\delta|_{\mathcal{J}}$ of δ to \mathcal{J} is bounded. Firstly, we recall the Cohen's factorization theorem ([1], Corollary 12 in Chapter 1), which tells us if \mathcal{B} is a Banach algebra with a bounded left approximate identity, then for each sequence $\{A_n\}$ in \mathcal{B} with $A_n \rightarrow 0$, there exist $A, B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ with $A_n = AB_n$, ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $B_n \rightarrow 0$. Now we show the boundedness of $\delta|_{\mathcal{J}}$. Let $A_n \in \mathcal{J}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) with $A_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta(A_n) \rightarrow J$. It follows from the Cohen's factorization theorem that there exist $A, B_n \in \mathcal{J}$ with $A_n = AB_n$, ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $B_n \rightarrow 0$. Since $\delta(A_n) = \delta(A)\alpha(B_n) + \beta(A)\delta(B_n)$ for each n and $A \in \mathcal{J}$, by Lemma 3.1(i), the boundedness of S_A and the continuity of α at 0 yield $J = 0$. Hence $\delta|_{\mathcal{J}}$ is continuous.

Let \mathcal{S} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , let \mathcal{M} be a dual normal \mathcal{S} -module. If \mathcal{M}_* is the predual of \mathcal{M} , we write $\langle M, \omega \rangle$ in place of $M(\omega)$ for each $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_*$. Then \mathcal{M}_* is a Banach \mathcal{S} -module under the following module actions determined by

$$\langle M, \omega A \rangle = \langle AM, \omega \rangle, \quad \langle M, A\omega \rangle = \langle MA, \omega \rangle$$

for $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_*$, $A \in \mathcal{S}, M \in \mathcal{M}$. In [13], using the properties of the Mackey topologies on \mathcal{M}_* and \mathcal{S} , Ringrose proved that the mappings $A \rightarrow \omega A$, $A \rightarrow A\omega$ are continuous from the unit ball of \mathcal{S} (with strong* topology) into \mathcal{M}_* (with norm topology). Hence, for a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{S} and a pair of ultraweakly and strong* continuous linear mappings α, β from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{S} , the mappings $A \rightarrow \alpha(A)\omega$, $A \rightarrow \omega\beta(A)$ are strong*-norm continuous from the unit ball of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M}_* . We have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. *Let \mathcal{S} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , and let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{S} , with the weak closure \mathcal{R} . Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a dual normal \mathcal{S} -module and α, β are two ultraweakly and strong* continuous linear mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{S} . Then every (α, β) -derivation δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is ultraweakly-weak* continuous, and extends to an ultraweakly-weak* continuous $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$ -derivation of \mathcal{R} , where $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ are the extension of α and β to \mathcal{R} , respectively.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4, δ is norm continuous. To establish the ultraweak-weak* continuity of δ , it suffices to show that, for each ω in \mathcal{M}_* , the linear functional $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\varphi(A) = \langle \delta(A), \omega \rangle$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, is ultraweakly continuous (equivalently, show that φ is continuous on the unit ball of \mathcal{A} under the weak operator topology). By Lemma 7.1.3 in [6], we only need to prove that the restriction of φ to \mathcal{A}_1^+ , the set of all positive elements in the unit ball of \mathcal{A} , is strongly continuous at 0.

Let $\{T_i\}$ be a net converging strongly to 0 in \mathcal{A}_1^+ . Then $\{T_i^{1/2}\}$ converges strongly, and hence under the strong* topology, to 0. Since α and β are ultraweakly and strong* continuous, by the previous argument of Corollary 4.5,

both $\{\|\alpha(T_\iota^{1/2})\omega\|\}$ and $\{\|\omega\beta(T_\iota^{1/2})\|\}$ converge to 0. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(T_\iota)| &= \|\langle \delta(T_\iota^{1/2}T_\iota^{1/2}), \omega \rangle\| \\ &= \|\langle \delta(T_\iota^{1/2})\alpha(T_\iota^{1/2}) + \beta(T_\iota^{1/2})\delta(T_\iota^{1/2}), \omega \rangle\| \\ &= \|\langle \delta(T_\iota^{1/2}), \alpha(T_\iota^{1/2})\omega + \omega\beta(T_\iota^{1/2}) \rangle\| \\ &\leq \|\delta\|(\|\alpha(T_\iota^{1/2})\omega\| + \|\omega\beta(T_\iota^{1/2})\|) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have proved that δ is ultraweakly-weak* continuous. Since by Kaplansky density theorem, the unit ball of \mathcal{A} is weakly dense in the unit ball of \mathcal{R} , and the unit ball \mathcal{M} is weak* compact, we have that δ can extend without increase in norm to an ultraweak-weak* continuous linear mapping, denoted by $\bar{\delta}$, from \mathcal{R} into \mathcal{M} .

