

$P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -CLOSED SPACES AND PRE- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -CLUSTER SETS

BIN MOSTAKIM UZZAL AFSAN AND CHANCHAL KUMAR BASU

ABSTRACT. Using (r, s) -preopen sets [14] and pre- ω_t -closures [6], a new kind of covering property $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closedness is introduced in a bitopological space and several characterizations via filter bases, nets and grills [30] along with various properties of such concept are investigated. Two new types of cluster sets, namely pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster sets and $(r, s)t$ - θ_f -precluster sets of functions and multifunctions between two bitopological spaces are introduced. Several properties of pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster sets are investigated and using the degeneracy of such cluster sets, some new characterizations of some separation axioms in topological spaces or in bitopological spaces are obtained. A sufficient condition for $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closedness has also been established in terms of pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster sets.

1. Introduction

Ever since the introduction of bitopological spaces by J. C. Kelly [17] in the year 1963, many topologists have introduced and investigated various concepts in bitopological spaces and also generalized certain existing topological properties. Because of having various applications, now a days, it has become a mature field of mathematical activity. Topologists are often keen in investigating properties closely related to compactness using certain new accessories which have been developed recently. The notion of ω -open sets introduced by H. Z. Hdeib [11] has been studied by a good number of researchers in recent times. Contributions of Noiri, Omari and Noorani [24, 25], Omari and Noorani [26, 27], Zoubi and Nashef [3] and C. K. Basu and B. M. Uzzal Afsan [6] in the arena of ω -open sets and associated concepts are worth to be mentioned. In this paper, in Section 3, we introduce pre- (ω_r, s) -open sets and allied concepts in bitopological spaces which have been exploited effectively in investigating certain concepts which have been developed in the subsequent sections. In

Received January 11, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A10, 54E55, 54D20, 54A20, 54C99, 54C60.

Key words and phrases. pre- ω -closure, θ -closure, pre- (ω_r, s) -open, $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed, $P_{(r, s)}^t$ -closed, $(\omega_r, s)t$ -regular, pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -complete adherent, pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster set, $(r, s)t$ - θ_f -precluster set, pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -irresolute, strongly pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -continuous, $(r, s)f$ -preopen, pairwise (r, s) -Urysohn, almost regular.

Section 4, a new type of covering property $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closedness is introduced in a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) using (r, s) -preopen sets [14] and pre- ω_t -closures (=pre- ω -closures with respect to the topology τ_t) [6]. Such concept is identical to the P_ω -closedness [6] if the topologies on X are taken the same. Several characterizations via filter bases, nets and grills [30] along with various properties of such concept are investigated. Further, in the last section, two new types of cluster sets, namely pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster sets (resp. pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster sets) of functions and multifunctions have been introduced between two bitopological spaces in terms of pre- ω -closure [6] (resp. preclosure [21]), θ -closure [31] and (r, s) -preopen sets [14]. Finally, a sufficient condition for $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closedness in terms of pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster sets has been given.

2. Prerequisites

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subset X$. Then a point $x \in X$ is called a condensation point of A if for each open set U containing x , $A \cap U$ is uncountable. A set A is called ω -closed [11] if it contains all of its condensation points and the complement of an ω -closed set is called an ω -open set [11] or equivalently, $A \subset X$ is ω -open if and only if for each $x \in A$ there exists an open set U containing x such that $U - A$ is countable. Throughout this paper, spaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) and $(Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ (or simply X and Y) represent non-empty bitopological spaces and r, s, t and f are indices varying over the set $\{1, 2\}$. The set of all ω -open sets of space X when the topology τ_r is considered is denoted by τ_{ω_r} . It is to be noted that τ_{ω_r} is a topology on X finer than τ_r [11]. A subset A of a topological space X is called semi-open [18] (resp. regular open, α -open [23], preopen [21], β -open [2], semi- ω -open [24], α - ω -open [24], pre- ω -open [24], β - ω -open [24]) if $A \subset cl(int(A))$ (resp. $A = int(cl(A))$, $A \subset int(cl(int(A)))$, $A \subset int(cl(A))$, $A \subset cl(int(cl(A)))$, $A \subset cl(int_\omega(A))$, $A \subset int_\omega(cl(int_\omega(A)))$, $A \subset int_\omega(cl(A))$ and $A \subset cl(int_\omega(cl(A)))$). The θ -closure of a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is the set $\theta-cl(A) = \{x \in X : cl(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset, \forall U \in \tau\}$ [31]. The pre- ω -closure of a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is the intersection of all the pre- ω -closed subsets of X containing A [6]. The (r, s) - θ -closure of a subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is the set $r-cl_{\theta_s}(A) = \{x \in X : cl_s(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset, \forall U \in \tau_r\}$ [16]. The closure (resp. interior, θ -closure, ω -interior [11], ω -closure [11], pre- ω -interior [6], pre- ω -closure [6]) of a subset A of a space X with respect to the topology τ_r (where $r = 1, 2$) (read as r -closure (resp. r -interior, θ_r -closure, ω_r -interior, ω_r -closure, pre- ω_r -interior, pre- ω_r -closure)) are denoted by $cl_r(A)$ (resp. $int_r(A)$, $cl_{\theta_r}(A)$, $int_{\omega_r}(A)$, $cl_{\omega_r}(A)$, $pint_{\omega_r}(A)$, $pcl_{\omega_r}(A)$). A subset A of a bitopological space X is called (r, s) -regular open [9] (resp. (r, s) -preopen [14]) if $A = int_r(cl_s(A))$ (resp. $A \subset int_r(cl_s(A))$). The family of all (r, s) -preopen (resp. (r, s) -preclopen i.e., (r, s) -preclosed as well as (r, s) -preopen and (r, s) -regular open) subsets of X is denoted by (r, s) - $PO(X)$ (resp. (r, s) - $PCO(X)$ and (r, s) - $RO(X)$). The family of all (r, s) -preopen (resp. (r, s) -preclopen i.e., (r, s) -preclosed as well

