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#### Abstract

The purpose of this article is to prove strong convergence theorems for weak relatively nonexpansive mapping which is firstly presented in this article. In order to get the strong convergence theorems for weak relatively nonexpansive mapping, the monotone CQ iteration method is presented and is used to approximate the fixed point of weak relatively nonexpansive mapping, therefore this article apply above results to prove the strong convergence theorems of zero point for maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces. Noting that, the CQ iteration method can be used for relatively nonexpansive mapping but it can not be used for weak relatively nonexpansive mapping. However, the monotone CQ method can be used for weak relatively nonexpansive mapping. The results of this paper modify and improve the results of S.Matsushita and W.Takahashi, and some others.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a Banach space with the dual $E^{*}$. We denote by $J$ the normalized duality mapping from $E$ to $2^{E^{*}}$ defined by

$$
J x=\left\{f \in E^{*}:\langle x, f\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\|f\|^{2}\right\}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. It is well known that, the normalized duality $J$ has the following properties: (1) if $E$ is smooth, then $J$ is single valued; (2) if $E$ is strictly convex, then $J$ is one-to-one (i.e. $J x \cap$ $J y=\emptyset$ for all $x \neq y$ ); (3) if $E$ is reflexive, then $J$ is surjective; (4) if $E$ is Frchet differentiable norm, then $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous; (5) if

[^0]$E$ is uniformly smooth, then $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded subset of E ; (7) if $E$ is a Hilbert space, then $J$ is the identity operator.

As we all know that if $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and $P_{C}: H \rightarrow C$ is the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$, then $P_{C}$ is nonexpansive. This fact actually characterizes Hilbert spaces and consequently, it is not available in more general Banach spaces. In this connection, Alber [1] recently introduced a generalized projection operator $\Pi_{C}$ in a Banach space $E$ which is an analogue of the metric projection in Hilbert spaces.

Let $E$ be a smooth Banach space. Consider the functional defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2} \quad \text { for } \quad x, y \in E . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, in a Hilbert space $H$, (1.1) reduces to $\phi(x, y)=\|x-y\|^{2}, x, y \in H$.
The generalized projection $\Pi_{C}: E \rightarrow C$ is a map that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in E$ the minimum point of the functional $\phi(x, y)$, that is, $\Pi_{C} x=\bar{x}$, where $\bar{x}$ is the solution to the minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\bar{x}, x)=\min _{y \in C} \phi(y, x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

existence and uniqueness of the operator $\Pi_{C}$ follow from the properties of the functional $\phi(x, y)$ and strict monotonicity of the mapping $J$ (see, for example, $[1,2])$. In Hilbert space, $\Pi_{C}=P_{C}$. It is obvious from the definition of function $\phi$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\|y\|-\|x\|)^{2} \leq \phi(y, x) \leq(\|y\|+\|x\|)^{2} \quad \text { for all } x, y \in E \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E$ is a reflexsive strictly convex and smooth Banach space, then for $x, y \in E$, $\phi(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$. It is sufficient to show that if $\phi(x, y)=0$ then $x=y$. From (2.3), we have $\|x\|=\|y\|$. This implies $\langle x, J y\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\|J y\|^{2}$. From the definitions of $j$, we have $J x=J y$. That is, $x=y$; see $[3,4]$ for more details.

Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of $E$, and Let $T$ be a mapping from $C$ into itself with nonempty set of fixed points. We denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of $T$. T is called hemi-relatively nonexpansive if $\phi(p, T x) \leq \phi(p, x)$ for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T)$.

A point of $p$ in $C$ is said to be an asymptotic fixed point of $T$ if $C$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $p$ such that the strong $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T x_{n}-\right.$ $\left.x_{n}\right)=0$. The set of asymptotic fixed points of $T$ will be denoted by $\widehat{F}(T)$. A hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping $T$ from $C$ into itself is called relatively nonexpansive if $\widehat{F}(T)=F(T)$ (see, [5]).

A point of $p$ in $C$ is said to be an strong asymptotic fixed point of $T$ if $C$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges strongly to $p$ such that the strong $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T x_{n}-x_{n}\right)=0$. The set of strong asymptotic fixed points of $T$ will be denoted by $\widetilde{F}(T)$. A hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping $T$ from $C$ into itself is called weak relatively nonexpansive if $\widetilde{F}(T)=F(T)$ (see, [6]).

