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#### Abstract

The purpose of this study is to analyze lower body types of women and provide information of body improvement of Korean adult female clothing product to ready-made clothes companies. As for the method of this study, it was conducted to measure the parts of the lower body of adult women at the age from 19 to 24 years, to analyze their characteristics and to categorize body types. For study, it was measured 150 female women in Seoul. Data was analyzed by used SPSS/WIN 19.0 Program. The results of this study are as follows. The means of the subjects in their early 20 s are 159.8 cm in height, 67.0 cm in waist circumference, 91.6 cm in hip and 52.0 kg in weight. As a result of comparison with the $5^{\text {th }}$ Korean's human body size on measurement data, 20 items out of 33 items showed significant difference. Factor analysis was executed for 66 body measure and calculation items to draw the body construction factors of the women's lower body in their early 20 s and principal component analysis was performed by orthogonal varimax rotation to clarify the measurement of factors and to derive explanatory factors. As result of Cluster analysis, body types' characteristics divide into 3 types. Type 1 has tall and fat body form, type2 has shortest and curvy body form and type3 has average height and the most skinny body form.
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## I. Purpose of Study

Along with the development of industrial economies, the improvement of living standard
brought change in consumers' recognition for fashion rather than just solving food, clothing, and shelter. They require clothing to fit with their physical characteristic, and to cover their physical
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 Body Type of Korean Women in Their Early 20'sdefects as ideal form for the mental satisfaction ${ }^{11}$.
The human body appears other morphological differences by several factors, but despite these differences, some similarities can be found. Therefore, as it is identified those characteristics and is attempted to stereotyping of body type through the appropriate standards, it can be obtained information of clothing design with high suitability ${ }^{22}$.

Because upper body and lower body have low corelation by parts of the body and clothing prototype is produced separately, it is the tendency to research by dividing upper body and lower body by subjects depending on the age and gender. The reason is that because upper body is difficult to set the reference points and there are non-exposed parts (especially Crotch part), it is difficult to measure.
Lower body is very import to measure the body surface changes and the shape of lower body in order to develop lower clothing types such as slacks prototype or skirts prototype with high suitability. Since 2007, preceding studies related with the lower body type of adult women ${ }^{33,4,4,5,6,7,7)}$ are being done depending on the measurement method and by age. But most of the studies targeting the young ladies among these studies already passed more than 5 years due to the result of the 5th Korean Anthropocentric Survey(2004) from Korean Agency for Technology and Standard.

Therefore this study targets on the young ladies aged from 19~24 who will not have much change in body shapes as they reached to the adult body, measures lower body, analyzes the characteristics, classifies the body types, compares the relations between groups, and utilizes them as basic materials to develop the original form, pattern, and size and to improve
the fit which is the whole purpose.

## II. Methodology

## 1. Body measurement

1) Measurement Subject and Sample

In the study, 150 college women at the age from 19 to 24 living in Seoul were selected for the body measurement to collect material by simple random sampling. The measurement was executed from Mar. 3, 2011 to May 25, 2011. To minimize any measuring error and time, training for skilled measurement were performed for 4 researchers from Clothing Construction Lab, Dept. of Clothing and Textiles, Sookmyung Women's University and they practiced a preliminary measurement before actual one.

## 2) Measurement Method

Body measurement was performed according to the method of R. Martin. For measurement, the subjects put their eyes to the front, put their heels together by spreading tiptoes at a $30^{\circ}$ angle and let both arms hang downward naturally.

## 3) Measurement items

Measurement items are required to understand the parts location of the lower body and the characteristics of body types for the women in their early 20s. And they were set up by referring to ISO $8559^{8)}$ and the Standard Glossary of Body Measurement from the 5th Size Korea ${ }^{9}$. Part items consist of 58 ones in total of 14 height, 10 width, 6 depth, 11 circumference, 7 length, 9 sitting posture and 1 weight item, which are shown in $\langle T a b .1\rangle$.
<Tab. 1> Measurement items for the women in their early 20s


## 2. Data process and analysis methods

In the study, to enhance reliability, any value from body measures was set to a missing value as an extreme outlier if deviating as much as $\pm 38$ ( $\delta:$ standard deviation) from the mean of measures per item. And then they were processed with SPSSWIN 19.0 Program. Analysis material was 66 items of 58 measures and 8 calculations totally.

1) Lower body measures analysis

The mean and standard deviation were calculated for 66 body measure and calculation items to understand the body characteristics of the women in their early 20 s .
2) Comparison with the $5^{\text {th }}$ Korean's human body size on measurement data

T-test was conducted on the $5^{\text {th }}$ Korean's human body size(Ministry of Commerce, Industry, and Energy, Agency for Technology and Standard, 2004) and 33 of common items of measurements of this study for objectification and comparison of test subjects.

## 3) Factor analysis

Factor analysis was executed for 66 body measure and calculation items to draw the body construction factors of the women's lower body in their early 20s and principal component analysis was performed by orthogonal varimax rotation to clarify the measurement of factors
and to derive explanatory factors.

## 4) Cluster analysis

Group analysis was executed through 5 factors derived from the factor analysis of direct measures to categorize the shapes of the lower body. Classified groups were from 2 to 4 and the number of groups were finally decided by considering the similarity of subject distribution per type and the characteristics of types.

## III. Results

## 1. Lower body measures analysis

For Lower body type analysis, descriptive statistic is composed of the mean, standard deviation, maximum and minimum values and range of 58 direct measures, 1 Rohrer's index and 7 calculation values. And the means of the subjects in their early 20 s are 159.8 cm in height, 67.0 cm in waist circumference, 91.6 cm in hip and 52.0 kg in weight, which are shown in <Tab.2>.