as (r, s) -preopen and (r, s) -regular open subsets of X containing $x \in X$ is denoted by $(r, s)PO(X, x)$ (resp. $(r, s)PCO(X, x)$ and $(r, s)RO(X, x)$) and the family of all (r, s) -preopen subsets of X containing a subset $K \subset X$ is denoted by $(r, s)PO(X, K)$. The family of all preopen sets in the topological space (X, τ_r) is denoted by $(r)PO(X)$. $(r, s)pcl(A)$ is the intersection of all (r, s) -preclosed subsets of X containing A [14]. A topological space (X, τ) is called almost regular [28] if for every regular closed set A in X and for each $x \in A$, there exist disjoint open sets M and N containing x and V respectively. It is well known that in an almost regular space X , $\theta-cl(A)$ is θ -closed for each $A \subset X$. A bitopological space X is said to satisfy f - T (where $f = 1, 2$) property if (X, τ_f) satisfy the property T . A bitopological space X is called pairwise (r, f) -Urysohn [19] if each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exist $U \in \tau_r(x)$ and $V \in \tau_f(y)$ such that $cl_r(U) \cap cl_f(V) = \emptyset$.

Thron [30] has defined a grill as a non-empty family \mathcal{G} of non-empty subsets of X satisfying (a) $A \in \mathcal{G}$ and $A \subset B \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{G}$ and (b) $A \cup B \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow$ either $A \in \mathcal{G}$ or $B \in \mathcal{G}$. Thron [30] also has shown that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}) = \{A \subset X : A \cap F \neq \emptyset, \forall F \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a filter on X and there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{F} such that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$.

The results of the following theorem are used frequently in this paper.

Theorem 2.1 ([6]). *For subsets A, B of a topological space X , following properties hold:*

- (a) $pcl_\omega(A) \subset pcl(A)$ and $pcl_\omega(A) \subset cl_\omega(A)$.
- (b) $A \subset B$ implies $pcl_\omega(A) \subset pcl_\omega(B)$ and $pint_\omega(A) \subset pint_\omega(B)$.
- (c) $pcl_\omega(pcl_\omega(A)) = pcl_\omega(A)$ and $pint_\omega(pint_\omega(A)) = pint_\omega(A)$.
- (d) A is pre- ω -closed if and only if $pcl_\omega(A) = A$.
- (e) A is pre- ω -open if and only if $pint_\omega(A) = A$.
- (f) $pcl_\omega(X - A) = X - pint_\omega(A)$.
- (g) $pint_\omega(X - A) = X - pcl_\omega(A)$.

3. Pre- (ω_r, s) -open sets

Definition 3.1. A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called pre- (ω_r, s) -open if $A \subset int_{\omega_r}(cl_s(A))$.

The complement of a pre- (ω_r, s) -open set is called a pre- (ω_r, s) -closed set.

The family of all pre- (ω_r, s) -open sets of X is denoted by $P(\omega_r, s)O(X)$ and the family of all pre- (ω_r, s) -open sets of X containing a point $x \in X$ is denoted by $P(\omega_r, s)O(X, x)$.

