

Uniqueness of Certain Non-Linear Differential Polynomials Sharing 1-Points

ABHIJIT BANERJEE

Department of Mathematics, West Bengal State University, Barasat, North 24 Prgs., West Bengal, India

e-mail: abanerjee_kal@yahoo.co.in and abanerjee_kal@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT. Using the notion of weighted sharing of values we study the uniqueness of meromorphic functions when certain non-linear differential polynomials share the same 1-points. Though the main concern of the paper is to improve a result of Fang [5] but as a consequence of the main result we improve and supplement some former results of Lahiri-Sarkar [16], Fang-Fang[6] et. al.

1. Introduction definitions and results

Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . If for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same set of zeros with the same multiplicities, we say that f and g share the value a CM (counting multiplicities), and if we do not consider the multiplicities then f and g are said to share the value a IM (ignoring multiplicities).

We shall use the standard notations of value distribution theory:

$$T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, \infty; f), \bar{N}(r, \infty; f), \dots$$

(see [8]). We denote by $T(r)$ the maximum of $T(r, f)$ and $T(r, g)$. The notation $S(r)$ denotes any quantity satisfying $S(r) = o(T(r))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure. For any constant a , we define

$$\Theta(a; f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, a; f)}{T(r, f)}.$$

In 1999 Lahiri [9] asked the following question.

What can be said if two nonlinear differential polynomials generated by two meromorphic functions share 1 CM?

During the last couple of years a substantial amount of investigations have been carried out by several authors on the uniqueness of meromorphic functions

Received August 18, 2009; revised December 8, 2010; accepted December 24, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35.

Key words and phrases: Meromorphic function, derivative, non-linear differential polynomials, weighted sharing.

concerning non-linear differential polynomials and naturally several elegant results have been obtained in this aspect (see [2]-[7], [13]-[20]).

In 2001 Fang and Hong [7] proved the following result.

Theorem A. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions and $n(\geq 11)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

Also in 2002 Fang and Fang [6] improved and supplemented the above theorem by proving the following theorems.

Theorem B. *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and $n(\geq 8)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

Theorem C. *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and $n(\geq 17)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 IM, then $f \equiv g$.*

In 2004 Lin and Yi [19] further improved *Theorem B* as follows.

Theorem D. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions and $n(\geq 7)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

In the same year Qiu and Fang [20] independently proved *Theorem D* resorting to a new technique than that was adopted in [19] and replace the value 1-by a non zero finite constant a .

The following example shows that the above theorems are not valid when f and g are two meromorphic functions.

Example 1.1.

$$f(z) = \frac{(n+2)}{(n+1)} \frac{e^z + \dots + e^{(n+1)z}}{1 + e^z + \dots + e^{(n+1)z}}$$

and

$$g(z) = \frac{(n+2)}{(n+1)} \frac{1 + e^z + \dots + e^{nz}}{1 + e^z + \dots + e^{(n+1)z}}$$

Clearly $f(z) = e^z g(z)$. Also $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM but $f \not\equiv g$.

We note that in the above example $\Theta(\infty; f) = \Theta(\infty; g) = 0$.

So to replace entire functions by meromorphic functions in the above mentioned theorems definitely some extra conditions are required.

For meromorphic function Lin and Yi [19] proved the following result.

Theorem E. *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that $\Theta(\infty; f) > \frac{2}{n+1}$ and $n(\geq 11)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$, share the value 1-CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

To state the next results we require the following definition known as weighted sharing of values which measure how close a shared value is to be shared IM or to be shared CM.

Definition 1.1 ([10, 11]). Let k be a nonnegative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $E_k(a; f)$ the set of all a -points of f , where an a -point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and $k + 1$ times if $m > k$. If $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$, we say that f, g share the value a with weight k .

The definition implies that if f, g share a value a with weight k then z_0 is an a -point of f with multiplicity $m (\leq k)$ if and only if it is an a -point of g with multiplicity $m (\leq k)$ and z_0 is an a -point of f with multiplicity $m (> k)$ if and only if it is an a -point of g with multiplicity $n (> k)$, where m is not necessarily equal to n .