## 2. Comparison with the $5^{\text {th }}$ Korean's human body size on measurement data

In this study, the $5^{\text {th }}$ Korean's human body size on measurement data and those derived this study were compared in order to verify validation of the measurement data and a trend of body type changes of test subjects. As a result of T-test conducted on 501 females in early 20's(20~24 years old), the same age in the report of the $5^{\text {th }}$ Korean's human body size on measurement data, there was a significant difference in the 20 different items at $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$, which are shown in <Tab.3>.

As for height, there was a significant difference in the waist height, waist height (omphalion), hip height, knee height, and lateral malleolus height. This indicates a trend of Westernization that people in their 20's have longer legs than before that consistent with report from Ministry of Knowledge Economy, Agency for Technology and Standard(2010). As for the width, there was a significant difference only in the feet width, and the average of estimated values in this study were lower in the waist and hip thickness that can be interpreted to a trend with thinner waist and hip.
As for circumference, it turned out that it became thinner in 2011 compared to the year of 2004. As for the length, direct length thighs, hip length, and leg length showed significant difference. This indicated that the length of legs became longer just like height. There was a significant difference in the weight that test subjects became lighter when this study was conducted in 2011 compared to the year of 2004. Such a result of the study regarding low weight is influenced by a cultural phenomenon putting priority on currently preferred diet and well-being that consistent with data, indicating the fact that low-weight oriented phenomenon is diffusing with increased proportion of population with low weight compared to 7 years ago.

## 3. Derivation of body construction factors

From a result of factor analysis to understand the body construction factors of various data for 66 body items, 5 factors were derived and explained $72.0 \%$ of the total variation, which are shown in <Tab.4>.
<Tab. 2> Lower body measures analysis

| items |  |  | M | SD | Min. | Max. | CV | Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | Statue | 159.8 | 15.3 | 155.5 | 175.8 | 3.3 | 20.3 |
|  | 2 | Waist Height | 101.3 | 3.6 | 92.7 | 110.8 | 3.7 | 18.1 |
|  | 3 | Waist Height(Omphalion) | 95.6 | 3.4 | 88.3 | 104.6 | 3.7 | 16.3 |
|  | 4 | Abdominal Protrusion Height | 90.6 | 3.5 | 82.6 | 101.3 | 3.9 | 18.7 |
|  | 5 | Iliocristale Height | 91.3 | 3.5 | 82.9 | 100.6 | 3.9 | 17.7 |
|  | 6 | Hip Height | 79.4 | 3.5 | 71.9 | 94.0 | 4.4 | 22.1 |
|  | 7 | Gluteal Fold Height | 72.0 | 4.6 | 63.1 | 97.7 | 6.0 | 34.6 |
|  | 8 | Crotch Height | 72.7 | 3.1 | 64.8 | 80.3 | 4.1 | 15.5 |
|  | 9 | Thigh Height | 73.5 | 3.4 | 65.3 | 89.9 | 5.1 | 24.6 |
|  | 10 | Calf Height | 42.9 | 2.0 | 24.7 | 34.2 | 6.5 | 9.5 |
|  | 11 | Knee Height | 10.5 | 1.0 | 37.9 | 48.7 | 4.7 | 10.8 |
|  | 12 | Minimum Leg Height | 6.4 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 13.2 | 9.5 | 6.7 |
|  | 13 | Lateral Malleolus Height | 7.7 | 0.6 | 4.9 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 3.4 |
|  | 14 | Medial Malleolus Height | 23.3 | 1.3 | 6.3 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 3.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{0} \\ & \stackrel{\otimes}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | 15 | Waist Breadth | 29.7 | 1.5 | 19.9 | 28.0 | 6.3 | 8.1 |
|  | 16 | Abdominal Protrusion Breadth | 32.7 | 1.5 | 24.0 | 35.2 | 5.8 | 11.2 |
|  | 17 | Hip Breadth | 33.0 | 1.5 | 24.8 | 38.4 | 5.5 | 13.6 |
|  | 18 | Thigh to Thigh Breadth | 15.7 | 1.2 | 29.9 | 37.9 | 5.1 | 8.0 |
|  | 19 | Thigh Breadth | 10.2 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 19.3 | 7.9 | 6.3 |
|  | 20 | Knee Breadth | 10.0 | 0.7 | 8.7 | 14.5 | 8.7 | 5.8 |
|  | 21 | Calf Breadth | 5.1 | 0.4 | 8.5 | 14.3 | 8.6 | 5.8 |
|  | 22 | Minimum Leg Breadth | 6.2 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 8.1 | 2.4 |
|  | 23 | Ankle Breadth | 8.8 | 0.6 | 5.0 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 5.8 |
|  | 24 | Foot Breadth, horizontal | 16.8 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 13.8 | 10.3 | 8.6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{8} \\ & \frac{0}{J} \end{aligned}$ | 25 | Waist depth | 16.8 | 1.2 | 14.1 | 22.0 | 8.3 | 7.9 |
|  | 26 | Abdominal Protrusion depth | 19.7 | 1.5 | 16.0 | 25.5 | 8.3 | 9.5 |
|  | 27 | Hip depth | 20.2 | 1.3 | 17.5 | 24.0 | 6.6 | 6.5 |
|  | 28 | Gluteal Fold depth | 16.5 | 1.2 | 13.8 | 21.4 | 8.3 | 7.6 |
|  | 29 | Knee depth | 10.5 | 0.7 | 8.9 | 12.8 | 6.9 | 3.9 |
|  | 30 | Calf depth | 10.3 | 0.6 | 8.8 | 12.8 | 7.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 31 | Waist Circumference | 67.0 | 3.3 | 57.0 | 97.3 | 6.9 | 40.3 |
|  | 32 | Abdominal Protrusion | 80.9 | 4.3 | 70.7 | 93.7 | 5.7 | 23.0 |
|  | 33 | Hip Circumference | 91.6 | 3.8 | 82.3 | 107.3 | 4.7 | 25.0 |
|  | 34 | Hip Circumference(with plate) | 92.2 | 3.8 | 82.8 | 108.4 | 4.7 | 25.6 |
|  | 35 | Thigh Circumference | 54.4 | 2.7 | 46.0 | 67.3 | 5.9 | 21.3 |
|  | 36 | Midthigh Circumference | 46.2 | 2.9 | 38.8 | 60.3 | 7.1 | 21.5 |
|  | 37 | Knee Circumference | 34.6 | 1.9 | 29.5 | 43.6 | 6.3 | 14.1 |
|  | 38 | Lower Knee Circumference | 31.3 | 1.6 | 27.0 | 60.3 | 9.3 | 33.3 |
|  | 39 | Calf Circumference | 33.8 | 2.1 | 29.3 | 42.7 | 7.2 | 13.4 |
|  | 40 | Minimum Leg Circumference | 20.4 | 1.0 | 16.8 | 23.6 | 5.7 | 6.8 |
|  | 41 | Ankle Circumference | 23.1 | 1.1 | 20.0 | 32.3 | 6.1 | 12.3 |