The following conclusions are obvious: (1) Relatively nonexpansive mapping must be weak relatively nonexpansive mapping. (2) Weak relatively nonexpansive mapping must be hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping.

In this paper, we will give two examples to show that, the inverses of above two conclusions are not hold.

In an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, Mann's iterative algorithm has only weak covergence, in general, even for nonexpansive mappings. Hence in order to have strong convergence, in recent years, the hybrid iteration methods for approximating fixed points of nonlinear mappings has been introduced and studied by various authors.

In 2003, Nakajo and Takahashi[7] proposed the following modification of Mann iteration method for a single nonexpansive mapping $T$ in a Hilbert space $H$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \text { chosen arbitrarily }  \tag{1.4}\\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $C$ is a closed convex subset of $H, P_{K}$ denotes the metric projection from $H$ onto a closed convex subset $K$ of $H$. They proved that if the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is bounded above from one then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.1) converges strongly to $P_{F(T)}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Where $F(T)$ denote the fixed points set of $T$.

The ideas to generalize the process (1.4) from Hilbert space to Banach space have recently been made. By using available properties on uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, Matsushita and Takahashi [8] presented their ideas as the following method for a single relatively nonexpansive mapping $T$ in a Banach space E:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \text { chosen arbitrarily } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J T x_{n}\right) \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle x_{n}-z, J x_{0}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$, and $\Pi_{K}(\cdot)$ is the generalized projection from $E$ onto a nonempty closed convex subset $K$. They proved the following convergence theorem .
Theorem MT. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, let $T$ be a relatively nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself, and let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $0 \leq \alpha_{n}<1$ and $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}<1$. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is
given by (1.6), where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$. If $F(T)$ is nonempty, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{F(T)} x_{0}$, where $\Pi_{F(T)}(\cdot)$ is the generalized projection from $C$ onto $F(T)$.

Resent, S. Plubtieng, K. Ungchittrakool [9], proposed the following hybrid iteration method for a countable family of relatively nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space and proved the convergence theorem:
Theorem PU. Let E be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $\widehat{C}$ and $C$ be two nonempty closed convex subsets of $E$ such that $\widehat{C} \subset C$. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of relatively nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $E$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$ is nonempty and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence defined as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in \widehat{C} \\
C_{1}=C \\
x_{1}=\Pi_{C_{1}} x_{0} \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J T_{n} x_{n}\right) \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, n \geq 1 \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha_{n} \in[0,1]$ satisfies either
(a) $0 \leq \alpha_{n}<1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}<1$ or
(b) $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$.

Suppose that for any bounded subset $B$ of $C$ there exists an increasing, continuous and convex function $h_{B}$ from $R^{+}$into $R^{+}$such that $h_{B}(0)=0$, and

$$
\lim _{l, k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\{h_{B}\left(\left\|T_{l} z-T_{k} z\right\|\right): z \in B\right\}=0 .
$$

Let $T$ be a mapping from $C$ into $E$ defined by $T x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n} x$ for all $x \in C$ and suppose that

$$
F(T)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{F}\left(T_{n}\right)=\widehat{F}(T) .
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{T_{n} x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $\Pi_{F(T)} x_{0}$.
In this article, the authors have obtained the following results: (1) the definition of uniformly closed countable family of nonlinear mappings. (2) strong convergence theorem by the monotone hybrid algorithm for a countable family of hemi-relatively nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space with new method of proof. (3) two examples of uniformly closed countable families of nonlinear mappings and applications. (4) an example which is hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping but not weak relatively nonexpansive mapping. (5) an example which is weak relatively nonexpansive mapping but not relatively nonexpansive mapping. Therefore, the results of this article improve and extend the results of Somyot Plubtieng, et al [9] and many others.

We need the following Definitions and Lemmas.

