

CLOZ-COVERS OF TYCHONOFF SPACES

CHANGIL KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we construct a cover $(\mathcal{L}(X), c_X)$ of a space X such that for any cloz-cover (Y, f) of X , there is a covering map $g : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $c_X \circ g = f$. Using this, we show that every Tychonoff space X has a minimal cloz-cover $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$ and that for a strongly zero-dimensional space X , $\beta E_{cc}(X) = E_{cc}(\beta X)$ if and only if $E_{cc}(X)$ is $z^\#$ -embedded in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

All spaces in this paper are assumed to be Tychonoff spaces and βX denotes the Stone-Ćech compactification of a space X .

Iliadis constructed the absolutes of Hausdorff spaces, which are exactly the minimal extremally disconnected covers of Hausdorff spaces and they turn out to be the perfect onto projective covers([5]).

There have been many ramifications from the minimal extremally disconnected covers of spaces. That is, to generalize extremally disconnected spaces, basically disconnected spaces, quasi-F spaces and cloz-spaces has been introduced and their covers have been studied by various authors([3], [4], [8]). In these ramifications, minimal covers of compact spaces can be nicely characterized.

In particular, Henriksen, Vermeer and Woods ([4]) introduced the notion of cloz-spaces and they showed that every compact space X has a minimal cloz-cover $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$. Open questions in the theory of cloz-spaces concerns with the minimal cloz-covers of non-compact spaces and the relation between $E_{cc}(\beta X)$ and $E_{cc}(X)$ ([4]). For this problem, we have the partial answer in [6]. Indeed, it is shown that for a weakly Lindelöf space X , X has a minimal cloz-cover $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$ and that $E_{cc}(X)$ is a dense subspace of the minimal cloz-cover cover $E_{cc}(\beta X)$ of βX .

Received by the editors August 31, 2011. Accepted October 23, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54D80, 54G05, 54C10.

Key words and phrases. Stone-space, cloz-space, covering map.

The present research was conducted by the research fund of Dankook university in 2011.

In this paper, we first construct a cover $(\mathcal{L}(X), c_X)$ of a space X and show that for any cloz-cover (Y, f) of X , there is a covering map $g : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $c_X \circ g = f$. Moreover, we show that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a cloz-space if and only if $(\mathcal{L}(X), c_X)$ is the minimal cloz-cover of X . Using these and the transfinite induction, we show that every space X has the minimal cloz-cover $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$. Finally, we show that if X is a strongly zero-dimensional space, then $\beta E_{cc}(X) = E_{cc}(\beta X)$ if and only if $E_{cc}(X)$ is $z^\#$ -embedded in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$.

For the terminology, we refer to [1], [2] and [7].

2. CLOZ-COVERS OF TYCHONOFF SPACES

Let X be a space. It is well-known that the collection $\mathcal{R}(X)$ of all regular closed sets in a space X , when partially ordered by inclusion, becomes a complete Boolean algebra, in which the join, meet, and complementation operations are defined as follows :

For any $A \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ and any $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(X)$,

$$\bigvee \mathcal{F} = cl_X(\cup\{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}),$$

$$\bigwedge \mathcal{F} = cl_X(int_X(\cap\{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\})), \text{ and}$$

$$A' = cl_X(X - A).$$

A sublattice of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ that contains \emptyset, X and is closed under finite joins and finite meets([7]). Let $Z(X)^\# = \{cl_X(int_X(A)) \mid A \text{ is a zero-set in } X\}$. Then $Z(X)^\#$ is a sublattice of $R(X)$.

Recall that a map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is called a *covering map* if it is an onto continuous, perfect, and irreducible map([7]).

Lemma 2.1 ([6]). *Let X be a dense subspace of Y .*

- (1) *The map $\phi : R(Y) \rightarrow R(X)$, defined by $\phi(A) = A \cap X$, is a Boolean isomorphism.*
- (2) *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a covering map. Then the map $\psi : R(Y) \rightarrow R(X)$, defined by $\psi(A) = f(A)$, is a Boolean isomorphism.*

In the above lemma, the inverse map $\phi^{-1} : R(X) \rightarrow R(Y)$ of ϕ is given by $\phi^{-1}(B) = cl_Y(B)$ ($B \in R(X)$) and the inverse map $\psi^{-1} : R(X) \rightarrow R(Y)$ of ψ is given by $\psi^{-1}(B) = cl_Y(int_Y(f^{-1}(B))) = cl_Y(f^{-1}(int_X(B)))$ ($B \in R(X)$).

