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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a system of N-Laplacian elliptic equations with critical exponential growth. The existence and multiplicity results of solutions are obtained by a limit index method and TrudingerMoser inequality.
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## 1. Introduction and main result

In this paper, we study the existence of multiple solutions for the following equations with exponential critical growth

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{N} u=f(x, u)+R_{u}(x, u, v), \quad x \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
-\Delta_{N} v=g(x, v)+R_{v}(x, u, v), \quad x \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=v=0, \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subset R^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a smooth bounded domain and $R: \bar{\Omega} \times R^{2} \rightarrow R$ is a $C^{1}$ function.

In the previous decades, there has been a number of activities in the study of the elliptic equations leading to indefinite functionals. For example, when $\mathrm{N}=2$, this class of system is called noncooperative and many recent studies have focused on it. Results relating to these problems can be found in $[1,3,4,5,6$, $7,11,13,15,19]$ and the references therein.

[^0]In a recent paper, Lin and Li [13] had considered the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+F_{s}(x, u, v) \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2}\\
-\Delta v=|v|^{2^{*}-2} v+F_{t}(x, u, v) \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0,\left.\quad v\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By applying the Limit Index Theory, they obtained the existence of multiple solutions under some assumptions on nonlinear part.

In [10], Huang and Li applied the Principle of Symmetric Criticality and the Limit Index Theory to study the system of elliptic equations involving the p-Laplacian in the unbounded domain in $R^{N}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{p} u-|u|^{p-2} u=F_{u}(|x|, u, v) \text { in } R^{N},  \tag{3}\\
-\Delta_{p} v+|v|^{p-2} v=F_{v}(|x|, u, v) \text { in } R^{N}, \\
u, v \in W^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<p<N$, and they extended some results of [15].
In [8], Fang and Zhang dealt with the existence and multiplicity of solutions to the following systems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{p} u=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u+F_{u}(x, u, v) \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4}\\
-\Delta_{q} v=|v|^{q^{*}-2} v+F_{v}(x, u, v) \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0,\left.\quad v\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ is an open-bounded domain with smooth boundary, $F=F(x, u, v)$, $F_{u}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}, F_{v}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}, 1<p, q<N, p^{*}=p N /(N-p)$ and $q^{*}=q N /(N-q)$ denote the critical Sobolev exponent.

We would like to emphasize that in the literature rather less attention has been paid to noncooperative systems involving exponential critical growth to the case $N \geq 2$. In [1], Alves and Soares considered N-Laplacian and they proved the existence of nontrivial solution for the corresponding system (1) with critical Sobolev exponent and critical exponential growth on bounded domain of $R^{N}$ for $N \geq 2$. The proof is based on a linking theorem without the PalaisSmale condition. And we should also mention the article [9], where a class of Hamiltonian systems with exponential critical growth has been considered.

Motivated by works just described, a natural question arises whether the existence of multiple solutions can be obtained when we consider the N-Laplacian operator and assume that the nonlinearities have a critical exponential growth. In this paper we deal with the problem (1). The functional $\Phi$ is strongly indefinite in the sense that it is neither bounded from above nor from below. We can not apply the symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem in considering the existence of infinitely many critical points of the functional $\Phi$. Here, we employ a limit index and Trudinger-Moser inequality. Then main difficulties are related to verify the condition of limit index and $(P S)_{c}^{*}$. In our paper, we must overcome these difficulties.

In order to treat variationally (1) in $W^{1, N}(\Omega) \times W^{1, N}(\Omega)$, we use the inequalities of Trudinger and Moser (See [17, 20]), which provide

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\alpha|u|^{N /(N-1)}\right) \in L^{1}(\Omega), \quad \text { for } \quad \text { all } \quad u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists a constant $C(\Omega)>0$ such that
$\sup _{\|u\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\alpha|u|^{N /(N-1)}\right) d x \leq C(\Omega), \quad$ for $\quad$ all $\quad u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \quad$ and $\quad \alpha \leq \alpha_{N}$,
where $\alpha_{N}=N \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)}$ and $\omega_{N-1}$ is the $N$-1-dimensional surface of the unit sphere.