Lemma 1.1 (Kamimura and Takahashi [10]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences of $E$. If $\phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and either $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ or $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, then $x_{n}-y_{n} \rightarrow 0$.
Lemma 1.2 (Alber[1]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space $E$ and $x \in E$. Then, $x_{0}=\Pi_{C} x$ if and only if

$$
\left\langle x_{0}-y, J x-J x_{0}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text { for } y \in C
$$

Lemma 1.3 (Alber[1]). Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$ and let $x \in E$. Then

$$
\phi\left(y, \Pi_{c} x\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{c} x, x\right) \leq \phi(y, x) \quad \text { for all } y \in C
$$

The following Lemma is not hard to prove.
Lemma 1.4. Let $E$ be a strictly convex and smooth Banach space, let $C$ be a closed convex subset of $E$, and let $T$ be a hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself. Then $F(T)$ is closed and convex.

In this paper, we present the definition of uniformly closed for a sequence of mappings as follows.
Definition 1.5. Let $E$ be a Banach space, $C$ be a closed convex subset of $E$, let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of mappings of $C$ into $E$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$ is nonempty. We say that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly closed, if $p \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$ whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ converges strongly to $p$ and $\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1.6 ([11,12]). Let $E$ be a $p$-uniformly convex Banach space with $p \geq 2$. Then, for all $x, y \in E, j(x) \in J_{p}(x)$ and $j(y) \in J_{p}(y)$,

$$
\langle x-y, j(x)-j(y)\rangle \geq \frac{c^{p}}{c^{p-2} p}\|x-y\|^{p}
$$

where $J_{p}$ is the generalized duality mapping from $E$ into $E^{*}$ and $1 / c$ is the $p$-uniformly convexity constant of $E$.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}: C \rightarrow E$ be a uniformly closed sequence of hemi-relatively nonexpansive mappings such that $F=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are three sequences in [0,1] such that $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $0<\gamma \leq \gamma_{n} \leq 1$ for some constant $\gamma \in(0,1)$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ by the following
algorithm:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \quad \text { arbitrarily } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{0}+\beta_{n} J x_{n}+\gamma_{n} J T_{n} x_{n}\right), n \geq 1 \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C_{n-1}: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n} \phi\left(z, x_{0}\right)\right\}, n \geq 1 \\
C_{0}=C \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, \quad n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q=\Pi_{F} x_{0}$.
Proof. We first show that $C_{n}$ is closed and convex for all $n \geq 0$. From the definitions of $C_{n}$, it is obvious that $C_{n}$ is closed for all $n \geq 0$. Next, we prove that $C_{n}$ is convex for all $n \geq 0$. Since

$$
\phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n} \phi\left(z, x_{0}\right)
$$

is equivalent to

$$
2\left\langle z,\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J x_{n}+\alpha_{n} J x_{0}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2} .
$$

It is easy to get $C_{n}$ is convex for all $n \geq 0$.
Next, we show that $F \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Indeed, for each $p \in F$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(p, y_{n}\right)= & \phi\left(p, J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{0}+\beta_{n} J x_{n}+\gamma_{n} J T_{n} x_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \|p\|^{2}-2\left\langle p, \alpha_{n} J x_{0}+\beta_{n} J x_{n}+\gamma_{n} J T_{n} x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \left.+\| \alpha_{n} J x_{0}+\beta_{n} J x_{n}+\gamma_{n} J T_{n} x_{n}\right) \|^{2} \\
\leq & \|p\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle p, J x_{0}\right\rangle-2 \beta_{n}\left\langle p, J x_{n}\right\rangle-2 \gamma_{n}\left\langle p, J T_{n} x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|T_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n} \phi\left(p, x_{0}\right)+\beta_{n} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n} \phi\left(p, T_{n} x_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \alpha_{n} \phi\left(p, x_{0}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $p \in C_{n}$, which implies that $F \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
Since $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}$ and $C_{n} \subset C_{n-1}$, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right), \quad \text { for all } n \geq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is nondecreasing. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(\Pi_{C_{n-1}} x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{0}\right)
$$

for all $p \in F(T) \subset C_{n-1}$ and for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore, $\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)$ is also bounded. This together with (3.1) implies that the limit of $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ exists. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)=d \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have, for any positive integer $m$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x_{n+m}, x_{n+1}\right) & =\phi\left(x_{n+m}, \Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+m}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \\
& =\phi\left(x_{n+m}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \geq 0$. This together with (3.2) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+m}, x_{n+1}\right)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is, uniformly for all $m$, holds. By using Lemma 2.1, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+m}-x_{n+1}\right\|=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is, uniformly for all $m$, holds. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, therefore there exists a point $p \in C$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow p$.