We write f, g share (a, k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k . Clearly if f, g share (a, k) , then f, g share (a, p) for any integer $p, 0 \leq p < k$. Also we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share $(a, 0)$ or (a, ∞) respectively.

With the notion of weighted sharing of values Lahiri and Sarkar [16] proved the following theorem for the uniqueness of non-linear differential polynomials which is also an improvement of *Theorem E*.

Theorem F. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n+1}$ and $n (\geq 11)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share $(1, 2)$, then $f \equiv g$.

Lahiri and Sarkar [16] also gave the following example to show that the condition $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n+1}$ is sharp in *Theorem F*.

Example 1.2. Let $f = \frac{(n+2)(1-h^{n+1})}{(n+1)(1-h^{n+2})}$, $g = h \frac{(n+2)(1-h^{n+1})}{(n+1)(1-h^{n+2})}$ and $h = \frac{\alpha^2(e^z-1)}{e^z-\alpha}$ where $\alpha = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n+2})$ and n is a positive integer.

Clearly $T(r, f) = (n+1)T(r, h) + O(1)$ and $T(r, g) = (n+1)T(r, h) + O(1)$. Further we see that $h \neq \alpha, \alpha^2$ and a root of $h = 1$ is not a pole of f and g . Hence $\Theta(\infty; f) = \Theta(\infty; g) = \frac{2}{n+1}$. Also $f^{n+1}(\frac{f}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+1}) \equiv g^{n+1}(\frac{g}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+1})$ and $f^n(f-1)f' \equiv g^n(g-1)g'$ but $f \not\equiv g$.

In 2002 Fang [5] first considered the uniqueness of entire functions corresponding to more generalized non-linear differential polynomials and proved the following result.

Theorem G. Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and let n, k be two positive integers with $n \geq 2k + 8$. If $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.

In the paper we will prove two theorems the second of which will not only improve *Theorem G* by reducing the lower bound of n and at the same time relaxing the nature of sharing the value 1 but also improve and supplement *Theorem C*. Our first theorem will improve and supplement *Theorem F*. Following theorems are the main results of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose for two non zero constants a and b $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3k+9$ or if $l=1$ and $n \geq 4k+10$ or if $l=0$ and $n \geq 9k+18$, then $f \equiv g$ or $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} \equiv 1$. When $k=1$ the possibility $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} \equiv 1$ does not occur.*

Putting $n = m+1$, $a = \frac{1}{m+2}$, $b = -\frac{1}{m+1}$ and $k=1$ in the above theorem we can immediately deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1. *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions and $m(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be two integers such that $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{m+1}$. Suppose for two non zero constants a and b $f^m(f-1)f'$ and $g^m(g-1)g'$ share $(1, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and $m \geq 11$ or if $l=1$ and $m \geq 13$ or if $l=0$ and $m \geq 26$, then $f \equiv g$.*

Remark 1.1. Since *Theorem F* can be obtained as a special case of *Theorem 1.1*, clearly *Theorem 1.1* improves and supplements *Theorem F*.

Theorem 1.2. *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers. Suppose for two non zero constants a and b $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2k+6$ or if $l=1$ and $n \geq \frac{5k}{2}+7$ or if $l=0$ and $n \geq 5k+12$, then $f \equiv g$.*

Putting $n = m+1$, $a = \frac{1}{m+2}$, $b = -\frac{1}{m+1}$ and $k=1$ in the above theorem we can immediately deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and $m(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be two integers. Suppose for two non zero constants a and b $f^m(f-1)f'$ and $g^m(g-1)g'$ share $(1, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and $m \geq 7$ or if $l=1$ and $m \geq 9$ or if $l=0$ and $m \geq 16$, then $f \equiv g$.*

Remark 1.2. Clearly *Corollary 1.2* improve and supplement *Theorem C*.

Though we use the standard notations and definitions of the value distribution theory available in [8], we explain some definitions and notations which are used in the paper.

Definition 1.2([16]). Let p be a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

- (i) $N(r, a; f | \geq p)$ ($\overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq p)$) denotes the counting function (reduced counting function) of those a -points of f whose multiplicities are not less than p .
- (ii) $N(r, a; f | \leq p)$ ($\overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq p)$) denotes the counting function (reduced counting function) of those a -points of f whose multiplicities are not greater than p .