<Tab. 2> Continued

| items |  |  | M | SD | Min. | Max. | CV | Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 呴 } \\ & \text { 욱 } \end{aligned}$ | 42 | Crotch Length | 72.9 | 4.1 | 64.8 | 84.4 | 5.6 | 19.6 |
|  | 43 | Gluteal Fold Length | 31.7 | 1.8 | 21.2 | 35.8 | 6.4 | 14.6 |
|  | 44 | Thigh Vertical Length | 28.8 | 1.8 | 24.1 | 33.1 | 6.1 | 9.0 |
|  | 45 | Hip Length | 22.5 | 2.0 | 16.3 | 29.2 | 9.2 | 12.9 |
|  | 46 | Waist to Lateral Malleous <br> Length | 97.1 | 3.6 | 88.5 | 106.8 | 3.8 | 18.3 |
|  | 47 | Outside Leg Length | 102.8 | 3.7 | 93.7 | 113.4 | 3.7 | 19.7 |
|  | 48 | Crotch to Medial Malleous Length | 65.8 | 3.0 | 58.1 | 81.9 | 4.9 | 23.8 |
|  | 49 | Sitting Height | 86.4 | 2.8 | 77.9 | 94.0 | 3.3 | 16.1 |
|  | 50 | Thigh Clearance | 13.2 | 1.1 | 10.1 | 17.1 | 8.8 | 7.0 |
|  | 51 | Knee Height, sitting | 45.7 | 2.0 | 39.3 | 56.9 | 4.9 | 17.6 |
|  | 52 | Popliteal Height | 38.8 | 1.7 | 34.0 | 43.2 | 4.5 | 9.2 |
|  | 53 | Hip Breadth, sitting | 35.5 | 1.9 | 31.8 | 42.5 | 6.0 | 10.7 |
|  | 54 | Abdominal Depth, sitting | 19.1 | 1.4 | 15.6 | 28.9 | 9.2 | 13.3 |
|  | 55 | Knee Circumference, sitting | 36.2 | 2.4 | 23.6 | 46.0 | 6.9 | 22.4 |
|  | 56 | Buttock-Knee Length | 55.1 | 2.2 | 45.9 | 64.5 | 4.5 | 18.6 |
|  | 57 | Buttock-Popliteal Length | 46.3 | 2.2 | 39.7 | 57.5 | 5.1 | 17.8 |
|  | 58 | Weight | 52.0 | 5.0 | 38.0 | 77.0 | 11.5 | 39.0 |
|  | 59 | Röhrer's index | 124.7 | 13.1 | 100.8 | 168.8 | 10.5 | 68.1 |
|  | 60 | Waist depth/Waist Breadth | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 12.7 | 1.0 |
|  | 61 | Abdominal Protrusion depth/ Abdominal Protrusion Breadth | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.2 |
|  | 62 | Hip depth/Hip Breadth | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 5.3 | 0.1 |
|  | 63 | Abdominal Protrusion Breadth/ Waist Breadth | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 0.3 |
|  | 64 | Hip Breadth/Waist Breadth | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 0.5 |
|  | 65 | Hip Breadth-Waist Breadth | 12.4 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 9.5 |
|  | 66 | Hip CircumferenceWaist Circumference | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 0.6 |

Factor1 was weighted to the circumference, width and weight related to the horizontal size of the lower body and Rohrer's index to show the 'obesity and horizontal size of the lower body'. They were Hip Circumference, Thigh Circumference, Weight, Hip Depth, Thigh Breadth, Midthigh Circumference, Abdomen Circumference, Waist Circumference, Gluteal Furrow Breadth and Hip Breadth(sitting) in order of importance, which were weighted to 21 items totally. It was the greatest value among 5 factors
with the eigenvalue of 19.92 and had the explanatory power of $36.89 \%$ out of the total variation.