Now, we claim that $\bar{\delta}$ is an $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$ -derivation. For a given arbitrary element $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_*$, define a bilinear form $F_\omega : \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $F_\omega(A, B) = \langle \bar{\delta}(AB) - \bar{\delta}(A)\bar{\alpha}(B) - \bar{\beta}(A)\bar{\delta}(B), \omega \rangle$ for each pair $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$. Clearly, $F_\omega(A, B) = 0$ when A and B are in \mathcal{A} . For self-adjoint operators $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, by Kaplansky density theorem, we choose self-adjoint element $\{A_\iota\}$ and $\{B_\iota\}$ in \mathcal{A} which converges strongly to A and B , respectively and $\|A_\iota\| \leq \|A\|$, $\|B_\iota\| \leq \|B\|$ for each ι . Also since the joint multiplication is strongly continuous on the bounded sets of self-adjoint elements, we have $\{A_\iota B_\iota\}$ converges strongly to AB , and hence $F_\omega(A, B) = \lim_\iota F_\omega(A_\iota, B_\iota) = 0$. Since ω is arbitrary, we have $\bar{\delta}(AB) - \bar{\delta}(A)\bar{\alpha}(B) - \bar{\beta}(A)\bar{\delta}(B) = 0$ for arbitrary self-adjoint operators, and hence for any elements, in \mathcal{R} . Consequently, δ is an $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$ derivation. \square

The following corollary is a direct result of Corollary 4.5.

Corollary 4.6. *Let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} be von Neumann algebras acting on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ and let \mathcal{M} be a dual normal \mathcal{S} -module. For two given ultraweakly and strong* continuous linear mappings $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, every (α, β) -derivation $\delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is ultraweakly-weak* continuous.*

Corollary 4.7. *Let \mathcal{S} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , \mathcal{A} be an ultraweakly closed unital subalgebra of \mathcal{S} . Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a dual normal \mathcal{S} -module, $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ are ultraweakly and strong* continuous linear mappings. Then for each (α, β) -derivation $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, there is a central projection P in $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*)(I - P)$ is finite dimensional and the mapping $A \rightarrow \delta(PA)$ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is norm continuous.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$. Then \mathcal{R} is a von Neumann algebra. As in the proof in Theorem 4.4, set $\mathcal{J} = \{A \in \mathcal{R} : L_A \text{ is bounded from } \mathcal{A} \text{ into } \mathcal{M}\}$. By the same argument, one can see that \mathcal{J} is a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{R} . Now we show that \mathcal{J} is ultraweakly closed. Let $\{A_\iota\}$ be a net of elements in \mathcal{J} converging ultraweakly to A . Since \mathcal{J} is a two-sided ideal of a von Neumann algebra, it is selfadjoint, for let $J \in \mathcal{J}$ and $J = W|J|$ be its polar decomposition, we have $W \in \mathcal{R}$ and $J^* = |J|W^* = WJW^* \in \mathcal{J}$. Using Kaplansky density theorem, we assume that $\|A_\iota\| \leq \|A\|$ for each ι . By Corollary 4.6, the restriction $\delta|_{\mathcal{R}}$ of

δ to \mathcal{R} is bounded and ultraweakly-*weak** continuous. Hence, for each $T \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $L_A(T) = \delta(AT) = \delta(A)\alpha(T) + \beta(A)\delta(T) = \text{weak}^*\text{-}\lim_\iota \delta(A_\iota)\alpha(T) + \beta(A_\iota)\delta(T) = \text{weak}^*\text{-}\lim_\iota \delta(A_\iota T) = \text{weak}^*\text{-}\lim_\iota L_{A_\iota}(T)$; and moreover, for each ι , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{A_\iota}(T)\| &= \|\delta(A_\iota)\alpha(T) + \beta(A_\iota)\delta(T)\| \\ &\leq \|\delta|_{\mathcal{R}}\| \|A_\iota\| \|\alpha\| \|T\| + \|\beta\| \|A_\iota\| \|\delta(T)\| \\ &\leq \|\delta|_{\mathcal{R}}\| \|\alpha\| \|A\| \|T\| + \|\beta\| \|A\| \|\delta(T)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the principle of uniform boundedness, we have $\{\|L_{A_\iota}\|\}$ is bounded. So L_A , as the pointwise limit of the net $\{L_{A_\iota}\}$ of continuous mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , is continuous, and thus $A \in \mathcal{J}$. Hence \mathcal{J} is an ultraweakly two-sided ideal of \mathcal{R} , so there is a unique central projection P in \mathcal{R} such that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{R}P$.