Now, we give the following assumptions.
$\left(F_{1}\right)$ There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
|f(x, s)| \leq C \exp \left(\alpha_{N}|s|^{N /(N-1)}\right), \quad \text { for } \quad \text { all } \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad s \in R
$$

$\left(F_{2}\right)$ There exists $\nu \in(0, N)$ such that

$$
0 \leq \nu F(x, s) \leq f(x, s) s, \quad \text { for } \quad \text { all } \quad x \in \Omega, \quad s \in R,
$$

where $F(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(x, t) d t$.
$\left(G_{1}\right)$ There exists a continuous function $b$ verifying

$$
g(x, s)=b(x, s) \exp \left(\alpha_{N}|s|^{N /(N-1)}\right)
$$

with

$$
c_{p}|s|^{p-2} s \leq b(x, s) \leq d_{p}|s|^{p-2} s \quad \text { for } \quad \text { all } \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad s \in R,
$$

for some $p>N$ and constants $c_{p}, d_{p}>0$.
$\left(G_{2}\right)$ There exists $\mu>N$ such that

$$
0 \leq \mu B(x, s) \leq b(x, s) s, \quad \text { for } \quad \text { all } \quad x \in \Omega, \quad s \in R,
$$

where $B(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} b(x, t) d t$.
$\left(G_{3}\right)$ The constants $c_{p}, \nu, \mu$ given by conditions $\left(F_{2}\right),\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\max \left\{\frac{\nu N}{N-\nu}, \frac{\mu N}{\mu-N}\right\}\left(\frac{p-N}{p N}\right) \frac{1}{c_{p}^{\frac{N}{p-N}}}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{N}{p-N}}<1
$$

where $r$ will be given later.
Related to function $R$, we assume that the following conditions hold.
$\left(R_{1}\right) R_{u}(x, 0,0)=R_{v}(x, 0,0)=0$ and $R(x, u, v) \geq 0$ for all $(x, u, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times R^{2}$.
$\left(R_{2}\right)$ For any $\alpha, \beta>0$

$$
\lim _{|(u, v)| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{R_{u}(x, u, v)}{\exp \left(\alpha|u|^{N /(N-1)}\right)+\exp \left(\beta|v|^{N /(N-1)}\right)}=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{|(u, v)| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{R_{v}(x, u, v)}{\exp \left(\alpha|u|^{N /(N-1)}\right)+\exp \left(\beta|v|^{N /(N-1)}\right)}=0 .
$$

$\left(R_{3}\right)$ For $\nu$ and $\mu$ given by condition $\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}\right)$, we assume that

$$
0 \leq R(x, s, t) \leq \frac{1}{\nu} R_{u}(x, s, t) s+\frac{1}{\mu} R_{v}(x, s, t) t, \text { for all } x \in \Omega,(s, t) \in R^{2} .
$$

We note that the hypotheses $\left(R_{1}\right)-\left(R_{3}\right)$ are satisfied by the function given by $R(u, v)=|u|^{s} e^{|u|^{\alpha}}|v|^{t} e^{|v|^{\beta}}$, where $1<\alpha, \beta<2, s$ and $t$ are positive real numbers such that $\frac{s}{\nu}+\frac{t}{\mu} \geq 1$, where $\nu$ and $\mu$ are given by conditions $\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}\right)$.

By $X=E \times E$ we denote the space $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \times W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|(u, v)\|^{N}=\|u\|^{N}+\|v\|^{N}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the usual norm in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ and we write $\Phi: X \rightarrow R$ the functional given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(u, v)= & -\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{N} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} G(x, v) d x-\int_{\Omega} R(x, u, v) d x \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Under the assumptions $\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(R_{2}\right)$, the functional $\Phi$ is well defined, belongs to $C^{1}(X, R)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u, v),(\phi, \psi)\right\rangle= & -\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{N-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \phi d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} g(x, v) \psi d x-\int_{\Omega} R_{u}(x, u, v) \phi d x-\int_{\Omega} R_{v}(x, u, v) \psi d x \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $(u, v),(\phi, \psi) \in X$.
Now we give the main result of this paper.
Theorem 1.1. Suppose that the assumptions $\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{2}\right),\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{3}\right)$ and $\left(R_{1}\right)-\left(R_{3}\right)$ hold. Then the functional $\Phi$ possesses $k_{0}-1$ critical values such that $0<c_{-k_{0}+1} \leq \cdots \leq c_{-1} \leq \beta$, where $k_{0}>1$ and $\beta>0$. That is, the system (1) possesses at least $k_{0}-1$ pairs weak nontrivial solutions.

## 2. Preliminaries

First of all, we recall the Limit Index Theory due to Li [15]. In order to do that, we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 2.1 ( $[15,22]$ ). The action of a topological group $G$ on a normed space $Z$ is a continuous map

$$
G \times Z \rightarrow Z:[g, z] \longmapsto g z
$$

such that

$$
1 \cdot z=z, \quad(g h) z=g(h z), \quad z \longmapsto g z \text { is linear, } \forall g, h \in G .
$$

The action is isometric if

$$
\|g z\|=\|z\|, \quad \forall g \in G, \quad z \in Z
$$

and in this case $Z$ is called $G$-space.
The set of invariant points is defined by
Fix $G:=\{z \in Z ; \quad g z=z, \quad \forall g \in G\}$.
A set $A \subset Z$ is invariant if $g A=A$ for every $g \in G$. A function $\varphi: Z \rightarrow R$ is invariant $\varphi \circ g=\varphi$ for every $g \in G, z \in Z$. A map $f: Z \longrightarrow Z$ is equivariant if $g \circ f=f \circ g$ for every $g \in G$.