Definition 1.3(11, cf.[22]). For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and a positive integer p we denote by $N_p(r, a; f)$ the sum $\overline{N}(r, a; f) + \overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq 2) + \dots + \overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq p)$. Clearly $N_1(r, a; f) = \overline{N}(r, a; f)$.

Definition 1.4. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let p be a positive integer. We denote by $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq p | g = b)$ ($\overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq p | g \neq b)$) the reduced counting function of those a -points of f with multiplicities $\geq p$, which are the b -points (not the b -points) of g .

Definition 1.5(cf.[1], 2). Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that f and g share the value 1 IM. Let z_0 be a 1-point of f with multiplicity p , a 1-point of g with multiplicity q . We denote by $\overline{N}_L(r, 1; f)$ the counting function of those 1-points of f and g where $p > q$, by $N_E^1(r, 1; f)$ the counting function of those 1-points of f and g where $p = q = 1$ and by $\overline{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f)$ the counting function of those 1-points of f and g where $p = q \geq 2$, each point in these counting functions is counted only once. In the same way we can define $\overline{N}_L(r, 1; g)$, $N_E^1(r, 1; g)$, $\overline{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; g)$.

Definition 1.6(cf.[1], 2). Let k be a positive integer. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that f and g share the value 1 IM. Let z_0 be a 1-point of f with multiplicity p , a 1-point of g with multiplicity q . We denote by $\overline{N}_{f>k}(r, 1; g)$ the reduced counting function of those 1-points of f and g such that $p > q = k$. $\overline{N}_{g>k}(r, 1; f)$ is defined analogously.

Definition 1.7([10, 11]). Let f, g share a value a IM. We denote by $\overline{N}_*(r, a; f, g)$ the reduced counting function of those a -points of f whose multiplicities differ from the multiplicities of the corresponding a -points of g .

Clearly $\overline{N}_*(r, a; f, g) \equiv \overline{N}_*(r, a; g, f)$ and $\overline{N}_*(r, a; f, g) = \overline{N}_L(r, a; f) + \overline{N}_L(r, a; g)$.

2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. Let F, G be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Henceforth we shall denote by H the following function.

$$(2.1) \quad H = \left(\frac{F^{(k+2)}}{F^{(k+1)}} - \frac{2F^{(k+1)}}{F^{(k)} - 1} \right) - \left(\frac{G^{(k+2)}}{G^{(k+1)}} - \frac{2G^{(k+1)}}{G^{(k)} - 1} \right).$$

Lemma 2.1([8]). *Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function, k a positive integer and let c be a non-zero finite complex number. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f) + N(r, c; f^{(k)}) - N(r, 0; f^{(k+1)}) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, c; f^{(k)}) - N_0(r, 0; f^{(k+1)}) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

where $N_0(r, 0; f^{(k+1)})$ is the counting function of the zeros of $f^{(k+1)}$ which are not the zeros of $f(f^{(k)} - c)$.

Following lemma was proved in [15] for $p = 2$ and the general form is stated in [23].

Lemma 2.2([23]) *Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and p, k be positive integers, then*

$$N_p(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq N_{p+k}(r, 0; f) + k\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.3([1]). *If f, g be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that they share $(1, 1)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) - \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) \\ & \leq N(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}(r, 1; g). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4([2]). *Let f, g share $(1, 1)$. Then*

$$\bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) \leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - \frac{1}{2}N_{\circlearrowleft}(r, 0; f') + S(r, f),$$

where $N_{\circlearrowleft}(r, 0; f')$ is the counting function of those zeros of f' which are not the zeros of $f(f-1)$.

Lemma 2.5([2]). *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing $(1, 0)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) - \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f) \\ & \leq N(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}(r, 1; g). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6([2]). *Let f, g share $(1, 0)$. Then*

$$\bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) \leq \bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f)$$

Lemma 2.7([2]). *Let f, g share $(1, 0)$. Then*

- (i) $\bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g) \leq \bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - N_{\circlearrowleft}(r, 0; f') + S(r, f)$
- (ii) $\bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f) \leq \bar{N}(r, 0; g) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) - N_{\circlearrowleft}(r, 0; g') + S(r, g).$

Lemma 2.8([21]). *Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and $P(f) = a_0 + a_1f + a_2f^2 + \dots + a_nf^n$, where $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ are constants and $a_n \neq 0$. Then $T(r, P(f)) = nT(r, f) + O(1)$.*

Lemma 2.9. *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Then*

$$f^{n-1} [a(n+1)f + nb] f' \cdot g^{n-1} [a(n+1)g + nb] g' \neq 1,$$

where $n \geq 12$ is an integer.