Factor2 was weighted to the items related to the height and vertical length of the lower body including stature, which shows the 'vertical size of the lower body'. They were Crotch Height, Waist Height(Omphalion), Inside Leg Length, Outside Leg Length, Lateral Waist to Lateral Malleolus Length, Abdominal Height, Waist Height, lliocristale Height, Stature and Thigh
＜Tab．3＞A result of comparison with the $5^{\text {th }}$ Korean＇s human body size on measurement data
unit：cm

| Items |  |  | 2004 |  | 2011 |  | t－value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | SD | M | SD |  |
|  | 1 | Statue | 160.4 | 5.2 | 159.8 | 15.3 | 0.5 |
|  | 2 | Waist Height | 100.2 | 4.0 | 101.3 | 3.6 | $6.9 * *$ |
|  | 3 | Waist Height（Omphaion） | 94.4 | 3.7 | 95.6 | 3.4 | $9.6{ }^{* *}$ |
|  | 4 | Hip Height | 78.4 | 3.6 | 79.4 | 3.5 | $8 .{ }^{* *}$ |
|  | 5 | Crotch Height | 72.6 | 3.4 | 72.7 | 3.1 | 0.1 |
|  | 6 | Knee Height | 40.8 | 2.1 | 42.9 | 2.0 | 94．5＊＊＊ |
|  | 7 | Lateral Malleolus Height | 6.2 | 0.5 | 6.4 | 0.6 | $15.0^{* * *}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 眗 } \\ & \text { 首 } \end{aligned}$ | 8 | Waist Breadth | 23.6 | 1.8 | 23.3 | 1.3 | 2.9 |
|  | 9 | Hip Breadth | 32.4 | 1.6 | 32.7 | 1.5 | 3.6 |
|  | 10 | Foot Breadth，horizontal | 9.1 | 0.5 | 8.8 | 0.6 | $36.6{ }^{* * *}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & \hline \frac{0}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 11 | Waist depth | 17.3 | 1.9 | 16.8 | 1.2 | 6.6 ＊＊ |
|  | 12 | Hip depth | 20.8 | 1.9 | 20.2 | 1.3 | 11.3 ＊＊＊ |
|  | 13 | Waist Circumference | 67.1 | 5.7 | 67.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 14 | Hip Circumference | 91.3 | 4.8 | 91.6 | 3.8 | 0.3 |
|  | 15 | Thigh Circumference | 53.9 | 4.2 | 54.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 |
|  | 16 | Midthigh Circumference | 47.6 | 4.0 | 46.2 | 2.9 | $13.4 * * *$ |
|  | 17 | Knee Circumference | 35.0 | 2.4 | 34.6 | 1.9 | 2.7 |
|  | 18 | Lower Knee Circumference | 32.3 | 2.1 | 31.3 | 1.6 | $27.3^{* * *}$ |
|  | 19 | Calf Circumference | 34.2 | 2.4 | 33.8 | 2.1 | 3.8 |
|  | 20 | Minimum Leg Circumference | 20.6 | 1.2 | 20.4 | 1.0 | 2.9 |
|  | 21 | Ankle Circumference | 23.3 | 1.1 | 23.1 | 1.1 | 3.4 |
| 发 | 22 | Thigh Vertical Length | 27.8 | 2.1 | 28.8 | 1.8 | $23.3{ }^{* * *}$ |
|  | 23 | Hip Length | 23.0 | 2.3 | 22.5 | 2.0 | 4．9＊ |
|  | 24 | Outside Leg Length | 101.1 | 4.2 | 102.8 | 3.7 | 15.6 ＊＊＊ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 25 | Sitting Height | 86.6 | 2.8 | 86.4 | 2.8 | 0.6 |
|  | 26 | Thigh Clearance | 13.6 | 1.2 | 13.2 | 1.1 | 9.9 ＊＊ |
|  | 27 | Knee Height，sitting | 48.3 | 2.1 | 45.7 | 2.0 | $149.8^{* * *}$ |
|  | 28 | Popliteal Height | 37.7 | 2.0 | 38.8 | 1.7 | $33.4 * *$ |
|  | 29 | Hip Breadth，sitting | 34.9 | 2.0 | 35.5 | 1.9 | 8.0 ＊＊ |
|  | 30 | Abdominal Depth，sitting | 19.2 | 2.2 | 19.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 |
|  | 31 | Buttock－Knee Length | 54.6 | 2.3 | 55.1 | 2.2 | $3.9 *$ |
|  | 32 | Buttock－Popliteal Length | 44.9 | 2.2 | 46.3 | 2.2 | $45.1{ }^{* * *}$ |
|  | 33 | Weight | 53.6 | 7.0 | 52.0 | 5.0 | $5.2^{*}$ |

$* \mathrm{p} \leq .05, * * \mathrm{p} \leq .01, * * * \mathrm{p} \leq .001$
＜Tab．4＞Factor contents

|  | Factor contents | Eigenvalues | Variance（\％） | Cumulative（\％） |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Obesity and horizontal size of the <br> lower body | 19.9 | 36.9 | 36.9 |
| 2 | Vertical size of the lower body | 11.1 | 20.5 | 57.4 |
| 3 | Horizontal size from the calf to the <br> ankle | 3.9 | 7.2 | 64.6 |
| 4 | Width and breadth of the waist | 2.3 | 4.2 | 68.8 |
| 5 | Vertical size of the ankle | 1.7 | 3.2 | 72.0 |
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Height in order of importance, which were weighted to 18 items totally. It was second value among 5 factors with the eigenvalue of 11.07 and had the explanatory power of $20.50 \%$ out of the total variation.

Factor3 was weighted to the circumference and width from the calf to the ankle showing the 'horizontal size from the calf to the ankle'. They were Minimum Leg Circumference, Calf Depth, Minimum Leg Breadth, Calf Circumference, Calf Breadth, Ankle Circumference and Ankle Breadth in order of importance, which were weighted to 7 items totally. It was the eigenvalue of 3.91 and had the explanatory power of $7.24 \%$ out of the total variation.