Since $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0} \in C_{n}$, from the definition of $C_{n}$, we have

$$
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n}\right) \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right) .
$$

This together with (2.3) and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n}\right)=0
$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Since $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J x_{n+1}-J y_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J x_{n+1}-J x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|J x_{n+1}-J y_{n}\right\| & =\left\|J x_{n+1}-\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{0}+\beta_{n} J x_{n}+\gamma_{n} J T_{n} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(J x_{n+1}-J x_{0}\right)+\beta_{n}\left(J x_{n+1}-J x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n}\left(J x_{n+1}-J T_{n} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \geq \gamma_{n}\left\|J x_{n+1}-J T_{n} x_{n}\right\|-\alpha_{n}\left\|J x_{n+1}-J x_{0}\right\|-\beta_{n}\left\|J x_{n+1}-J x_{n}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to

$$
\left\|J x_{n+1}-J T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_{n}}\left(\left\|J x_{n+1}-J y_{n}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|J x_{0}-J x_{n+1}\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|J x_{n+1}-J x_{n}\right\|\right)
$$

From (2.5) and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0,0<\gamma \leq \gamma_{n} \leq 1$ we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J x_{n+1}-J T_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Since $J^{-1}$ is also uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (2.4) implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Since $x_{n} \rightarrow p$ and $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly closed, we have $p \in F=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$.
Finally, we prove that $p=\Pi_{F} x_{0}$, from Lemma2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(p, \Pi_{F} x_{0}\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{F} x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{0}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}$ and $F \subset C_{n}$, for all $n$. Also from Lemma2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\Pi_{F} x_{0}, x_{n+1}\right)+\phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(\Pi_{F} x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $\phi(x, y)$, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(p, x_{0}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9), we know that $\phi\left(p, x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(\Pi_{F} x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$. Therefore, it follows from the uniqueness of $\Pi_{F} x_{0}$ that $p=\Pi_{F} x_{0}$. This completes the proof

When $\alpha_{n} \equiv 0$ in the Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 2.2. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}: C \rightarrow E$ be a uniformly closed sequence of hemi-relatively nonexpansive mappings such that $F=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequences in $[0,1]$ such that $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq \alpha<1$ for some constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ by the following algorithm:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \quad \text { arbitrarily } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J T_{n} x_{n}\right), n \geq 1 \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C_{n-1}: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, n \geq 1 \\
C_{0}=C \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, \quad n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q=\Pi_{F} x_{0}$.

## 3. Applications for equilibrium problem

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and let $E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of $E$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $R=(-\infty,+\infty)$. The equilibrium problem is to find $x \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions of (1.1) is denoted by $E P(f)$. Given a mapping $T: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ let $f(x, y)=\langle T x, y-x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$. Then, $p \in E P(f)$ if and only if $\langle T p, y-p\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$, i.e., $p$ is a solution of the variational inequality. Numerous problems in physics, optimization, and economics reduce to find a solution of (1.1). Some methods have been proposed to solve the equilibrium problem in Hilbert spaces; see, for instance, [13-15].

For solving the equilibrium problem, let us assume that a bifunction $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
(A1) $f(x, x)=0, \forall x \in E$,
(A2) f is monotone, i.e. $f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0, \forall x, y \in E$,
(A3) for all $x, y, z \in E, \lim \sup _{t \downarrow 0} f(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq f(x, y)$,
(A4) for all $x \in C, f(x, \cdot)$ is convex and lower semi-continuous.
Lemma 3.1 (Blum and Oettli[13]). Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$, let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $R=(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying (A1)-(A4), and let $r>0$ and $x \in E$. Then, there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

Lemma 3.2 (W. Takahashi, K. Zembayashi[15]). Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$, and let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $R=(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). For $r>0$, define a mapping $T_{r}: E \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$
T_{r}(x)=\left\{z \in C: f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

for all $x \in E$. Then, the following hold:
(1) $T_{r}$ is single-valued;
(2) $T_{r}$ is a firmly nonexpansive-type mapping, i.e., for all $x, y \in E$,

$$
\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J x-J y\right\rangle
$$

(3) $F\left(T_{r}\right)=E P(f)$;
(4) $E P(f)$ is closed and convex;
(5) $T_{r}$ is also a relatively nonexpansive mapping.