Definition 2.2. Let X be a space.

- (1) A cozero-set C in X is said to be a *complemented cozero-set* in X if there

is a cozero-set D in X such that $C \cap D = \emptyset$ and $C \cup D$ is a dense subset of X . In case, $\{C, D\}$ is called a *complemented pair of cozero-sets in X* .

(2) Let $\mathcal{G}(X) = \{cl_X(C) \mid C \text{ is a complemented cozero-set in } X\}$.

Let X be a space and $\{C, D\}$ a complemented pair of cozero-sets in X . Then $cl_X(C) = cl_X(X - D)$ and since $cl_X(X - D) \in Z(X)^\#, cl_X(C) \in Z(X)^\#$. Hence $\mathcal{G}(X) = \{A \in Z(X)^\# \mid A' \in Z(X)^\#\}$ and $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is a Boolean subalgebra of $R(X)$.

Definition 2.3 ([4]). A space X is called a *cloz-space* if every element of $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is a clopen set in X .

A space X is a cloz-space if and only if βX is a cloz-space([4]).

Definition 2.4. Let X be a space.

- (1) A pair (Y, f) is called a *cloz-cover of X* if Y is a cloz-space and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a covering map.
- (2) A cloz-cover (Y, f) of X is called a *minimal cloz-cover of X* if for any cloz-cover (Z, g) of X , there is a covering map $h : Z \rightarrow Y$ with $f \circ h = g$.

Henriksen, Vermeer and Woods showed that every compact space X has the minimal cloz-cover $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$. Let X be a compact space, $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ the Stone-space of $\mathcal{G}(X)$ and $E_{cc}(X)$ the subspace $\{(\alpha, x) \mid x \in \cap\{A \mid A \in \alpha\}\}$ of the product space $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G}(X)) \times X$. Then $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$ is the minimal cloz-cover of X , where $z_X((\alpha, x)) = x$ ([4]).

A space X is called a *weakly Lindelöff space* if for any open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there is a countable subfamily \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} such that $\cup\{V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is dense in X .

Let X be a weakly Lindelöff space,

$$E_{cc}(X) = \{(\alpha, x) \in \mathcal{G}(\beta X) \times X \mid x \in \cap\{A \mid A \in \alpha\}\}$$

the subspace of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G}(\beta X)) \times X$ and $z_X(\alpha, x) = x$. Then $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$ is the minimal cloz-cover of X and $E_{cc}(X)$ is a dense subspace of $E_{cc}(\beta X)$ ([6]).

Definition 2.5. Let X be a space and \mathcal{B} a sublattice of the power set $P(X)$ of X . A \mathcal{B} -filter α is called *fixed* if $\cap\{A \mid A \in \alpha\} \neq \emptyset$.

Let X be a space and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(X)) = \{\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is a fixed } \mathcal{G}(X)\text{-ultrafilter}\}$. For any $A \in \mathcal{G}(X)$, let $\lambda_A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(X)) \mid A \in \alpha\}$. Then $\{\lambda_A \mid A \in \mathcal{G}(X)\}$ is a base for closed sets of some topology on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(X))$. Let $\mathcal{L}(X) = \{(\alpha, x) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(X)) \times X \mid x \in \cap\{A \mid A \in \alpha\}\}$ be the subspace of the product space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(X)) \times X$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ (endowed with topology generated by $\{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(X)) - \lambda_A \mid A \in \mathcal{G}(X)\}$) and X . Define a map $c_X : \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow X$ by $c_X(\alpha, x) = x$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let X be a space. Then there is a homeomorphism $h : \mathcal{L}(X) \longrightarrow z_{\beta X}^{-1}(X)$ such that $z_{\beta X}^0 \circ h = c_X$, where $z_{\beta X}^0 : z_{\beta X}^{-1}(X) \longrightarrow X$ is the restriction and corestriction of $z_{\beta X} : E_{cc}(\beta X) \longrightarrow \beta X$ with respect to $z_{\beta X}^{-1}(X)$ and X , respectively.*