Factor4 was weighted to the items related to the width and circumference of the waist and
shows the 'width and breadth of the waist'. They were Hip Width/Waist Width, Hip Width-Waist Width, Abdominal Breadth/Waist Width and Hip Circumference-Waist Circumference in order of importance, which were weighted to 4 items totally. It was the eigenvalue of 2.25 and had the explanatory power of $4.16 \%$ out of the total variation.
Factor5 was weighted to the height of the ankle that shows the 'vertical size of the ankle'. They were Minimum Leg Height, Lateral Malleolus Height and Medial Malleolus Height in order of importance, which were weighted to 3 items totally. It was the eigenvalue of 1.74 and had the explanatory power of $3.22 \%$ out of the total variation, <Tab.5> is the result of the body construction factor analysis.
<Tab. 5> A result of the body construction factor analysis
unit: cm

| Items | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Communalities <br> $\left(h^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hip Circumference(with plate) | 0.908 | 0.200 | 0.177 | 0.129 | 0.079 | 0.956 |
| Hip Circumference | 0.902 | 0.195 | 0.183 | 0.136 | 0.085 | 0.950 |
| Thigh Circumference | 0.897 | -0.011 | 0.109 | 0.043 | 0.007 | 0.843 |
| Weight | 0.833 | 0.295 | 0.333 | -0.028 | 0.157 | 0.919 |
| Hip depth | 0.802 | -0.091 | 0.109 | 0.031 | 0.047 | 0.673 |
| Thigh Breadth | 0.791 | 0.134 | 0.182 | 0.027 | -0.087 | 0.723 |
| Midthigh Circumference | 0.788 | -0.088 | 0.347 | 0.137 | -0.017 | 0.777 |
| Abdominal Protrusion | 0.769 | 0.209 | 0.106 | -0.078 | 0.184 | 0.846 |
| Waist Circumference | 0.765 | 0.135 | 0.156 | -0.456 | 0.134 | 0.924 |
| Gluteal Fold depth | 0.759 | -0.049 | 0.180 | 0.169 | 0.028 | 0.719 |
| Hip Breadth, sitting | 0.753 | 0.192 | 0.281 | 0.392 | 0.010 | 0.851 |
| Thigh to Thigh Breadth | 0.749 | 0.279 | 0.230 | 0.248 | 0.153 | 0.869 |
| Waist depth | 0.735 | -0.070 | 0.098 | -0.177 | 0.032 | 0.706 |
| Hip Breadth | 0.723 | 0.254 | 0.217 | 0.184 | 0.028 | 0.779 |
| Abdominal Protrusion Breadth | 0.685 | 0.153 | 0.173 | 0.126 | 0.134 | 0.851 |
| Röhrer's index | 0.683 | -0.558 | 0.114 | -0.160 | -0.100 | 0.834 |
| Abdomial Depth, sitting | 0.676 | 0.116 | 0.072 | -0.090 | 0.131 | 0.687 |
| Lower Knee Circumference | 0.665 | 0.110 | 0.572 | 0.051 | 0.184 | 0.823 |
| Knee Circumference | 0.650 | 0.126 | 0.421 | 0.122 | 0.187 | 0.684 |
| Knee Breadth | 0.622 | 0.068 | 0.404 | 0.002 | 0.138 | 0.616 |
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<Tab. 5> Continued
unit: cm

| Items | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Communalities $\left(h^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thigh Clearance | 0.565 | 0.130 | 0.230 | 0.045 | -0.283 | 0.556 |
| Crotch Height | -0.026 | 0.945 | 0.080 | 0.020 | 0.048 | 0.908 |
| Waist Height(Omphalion) | 0.110 | 0.931 | 0.108 | 0.058 | 0.154 | 0.920 |
| Crotch to Medial Malleous Length | 0.011 | 0.918 | 0.016 | -0.063 | -0.041 | 0.852 |
| Outside Leg Length | 0.161 | 0.915 | 0.072 | 0.063 | 0.161 | 0.905 |
| Waist to Lateral Malleous Length | 0.146 | 0.906 | 0.088 | 0.079 | 0.099 | 0.873 |
| Abdominal Protrusion Height | 0.108 | 0.903 | 0.114 | -0.032 | 0.113 | 0.869 |
| Waist Height | 0.186 | 0.900 | 0.122 | 0.082 | 0.118 | 0.887 |
| Iliocristale Height | 0.079 | 0.898 | 0.085 | -0.045 | 0.116 | 0.851 |
| Statue | 0.123 | 0.853 | 0.185 | 0.123 | 0.238 | 0.855 |
| Thigh Height | -0.052 | 0.836 | 0.068 | -0.033 | 0.061 | 0.715 |
| Knee Height | 0.074 | 0.807 | 0.093 | 0.091 | 0.048 | 0.733 |
| Hip Height | 0.054 | 0.806 | 0.067 | 0.019 | -0.006 | 0.731 |
| Popliteal Height | -0.010 | 0.756 | 0.010 | -0.004 | -0.100 | 0.612 |
| Buttock-Knee Length | 0.389 | 0.713 | 0.018 | -0.230 | 0.118 | 0.759 |
| Thigh Vertical Length | -0.052 | 0.689 | -0.062 | -0.078 | -0.008 | 0.574 |
| Buttock-Knee Length | 0.244 | 0.656 | -0.067 | -0.181 | 0.087 | 0.614 |
| Sitting Height | 0.083 | 0.601 | 0.089 | 0.337 | 0.314 | 0.600 |
| Calf Height | 0.051 | 0.552 | -0.026 | 0.192 | -0.107 | 0.889 |
| Minimum Leg Circumference | 0.438 | 0.163 | 0.753 | 0.022 | 0.036 | 0.790 |
| Calf depth | 0.485 | 0.021 | 0.744 | 0.002 | -0.085 | 0.859 |
| Minimum Leg Breadth | 0.406 | 0.093 | 0.733 | 0.123 | 0.052 | 0.782 |
| Calf Circumference | 0.553 | 0.017 | 0.719 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.426 |
| Calf Breadth | 0.535 | -0.063 | 0.678 | 0.001 | 0.141 | 0.808 |
| Ankle Circumference | 0.301 | 0.322 | 0.607 | -0.032 | -0.048 | 0.604 |
| Ankle Breadth | 0.366 | 0.184 | 0.593 | -0.016 | 0.021 | 0.728 |
| Hip Breadth/Waist Breadth | 0.098 | 0.025 | 0.040 | 0.947 | -0.011 | 0.920 |
| Hip Breadth-Waist Breadth | 0.364 | 0.092 | 0.138 | 0.867 | 0.003 | 0.913 |
| Abdominal Protrusion Breadth /Waist Breadth | 0.010 | -0.014 | -0.075 | 0.821 | 0.118 | 0.803 |
| Minimum Leg Height | 0.127 | 0.252 | 0.028 | -0.127 | 0.723 | 0.621 |
| Lateral Malleolus Height | 0.246 | 0.211 | -0.144 | 0.013 | 0.687 | 0.666 |
| Medial Malleolus Height | -0.001 | 0.171 | 0.217 | 0.170 | 0.655 | 0.573 |
| Eigenvalues | 19.921 | 11.070 | 3.911 | 2.247 | 1.737 | - |
| Variance(\%) | 36.890 | 20.499 | 7.243 | 4.160 | 3.216 | - |
| Cumulative(\%) | 36.890 | 57.389 | 64.632 | 68.792 | 72.008 | - |

