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#### Abstract

Consider a $p$-variate ( $p \geq 4$ ) normal distribution with mean $\theta$ and identity covariance matrix. Using a simple property of noncentral chi square distribution, the generalized Bayes estimators dominating the Lindley estimator under quadratic loss are given based on the methods of Brown, Brewster and Zidek for estimating a normal variance. This result can be extended the cases where covariance matrix is completely unknown or $\sum=\sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{I}$ for an unknown scalar $\sigma^{2}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{p}\right)^{\prime}$ be a p-variate random vector normally distributed with unknown mean $\theta$ and the identity covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{I}$. Then we consider the problem of estimating $\theta$ by $\delta(\boldsymbol{X})$ relative to the quadratic loss function $\|\delta(\boldsymbol{X})-\theta\|^{2}=(\delta(\boldsymbol{X})-\theta)^{\prime}(\delta(\boldsymbol{X})-\theta)$. Every estimator will be evaluated by the risk function $R(\theta, \delta(\boldsymbol{X}))=E\left[\|\delta(\boldsymbol{X})-\theta\|^{2}\right]$.

Stein (1956) showed that the usual estimator $\boldsymbol{X}$ is inadmissible for $p \geq 3$ and James and Stein (1961) constructed the improved estimator, $\delta_{1}^{J S}=\left(1-(p-2) /\|\boldsymbol{X}\|^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{X}$. Also, Lindley (1962) has proposed the another improved estimator $\delta_{1}^{L}=\overline{\mathrm{X}} \mathbf{1}+(1-(p-3) / \| \boldsymbol{X}-$ $\left.\bar{X} \mathbf{1} \|^{2}\right)(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})$ with $\bar{X}=\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{p}\right) / p$ and $\mathbf{1}=(1, \cdots, 1)^{\prime}$ dominating $\boldsymbol{X}$ for $p \geq 4$. With the similar process of Baranchick (1964), we can construct the positive part estimator $\delta_{1}^{+L}=\bar{X} \mathbf{1}$ if $\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} \leq p-3 ; \delta_{1}^{+L}=\delta_{1}^{L}$, otherwise, and we can show that $\delta_{1}^{+L}$ has a smaller risk than $\delta_{1}^{L}$ by Baranchik's (1964) method. This is known as an estimator eliminating undesirable properties of $\delta_{1}^{L}$ that it has singularity at $\bar{X}$ and changes the sign of each $X_{i}-\bar{X}$ for $\|X-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} \leq p-3$. However $\delta_{1}^{+L}$ itself is unsatisfactory for $\theta$ must be estimated by $\bar{X} \mathbf{1}$ when $\|X-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\| \leq p-3$. Of course, it is known that $\delta_{1}^{+L}$ is inadmissible. Kim and Baek (2005) developed a sequence of improvement over the $\delta_{1}^{L}$ with the various cases of covariance matrices.

[^0]In this paper we propose a generalized Bayes estimator dominating $\delta_{1}^{L}$ based on the ideas used in Brown (1968), Brewster and Zidek (1974), and Kubokawa (1991) for estimating a normal variance. In Section 2, such a smooth estimator is derived and it is shown to be admissible. It should be noted that this admissible estimator dominating $\delta_{1}^{L}$ is just identical to the generalized Bayes estimator given by Strawderman (1971), Lindley (1962), and Berger (1976) with $a(=c)=2$. Section 3 discusses the cases where the covariance matrix $\sum$ of $\boldsymbol{X}$ is fully unknown or $\sum=\sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{I}$ for an unknown scalar $\sigma^{2}$.

## 2. Admissible estimator dominating $\delta_{1}^{L}$

To improve on $\delta_{1}^{L}$, we consider the estimator

$$
\delta_{1}(c, r)= \begin{cases}\bar{X} \mathbf{1}+\left(1-c /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right)(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}), & \text { if }\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} \leq r  \tag{2.1}\\ \delta_{1}^{L}, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