Proof. Since the map $\phi : R(\beta X) \longrightarrow R(X)$, defined by $\phi(A) = A \cap X$, is a Boolean isomorphism, the restriction and corestriction $\phi_{\mathcal{G}(\beta X)} : \mathcal{G}(\beta X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(X)$ of ϕ with respect to $\mathcal{G}(\beta X)$ and $\mathcal{G}(X)$, respectively is a Boolean isomorphism. Hence for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(X))$, there is a unique $\alpha_\beta \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(\beta X))$ such that $\{B \cap X \mid B \in \alpha_\beta\} = \alpha$. Let $(\alpha, x) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $x \in \cap\{A \mid A \in \alpha\}$ and $x \in \cap\{A \mid A \in \alpha_\beta\}$. Hence $(\alpha_\beta, x) \in z_{\beta X}^{-1}(X)$.

Define a map $h : \mathcal{L}(X) \longrightarrow z_{\beta X}^{-1}(X)$ by $h(\alpha, x) = (\alpha_\beta, x)$. Then clearly, h is an one-to-one, onto map. Let $A \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ and U be an open set in βX . Then $cl_{\beta X}(A) \in \mathcal{G}(\beta X)$. Let

$$B = [(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(X)) - \lambda_A) \times (U \cap X)] \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$$

and

$$C = [(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}(\beta X)) - \lambda_{cl_{\beta X}(A)}) \times U] \cap z_{\beta X}^{-1}(X).$$

Let $(\alpha, x) \in B$. Since $\alpha \notin \lambda_A$, $\alpha_\beta \notin \lambda_{cl_{\beta X}(A)}$ and hence $h(\alpha, x) = (\alpha_\beta, x) \in C$. So $h(B) \subseteq C$. Similarly $C \subseteq h(B)$. Since $h(B) = C$, h is a homeomorphism. Clearly, $z_{\beta X}^0 \circ h = c_X$ \square

For any covering map $f : Y \longrightarrow X$ and a subspace S of X , the restriction and corestriction $f^0 : f^{-1}(S) \longrightarrow S$ of f with respect to $f^{-1}(S)$ and S , respectively is a covering map([7]). Hence we have the following :

Corollary 2.7. *For any space X , $c_X : \mathcal{L}(X) \longrightarrow X$ is a covering map.*

Proposition 2.8. *A space X is a cloz-space if and only if $c_X : \mathcal{L}(X) \longrightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) We will show that c_X is an one-to-one map. Let $(\alpha, x) \neq (\gamma, y)$ in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Suppose that $\alpha \neq \gamma$. Then there are $A, B \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ such that $A \in \alpha$, $B \in \gamma$ and $A \wedge B = \emptyset$. Since X is a cloz-space, $A \wedge B = A \cap B = \emptyset$. Since $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, $x \neq y$ and $c_X(\alpha, x) \neq c_X(\gamma, y)$. Hence c_X is an one-to-one map and since c_X is a covering map, c_X is a homeomorphism.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that X is not a cloz-space. Then there are $A, B \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ such that $A \wedge B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Pick $x \in A \cap B$. Then $\alpha = \{G \in \mathcal{G}(X) \mid x \in \text{int}_X(G)\} \cup \{A\}$ is a $\mathcal{G}(X)$ -filter base and by Zorn's lemma, there is a $\mathcal{G}(X)$ -ultrafilter δ such that $\alpha \subseteq \delta$. Suppose that $x \notin \cap\{F \mid F \in \delta\}$. Then there is an $F \in \delta$ such that $x \notin F$.

Since $x \in (X - F) = X - cl_X(X - F') = int_X(F')$, $F' \in \alpha$ and hence $F' \in \delta$. Note that $F, F' \in \delta$ and $F \wedge F' = \emptyset$. Since δ is a $\mathcal{G}(X)$ -ultrafilter, this is a contradiction. Hence $x \in \cap\{F \mid F \in \delta\}$.