Proof. We omit the proof as it can be proved in the line of proof *Lemma 2.7* in [17]. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions. Then*

$$[f^n(af + b)]^{(k)} [g^n(ag + b)]^{(k)} \neq 1,$$

where a and b are nonzero complex numbers; n, k be two positive integers and $n(> k)$.

Proof. We omit the proof since the proof can be found in the proof of *Theorem 2* in [5]. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that*

$$\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n},$$

where $n(\geq 3)$ is an integer. Then

$$f^n(af + b) \equiv g^n(ag + b)$$

implies $f \equiv g$, where a, b are non-zero constants.

Proof. We omit the proof since it can be carried out in the line of *Lemma 6* in [12]. \square

3. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $F = f^n(af + b)$ and $G = g^n(ag + b)$. It follows that $F^{(k)}$ and $G^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$.

Case 1 Let $H \neq 0$.

Subcase 1.1 $l \geq 1$

From (2.1) we get

$$(3.1) \quad N(r, \infty; H) \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F^{(k)}, G^{(k)}) \\ + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 0; G^{(k)} | \geq 2) \\ + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; G^{(k+1)}),$$

where $\bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)})$ is the reduced counting function of those zeros of $F^{(k+1)}$ which are not the zeros of $F^{(k)}(F^{(k)} - 1)$ and $\bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; G^{(k+1)})$ is similarly defined.

Let z_0 be a simple zero of $F^{(k)} - 1$. Then z_0 is a simple zero of $G^{(k)} - 1$ and a zero of H . So

$$(3.2) \quad N(r, 1; F^{(k)} | = 1) \leq N(r, 0; H) \leq N(r, \infty; H) + S(r, F) + S(r, G)$$

While $l \geq 2$, using (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bar{N}(r, 1; F^{(k)}) \\ & \leq N(r, 1; F^{(k)} | = 1) + \bar{N}(r, 1; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) \\ & \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 0; G^{(k)} | \geq 2) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_* (r, 1; F^{(k)}, G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, 1; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; G^{(k+1)}) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

So from *Lemmas 2.1* and *2.8* we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & T(r, F) + T(r, G) \\ & \leq 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 0; G^{(k)} | \geq 2) + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; G^{(k+1)}) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, 1; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_* (r, 1; F^{(k)}, G^{(k)}) - N_0(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) - N_0(r, 0; G^{(k+1)}) \\ & \quad + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) \\ & \leq N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2 | F = 0) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2 | F \neq 0) + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) \\ & \leq N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F | \geq k+2) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) \\ & \leq N_{k+2}(r, 0; F) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly similar expression holds for G . Also

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad & \bar{N}(r, 1; F^{(k)} \mid \geq 2) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F^{(k)}, G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 1; G^{(k)} \mid = 2) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, 1; F^{(k)}) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\
& \leq N(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\
& \leq T(r, G^{(k)}) + O(1) \\
& \leq T(r, G) + k\bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + S(r, G).
\end{aligned}$$

Using *Lemma 2.8*, (3.5) and (3.6) in (3.4) we obtain for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad & (n+1)T(r, f) \\
& = T(r, F) + O(1) \\
& \leq N_{k+2}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; G) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; F) \\
& \quad + (k+2)\bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\
& \leq N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; af+b) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; g^n) \\
& \quad + N_{k+2}(r, 0; ag+b) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + (k+2)\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) \\
& \quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq (5+k-2\Theta(\infty; f) + \varepsilon)T(r, f) + (5+2k-(2+k)\Theta(\infty; g) \\
& \quad + \varepsilon)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq (10+3k-2\Theta(\infty; f) - 2\Theta(\infty; g) - k \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\} \\
& \quad + 2\varepsilon)T(r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & (n+1)T(r, g) \\
& \leq (10+3k-2\Theta(\infty; f) - 2\Theta(\infty; g) - k \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\} \\
& \quad + 2\varepsilon)T(r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
\end{aligned}$$