Suppose $Z$ is a $G$-Banach space, that is, there is a $G$ isometric action on $Z$. Let

$$
\Sigma=\{A \subset Z ; A \text { is closed and } g A=A, \forall g \in G\}
$$

be a family of all $G$-invariant closed subset of $Z$, and let

$$
\Gamma=\left\{h \in C^{0}(Z, Z) ; h(g u)=g(h(u)), \forall g \in G\right\}
$$

be the class of all $G$-equivariant mapping of $Z$. Finally, we call the set

$$
O(u):=\{g u ; g \in G\}
$$

$G$-orbit of $u$.
Definition 2.2 ([18]). An index for $(G, \Sigma, \Gamma)$ is a mapping $i: \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{+} \bigcup\{+\infty\}$ (where $\mathcal{Z}_{+}$is the set of all nonnegative integers) such that for all $A, B \in \Sigma, h \in \Gamma$ the following conditions are satisfied: 1
(1) $i(A)=0 \Longleftrightarrow A=\emptyset$;
(2) (Monotonicity) $A \subset B \Longrightarrow i(A) \leq i(B)$;
(3) (Subadditivity) $i(A \bigcup B) \leq i(A)+i(B)$;
(4) (Supervariance) $i(A) \leq i(\overline{h(A)}), \forall h \in \Gamma$;
(5) (Continuity) If $A$ is compact and $A \cap$ Fix $G=\emptyset$, then $i(A)<+\infty$ and there is a $G$-invariant neighborhood $N$ of $A$ such that $i(\bar{N})=i(A)$;
(6) (Normalization) If $x \notin$ Fix $G$, then $i(O(x))=1$.

Definition 2.3 ([5]). An index theory is said to satisfy the $d$-dimension property if there is a positive integer $d$ such that

$$
i\left(V^{d k} \bigcap B_{1}(0)\right)=k
$$

for all $d k$-dimensional subspaces $V^{d k} \in \Sigma$ such that $V^{d k} \bigcap$ Fix $G=\{0\}$, where $B_{1}(0)$ is the unit sphere in $Z$.

Suppose $U$ and $V$ are $G$-invariant closed subspaces of $Z$ such that

$$
Z=U \bigoplus V
$$

where $V$ is infinite dimensional and

$$
V=\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_{j}}
$$

where $V_{j}$ is a $d n_{j}$-dimensional $G$-invariant subspace of $V, j=1,2, \cdots$, and $V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset \cdots V_{n} \subset \cdots$. Let

$$
Z_{j}=U \bigoplus V_{j}
$$

and $\forall A \in \Sigma$, let

$$
A_{j}=A \bigcap Z_{j}
$$

Definition 2.4 ([15]). Let $i$ be an index theory satisfying the $d$-dimension property. A limit index with respect to $\left(Z_{j}\right)$ induced by $i$ is a mapping

$$
i^{\infty}: \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z} \bigcup\{-\infty,+\infty\}
$$

given by

$$
i^{\infty}(A)=\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(i\left(A_{j}\right)-n_{j}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.1 ([15]). Let $A, B \in \Sigma$. Then $i^{\infty}$ satisfies:
(1) $A=\emptyset \Longrightarrow i^{\infty}=-\infty$;
(2) (Monotonicity) $A \subset B \Longrightarrow i^{\infty}(A) \leq i^{\infty}(B)$;
(3) (Subadditivity) $i^{\infty}(A \bigcup B) \leq i^{\infty}(A)+i^{\infty}(B)$;
(4) If $V \bigcap$ Fix $G=\{0\}$, then $i^{\infty}\left(B_{\rho}(0) \bigcap V\right)=0$, where $B_{\rho}(0)=\{z \in Z,\|z\|=$ $\rho\}$;
(5) If $Y_{0}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{0}$ are $G$-invariant closed subspaces of $V$ such that $V=Y_{0} \oplus \tilde{Y}_{0}$, $\tilde{Y}_{0} \subset V_{j_{0}}$ for some $j_{0}$ and $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{Y}_{0}=d m$, then $i^{\infty}\left(B_{\rho}(0) \bigcap Y_{0}\right) \geq-m$.
Definition 2.5 ([14]). A functional $J \in C^{1}(Z, R)$ is said to satisfy the condition $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ if any sequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}, u_{n_{k}} \in Z_{n_{k}}$ such that