where $c$ and $r$ are positive constants. For a fixed $r$, we shall find the best $c=c(r)$ in the sense of minimizing the risk. Such an idea is due to Brown (1968) which constructed an improved estimator for a normal variance. Let $\lambda=\|\theta-\bar{\theta} 1\| / 2$ and $f_{p-1}(t ; \lambda)$ denote the density of a noncentral chi square random variable with the degrees of freedom $p-1$ and the noncentrality $\lambda$. Letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(r, \lambda)=p-3-2 f_{p-1}(r ; \lambda) / \int_{0}^{r} t^{-1} f_{p-1}(t ; \lambda) d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can obtain the following lemma which will be proved later.
Lemma 2.1. (i) The risk function of $\delta_{1}\left(c_{2}, r\right)$ is quadratic with respect to c and is minimized at $c=c_{1}(r, \lambda)$.
(ii) $c_{1}(r, \lambda) \leq c_{1}(r ; 0)=c_{1}(r)$, where $c_{1}(r)$ is expressed as

$$
c_{1}(r)=p-3-2\left[\int_{0}^{1} t^{(p-1) / 2-2} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2}(1-t) r\right\} d t\right]^{-1} .
$$

(iii) $c_{1}(r)$ is increasing in r and $0<c_{1}(r)<p-3$.

Lemma 2.1. implies that for all $\lambda, c_{1}(r)$ is closer to minimizing value of the risk $R\left(\theta, \delta_{1}(c, r)\right)$ than $p-3$, so that we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. The estimator $\delta_{1}\left(c_{1}(r), r\right)$ dominates $\delta_{1}(p-3, r)$ or $\delta_{1}^{L}$.
Further select $0<r^{\prime}<r$. By the property (iii) of Lemma 2.1. and a similar manner, it can be seen that $\delta_{1}\left(c_{1}(r), r\right)$ is dominated by another estimator of the form

$$
\delta_{1}^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, r^{\prime}, r\right)= \begin{cases}\bar{X} \mathbf{1}+\left(1-c_{1}\left(r^{\prime}\right) /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right)(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}), & \text { if }\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} \leq r^{\prime}  \tag{2.3}\\ \bar{X} \mathbf{1}+\left(1-c_{1}(r) /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right)(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}), & \text { if } r^{\prime}<\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} \leq r \\ \delta_{1}^{L}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Now from the innovative idea of Brewster and Zidek (1974), we select a finite partition of $[0, \infty)$ represented by $0=r_{i, 0}<\cdots<r_{i, n_{i}-1}<r_{i, n_{i}}=\infty$ for each $i=1,2 \cdots$, and a corresponding estimator

$$
\delta_{1}^{(i)}=\bar{X} \mathbf{1}+\left(1-c_{1}\left(r_{i j}\right) /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right)(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}) \text { if } r_{i, j-1}<\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} \leq r_{i j}
$$

Then, providing $\max _{j}\left|r_{i, j}-r_{i, j-1}\right| \rightarrow 0$ and $r_{i, n_{i-1}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $\delta_{1}^{(i)}$ will converge pointwise to $\delta_{1}^{*}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}^{*}=\bar{X} \mathbf{1}+\left(1-c_{1}\left(\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right) /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right)(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that $\delta_{1}^{*}$ is the generalized Bayes estimator given by Strawderman (1971), Berger (1976) with $a(=c)=2$, and Lindley's (1962) method against the prior density

$$
\pi^{*}(\theta)=\int_{0}^{1}(2 \pi)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}} \lambda^{-2}(\lambda /(1-\lambda))^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda /(1-\lambda))\|\theta-\bar{\theta} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right\} d \lambda
$$

Theorem 2.2. The estimator $\delta_{1}^{*}$ is an admissible estimator dominating $\delta_{1}^{L}$.
Proof. Since $\delta_{1}^{(i)}$ has uniformly smaller risk than $\delta_{1}^{L}$ for each $i$, applying Fatou's lemma gives that $\delta_{1}^{*}$ dominates $\delta_{1}^{L}$. The admissibility follows from the result of Brown and Hwang (1982) for the prior density $\pi^{*}(\theta)$ which satisfies the conditions of (ii) in page 213 of their paper. Hence we get the desired conclusion.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Let $W=\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}$ and $I(\cdot)$ denote the indicator function. Then for a fixed $r$, the risk function of $\delta_{1}(c, r)$ is minimized at