Similarly, there is a $\mathcal{G}(X)$ -ultrafilter γ such that $B \in \gamma$ and $x \in \cap\{D \mid D \in \gamma\}$. Since $(\alpha, x) \neq (\delta, x)$ and $c_X(\delta, x) = c_X(\gamma, x)$, c_X is not one-to-one. Hence c_X is not a homeomorphism. □

Theorem 2.9. *Let X be a space and (Y, f) a cloz-cover of X . Then there is a covering map $g : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $c_X \circ g = f$.*

Proof. Let $j_0 : z_{\beta X}^{-1}(X) \rightarrow E_{cc}(\beta X)$ be the inclusion map. Then $j = j_0 \circ h : \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow E_{cc}(\beta X)$ is a dense embedding and there is a covering map $f^\beta : \beta Y \rightarrow \beta X$ such that $f^\beta \circ \beta_Y = \beta_X \circ f$. Since Y is a cloz-space, βY is a cloz-space and there is a covering map $k : \beta Y \rightarrow E_{cc}(\beta X)$ such that $f^\beta = z_{\beta X} \circ k$. Since $\beta_X \circ f = z_{\beta X} \circ k \circ \beta_Y$, there is a continuous map $l : Y \rightarrow z_{\beta X}^{-1}(X)$ such that $z_{\beta X}^0 \circ l = f$ and $k \circ \beta_Y = j_0 \circ l$. Since f and f^β are covering maps, $f^\beta(\beta Y - Y) \subseteq \beta X - X$ and l is an onto map, because f^β is an onto map ([7]). Since $z_{\beta X}^0 \circ l = f$ is a covering map and l is an onto map, l is a covering map. Let $g = h^{-1} \circ l : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then g is a covering map and $c_X \circ g = f$. □

Corollary 2.10. *Let X be a space such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a cloz-space. Then $(\mathcal{L}(X), c_X)$ is the minimal cloz-cover of X .*

A space X is called an *extremally disconnected space* if every regular closed set in X is open in X .

Let X be a space. Then there is an extremally disconnected space EX and a covering map $k_X : EX \rightarrow X$ such that for any extremally disconnected space Y and any covering map $g : Y \rightarrow X$, there is a covering map $h : Y \rightarrow EX$ such that $k_X \circ h = g$ ([5]).

For any space X , (EX, k_X) is called *the absolute of X* or *the minimal extremally disconnected cover of X* .

Theorem 2.11. *Every space X has the minimal cloz-cover $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}_0(X) = X$ and $z_0^0 = 1_X$ be the identity map on X . Let α be an ordinal. For an ordinal β with $\beta < \alpha$, suppose that

- (A) for any ordinal γ with $\gamma \leq \beta$, there is a cover $(\mathcal{L}_\gamma(X), z_\gamma^\gamma)$ of X and that
- (B) for any ordinals γ, δ with $\gamma < \delta \leq \beta$, there is covering map $z_\gamma^\delta : \mathcal{L}_\delta(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\gamma(X)$ such that $z_0^\delta = z_0^\gamma \circ z_\gamma^\delta$.

Let α be a non-limit ordinal. Then there is an ordinal β with $\alpha = \beta + 1$. Let $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(X) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_\beta(X))$ and $z_\beta^\alpha = c_{\mathcal{L}_\beta(X)} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_\beta(X)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\beta(X)$. Then (A) and (B) hold for α .

Let α be a limit ordinal. Let $I = \{\beta \mid \beta \text{ is an ordinal with } \beta < \alpha\}$. Define an inverse limit system $D : I \longrightarrow \underline{TOP}$ as follow : for any ordinal β, γ in I with $\gamma < \beta$, let $D(\beta) = \mathcal{L}_\beta(X)$ and $D(\gamma < \beta) = z_\gamma^\beta$, where \underline{TOP} is the category of topological spaces and continuous maps. Let $(\mathcal{L}_\alpha(X), z_\beta^\alpha)_{\beta < \alpha}$ be the inverse limit of D . Then (A) and (B) hold for α .

By transfinite induction, (A) and (B) hold for all ordinals.