## 3. Body types classification according to Cluster analysis

In oder to classify the lower body type of overall women aged 20th and to identify its characteristics, it was performed the group analysis in order to classify the types as variables of the items obtained from factor analysis, and the result is the same as the <Tab.6>. The number of the group was against 123 peoples except 27 peoples of extreme body type, and 2~4 numbers of group designated so as to be distributed evenly for the number of peoples. Finally, the number of groups determined after identifying its characteristics of
each group.
The researcher of this study analyzed it by categorizing into 3 types because it is considered that percentage distribution or characteristics of the types were the best represented if the number of groups were classified as 3

The frequency of the types and the factor scores per each types are shown as <Tab.7>. Distribution analysis and Duncan-test was performed in order to verify the type differences by the classified factors and the structure of the type differences. and the results are the same as <Tab.9>. 3 factors had significant differences of the types among 5 and 50 items among 53 had significant differences.
<Tab. 6> Number of cases in each cluster
unit : $N(\%)$

| Number | Cluster1 | Cluster2 | Cluster3 | Cluster4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $79(64.2)$ | $44(35.8)$ |  |  |
| 3 | $30(24.4)$ | $31(25.2)$ | $62(50.4)$ |  |
| 4 | $41(33.3)$ | $30(24.4)$ | $33(26.8)$ | $19(15.5)$ |

<Tab. 7> Examination result of factors score per each type, type difference per each factor and structure of type difference

| Factor Type | Type 1 | Type2 | Type3 | F-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factor1 <br> Obesity and horizontal size of the lower body | $\begin{gathered} 1.02 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.31 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.65 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $58.666^{* * *}$ |
| Factor2 <br> Vertical size of the lower body | $\begin{gathered} 0.45 \\ B \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.17 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.37 \\ B \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $52.279^{* * *}$ |
| Factor3 <br> Horizontal size from the calf to the ankle | $\begin{gathered} 0.51 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.15 \\ A \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.17 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $5.460^{* *}$ |
| Factor4 <br> Width and breadth of the waist | $\begin{gathered} 0.06 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.25 \\ A \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 1.351 |
| Factor5 <br> Vertical size of the ankle | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ \text { A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.15 \\ A \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.004 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 0.719 |

*p $\leq .05 \quad * * \mathrm{p} \leq .01 \quad * * * \mathrm{p} \leq .001$
$A, B, C$ expresses the groups that had significant differences of $p \leq .05$ from Duncan test result. ( $A<B<C$ ).

## - Type 1

In type1, factor1, factor2 and factor3 showed the most highest value. In other words, lower body's obesity factor and horizontal size, lower body's vertical size and horizontal size from calf to the ankle are the large. The analyzed result for the anthropometry showed that factor1 had a high average value from 21 items among 22 items excluding Rohrer's index such as Hip Circumference, Hip depth, Hip Breadth, Waist, Waist depth, Weight and etc, factor2 had a high average value from 18 items such as Statue, Waist Height, Hip Height, Crotch Height and etc and factor3 had a high average value from 7 items.

## - Type 2

In type2, factor1 showed middle range of average value and factor2 and factor3 showed the lowest value. In other words, lower body's vertical size and horizontal size from calf to the ankle are lowest, and lower body's obesity factor and horizontal size are middle size. The factorl had a middle average value from 13 items among 22 items such as Hip Circumference, Thigh Circumference, Weight ,Hip
depth, Waist, Waist depth, and etc and showed the highest value in Rohrer's index. The factor2 had a low value from 18 items such as Statue, Waist Height, Hip Height, Crotch Height and etc. The factor3 had the 2 nd value from 3 items such as Calf depth, Calf circumference, and Calf Breadth.

## - Type 3

In type3, factor1 and factor3 showed the minimum value and factor2 showed high value so lower body's obesity factor, horizontal size, and horizontal size from calf to the ankle are low but lower body's vertical size is middle size. The factor1 had a lowest value from 13 items among 22 items such as Hip Circumference, Thigh Circumference, Weight, Abdominal Protrusion, Waist, and etc and the factor2 showed the 2 nd value among 18 items.
The results analyzing the characteristics by the body type of 3 types by integrating the differences between the factor scores by the types appeared in <Tab. 7> and the measured values appeared in <Tab.6> is the same as <Tab. 8> and the front of he women in their early 20 s is shown as <Fig.1>.
<Tab. 8> A result of cluster analysis
unit: cm