So from (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & (n-3k-9+2\Theta(\infty; f) + 2\Theta(\infty; g) + k \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\} - 2\varepsilon)T(r) \\
& \leq S(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq 3k+9$, $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, (3.9) gives a

contradiction. While $l = 1$, using *Lemmas 2.2, 2.3* and *2.4*, (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad & \bar{N}(r, 1; F^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\
& \leq N(r, 1; F^{(k)} | = 1) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; F^{(k)}) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\
& \leq N(r, 1; F^{(k)} | = 1) + N(r, 1; G^{(k)}) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; F^{(k)}) \\
& \quad - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}_{F^{(k)} > 2}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 0; G^{(k)} | \geq 2) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F^{(k)}, G^{(k)}) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; F^{(k)}) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, \infty; F^{(k)}) + T(r, G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; G^{(k+1)}) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\right)\bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + (k+1)\bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}(r, 0; G^{(k)} | \geq 2) + \frac{1}{2}N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) + T(r, G) + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; G^{(k+1)}) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).
\end{aligned}$$

So in view of *Lemmas 2.1, 2.8*, (3.5) and (3.10) we get for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & (n+1)T(r, f) \\
& = T(r, F) + O(1) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{5}{2}\right)\bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + (k+2)\bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + \frac{1}{2}N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) \\
& \quad + N_{k+2}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\
& \leq \left(2k + \frac{13}{2} - \left(\frac{k}{2} + 2\right)\Theta(\infty; f) - \frac{1}{2}\Theta(\infty; f) + \varepsilon\right)T(r, f) \\
& \quad + \left(2k + 5 - \left(\frac{k}{2} + 2\right)\Theta(\infty; g) - \frac{k}{2}\Theta(\infty; g) + \varepsilon\right)T(r, g) \\
& \quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq \left(4k + \frac{23}{2} - \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{5}{2}\right)(\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g)) + 2\varepsilon\right)T(r) \\
& \quad + S(r).
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner we can get

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & (n+1)T(r, g) \\ & \leq \left(4k + \frac{23}{2} - \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{5}{2}\right) (\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g)) + 2\varepsilon\right) T(r) + S(r). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$(3.13) \quad \left(n - 4k - \frac{21}{2} + \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{5}{2}\right) (\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g)) - 2\varepsilon\right) T(r) \leq S(r).$$

Since $n \geq 4k + 10$, $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, (3.13) implies a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2 $l = 0$. Here (3.2) changes to

$$(3.14) \quad N_E^{(1)}(r, 1; F^{(k)} | = 1) \leq N(r, 0; H) \leq N(r, \infty; H) + S(r, F) + S(r, G)$$

Using *Lemmas 2.2, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7* and (3.1) and (3.14) we get

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bar{N}(r, 1; F^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\ & \leq N_E^{(1)}(r, 1; F^{(k)}) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; F^{(k)}) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; F^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\ & \leq N_E^{(1)}(r, 1; F^{(k)}) + N(r, 1; G^{(k)}) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_{F^{(k)} > 1}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}_{G^{(k)} > 1}(r, 1; F^{(k)}) \\ & \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 0; G^{(k)} | \geq 2) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F^{(k)}, G^{(k)}) + T(r, G^{(k)}) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G^{(k)}) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_{F^{(k)} > 1}(r, 1; G^{(k)}) + \bar{N}_{G^{(k)} > 1}(r, 1; F^{(k)}) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; G^{(k+1)}) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\ & \leq (2k+3)\bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + (2k+2)\bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)} | \geq 2) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}(r, 0; G^{(k)} | \geq 2) + 2N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G) + T(r, G) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; F^{(k+1)}) + \bar{N}_\otimes(r, 0; G^{(k+1)}) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