$$
\begin{align*}
c & =\frac{E\left[\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{-2}(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{X}-\theta) I\left(\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} \leq r\right)\right]}{E\left[\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{-2} I\left(\left.\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|\right|^{2} \leq r\right)\right]} \\
& =E\left[\left(1-\frac{\theta^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})}{W}\right) I(W \leq r)\right] / E\left[\frac{1}{W} I(W \leq r)\right]=c_{1}^{*}, \text { say, } \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

so that we shall demonstrate that $c_{1}^{*}$ given by (2.5) is expressed as $c_{1}(r, \lambda)$ given in (2.2). Using the similar calculation by Kim et al. (1995) and Bock (1975) $c_{1}^{*}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{*}=\frac{E^{J}\left[I_{r}(p-1+2 J)-\frac{2 J}{p-3+2 J} I_{r}(p-3+2 J)\right]}{E^{J}\left[\frac{1}{p-3+2 J} I_{r}(p-3+2 J)\right]} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is a random variable having a Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda$ and $I_{r}(X)=$ $\int_{0}^{r} f_{\alpha}(x) d x$ for a central chi square density $f_{\alpha}(x)$ with degrees of freedom $\alpha$. Since $I_{r}(\alpha+2)=$ $-2 f_{\alpha+2}(r)+I_{r}(\alpha)$, we observe that $c_{1}^{*}=p-3-2 E^{J}\left[f_{p-1+2 J}(r)\right] / E^{J}\left[(p-3+2 J)^{-1} I_{r}(p-3+\right.$ $2 J)$ ], which can be rewritten as $c_{1}(r ; \lambda)$ given by (2.2), and we obtain part(i). For part(ii), It is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p-1}(r, \lambda) / \int_{0}^{r} t^{-1} f_{p-1}(t ; \lambda) d t \geq f_{p-1}(r) / \int_{0}^{r} t^{-1} f_{p-1}(t) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from the fact that $f_{p-1}(t ; \lambda) / f_{p-1}(t)$ is increasing in $t$. Part(iii) can be easily checked and Lemma 2.1 is proved.

## 3. The cases of unknown covariance matrices

In this section we extend the result derived in section 2 to the case where covariance matrix is completely unknown or $\Sigma=\sigma^{2} I$ for an unknown scalar $\sigma^{2}$. At first, the case of $\Sigma=\sigma^{2} I$ is treated.

Let $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $S$ be a independent random variables with $\boldsymbol{X} \sim N_{p}\left(\theta, \sigma^{2} I\right)$ and $S \sim \sigma^{2} \chi_{n}^{2}$. Here we want to estimate $\theta$ under the loss $\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta \mathbf{1}\|^{2} / \sigma^{2}$. For positive constants $c$ and $r, a$ corresponding estimator to (2.1) is of the form

$$
\delta_{2}(c, r)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\bar{X} \mathbf{1}+\left(1-c S /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right)(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}), & \text { if } \frac{\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}}{S} \leq r  \tag{3.1}\\
\delta_{2}^{L}, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where, in this case, the Lindley estimator is given by

$$
\delta_{2}^{L}=\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\left\{\left(1-\frac{p-3}{n+2}\right) S /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right\}(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})
$$

Define $c_{2}(r)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}(r)=\frac{p-3}{n+2}-\frac{2}{n+2}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1+r)^{(p+n-1) / 2}}{(1+r z)^{(p+n-1) / 2}} z^{\frac{p-1}{2}-2} d z\right]^{-1} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. The estimator $\delta_{2}\left(c_{2}(r), r\right)$ dominates $\delta_{2}^{L}$.
Proof. Let $\lambda=\|\theta-\bar{\theta} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} /\left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)$. Note that the risk function of $\delta_{2}(c, r)$ is minimized at

$$
c_{2}(r ; \lambda)=\frac{\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\left(\mathrm{~S} / \sigma^{2}\right)\left\{1-(\boldsymbol{X}-\overline{\mathrm{X}} \mathbf{1})^{\prime} \theta\right\} /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\overline{\mathrm{X}} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right) I\left(\|\boldsymbol{X}-\overline{\mathrm{X}} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} / \mathrm{S} \leq \mathrm{r}\right)\right]}{\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\mathrm{~S} / \sigma^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma^{2} /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\overline{\mathrm{X}} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right) I\left(\|\boldsymbol{X}-\overline{\mathrm{X}} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} / \mathrm{S} \leq \mathrm{r}\right)\right]},
$$