| Classification |  | Type1(n=30) |  |  | Type2( $\mathrm{n}=31$ ) |  |  | Type3(n=62) |  |  | F-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | S.D | D | Mean | S.D | D | Mean | S.D | D |  |
|  | Hip Circumference(with plate) | 96.7 | 3.0 | C | 92.0 | 2.8 | b | 90.2 | 2.4 | a | 59.698 *** |
|  | Hip Circumference | 96.0 | 3.0 | c | 91.3 | 2.8 | b | 89.5 | 2.4 | a | 60.514 *** |
|  | Thigh Circumference | 57.0 | 2.6 | C | 55.2 | 2.0 | b | 52.8 | 1.8 | a | 43.733 *** |
|  | Weight | 58.2 | 3.6 | c | 51.4 | 3.9 | b | 49.4 | 3.3 | a | 62.722 *** |
|  | Hip depth | 21.3 | 0.9 | c | 20.6 | 1.1 | b | 19.6 | 1.0 | a | 32.173 *** |
|  | Thigh Breadth | 16.8 | 1.1 | C | 15.7 | 1.1 | b | 15.2 | 0.9 | a | 25.005 *** |
|  | Midthigh Circumference | 49.0 | 2.7 | C | 47.1 | 2.1 | b | 44.3 | 1.7 | a | 53.077 *** |
|  | Abdominal Protrusion | 85.6 | 3.1 | C | 80.6 | 3.9 | b | 78.8 | 3.1 | a | 42.636 *** |
|  | Waist Circumference | 70.2 | 2.2 | c | 67.4 | 2.8 | b | 65.2 | 2.7 | a | 37.223 *** |
|  | Gluteal Fold depth | 17.5 | 1.0 | c | 16.8 | 1.0 | b | 15.9 | 1.0 | a | 25.165 *** |
|  | Hip Breadth, sitting | 37.5 | 1.8 | b | 35.2 | 1.5 | a | 34.7 | 1.5 | a | 32.150 *** |
|  | Thigh to Thigh Breadth | 34.6 | 1.6 | b | 32.5 | 1.1 | a | 32.4 | 1.1 | a | 35.592 *** |

$a, b, c$ expresses the groups that had significant differences of $p \leq .05$ from Duncan test result. $(a<b<c)$.
<Tab. 8> Continued
unit: cm

| Classification |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Type1 } \\ & (n=30) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Type2 } \\ & (n=31) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Type3 } \\ & (n=62) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | F-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | S.D | D | Mean | S.D | D | Mean | S.D | D |  |
|  | Waist depth | 17.8 | 0.9 | C | 17.3 | 1.2 | b | 16.1 | 1.0 | a | 30.835 *** |
|  | Hip Breadth | 34.2 | 1.7 | b | 32.3 | 1.3 | a | 32.2 | 1.0 | a | 26.890 *** |
|  | Abdominal Protrusion Breadth | 31.0 | 1.5 | b | 29.4 | 1.5 | a | 29.2 | 1.1 | a | 21.412 *** |
|  | Röhrer's index | 131.3 | 7.0 | b | 137.4 | 10.0 | C | 115.0 | 6.1 | a | 108.185 *** |
|  | Abdomial Depth, sitting | 20.5 | 1.3 | C | 19.2 | 1.3 | b | 18.5 | 1.1 | a | 27.157 *** |
|  | Lower Knee Circumference | 32.9 | 1.1 | b | 31.1 | 1.5 | a | 30.6 | 1.3 | a | 32.636 *** |
|  | Knee Circumference | 36.4 | 1.6 | b | 34.4 | 1.9 | a | 33.9 | 1.4 | a | 24.827 *** |
|  | Knee Breadth | 10.7 | 0.7 | C | 10.2 | 0.7 | b | 9.9 | 0.6 | a | 17.568 *** |
|  | Thigh Clearance | 13.9 | 1.0 | b | 13.3 | 1.2 | a | 12.9 | 1.0 | a | 9.053 *** |
|  | Crotch Height | 74.0 | 2.1 | b | 69.3 | 2.2 | a | 73.7 | 2.5 | b | 44.886 *** |
|  | Waist Height(Omphalion) | 97.7 | 2.4 | C | 91.6 | 2.0 | a | 96.6 | 2.8 | b | 53.355 *** |
|  | Crotch to Medial Malleous Length | 67.2 | 2.3 | b | 62.6 | 1.6 | a | 66.8 | 2.6 | b | 40.356 *** |
|  | Outside Leg Length | 105.3 | 2.2 | C | 98.5 | 2.1 | a | 103.7 | 3.1 | b | 55.064 *** |
|  | Waist to Lateral Malleous Length | 99.5 | 2.1 | C | 93.0 | 2.1 | a | 98.1 | 3.1 | b | 52.193 *** |
|  | Abdominal Protrusion Height | 92.6 | 2.6 | b | 87.1 | 1.9 | a | 91.5 | 3.1 | b | 36.458 *** |
|  | Waist Height | 104.1 | 2.2 | C | 97.2 | 2.2 | a | 102.0 | 3.0 | b | 55.692 *** |
|  | Iliocristale Height | 92.9 | 2.5 | b | 87.8 | 2.8 | a | 92.3 | 3.0 | b | 32.754 *** |
|  | Statue | 164.2 | 3.3 | b | 155.3 | 4.5 | a | 162.5 | 3.8 | b | 48.333 *** |
|  | Thigh Height | 74.6 | 2.8 | b | 70.5 | 2.6 | a | 74.5 | 2.8 | b | 23.155 *** |
|  | Knee Height | 43.7 | 1.6 | b | 41.0 | 1.4 | a | 43.4 | 1.9 | b | 24.410 *** |
|  | Hip Height | 81.0 | 2.5 | b | 76.3 | 4.0 | a | 80.2 | 2.7 | b | 22.305 *** |
|  | Popliteal Height | 39.3 | 1.6 | b | 37.3 | 1.5 | a | 39.2 | 1.4 | b | 20.875 *** |
|  | Buttock-Knee Length | 56.5 | 1.9 | C | 53.6 | 1.6 | a | 55.1 | 2.2 | b | 16.299 *** |
|  | Thigh Vertical Length | 29.4 | 1.6 | b | 27.3 | 1.4 | a | 29.3 | 1.7 | b | 18.855 *** |
|  | Buttock-Knee Length | 47.3 | 2.2 | b | 45.0 | 1.8 | a | 46.5 | 2.1 | b | 10.357 *** |
|  | Sitting Height | 87.6 | 2.3 | b | 83.8 | 2.4 | a | 87.0 | 2.3 | b | 24.587 *** |
|  | Calf Height | 30.2 | 1.8 | b | 28.4 | 1.8 | a | 30.0 | 1.8 | b | 10.395 *** |
|  | Minimum Leg Circumference | 21.5 | 0.8 | b | 20.3 | 0.8 | a | 20.0 | 0.9 | a | 28.579 *** |
|  | Calf depth | 10.8 | 0.5 | C | 10.3 | 0.6 | b | 10.0 | 0.6 | a | 16.602 *** |
|  | Minimum Leg Breadth | 5.4 | 0.4 | b | 5.0 | 0.2 | a | 4.9 | 0.3 | a | 20.146 *** |
|  | Calf Circumference | 35.8 | 2.0 | C | 33.9 | 1.7 | b | 32.8 | 1.7 | a | 29.186 *** |
|  | Calf Breadth | 10.7 | 0.6 | C | 10.2 | 0.6 | b | 9.7 | 0.6 | a | 28.229 *** |
|  | Ankle Circumference | 24.2 | 1.1 | b | 22.6 | 0.8 | a | 22.8 | 1.0 | a | 24.951 *** |
|  | Ankle Breadth | 6.6 | 0.4 | b | 6.1 | 0.3 | a | 6.1 | 0.3 | a | 20.942 *** |
|  | Hip Breadth/Waist Breadth | 1.5 | 0.1 | a | 1.5 | 0.1 | a | 1.5 | 0.1 | a | 0.995 |
|  | Hip Breadth-Waist Breadth | 13.2 | 1.8 | b | 11.8 | 1.8 | a | 11.9 | 1.6 | a | 6.150 ** |
|  | Abdominal Protrusion Breadth /Waist Breadth | 1.3 | 0.1 | a | 1.3 | 0.1 | a | 1.3 | 0.1 | a | 0.881 |
|  | Minimum Leg Height | 10.7 | 1.0 | a | 10.3 | 0.9 | a | 10.5 | 1.0 | a | 1.305 |
|  | Lateral Malleolus Height | 6.7 | 0.6 | b | 6.3 | 0.6 | a | 6.4 | 0.5 | a | 4.974 ** |
|  | Medial Malleolus Height | 7.8 | 0.7 | b | 7.4 | 0.5 | a | 7.7 | 0.6 | b | 3.535 * |