$$
J\left(u_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad d J_{n_{k}}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0, \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

possesses a convergent subsequence, where $Z_{n_{k}}$ is the $n_{k}$-dimension subspace of $Z, J_{n_{k}}=\left.J\right|_{Z_{n_{k}}}$.
Theorem 2.1 ([15]). Assume that
$\left(B_{1}\right) J \in C^{1}(Z, R)$ is $G$-invariant;
$\left(B_{2}\right)$ There are $G$-invariant closed subspaces $U$ and $V$ such that $V$ is infinite dimensional and $Z=U \bigoplus V$;
$\left(B_{3}\right)$ There is a sequence of $G$-invariant finite-dimensional subspaces

$$
V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset \cdots V_{j} \subset \cdots, \operatorname{dim} V_{j}=d n_{j}
$$

such that $V=\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}} V_{j}$;
$\left(B_{4}\right)$ There is an index theory $i$ on $Z$ satisfying the d-dimension property;
$\left(B_{5}\right)$ There are $G$-invariant subspaces $Y_{0}, \tilde{Y}_{0}, Y_{1}$, of $V$ such that $V=Y_{0} \bigoplus \tilde{Y}_{0}$, $Y_{1}, \tilde{Y}_{0} \subset V_{j_{0}}$ for some $j_{0}$, and $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{Y}_{0}=d m<d k=\operatorname{dim} Y_{1}$;
$\left(B_{6}\right)$ There are $\alpha$ and $\beta, \alpha<\beta$ such that $J$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}^{*}, \forall c \in[\alpha, \beta]$;

$$
\left(B_{7}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(a) \text { either Fix } G \subset U \oplus Y_{1}, \text { or Fix } G \cap V=\{0\}  \tag{9}\\
\text { (b) there is } \rho>0, \quad \text { such that } \forall u \in Y_{0} \cap B_{\rho}(0), \quad J(u) \geq \alpha \\
(c) \forall z \in U \oplus Y_{1}, \quad J(z) \leq \beta,
\end{array}\right.
$$

if $i^{\infty}$ is the limit index corresponding to $i$, then the numbers

$$
c_{j}=\inf _{i \infty(A) \geq j} \sup _{z \in A} J(z), \quad-k+1 \leq j \leq-m
$$

are critical values of $J$, and $\alpha \leq c_{-k+1} \leq \cdots \leq c_{-m} \leq \beta$. Moreover, if $c=c_{l}=$ $\cdots=c_{l+r}, r \geq 0$, then $i\left(\iota_{c}\right) \geq r+1$ where $\iota_{c}=\{z \in Z ; d J(z)=0, J(z)=c\}$.

According to [21] (Section 4.9.4) there exists a Schauder basis $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for $E=W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, since $E$ is reflexive, $\left\{e_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, the biorthogonal functionals associated to the basis $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (which are characterized by the relations $\left\langle e_{m}^{*}, e_{n}\right\rangle=\delta_{m, n}$ ), form a basis for $E^{*}$ with the following properties (cf. [16] Propositon 1.b. 1 and Theorem 1.b.5). Denote

$$
E_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots e_{n}\right\}, \quad E_{n}^{\perp}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{n+1}, \cdots\right\}}
$$

and

$$
E_{m}^{*}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}^{*}, e_{2}^{*}, \cdots e_{m}^{*}\right\}
$$

Let $P_{n}: E \rightarrow E_{n}$ be the projector corresponding to the decomposition $E=$ $E_{n} \oplus E_{n}^{\perp}$ and $P_{n}^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow E_{n}^{*}$ the projector corresponding to the decomposition $E^{*}=E_{n}^{*} \oplus\left(E_{n}^{*}\right)^{\perp}$. Then $P_{n} u \rightarrow u, P_{n}^{*} v^{*} \rightarrow v^{*}$ for any $u \in E, v^{*} \in E^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\left\langle P_{n}^{*} v^{*}, u\right\rangle=\left\langle v^{*}, P_{n} u\right\rangle
$$

Let $\tau: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ be the mapping given by

$$
\langle\tau u, \tilde{u}\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} d x
$$

It is easy to check that the operator $\tau$ is bounded, continuous. And if $u_{n} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ in $E$ and $\left\langle\tau u_{n}-\tau \tilde{u}, u_{n}-\tilde{u}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, then $u_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ in $E$ (See [10, 15]).

