# ON CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE PARETO DISTRIBUTION BY THE INDEPENDENT PROPERTY OF UPPER RECORD VALUES

MIN-YOUNG LEE\* AND EUN-HYUK LIM\*\*

ABSTRACT. We present characterizations of the Pareto distribution by the independent property of upper record values in such a way that F(x) has a Pareto distribution if and only if  $\frac{X_{U(n)}}{X_{U(m)}}$  and  $X_{U(m)}$ are independent for  $1 \leq m < n$ . Futhermore, the characterizations should find that F(x) has a Pareto distribution if and only if  $\frac{X_{U(n)}}{X_{U(n)} \pm X_{U(m)}}$  and  $X_{U(m)}$  are independent for  $1 \leq m < n$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with cumulative distribution function(cdf) F(x) and probability density function(pdf) f(x). Let  $Y_n = max(min)$  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  for  $n \ge 1$ . We say  $X_j$  is an upper(lower) record value of this sequence, if  $Y_j > (\langle \rangle Y_{j-1}$  for j > 1. By definition,  $X_1$  is an upper as well as a lower record value. The indices at which the upper record values occur are given by the record times  $\{U(n), n \ge 1\}$ , where  $U(n) = min\{j \mid j > U(n-1), X_j > X_{U(n-1)}, n \ge 2\}$  with U(1) = 1. We assume that all upper record values  $X_{U(i)}$  for  $i \ge 1$  occur at a sequence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  of i.i.d. random variables.

We call the random variable  $X \in PAR(a, \alpha)$  if the corresponding probability cdf F(x) of X is of the form

(1.1) 
$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{\alpha}, \ x \ge a, \ \alpha > 0\\ 0, \ otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Received December 30, 2010; Accepted February 15, 2011.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60E05, 62G30, 62H05.

Key words and phrases: absolutely continuous, characterizations, independent and identically distributed, Pareto distribution, upper record values.

Correspondence should be addressed to Min-Young Lee, leemy@dankook.ac.kr.

The research was conducted by the research fund of Dankook university in 2010.

### Min-Young Lee and Eun-Hyuk Lim

Lee and Chang(2008) obtained characterization that  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-x^{\alpha}}$ for all x > 0 and  $\alpha > 0$ , if and only if  $\frac{X_{U(n+1)}}{X_{U(n+1)} + X_{U(n)}}$  and  $X_{U(n+1)}$  are independent for  $n \ge 1$ . Also, Lee and Lim(2010) generalized that  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-x^{\alpha}}$  for all x > 0 and  $\alpha > 0$ , if and only if  $\frac{X_{U(m)}}{X_{U(n)}}$  and  $X_{U(n)}$ are independent for  $1 \le m < n$ .

In this article, by the similar way of above papers, we obtain characterizations of the Pareto distribution by the independent property of upper record values.

## 2. Main results

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with cdf F(x) which is absolutely continuous with pdf f(x) and F(1) = 0 and F(x) < 1 for all x > 1. Then  $F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}$  for all x > 1,  $\alpha > 0$ , if and only if  $\frac{X_{U(n)}}{X_{U(m)}}$  and  $X_{U(m)}$  are independent for  $1 \le m < n$ .

*Proof.* The joint pdf  $f_{m,n}(x,y)$  of  $X_{U(m)}$  and  $X_{U(n)}$  is found to be

(2.1) 
$$f_{m,n}(x,y) = \frac{R(x)^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} r(x) \frac{\{R(y) - R(x)\}^{n-m-1}}{\Gamma(n-m)} f(y)$$

where R(x) = -ln(1 - F(x)) and  $r(x) = \frac{d}{dx}(R(x))$ Consider the functions  $U = X_{U(m)}$  and  $W = \frac{X_{U(m)}}{X_{U(m)}}$ . It follows that  $x_{U(m)} = u, x_{U(m)} = uw$  and |J| = u. Thus we can write the joint pdf  $f_{U,W}(u,w)$  of U and W as

(2.2) 
$$f_{U,W}(u,w) = \frac{R(vw)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} r(vw) \frac{\{R(w) - R(vw)\}^{n-m-1}}{(n-m-1)!} wf(w)$$

for u > 1, w > 1.

If  $F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}$  for all  $x > 1, \alpha > 0$ , then we get

(2.3) 
$$f_{U,W}(u,w) = \frac{\alpha^n}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n-m)} u^{-\alpha-1} \{\ln u\}^{m-1} w^{-\alpha-1} \{\ln w\}^{n-m-1}$$

for all u > 1, w > 1 and  $\alpha > 0$ .

86

The marginal pdf of W is given by

(2.4)  
$$f_W(w) = \int_1^\infty f_{U,W}(u, w) du \\ = \frac{\alpha^{n-m}}{\Gamma(n-m)} w^{-\alpha-1} \{\ln w\}^{n-m-1}$$

for all w > 1,  $\alpha > 0$ .

Also, the pdf  $f_U(u)$  of U is given by

(2.5) 
$$f_U(u) = \frac{R(u)^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} f(u) = \frac{\alpha^m}{\Gamma(m)} u^{-\alpha - 1} \{\ln u\}^{m-1}.$$

From (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain  $f_{U,W}(u, w) = f_U(u)f_W(w)$ . Hence U and W are independent for  $1 \le m < n$ .

Now we will prove the sufficient condition.