Lemma 3.3(W. Takahashi, K. Zembayashi[15]). Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space E, let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $R=(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying (A1)-(A4), and let $r>0$ and let $x \in E$, $q \in F\left(T_{r}\right)$, then the following holds:

$$
\phi\left(q, T_{r} x\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} x, x\right) \leq \phi(q, x)
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $E$ be a $p$-uniformly convex with $p \geq 2$ and uniformly smooth Banach space, and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $R=(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying $(A 1)-(A 4)$. Let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ be a positive real sequence such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=r>0$. Then the sequence of mappings $\left\{T_{r_{n}}\right\}$ is uniformly closed.
Proof. (1) Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a convergent sequence in $C$. Let $z_{n}=T_{r_{n}} x_{n}$ for all $n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-z_{n}, J z_{n}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{n+m}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n+m}}\left\langle y-z_{n+m}, J z_{n+m}-J x_{n+m}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $y=z_{n+m}$ in (3.2) and $y=z_{n}$ in (3.3), we have

$$
f\left(z_{n}, z_{n+m}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle z_{n+m}-z_{n}, J z_{n}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C,
$$

and

$$
f\left(z_{n+m}, z_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n+m}}\left\langle z_{n}-z_{n+m}, J z_{n+m}-J x_{n+m}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C .
$$

So, from (A2) we have

$$
\left\langle z_{n+m}-z_{n}, \frac{J z_{n}-J x_{n}}{r_{n}}-\frac{J z_{n+m}-J x_{n+m}}{r_{n+m}}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

and hence

$$
\left\langle z_{n+m}-z_{n}, J z_{n}-J x_{n}-\frac{r_{n}}{r_{n+m}}\left(J z_{n+m}-J x_{n+m}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0 .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\left\langle z_{n+m}-z_{n}, J z_{n}-J z_{n+m}+J z_{n+m}-J x_{n}-\frac{r_{n}}{r_{n+m}}\left(J z_{n+m}-J x_{n+m}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

which implies that

$$
\left\langle z_{n+m}-z_{n}, J z_{n+m}-J z_{n}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle z_{n+m}-z_{n}, J z_{n+m}-J x_{n}-\frac{r_{n}}{r_{n+m}}\left(J z_{n+m}-J x_{n+m}\right)\right\rangle
$$

By using Lemma 1.6, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{c^{p}}{c^{p-2} p}\left\|z_{n+m}-z_{n}\right\|^{p} & \leq\left\langle z_{n+m}-z_{n}, J z_{n+m}-J x_{n}-\frac{r_{n}}{r_{n+m}}\left(J z_{n+m}-J x_{n+m}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\left\langle z_{n+m}-z_{n},\left(1-\frac{r_{n}}{r_{n+m}}\right) J z_{n+m}+\frac{r_{n}}{r_{n+m}} J x_{n+m}-J x_{n}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c^{p}}{c^{p-2} p}\left\|z_{n+m}-z_{n}\right\|^{p-1} \leq\left|1-\frac{r_{n}}{r_{n+m}}\right|\left\|J z_{n+m}\right\|+\left\|\frac{r_{n}}{r_{n+m}} J x_{n+m}-J x_{n}\right\| . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any $p \in E P(f)$, from $z_{n}=T_{r_{n}} x_{n}$, we have

$$
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|T_{r_{n}} x_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|
$$

so that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=r>0$, this together with (3.4) implies that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Hence $T_{r_{n}} x_{n}=z_{n}$ is convergent.
(2) By using the Lemma 3.2, we know that,

$$
\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{r_{n}}\right)=E P(f) \neq \emptyset
$$

(3) From (1) we know that, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{r_{n}} x$ exists for all $x \in C$. So, we can define a mapping $T$ from $C$ into itself by

$$
T x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{r_{n}} x, \forall x \in C .
$$