which from (2.6), can be expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2}(r ; \lambda) & =\frac{E^{J}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}}\left\{I_{r v}(p-3+2 J)-\frac{2 J}{p-3+2 J} I_{r v}(p-3+2 J) d v\right\}\right]}{E^{J}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} \frac{1}{p-3+2 J} I_{r v}(p-3+2 J) d v\right]} \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}}\left\{(p-3) \int_{0}^{r v} w^{-1} f_{p-1}(w ; \lambda) d w-2 f_{p-1}(r v ; \lambda)\right\} d v}{\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} \int_{0}^{r v} w^{-1} f_{p-1}(w ; \lambda) d w d v}
\end{aligned}
$$

By integration by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{v}{2}\left\{v^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \int_{0}^{r v} w^{-1} f_{p-1}(w ; \lambda) d w\right\} d v} \\
& \quad=(n+2) \int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} \int_{0}^{r v} w^{-1} f_{p-1}(w ; \lambda) d w d v+2 \int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} f_{p-1}(r v ; \lambda) d v
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}(r ; \lambda)=(p-3-2 H(\lambda)) /(n+2+2 H(\lambda)), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H(\lambda)=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} f_{p-1}(r v ; \lambda) d v}{\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} \int_{0}^{r v} w^{-1} f_{p-1}(w ; \lambda) d w d v}
$$

Let $A(\alpha)=2^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\right)^{-1}$ and let

$$
g_{p, n}(z, \lambda)=E^{J}\left[\frac{A(p+2 J-1)}{A(n+p+2 J-1)} z^{(p+2 J-1) / 2-1}(1+z)^{-(n+p+2 J-1) / 2}\right]
$$

Then $H(\lambda)$ can be rewritten as $H(\lambda)=g_{p, n}(r ; \lambda) / \int_{0}^{r} z^{-1} g_{p, n}(z, \lambda) d z$. Similar to (2.7), we can show that $H(\lambda) \geq H(0)$, so that from (3.3), $c_{2}(r ; \lambda) \leq c_{2}(r ; 0)$. Here we can verify that $c_{2}(r ; 0)$ is equal to $c_{2}(r)$ given by (3.2), and that $c_{2}(r)$ is increasing in $r$ and $0<c_{2}(r)<(p-3) /(n+2)$. Therefore the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

As a limiting form corresponding to (2.4), we can take the estimator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}^{*}=\bar{X} \mathbf{1}+\left\{1-c_{2}\left(\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2} / S\right) S /\|\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2}\right\}(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the generalized Bayes estimator modified from Kubokwa (1991) and Lin and Tsai (1973). By the same arguments as in Section 2, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. The estimator $\delta_{2}^{*}$ is the generalized Bayes estimator dominating $\delta_{2}^{L}$.
For the case where $\Sigma$ is fully unknown, the above discussions are directly applied. Let X and S be independent random variables with $\boldsymbol{X} \sim N_{p}(\theta, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and $S \sim W_{p}(n, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. Assume that we want to estimate $\theta$ under the loss $(\widehat{\theta}-\theta)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\mathbf{1}}(\widehat{\theta}-\theta)$. Define $c_{3}(r)$ by

$$
c_{3}(r)=\frac{p-3}{n-p+2}-\frac{2}{n-p+2}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1+r)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(1+r t)^{\frac{n+1}{2}+1}} t^{\frac{p-1}{2}-2} d t\right]^{-1}
$$

The estimator $\delta_{3}^{*}=\bar{X} \mathbf{1}+\left[1-\frac{c_{3}\left\{(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})^{\prime} S^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})\right\}}{(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})^{\prime} S^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})}\right](\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})$ is the generalized Bayes estimator modified from Kubokawa (1991) and Lin and Tsai (1973). Note that $(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1}) /(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})^{\prime} S^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})$ is distributed as $\chi_{n-p+2}^{2}$ independent of X. Then from Theorem 3.2, it is seen the $\delta_{3}^{*}$ dominates the Lindley estimator

$$
\delta_{3}^{L}=\bar{X} \mathbf{1}+\left[1-\frac{p-3}{n-p+4}\left\{(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})^{\prime} S^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})\right\}^{-1}\right](\boldsymbol{X}-\bar{X} \mathbf{1})
$$
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