Now, we set

$$
\begin{gather*}
X=U \oplus V, \quad U=E \times\{0\}, \quad V=\{0\} \times E  \tag{10}\\
Y_{0}=\{0\} \times E_{1}^{\perp}, \quad V=Y_{0} \oplus \tilde{Y}_{0}  \tag{11}\\
Y_{1}=\{0\} \times E_{k_{0}}, E_{k_{0}}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots e_{k_{0}}\right\} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

then $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{Y}_{0}=1, \operatorname{dim} Y_{1}=k_{0}$.
We define a group action $G=\{1, \tau\} \cong Z_{2}$ by setting $\tau(u, v)=(-u,-v)$, then Fix $G=\{0\} \times\{0\}$ (also denote $\{0\}$ ). It is clear that $U$ and $V$ are $G$-invariant closed subspaces of $X$, and $Y_{0}, \tilde{Y}_{0}$ and $Y_{1}$ are $G$-invariant subspace of $V$.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=\{A \subset X ; A \text { is closed and }(u, v) \in A \Rightarrow(-u,-v) \in A\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define an index $\gamma$ on $\Sigma$ by:
$\gamma(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\min \left\{N \in Z_{+} ; \exists h \in C\left(A, R^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right) \text { such that } h(-u,-v)=h(u, v)\right\}, \\ 0, \text { if } A=\emptyset, \\ +\infty, \text { if such } h \text { does not exist. }\end{array}\right.$

Then we have the following proposition: $\gamma$ is an index satisfying the properties given in Definition 2.2. Moreover, $\gamma$ satisfies the one-dimension property. According to Definition 2.4 we can obtain a limit index $\gamma^{\infty}$ with respect to ( $X_{n}$ ) from $\gamma$.

The following Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.2 play an important part in our proofs.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\left(\varphi_{j}\right)$ be a sequence of functions in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ converging to $\varphi$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$. Assume that $\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|^{N /(N-1)} \leq \delta<1$ and $l \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times R, R)$ satisfies

$$
|l(x, s)| \leq C \exp \left(\alpha_{N}|s|^{N /(N-1)}\right), \text { for all }(x, s) \in(\bar{\Omega} \times R, R)
$$

and for some $C>0$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} l\left(x, \varphi_{j}\right) \omega d x=\int_{\Omega} l(x, \varphi) \omega d x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\omega \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} l\left(x, \varphi_{j}\right) \varphi_{j} d x=\int_{\Omega} l(x, \varphi) \varphi d x \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to [1]. Consider $q>1$ so that $q \delta<1$. From the hypothesis on $l$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|l\left(x, \varphi_{j}\right)\right|^{q} d x & \leq C \int_{\Omega} e^{q \alpha_{N}\left|\varphi_{j}\right|^{N /(N-1)}} d x  \tag{17}\\
& =C \int_{\Omega} e^{q \alpha_{N}\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|^{N /(N-1)}\left(\frac{\left|\varphi_{j}\right|}{\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|}\right)^{N /(N-1)}} d x  \tag{18}\\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega} e^{q \alpha_{N} \delta\left(\frac{\left|\varphi_{n}\right|}{\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|}\right)^{N /(N-1)}} d x \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

By Trudinger and Moser inequality, there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|l\left(x, \varphi_{j}\right)\right|^{q} d x \leq M_{1}, \quad \forall n \in N \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing Sobolev embeddings with Egoroff theorem, given $\epsilon>0$ there exists $E \subset \Omega$ such that $|E|<\epsilon$ and $\varphi_{j}(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ uniformly on $\Omega \backslash E$. By Hölder inequality and using (20), we get

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(l\left(x, \varphi_{j}\right)-l(x, \varphi)\right) \omega d x\right| \leq \int_{\Omega \backslash E}\left|l\left(x, \varphi_{j}\right)-l(x, \varphi)\right||\omega| d x+o_{\epsilon}(1)
$$

where $o_{\epsilon}(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. As $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary and $l\left(x, \varphi_{j}\right) \rightarrow l(x, \varphi)$ uniformly on $\Omega \backslash E$, we conclude the proof of (15). Similar argument shows that the limit (16) hold.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $R$ satisfies the condition $\left(R_{2}\right)$ and let $\left(\varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right)$ be a sequence weakly convergent to $(\varphi, \phi)$ in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \times W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} R\left(x, \varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right) d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} R(x, \varphi, \phi) d x \\
\int_{\Omega} R_{u}\left(x, \varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right) \varphi_{j} d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} R_{u}(x, \varphi, \phi) \varphi d x \\
\int_{\Omega} R_{u}\left(x, \varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right) \xi d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} R_{u}(x, \varphi, \phi) \xi d x \\
\int_{\Omega} R_{v}\left(x, \varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right) \phi_{j} d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} R_{v}(x, \varphi, \phi) \phi d x \\
\int_{\Omega} R_{v}\left(x, \varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right) \psi d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} R_{v}(x, \varphi, \phi) \psi d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\xi, \psi \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Since $\left(\varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right)$ is weakly convergent, there is $M>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|,\left\|\phi_{j}\right\| \leq M \text { for all } j \in N
$$