By Theorem 2.9, for any ordinal α , there is a covering map $g_\alpha : EX \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\alpha(X)$ such that $z_0^\alpha \circ g_\alpha = k_X$. Hence for any ordinal α , $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(X)$ lie between X and EX and there is a smallest ordinal δ such that $z_\delta^{\delta+1} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_\delta(X)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\delta(X)$ is a homeomorphism. Since $z_\delta^{\delta+1} = c_{\mathcal{L}_\delta(X)}$, by Proposition 2.8, $\mathcal{L}_\delta(X)$ is a cloz-space. Let $E_{cc}(X) = \mathcal{L}_\delta(X)$ and $z_X = z_0^\delta$. Then $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$ is the minimal cloz-cover of X . \square

Let $f : Y \longrightarrow X$ be a covering map. Then f is called a $z^\#$ -irreducible map if $\{f(A) \mid A \in Z(Y)^\#\} = Z(X)^\#$. Note that for any $B \in Z(X)^\#$,

$$cl_Y(f^{-1}(int_X(B))) \in Z(Y)^\# \text{ and } f(cl_Y(f^{-1}(int_X(B)))) = B.$$

Hence f is $z^\#$ -irreducible if and only if for any $A \in Z(Y)^\#, f(A) \in Z(X)^\#$.

A space X is called a *quasi-F space* if for any $A, B \in Z(X)^\#, A \wedge B = A \cap B$. For any compact space X , there is a quasi-F space $QF(X)$ and a $z^\#$ -irreducible map $\Phi_X : QF(X) \longrightarrow X$ ([3]).

Let X be a space. Since every quasi-F space is a cloz-space, $(QF(\beta X), \Phi_{\beta X})$ is a cloz-cover of βX and so there is a covering map $m_{\beta X} : QF(\beta X) \longrightarrow E_{cc}(\beta X)$ such that $z_{\beta X} \circ m_{\beta X} = \Phi_{\beta X}$. Clearly, $z_{\beta X}$ and $m_{\beta X}$ are $z^\#$ -irreducible, because $\Phi_{\beta X}$ is $z^\#$ -irreducible. Moreover, $\{z_{\beta X}(A) \mid A \in Z(\mathcal{G}(\beta X))^\#\} = \mathcal{G}(\beta X)^\#$.

Recall that a subspace S of a space X is called C^* -embedded ($z^\#$ -embedded, resp.) in X if for any real-valued continuous map f on S ($A \in Z(S)^\#, \text{ resp.}$), there is a real-valued continuous map g on X ($B \in Z(X)^\#, \text{ resp.}$) such that $g|_S = f$ ($A = B \cap S, \text{ resp.}$). Every dense C^* -embedded subspace of a space X is $z^\#$ -embedded in X .

Consider the following conditions for a space X

(C₁) $\beta E_{cc}(X) = E_{cc}(\beta X)$, that is, $E_{cc}(X)$ is C^* -embedded in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$

(C₂) $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is $z^\#$ -embedded in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$.

(C₃) $\{z_X(A) \mid A \in (\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}(X)))^\#\} = \mathcal{G}(X)$.

Proposition 2.12. *Let X be a space. Then (C_1) implies (C_2) and (C_2) implies (C_3) . Moreover, if (C_3) holds, then $(\mathcal{L}(X), c_X)$ is the minimal cloz-cover of X .*

Proof. $(C_1) \Rightarrow (C_2)$ By Theorem 2.9, there is a covering map $g : E_{cc}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $c_X \circ g = z_X$. Note that $z_{\beta X}$ is $z^\#$ -irreducible and $E_{cc}(X)$ is $z^\#$ -embedded in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$. Hence z_X is $z^\#$ -irreducible and c_X is $z^\#$ -irreducible. Since c_X is $z^\#$ -irreducible, $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is $z^\#$ -embedded in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$.