$\star \mathrm{p} \leq .05 \quad * * \mathrm{p} \leq .01 \quad * * * \mathrm{p} \leq .001$
$a, b, c$ expresses the groups that had significant differences of $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ from Duncan test result. $(\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}<\mathrm{c})$.
<Tab. 9> Characteristics per each types

| Type(N) | Body Type Characteristics |
| :---: | :--- |
| Type1(30) | Lower body's obesity factor and horizontal size, lower body's vertical size, <br> horizontal size from calf to the ankle are large <br> - Tall and fat type |
| Type2(31) | Lower body's obesity factor and horizontal size are middle, lower body's <br> vertical size and horizontal size from calf to the ankle are small <br> - Shortest and a little curvy type |
| Type3(62) | Lower body's obesity factor and horizontal size is smallest, lower body's <br> vertical size and horizontal size from calf to the ankle are small <br> - Average height and the most skinny type |


<Fig. 1> The front of the women in their early 20 s.
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<Fig. 1> Continued

## IV. Conclusion

This study has a purpose to provide the lower body characteristics of the overall adult women aged $20^{\text {th }}$ as a way to improve the fitness of lower clothing products such as skirts or slacks of adult women. After lower body was measured directly by the Martin instrument and doing stereotyping of these measured values, it was investigated the body types characteristics by the types by comparing the relationships between
groups. The main results of this study are as follows.

1. According to the body measurement of the women in their early 20s, the average for height is 159.8 cm , Waist is 67.0 cm , Hip Circumference is 91.6 cm and weight is 52.0 kg .
2. As a result of comparison with the $5^{\text {th }}$ Korean's human body size on measurement data, 20 items out of 33 items showed significant difference. Especially, height and length showed higher significant difference,
indicating that the length of the legs became longer compared to the year of 2004. Weight has reduced by 1 kg compared to the year of 2004, indicating significant difference that this is regarded as a part of cultural phenomena putting priority on diet and well-being.
3. According to the result of factor analysis for body measurement of the women in their early 20s, the factors that composes body shape are obesity and horizontal size (factor1), vertical size (factor2), horizontal size from calf to the ankle (factor3), form factor from waist to hip (factor4) and vertical size of ankle area (factor5).
4. According to the group analysis done with items showed from factor analysis result of the women in their early 20s, body types' characteristics divided into 3 types. Type1(24.4\%) had tall and fat body form, type2(25.2\%) had shortest and curvy body form and type3(50.4\%)had average height and the most skinny body form.

With the above result, actual measurement of lower body that had similar range were divided into 3 groups and there were characteristics per each type. Therefore according to the type characteristics, it is considered to improve the pattern, size development, fit, and wear sensation.

Finally, as the objects of this study were from capital region and were university students, the result should not be generalized.
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