So in view of *Lemmas 2.1, 2.8, (3.5)* and (3.15) we get for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & (n+1)T(r, f) \\
&= T(r, F) + O(1) \\
&\leq (2k+4)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + (2k+3)\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + 2N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) \\
&\quad + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; G) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
&\leq (9k+19 - (2k+3)\Theta(\infty; f) - (2k+3)\Theta(\infty; g) - \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\} \\
&\quad + 2\varepsilon)T(r) + S(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & (n+1)T(r, g) \\
&= T(r, G) + O(1) \\
&\leq (9k+19 - (2k+3)\Theta(\infty; f) - (2k+3)\Theta(\infty; g) - \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\} \\
&\quad + 2\varepsilon)T(r) + S(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.16) and (3.17) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad & (n-9k-18 + (2k+3)\Theta(\infty; f) + (2k+3)\Theta(\infty; g) \\
&\quad + \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\} - 2\varepsilon)T(r) \\
&\leq S(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq 9k+18$, $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, (3.18) implies a contradiction.

Case 2 Next we suppose that $H \equiv 0$. Then by integration we get from (2.1)

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{1}{F^{(k)} - 1} \equiv \frac{bG^{(k)} + a - b}{G^{(k)} - 1},$$

where a, b are constants and $a \neq 0$. From (3.19) it is clear that $F^{(k)}$ and $G^{(k)}$ share $(1, \infty)$ and hence they share $(1, 2)$. So in this case always $n \geq 3k+9$. We now consider the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1 Let $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$.

If $b = -1$, then from (3.19) we have

$$F^{(k)} = \frac{-a}{G^{(k)} - a - 1}.$$

Therefore

$$\overline{N}(r, a+1; G^{(k)}) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; F^{(k)}) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; f).$$

Since $a \neq b = -1$, from *Lemma 2.1* we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(n+1)T(r, g) &= T(r, G) + O(1) \\
&\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; G) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G) + \overline{N}(r, a+1; G^{(k)}) + S(r, G) \\
&\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G) + S(r, G) \\
&\leq T(r, f) + (k+3)T(r, g) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists a set I with infinite measure such that $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g)$ for $r \in I$.

So for $r \in I$ we have

$$(n - k - 3)T(r, g) \leq S(r, g),$$

which is a contradiction for $n \geq 3k + 9$.

If $b \neq -1$, from (3.19) we obtain that

$$F^{(k)} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) = \frac{-a}{b^2[G^{(k)} + (a - b)/b]}.$$

Therefore

$$\bar{N}\left(r, (b - a)/b; G^{(k)}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \infty; F^{(k)} - (1 + 1/b)\right) = \bar{N}(r, \infty; f)$$

Using *Lemma 2.1* and the same argument as used in the case when $b = -1$ we can get a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2 Let $b \neq 0$ and $a = b$.

If $b = -1$, then from (3.19) we have

$$F^{(k)}G^{(k)} \equiv 1,$$

that is

$$[f^n(af + b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag + b)]^{(k)} \equiv 1,$$

which in view of *Lemma 2.9* is impossible when $k = 1$.

If $b \neq -1$, from (3.19) we have

$$\frac{1}{F^{(k)}} = \frac{bG^{(k)}}{(1 + b)G^{(k)} - 1}.$$

Hence from *Lemma 2.2* we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}\left(r, 1/(1 + b); G^{(k)}\right) &= \bar{N}\left(r, 0; F^{(k)}\right) \\ &\leq N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) + k\bar{N}(r, \infty; f). \end{aligned}$$

From *Lemma 2.1* we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(n + 1)T(r, g) + O(1) \\ &= T(r, G) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{b + 1}; G^{(k)}\right) + S(r, G) \\ &\leq k\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G) + S(r, G) \\ &\leq (2k + 2)T(r, f) + (k + 3)T(r, g) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

For $r \in I$ we have

$$(n - 3k - 4)T(r, g) \leq S(r, g),$$

which is a contradiction for $n \geq 3k + 9$.