Now we claim that $\mathcal{R}(I - P)$ is finite dimensional. For, otherwise, there is a sequence of nontrivial pairwise orthogonal projections $\{Q_n\}$ in \mathcal{R} with sum $I - P$. Since for each n , the mapping L_{Q_n} is unbounded, there exists A_n in \mathcal{A} such that $\|A_n\| \leq 2^{-n}$ and $\|\delta(Q_n A_n)\| > 2^n$. Let $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n A_n$. Then $\|A\| \leq 1$ and $Q_n A = Q_n A_n$ for each n . Consequently, $2^n \leq \|\delta(Q_n A_n)\| = \|\delta(Q_n A)\| \leq \|\delta|_{\mathcal{R}}\| \|\alpha(A)\| + \|\beta\| \|\delta(A)\|$ for each n , which is impossible. Hence $\mathcal{R}(I - P)$ is finite dimensional. \square

Remark. Applying Corollary 4.7 to $\delta^*(A) = \delta(A^*)^*$ on \mathcal{A}^* , we have that there is a central projection Q in $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*)(I - Q)$ is finite dimensional and the mapping $A \rightarrow \delta(AQ)$ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is norm continuous.

Corollary 4.8. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a CSL algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , i.e., \mathcal{A} is a reflexive algebra whose lattice $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{A})$ of invariant projections is commutative. If $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{H})$ are ultraweakly and strong* continuous linear mappings, then every (α, β) -derivation from \mathcal{A} into $B(\mathfrak{H})$ is bounded.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Corollary 2.3 in [2], we describe it briefly. Let $\mathcal{L} = \text{Lat}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$. Then $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L}'$ with center \mathcal{L}'' . By Corollary 4.7 and its remark, there are projections P and Q in \mathcal{L}'' such that $\mathcal{R}P^\perp$ and $\mathcal{R}Q^\perp$ are finite dimensional, and the mappings $L_P : A \in \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \delta(PA) \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ and $R_Q : A \in \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \delta(AQ) \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ are continuous. Let $P^\perp = \sum_{i=1}^k P_i$ and $Q^\perp = \sum_{j=1}^l Q_j$ be the sum of minimal projections in \mathcal{L}'' , each of which is finite rank, for $\mathcal{R}P^\perp = \sum_{i=1}^k \oplus B(P_i \mathfrak{H})$ and $\mathcal{R}Q^\perp = \sum_{j=1}^l \oplus B(Q_j \mathfrak{H})$ are finite dimensional. Hence for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\delta(A) = \delta(PA) + \delta(P^\perp A Q) + \sum_{i,j} \delta(P_i A Q_j)$. Since $P_i A Q_j$ is finite dimensional and L_P, R_Q are continuous, we have δ is continuous. \square

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10971117) and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. Y2006A03, ZR2009AQ005).

References

- [1] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [2] E. Christensen, *Derivations of nest algebras*, Math. Ann. **229** (1977), no. 2, 155–161.
- [3] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 24. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [4] B. E. Johnson and A. M. Sinclair, *Continuity of derivations and a problem of Kaplansky*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 1067–1073.
- [5] R. V. Kadison, *Derivations of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **83** (1966), 280–293.
- [6] R. V. Kadison and J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operators, Vol 1: Elementary Theory; Vol 2: Advanced Theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 15, Vol. 16, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [7] I. Kaplansky, *Modules over operator algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 839–858.
- [8] ———, *Derivation of Banach algebras*, Seminar on Analytic Functions, Vol. II. Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, 1958.
- [9] W. S. Martindale III, *When are multiplicative mappings additive?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 695–698.
- [10] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *Automatic continuity of σ -derivations on C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 11, 3319–3327.
- [11] ———, *σ -derivations in Banach algebras*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **32** (2006), no. 1, 65–78.
- [12] M. S. Moslehian, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2006** (2006), Art. ID 93942, 8 pp.
- [13] J. R. Ringrose, *Automatic continuity of derivations of operator algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **5** (1972), 432–438.
- [14] S. Sakai, *On a conjecture of Kaplansky*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **12** (1960), 31–33.
- [15] A. R. Villena, *Automatic continuity in associative and nonassociative context*, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. No. **46** (2001), 43–76.

CHENGJUN HOU
INSTITUTE OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH
QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
SHANDONG 273165, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: cjhoul@mail.qfnu.edu.cn

QING MENG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
SHANDONG 273165, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: mengqing80@163.com