Now from $\left(R_{2}\right)$, given $0<\alpha, \beta<M^{-N /(N-1)} \alpha_{N}$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|R_{u}\left(x, \varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right)\right| \leq C\left(e^{\alpha\left|\varphi_{j}\right|^{N /(N-1)}}+e^{\beta\left|\phi_{j}\right|^{N /(N-1)}}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& \left|R_{v}\left(x, \varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right)\right| \leq C\left(e^{\alpha\left|\varphi_{j}\right|^{N /(N-1)}}+e^{\beta\left|\phi_{j}\right|^{N /(N-1)}}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R\left(x, \varphi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right)\right| \leq C\left(e^{\alpha\left|\varphi_{j}\right|^{N /(N-1)}}+e^{\beta\left|\phi_{j}\right|^{N /(N-1)}}\right)\left(\left|\varphi_{j}\right|+\left|\phi_{j}\right|\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $q>1$ such that $q \alpha|M|^{N /(N-1)}, q \beta M^{N /(N-1)}<\alpha_{N}$, from Trudinger and Moser inequality there exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha q\left|\varphi_{j}\right|^{N /(N-1)}}, \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta q\left|\phi_{j}\right|^{N /(N-1)}} \leq K \quad \forall n \in N
$$

This combing with (21)-(23) and Sobolev embeddings imply that the above limits hold. This concludes the proof.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that the assumptions $\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{2}\right),\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $\left(R_{1}\right)-$ $\left(R_{3}\right)$ hold. Then
(i) there is $\alpha, \rho>0$ such that $\forall(0, v) \in Y_{0} \cap B_{\rho}(0), \Phi(0, v) \geq \alpha$;
(ii)there is $\beta>0$ such that $\forall(u, v) \in U \oplus Y_{1}, \Phi(u, v) \leq \beta$.

Proof. We start observing that, from $\left(G_{1}\right)$,

$$
|G(x, t)| \leq d_{p}|t|^{p} e^{\alpha_{N}|t|^{N /(N-1)}}, \text { for all } x \in \bar{\Omega} t \in R
$$

Thus if $(0, v) \in Y_{0} \cap B_{\rho}(0)$, by (5),

$$
\Phi(0, v)=\frac{1}{N}\|v\|^{N}-\int_{\Omega} G(x, v) d x
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq \frac{1}{N}\|v\|^{N}-d_{p} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{p} e^{\alpha_{N}|v|^{N /(N-1)}} d x  \tag{24}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{N}\|v\|^{N}-d_{p}|v|_{2 p}^{p}\left\{\int_{\Omega} e^{2 \alpha_{N}|v|^{N /(N-1)}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{25}\\
& =\frac{1}{N}\|v\|^{N}-d_{p}|v|_{2 p}^{p}\left\{\int_{\Omega} e^{2 \alpha_{N}\|v\|^{N /(N-1)}\left(\frac{|v|}{\| v)^{N /(N-1)}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right. \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

By Trudinger and Moser inequality (6), if $\|v\|^{N /(N-1)}<\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\Phi(0, v) \geq \frac{1}{N}\|v\|^{N}-C|v|_{2 p}^{p} \geq \frac{1}{N}\|v\|^{N}-C\|v\|^{p}
$$

Since $p>N$, there exists $0<\rho<\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(N-1) / N}$ such that $\Phi(0, v) \geq \alpha$ for every $\|v\|=\rho$, that is (i).

Now, we give the proof of (ii). From $\left(G_{1}\right)$,

$$
G(x, s) \geq \frac{c_{p}}{p}|s|^{p}, \text { for all }(x, s) \in \bar{\Omega} \times R .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(u, v) & \leq \frac{1}{N}\|v\|^{N}-\frac{c_{p}}{p}|v|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq \max _{v \in E_{k_{0}}}\left\{\frac{1}{N}\|v\|^{N}-\frac{c_{p}}{p}|v|_{p}^{p}\right\} \\
& =\max _{\left\{t \geq 0, \nu \in \partial B_{1}(0) \cap E_{k_{0}}\right\}}\left\{\frac{1}{N} t^{N}-\frac{t^{p} c_{p}}{p}|\nu|_{p}^{p}\right\} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{N}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{1}{c_{p}^{\frac{N}{p-N}}}\left(\frac{1}{|\nu|_{p}^{p}}\right)^{\frac{N}{p-N}} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