Subcase 2.3 Let $b = 0$. From (3.19) we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad F^{(k)} = \frac{G^{(k)} + a - 1}{a}.$$

If $a - 1 \neq 0$ then From (3.20) we obtain

$$\overline{N}(r, 1 - a; G^{(k)}) = \overline{N}(r, 0; F^{(k)}).$$

We can similarly deduce a contradiction as in Subcase 2.2. Therefore $a = 1$ and from (3.20) we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad F = G + p(z),$$

where $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k - 1$. We claim that $p(z) \equiv 0$. Otherwise noting that f is transcendental when $k \geq 2$, in view of *Lemma 2.8* we have

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} (n + 1)T(r, f) &= T(r, F) + O(1) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; F) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, p; F) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; F) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq 3T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

Also from (3.21) we get

$$T(r, f) = T(r, g) + S(r, f),$$

which together with (3.22) implies a contradiction. Hence (3.21) becomes

$$F \equiv G.$$

So from *Lemma 2.11* we get $f \equiv g$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We omit the proof since instead of *Lemma 2.9* using *Lemma 2.10* and proceeding in the same way the proof of the theorem can be carried out in the line of proof of *Theorem 1.1*. □

Acknowledgement The author is thankful to Prof. M.L.Fang for supplying him the pdf file of the paper [5].

References

- [1] T. C. Alzahary and H. X. Yi, *Weighted value sharing and a question of I. Lahiri*, Complex Var. Theory Appl., **49**(15)(2004), 1063-1078.
- [2] A. Banerjee, *Meromorphic functions sharing one value*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **22**(2005), 3587-3598.
- [3] A. Banerjee, *On uniqueness for non-linear differential polynomials sharing the same 1-points*, Ann. Polon. Math., **89**(3)(2006), 259-272.
- [4] A. Banerjee, *A uniqueness result on some differential polynomials sharing 1 points*, Hiroshima Math. J., **37**(3)2007, 397-408.
- [5] M. L. Fang, *Uniqueness and value sharing of entire functions*, Comput. Math. Appl., **44**(2002), 823-831.
- [6] C. Y. Fang and M. L. Fang, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials*, Comput. Math. Appl., **44**(2002), 607-617.
- [7] M. L. Fang and W. Hong, *A unicity theorem for entire functions concerning differential polynomials*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **32**(9)(2001), 1343-1348.
- [8] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).
- [9] I. Lahiri, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two linear differential polynomials share the same 1-points*, Ann. Polon. Math., **71**(2)(1999), 113-128.
- [10] I. Lahiri, *Weighted sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Nagoya Math. J., **161**(2001), 193-206.
- [11] I. Lahiri, *Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Complex Var. Theory Appl., **46**(2001), 241-253.
- [12] I. Lahiri, *On a question of Hong Xun Yi*, Arch. Math. (Brno), **38**(2002), 119-128.
- [13] I. Lahiri and N. Mandal, *Uniqueness of nonlinear differential polynomials sharing simple and double 1-points*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **12**(2005), 1933-1942.
- [14] I. Lahiri and R. Pal, *Nonlinear differential polynomials sharing 1-points*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **43**(1)(2006), 161-168.
- [15] I. Lahiri and A. Sarkar, *Uniqueness of a meromorphic function and its derivative*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **5**(1)(2004), Art. 20.
- [16] I. Lahiri and A. Sarkar, *Nonlinear differential polynomials sharing 1-points with weight two*, Chinese J. Contemp. Math., **25**(3)(2004), 325-334.
- [17] I. Lahiri and P. Sahoo, *Uniqueness of non-linear differential polynomials sharing 1-points*, Georgian Math. J., **12**(1)(2005), 131-138.
- [18] W. C. Lin, *Uniqueness of differential polynomials and a problem of Lahiri*, Pure Appl. Math., **17**(2)(2001), 104-110 (in Chinese).
- [19] W. C. Lin and H. X. Yi, *Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic function*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **35**(2)(2004), 121-132.
- [20] H. Qiu and M. Fang, *On the uniqueness of entire functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **41**(1)(2004), 109-116.
- [21] C. C. Yang, *On deficiencies of differential polynomials II*, Math. Z., **125**(1972), 107-112.

- [22] H. X. Yi, *On characteristic function of a meromorphic function and its derivative*, Indian J. Math., **33**(2)(1991), 119-133.
- [23] Q. C. Zhang, *Meromorphic function that shares one small function with its derivative*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **6**(4)(2005), Art.116 [Online <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>].