$(C_2) \Rightarrow (C_3)$ Let $F \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}(X))$. Then $F, F' \in Z(\mathcal{L}(X))^\#$ and since $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is $z^\#$ -embedded in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$, there is an $H \in \mathcal{G}(E_{cc}(\beta X))$ such that $F = H \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $F' = H' \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$. Since $z_{\beta X}$ is $z^\#$ -irreducible, $z_{\beta X}(H) \in \mathcal{G}(\beta X)$ and since

$$z_X(F) = z_X(H \cap E_{cc}(X)) = z_{\beta X}(H) \cap X,$$

$z_X(F) \in \mathcal{G}(X)$. Hence $\{z_X(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}(X))\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(X)$ and clearly, $\mathcal{G}(X) \subseteq \{z_X(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}(X))\}$.

Suppose that (C_3) holds. Let $S \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}(X))$. Then there is an $A \in \mathcal{G}(\beta X)^\#$ such that $z_X(S) = A \cap X$. Since $z_{\beta X}$ is $z^\#$ -irreducible, there is a $B \in \mathcal{G}(E_{cc}(\beta X))$ such that $z_{\beta X}(B) = A$. By Lemma 2.1, $S = B \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$. Since $E_{cc}(\beta X)$ is a cloz-space, B is a clopen set in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$ and $S = B \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$ is a clopen set in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Hence $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a cloz-space. □

A space X is called a *strongly zero-dimensional space* if βX is a zero-dimensional space.

Theorem 2.13. *Let X be a strongly zero-dimensional space. Then $\beta E_{cc}(X) = E_{cc}(\beta X)$ if and only if $E_{cc}(X)$ is $z^\#$ -embedded in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Note that there is a continuous map $f : \beta E_{cc}(X) \rightarrow \beta X$ such that $\beta_X \circ z_X = f \circ \beta_{E_{cc}(X)}$. Since $E_{cc}(X)$ is dense in $\beta E_{cc}(X)$ and $\beta E_{cc}(X)$ is a compact space, f is a covering map and since $\beta E_{cc}(X)$ is a cloz-space, there is a covering map $g : \beta E_{cc}(X) \rightarrow E_{cc}(\beta X)$ such that $z_{\beta X} \circ g = f$. Since $E_{cc}(X)$ is $z^\#$ -embedded in $E_{cc}(\beta X)$, z_X is $z^\#$ -irreducible. Clearly, f is $z^\#$ -irreducible, because $\beta_X \circ z_X = f \circ \beta_{E_{cc}(X)}$. Since $z_{\beta X} \circ g = f$ is $z^\#$ -irreducible, g is $z^\#$ -irreducible.

Take any $p \neq q$ in $\beta E_{cc}(X)$. Since βX is a zero-dimensional space and f is a covering map, $\beta E_{cc}(X)$ is a zero-dimensional space([7]). Hence there is a clopen set B in $\beta E_{cc}(X)$ such that $p \in B$ and $q \notin B$. Hence $B \in \mathcal{G}(\beta E_{cc}(X))$ and $g(B) \in \mathcal{G}(E_{cc}(\beta X))$. Since $E_{cc}(\beta X)$ is a cloz-space, $g(B) \cap g(B') = \emptyset$, $g(p) \in g(B)$ and $q \in g(B')$. Since $g(p) \neq g(q)$, g is a homeomorphism.

(\Rightarrow) It is trivial. □

REFERENCES

1. J. Adámek, H. Herrlich & G.E. Strecker: *Abstract and concrete categories*. John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York, 1990.
2. L. Gillman & M. Jerison: *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New York, 1960.
3. M. Henriksen, J. Vermeer & R.G. Woods: Quasi-F covers of Tychonoff spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **303** (1987), 779–804.
4. ———: Wallman covers of compact spaces. *Dissertationes Mathematicae* **283** (1989), 5–31.
5. S. Iliadis: Absolute of Hausdorff spaces. *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **4** (1963), 295–298.
6. C.I. Kim: Minimal Cloz-covers of non-compact spaces. *J. Korean Soc. Math. Edu.* **4** (1997), 151–159.
7. J. Porter & R.G. Woods: *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces*. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
8. J. Vermeer: The smallest basically disconnected preimage of a space. *Topol. Appl.* **17** (1984), 217–232.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, DANKOOK UNIVERSITY, 126, JUKJEON, YONGIN, GYEONGGI, KOREA 448-701
Email address: kci206@hanmail.net