It is obvious that, $T$ is nonexpansive. It is easy to see that

$$
E P(f)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{r_{n}}\right) \subset F(T) .
$$

On the other hand, let $w \in F(T), w_{n}=T_{r_{n}} w$, we have

$$
f\left(w_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-w_{n}, J w_{n}-J w\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

By (A2) we know

$$
\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-w_{n}, J w_{n}-J w\right\rangle \geq f\left(y, w_{n}\right), \forall y \in C
$$

Since $w_{n} \rightarrow T w=w$ and from (A4), we have $f(y, w) \leq 0$, for all $y \in C$. Then, for $t \in(0,1]$ and $y \in C$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =f(t y+(1-t) w, t y+(1-t) w) \\
& \leq t f(t y+(1-t) w, y)+(1-t) f(t y+(1-t) w, w) \\
& \leq t f(t y+(1-t) w, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
f(t y+(1-t) w, y) \geq 0
$$

Letting $t \downarrow 0$ and using (A3), we get

$$
f(w, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

and hence $w \in E P(f)$. From above two respects, we know that, $F(T)=$ $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{r_{n}}\right)$.

Next we show $\left\{T_{r_{n}}\right\}$ is uniformly closed. Assume $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $\left\|x_{n}-T_{r_{n}} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow$ 0 , from above results we know that, $T x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{r_{n}} x$. On the other hand, from $\left\|x_{n}-T_{r_{n}} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, we also get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{r_{n}} x=x$, so that $x \in F(T)=$ $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{r_{n}}\right)$. That is, the sequence of mappings $\left\{T_{r_{n}}\right\}$ is uniformly closed. This completes the proof $\square$
Theorem 3.5. Let $E$ be a $p$-uniformly convex with $p \geq 2$ and uniformly smooth Banach space, and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $R=(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying $(A 1)-(A 4)$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are three sequences in $[0,1]$ such that $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=$ 1 , $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $0<\gamma \leq \gamma_{n} \leq 1$ for some constant $\gamma \in(0,1)$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \quad \text { arbitrarily } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{0}+\beta_{n} J x_{n}+\gamma_{n} J T_{r_{n}} x_{n}\right), n \geq 1 \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C_{n-1}: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n} \phi\left(z, x_{0}\right)\right\}, n \geq 1 \\
C_{0}=C \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, \quad n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=r>0$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q=\Pi_{E P(f)} x_{0}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, $\left\{T_{r_{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly closed, therefore, by using Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the conclusion of Theorem 3.5. This completes the proof

When $\alpha_{n} \equiv 0$ in the Theorem 3.5, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 3.6. Let $E$ be a p-uniformly convex with $p \geq 2$ and uniformly smooth Banach space, and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $R=(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying $(A 1)-(A 4)$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequences in [0,1] such that $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq \alpha<1$ for some constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ by the following algorithm:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \quad \text { arbitrarily } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J T_{r_{n}} x_{n}\right), n \geq 1 \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C_{n-1}: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, n \geq 1 \\
C_{0}=C \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, \quad n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=r>0$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q=\Pi_{E P(f)} x_{0}$.

## 4. Applications for maximal monotone operators

In this section, we apply the our above results to prove some strong convergence theorem concerning maximal monotone operators in a Banach space $E$.

Let $A$ be a multi-valued operator from $E$ to $E^{*}$ with domain $D(A)=\{z \in$ $E: A z \neq \emptyset\}$ and range $R(A)=\{z \in E: z \in D(A)\}$. An operator A is said to be monotone if

$$
\left\langle x_{1}-x_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

for each $x_{1}, x_{2} \in D(A)$ and $y_{1} \in A x_{1}, y_{2} \in A x_{2}$. A monotone operator A is said to be maximal if it's graph $G(A)=\{(x, y): y \in A x\}$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator. We know that if $A$ is a maximal monotone operator, then $A^{-1} 0$ is closed and convex. The following result is also well-known.

Theorem 4.1. Let $E$ be a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space and let $A$ be a monotone operator from $E$ to $E^{*}$. Then $A$ is maximal if and only if $R(J+r A)=E^{*}$. for all $r>0$.