We set $r=\min \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nu|^{p} d x: \nu \in \partial B_{1}(0) \cap E_{k_{0}}\right\}$. Since $p>N$, we obtain that

$$
\Phi(u, v) \leq\left(\frac{1}{N}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{1}{c_{p}^{\frac{N}{p-N}}}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{N}{p-N}}
$$

Let $\beta=\left(\frac{1}{N}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{1}{c_{p}^{p-N}}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{N}{p-N}}$.
Lemma 2.4. Suppose that the assumptions $\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{2}\right),\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $\left(R_{1}\right)-$ $\left(R_{3}\right)$ hold. Let $\left\{\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right)\right\}$ be a sequence such that $\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) \in X_{n_{k}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow c \in[\alpha, \beta], \quad d \Phi_{n_{k}}\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0, \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right)$ is bounded in $X_{n_{k}}$. Moreover, there is $k_{0} \in N$ and $m \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N /(N-1)},\left\|u_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N /(N-1)} \leq m, \text { for all } k \geq k_{0} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We start observing that the condition $\left(G_{2}\right)$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \mu G(x, s) \leq g(x, s) s, \text { for all } s \in R \text { and } x \in \Omega \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (28),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right)-\left\langle\Phi_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right),\left(\frac{1}{\nu} u_{n_{k}}, \frac{1}{\mu} v_{n_{k}}\right)\right\rangle=c+o_{k}(1)\left\|\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right)\right\| . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{2}\right),\left(F_{2}\right),\left(R_{3}\right)$ and (30),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{1}{N}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\left\|v_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N}+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\|u_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N} \\
& \leq \Phi\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right)-\left\langle\Phi_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right),\left(\frac{1}{\nu} u_{n_{k}}, \frac{1}{\mu} v_{n_{k}}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq \beta \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

from where it follows that $\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right)$ is bounded in $X_{n_{k}}$. Consequently,

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N} \leq \frac{\mu N \beta}{\mu-N}
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N} \leq \frac{\nu N \beta}{\nu-N}
$$

From $\left(G_{3}\right)$ and Lemma 2.3, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N}, \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N}<1 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, there are $k_{0} \in N$ and $m \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left\|v_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N /(N-1)},\left\|u_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N /(N-1)} \leq m, \text { for all } k \geq k_{0},
$$

which proves the lemma.
Lemma 2.5. $\Phi$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}^{*}, \forall c \in[\alpha, \beta]$.
Proof. By (28), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right)= & -\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{n_{k}}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} G\left(x, v_{n_{k}}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} R\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) d x \\
\rightarrow & c \in[\alpha, \beta] \\
\left\langle d \Phi_{n_{k}}\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right),(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\right\rangle= & -\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n_{k}}\right|^{N-2} \nabla u_{n_{k}} \nabla \tilde{u} d x-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n_{k}}\right) \tilde{u} d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n_{k}}\right|^{N-2} \nabla v_{n_{k}} \nabla \tilde{v} d x-\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, v_{n_{k}}\right) \tilde{v} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} R_{u}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) \tilde{u} d x-\int_{\Omega} R_{v}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) \tilde{v} d x \\
& \rightarrow 0, \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, since $\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right)$ is bounded, we assume

$$
u_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup u \text { in } W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup v \text { in } W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), \\
& u_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup u, \text { a.e. on } \Omega, \\
& v_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup v, \text { a.e. on } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (See [1, 2, 12, 23])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\langle | x\right|^{p-2} x-|y|^{p-2} y, x-y\right\rangle \geq|x-y|^{p} \text { for } p \geq 2 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n_{k}}\right|^{N-2} \nabla u_{n_{k}} \nabla \tilde{u} d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \nabla \tilde{u} d x \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n_{k}}\right|^{N-2} \nabla v_{n_{k}} \nabla \tilde{v} d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{N-2} \nabla v \nabla \tilde{v} d x . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m$ be the constant given by Lemma 2.4. Since $m$ is independent of $n_{k}$, the weak convergent implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{N /(N-1)}, \quad\|v\|^{N /(N-1)} \leq m \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left\|u_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N /(N-1)},\left\|v_{n_{k}}\right\|^{N /(N-1)} \leq m<1, \text { for all } k \geq k_{0},
$$