Let us use the transformation  $U = X_{U(m)}$  and  $W = \frac{X_{U(m)}}{X_{U(m)}}$ . The Jacobian of the transformation is |J| = u. Thus we can write the joint pdf  $f_{U,W}(u, w)$  of U and W as

(2.6) 
$$f_{U,W}(u,w) = \frac{R(u)^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} r(u) \frac{\{R(uw) - R(u)\}^{n-m-1}}{\Gamma(n-m)} f(uw)u$$

for all u > 1, w > 1 and  $\alpha > 0$ .

The pdf  $f_U(u)$  of U is given by

(2.7) 
$$f_U(u) = \frac{R(u)^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} f(u)$$

for all  $u > 1, m \ge 1$ .

Since U and W are independent, we get the pdf  $f_W(w)$  of W from (2.6), (2.7) as

$$f_W(w) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-m)} (R(uw) - R(u))^{n-m-1} \frac{f(uw)u}{\bar{F}(u)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-m)} \left( -\ln\frac{\bar{F}(uw)}{\bar{F}(u)} \right)^{n-m-1} \frac{\bar{F}(uw)}{\bar{F}(u)} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left( -\ln\frac{\bar{F}(uw)}{\bar{F}(u)} \right) \right\}$$

where  $\overline{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$ .

By the Lemma of Ahsanullah [see Ahsanullah(1995), p. 48], the pdf  $f_W(w)$  of W is a function of w only. Thus we have

(2.8) 
$$\bar{F}(uw) = \bar{F}(u)G(w)$$

,

where G(w) is a function of w only. By functional equations[see Aczel (1966)], the only continuous solution of (2.8) with the boundary conditions  $\bar{F}(1) = 1$  and  $\bar{F}(\infty) = 0$  is

$$\bar{F}(x) = x^{-\alpha}$$

for all x > 1 and  $\alpha > 0$ . Thus we have  $F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}$ . This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with cdf F(x) which is absolutely continuous with pdf f(x) and F(1) = 0 and F(x) < 1 for all x > 1. Then  $F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}$  for all x > 1,  $\alpha > 0$ , if and only if  $\frac{X_{U(n)}}{X_{U(n)} + X_{U(m)}}$  and  $X_{U(m)}$  are independent for  $1 \le m < n$ .

*Proof.* The necessary condition is easy to establish. Now we prove the sufficient condition.

Let us use the transformation  $U = X_{U(m)}$  and  $V = \frac{X_{U(n)}}{X_{U(n)} + X_{U(m)}}$ . The Jacobian of the transformation is  $|J| = \frac{u}{(1-v)^2}$ . Thus we can write the joint pdf  $f_{U,V}(u,v)$  of U and V as

(2.9) 
$$\begin{aligned} & f_{U,V}(u,v) \\ & = \frac{R(u)^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} r(u) \frac{\{R(\frac{uv}{1-v}) - R(u)\}^{n-m-1}}{\Gamma(n-m)} f(\frac{uv}{1-v}) \frac{u}{(1-v)^2} \end{aligned}$$

for all u > 1,  $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

The pdf  $f_U(u)$  of U is given by

(2.10) 
$$f_U(u) = \frac{R(u)^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} f(u)$$

for u > 1.

We get the pdf  $f_V(v)$  of V from (2.9), (2.10) as

$$f_{V}(v) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-m)} \left( R(\frac{uv}{1-v}) - R(u) \right)^{n-m-1} \frac{f(\frac{uv}{1-v}) \frac{u}{(1-v)^{2}}}{\bar{F}(u)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-m)} \left( -\ln \frac{\bar{F}(\frac{uv}{1-v})}{\bar{F}(u)} \right)^{n-m-1} \frac{\bar{F}(\frac{uv}{1-v})}{\bar{F}(u)} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left( -\ln \frac{\bar{F}(\frac{uv}{1-v})}{\bar{F}(u)} \right) \right\}.$$

By the independent property of U and V, the pdf  $f_V(v)$  of V is a function of v only [see Ahsanullah(1995), p. 48]. Thus we must have

(2.11) 
$$\bar{F}(\frac{uv}{1-v}) = \bar{F}(u)G(\frac{v}{1-v})$$

where  $G(\frac{v}{1-v})$  is a function of v only. By functional equations[see Aczel (1966)], the only continuous solution of (2.11) with the boundary conditions  $\bar{F}(1) = 1$  and  $\bar{F}(\infty) = 0$  is

$$\bar{F}(x) = x^{-\alpha}$$

for all x > 1 and  $\alpha > 0$ . Thus we have  $F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}$ . This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.3. Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with cdf F(x) which is absolutely continuous with pdf f(x) and  $\overline{F}(1) = 1$  and F(x) < 1 for all x > 1. Then  $F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}$  for all x > 1,  $\alpha > 0$ , if and only if  $\frac{X_{U(n)}}{X_{U(n)} - X_{U(m)}}$  and  $X_{U(m)}$  are independent for  $1 \le m < n$ .

*Proof.* The proof can be done in exactly the same way as that of Theorem 2.2.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- J. Aczel, Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications, Academic Press, NY, 1966.
- [2] M. Ahsanullah, Record Statistics, Inc, Dommack NY, 1995.
- [3] M. Y. Lee and S. K. Chang, Characterizations of the weibull distribution by the independence of the record values, J. Appl. Math. and Computing 15 (2008), 163-167.
- [4] M. Y. Lee and E. H. Lim, On Characterizations of the weibull Distribution by the independent property of record values, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. 23 (2010), 245-250.

\*

Department of Mathematics Dankook University Cheonan 330-714, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: leemy@dankook.ac.kr

\*\*

Department of Mathematics Dankook University Cheonan 330-714, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: ehlim@dankook.ac.kr