Let $E$ be a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, and let $A$ be a maximal monotone operator from $E$ to $E^{*}$. Using Theorem 5.1 and strict convexity of $E$, we obtain that for every $r>0$ and $x \in E$, there exists a unique $x_{r}$ such that

$$
J x \in J x_{r}+r A x_{r} .
$$

Then we can define a single valued mapping $J_{r}: E \rightarrow D(A)$ by $J_{r}=(J+r A)^{-1} J$ and such a $J_{r}$ is called the resolvent of $A$. We know that $A^{-1} 0=F\left(J_{r}\right)$ for all $r>0$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, let $A$ be a maximal monotone operator from $E$ to $E^{*}$, let $J_{r}$ be a resolvent of $A$ for $r>0$. Then for any sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$, $\left\{J_{r_{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a uniformly closed sequence of hemi-relatively nonespansive mappings.
Proof. Firstly, we show that $\left\{J_{r_{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly closed. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset E$ be a sequence such that $z_{n} \rightarrow p$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-J_{r_{z}} z_{n}\right\|=0$. Since $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left(J z_{n}-J J_{r_{n}} z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

It follows from

$$
\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left(J z_{n}-J J_{r_{n}} z_{n}\right) \in A J_{r_{n}} z_{n}
$$

and the monotonicity of $A$ that

$$
\left\langle w-J_{r_{n}} z_{n}, w^{*}-\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left(J z_{n}-J J_{r_{n}} z_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

for all $w \in D(A)$ and $w^{*} \in A w$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\left\langle w-p, w^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $w \in D(A)$ and $w^{*} \in A w$. Therefore from the maximality of $A$, we obtain $p \in A^{-1} 0=F\left(J_{r_{n}}\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$, that is $p \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(J_{r_{n}}\right)$.

Next we show $J_{r_{n}}$ is a hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping for all $n \geq 1$. For any $w \in E$ and $p \in F\left(J_{r_{n}}\right)=A^{-1} 0$, from the monotonicity of $A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(p, J_{r_{n}} w\right)= & \|p\|^{2}-2\left\langle p, J J_{r_{n}} w\right\rangle+\left\|J_{r_{n}} w\right\|^{2} \\
= & \|p\|^{2}+2\left\langle p, J w-J J_{r_{n}} w-J w\right\rangle+\left\|J_{r_{n}} w\right\|^{2} \\
= & \|p\|^{2}+2\left\langle p, J w-J J_{r_{n}} w\right\rangle-2\langle p, J w\rangle+\left\|J_{r_{n}} w\right\|^{2} \\
= & \|p\|^{2}-2\left\langle J_{r} w-p-J_{r_{n}} w, J w-J J_{r_{n}} w-J w\right\rangle-2\langle p, J w\rangle+\left\|J_{r_{n}} w\right\|^{2} \\
= & \|p\|^{2}-2\left\langle J_{r_{n}} w-p, J w-J J_{r_{n}} w-J w\right\rangle \\
& +2\left\langle J_{r_{n}} w, J w-J J_{r_{n}} w\right\rangle-2\langle p, J w\rangle+\left\|J_{r_{n}} w\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|p\|^{2}+2\left\langle J_{r} w, J w-J J_{r_{n}} w\right\rangle-2\langle p, J w\rangle+\left\|J_{r_{n}} w\right\|^{2} \\
= & \|p\|^{2}-2\langle p, J w\rangle+\|w\|^{2}-\left\|J_{r_{n}} w\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle J_{r_{n}} w, J w\right\rangle-\|w\|^{2} \\
= & \phi(p, w)-\phi\left(J_{r_{n}} w, w\right) \\
\leq & \phi(p, w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $J_{r_{n}}$ is a hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping for all $n \geq 1$. This completes the proof $\square$

Theorem 4.3. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, let $A$ be a maximal monotone operator from $E$ to $E^{*}$ with nonempty zero point set $A^{-1}(0)$, let $J_{r}$ be a resolvent of $A$ for $r>0$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are three sequences in [0,1] such that $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $0<\gamma \leq \gamma_{n} \leq 1$ for some constant $\gamma \in(0,1)$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a
sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \quad \text { arbitrarily } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{0}+\beta_{n} J x_{n}+\gamma_{n} J J_{r_{n}} x_{n}\right), n \geq 1 \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C_{n-1}: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n} \phi\left(z, x_{0}\right)\right\}, n \geq 1 \\
C_{0}=C \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, \quad n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q=\Pi_{A^{-1}(0)} x_{0}$.
Proof. From Theorem 4.2, $\left\{J_{r_{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly closed countable family of hemi-relatively nonexpansive mappings, on the other hand, $A^{-1}(0)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(J_{r_{n}}\right)$, by using Theorem 2.1, we can obtain the conclusion of Theorem 4.3