thus, by Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n_{k}}\right) u d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u d x \\
\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n_{k}}\right) u_{n_{k}} d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u d x  \tag{40}\\
\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, v_{n_{k}}\right) v d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} g(x, v) v d x \\
\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, v_{n_{k}}\right) v_{n_{k}} d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} g(x, v) v d x  \tag{41}\\
\int_{\Omega} R_{u}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) u_{n_{k}} d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} R_{u}(x, u, v) u d x  \tag{42}\\
\int_{\Omega} R_{u}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) u d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} R_{u}(x, u, v) u d x \\
\int_{\Omega} R_{v}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) v_{n_{k}} d x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} R_{v}(x, u, v) v d x \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} R_{v}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) v d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} R_{v}(x, u, v) v d x
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
It follows from (35) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \nabla \tilde{u} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{N-2} \nabla v \nabla \tilde{v} d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \tilde{u} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} g(x, v) \tilde{v} d x-\int_{\Omega} R_{u}(x, u, v) \tilde{u} d x-\int_{\Omega} R_{v}(x, u, v) \tilde{v} d x=0 . \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

By setting $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})=(u, 0)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u d x+\int_{\Omega} R_{u}(x, u, v) u d x=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then setting $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})=(0, v)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{N} d x-\int_{\Omega} g(x, v) v d x-\int_{\Omega} R_{v}(x, u, v) v d x=0 . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle d \Phi_{n_{k}}\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right),\left(0, v_{n_{k}}\right)\right\rangle= & \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x-\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, v_{n_{k}}\right) v_{n_{k}} d x  \tag{47}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} R_{v}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) v_{n_{k}} d x \\
& \rightarrow 0 \\
\left\langle d \Phi_{n_{k}}\left(u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right),\left(u_{n_{k}}, 0\right)\right\rangle= & -\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n_{k}}\right) u_{n_{k}} d x  \tag{48}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} R_{u}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) u_{n_{k}} d x \\
\rightarrow & 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\omega_{n_{k}}=u_{n_{k}}-u, \zeta_{n_{k}}=v_{n_{k}}-v$. By Brézis-Lieb Lemma [22], (47)-(48) can be changed to

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \zeta_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x & +\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{N} d x-\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, v_{n_{k}}\right) v_{n_{k}} d x  \tag{49}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} R_{v}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) v_{n_{k}} d x \rightarrow 0, \\
-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \omega_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x & -\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n_{k}}\right) u_{n_{k}} d x  \tag{50}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} R_{u}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) u_{n_{k}} d x \rightarrow 0,
\end{align*}
$$

By (45)-(46), it is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \zeta_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x & +\int_{\Omega} g(x, v) v d x+\int_{\Omega} R_{v}(x, u, v) v d x  \tag{51}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, v_{n_{k}}\right) v_{n_{k}} d x-\int_{\Omega} R_{v}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) v_{n_{k}} d x \rightarrow 0 \\
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \omega_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x & -\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u d x-\int_{\Omega} R_{u}(x, u, v) u d x  \tag{52}\\
& +\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n_{k}}\right) u_{n_{k}} d x+\int_{\Omega} R_{u}\left(x, u_{n_{k}}, v_{n_{k}}\right) u_{n_{k}} d x \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

By (40)-(43), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \zeta_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \omega_{n_{k}}\right|^{N} d x \rightarrow 0
$$

That is

$$
u_{n_{k}} \rightarrow u, \text { in } W_{0}^{1, N}
$$

and

$$
v_{n_{k}} \rightarrow v, \text { in } W_{0}^{1, N}
$$

Then we complete the proof of Lemma 2.5
Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Now we shall verify the conditions of Theorem 2.1. It is clear that $\left(B_{1}\right),\left(B_{2}\right),\left(B_{4}\right)$ in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Set $V_{j}=E_{j}=$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots e_{j}\right\}$, then $\left(B_{3}\right)$ is also satisfied. Since $1=\operatorname{dim} \tilde{Y}_{0}<k_{0}=\operatorname{dim} Y_{1}$, $\left(B_{5}\right)$ is satisfied. Since Fix $G \bigcap V=0$, that is $(a)$ of $\left(B_{7}\right)$ holds. $(b)-(c)$ of $\left(B_{7}\right)$ can be obtained by Lemma 2.3. By Lemma 2.5, $\left(B_{6}\right)$ in Theorem 2.1 hold. So according to Theorem 2.1,

$$
c_{j}=\inf _{i^{\infty}(A) \geq j} \sup _{(u, v) \in A} \Phi(u, v), \quad-k_{0}+1 \leq j \leq-1
$$

are critical values of $\Phi, \alpha \leq c_{-k_{0}+1} \leq \cdots \leq c_{-1} \leq \beta$, and $\Phi$ has at least $k_{0}-1$ pairs critical points.
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