When $\alpha_{n} \equiv 0$ in the Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 4.4. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, let $A$ be a maximal monotone operator from $E$ to $E^{*}$ with nonempty zero point set $A^{-1}(0)$, let $J_{r}$ be a resolvent of $A$ for $r>0$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequences in [0,1] such that $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq \alpha<1$ for some constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ by the following algorithm:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \quad \text { arbitrarily } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J J_{r_{n}} x_{n}\right), n \geq 1 \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C_{n-1}: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, n \geq 1 \\
C_{0}=C \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, \quad n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q=\Pi_{A^{-1}(0)} x_{0}$.

## 5. Examples

Firstly, we give an example which is hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping but not weak relatively nonexpansive mapping.

Example 5.1. Let $E=R^{n}$ and $x_{0} \neq 0$ be a any element of $E$. We define a mapping $T: E \rightarrow E$ as follows

$$
T(x)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) x_{0} & \text { if } x=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) x_{0} \\ -x & \text { if } x \neq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) x_{0}\end{cases}
$$

for $n=1,2,3, \cdots$. Next we show $T$ is a hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping but no weak relatively nonexpansive mapping. First, it is obvious that $F(T)=\{0\}$. In addition, it is easy to see, that

$$
\|T x\| \leq\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in E
$$

This implies that

$$
\|T x\|^{2}-\|x\|^{2} \leq 2\langle 0, J T x-J x\rangle=2\langle p, J T x-J x\rangle
$$

for all $p \in F(T)$. It follows from above inequality that

$$
\|p\|^{2}-2\langle p, J T x\rangle+\|T x\|^{2} \leq\|p\|^{2}-2\langle p, J x\rangle+\|x\|^{2},
$$

for all $p \in F(T)$ and $x \in E$. That is

$$
\phi(p, T x) \leq \phi(p, x)
$$

for all $p \in F(T)$ and $x \in E$, hence $T$ is a hemi-relatively nonexpansive mapping. Finally, we show $T$ is not weak relatively nonexpansive mapping. In fact that, letting

$$
x_{n}=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) x_{0}, \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots
$$

from the definition of $T$, we have

$$
T x_{n}=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) x_{0}, \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots
$$

which implies $\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow x_{0}\left(x_{n} \rightharpoonup x_{0}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is $x_{0} \in \widetilde{F}(T)$ but $x_{0} \bar{\in} F(T)$.

Next, we give an example which is weak relatively nonexpansive mapping but not relatively nonexpansive mapping.
Example 5.2. Let $E=l^{2}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{2}=\left\{\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, \ldots\right): \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty\right\} \\
& \|\xi\|=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \forall \xi \in l^{2}, \\
& \langle\xi, \eta\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{n} \eta_{n}, \forall \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, \ldots\right), \eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}, \ldots, \eta_{n} \ldots\right) \in l^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well known that, $l^{2}$ is a Hilbert space, so that $\left(l^{2}\right)^{*}=l^{2}$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset E$ be a sequence defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}=(1,0,0,0, \ldots) \\
& x_{1}=(1,1,0,0, \ldots) \\
& x_{2}=(1,0,1,0,0, \ldots) \\
& x_{3}=(1,0,0,1,0,0, \ldots) \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\xi_{n, k}=\left\{\begin{array}{lcc}
1 & \text { if } & k=1, n+1 \\
0 & \text { if } & k \neq 1, k \neq n+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Define a mapping $T: E \rightarrow E$ as follows

$$
T(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{n}{n+1} x_{n} & \text { if } & x=x_{n}(\exists n \geq 1), \\
-x & \text { if } & x \neq x_{n}(\forall n \geq 1) .
\end{array}\right.
$$
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