Remark 3.2. (i) If $r = s$, then every pre- (ω_r, s) -open set is pre- ω -open in (X, τ_r) .

(ii) Every (r, s) -preopen set is pre- (ω_r, s) -open, but the converse need not be true that is established with the following example.

Example 3.3. Consider the real line $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the co-countable topology τ_1 and the topology $\tau_2 = \{S \subset \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{Q} \subset S, \mathbb{Q} \text{ is the set of all rational numbers}\}$. Then the set \mathbb{N} of all positive integers is pre- $(\omega_2, 1)$ -open but is not $(2, 1)$ -preopen in the bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . In fact $int_{\omega_2}(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{N}$ and $int_2(cl_1(\mathbb{N})) = int_2(\mathbb{N}) = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.4. *An arbitrary union of pre- (ω_r, s) -open sets in a bitopological space X is a pre- (ω_r, s) -open set.*

Proof. The proof is obvious and is thus omitted. \square

Definition 3.5. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X . Then pre- (ω_r, s) -interior (resp. pre- (ω_r, s) -closure) is denoted by $pint_{(\omega_r, s)}(A)$ (resp. $pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(A)$) and is defined as the set $pint_{(\omega_r, s)}(A) = \cup\{G \subset A : G \in P(\omega_r, s)O(X)\}$ (resp. $pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(A) = \cap\{G \supset A : X - G \in P(\omega_r, s)O(X)\}$).

Theorem 3.6. *For subsets A, B of a topological space X , following properties hold:*

- (a) $pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(A) \subset (r, s)pcl(A)$.
- (b) $A \subset B$ implies $pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(A) \subset pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(B)$ and $pint_{(\omega_r, s)}(A) \subset pint_{(\omega_r, s)}(B)$.
- (c) $pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(A)) = pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(A)$ and $pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(pint_{(\omega_r, s)}(A)) = pint_{(\omega_r, s)}(A)$.
- (d) A is pre- (ω_r, s) -closed if and only if $pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(A) = A$.
- (e) A is pre- (ω_r, s) -open if and only if $pint_{(\omega_r, s)}(A) = A$.
- (f) $pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(X - A) = X - pint_{(\omega_r, s)}(A)$.
- (g) $pint_{(\omega_r, s)}(X - A) = X - pcl_{(\omega_r, s)}(A)$.

The reverse inclusion of the above Theorem 3.6(a) is not true in general. This fact is reflected in the following example.

Example 3.7. Consider the bitopological space $X = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\sqrt{2}\}$ with the co-countable topology τ_1 and the topology τ_2 generated by the base $\{\{x, \sqrt{2}\} : x \in X\}$. Then $\tau_{\omega_1} = \tau_{\omega_2} = P(\omega_r, s)O(X) = P(X)$ and $(2, 1)PO(X) = \tau_2$. Then $pcl_{(\omega_2, 1)}(\{\sqrt{2}\}) = \{\sqrt{2}\}$ and $pcl_{(2, 1)}(\{\sqrt{2}\}) = X$.

Definition 3.8. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -accumulation (resp. (r, s) - θ_t -pre-accumulation) point of a subset A of a bitopological space X if $pcl_{\omega_t}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ (resp. $pcl_t(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$) for every $U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$. The set of all pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -accumulation (resp. (r, s) - θ_t -pre-accumulation) points of A is called the pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closure (resp. (r, s) - θ_t -pre-closure) of A and is denoted by $p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(A)$ (resp. $(r, s)pcl_{\theta_t}(A)$). A subset A of a bitopological space X is said to be pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed (resp. (r, s) - θ_t -pre-closed set) if $p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(A) = A$ (resp. $(r, s)pcl_{\theta_t}(A) = A$). The complement of a pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed set (resp. (r, s) - θ_t -pre-closed set) is called pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -open set (resp. (r, s) - θ_t -preopen set).

Lemma 3.9. *A subset A of space X is a pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -open if and only if for each $x \in A$, there exists $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \subset A$.*

Proof. Let A be a pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -open set and $x \in A$. Then $X - A$ is pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed and so for each $x \in A$, there exists a $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \cap (X - A) = \emptyset$ and thus $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \subset A$. \square

Conversely, suppose the condition does not hold. Then there exists an $x \in A$ such that $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \not\subset A$ for all $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$. Thus $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \cap (X - A) \neq \emptyset$ for all $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and so x is a pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -accumulation point of $X - A$. Hence $X - A$ is not pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed.

Now we state following theorem:

Theorem 3.10. *Let A and B be any subsets of a space X . Then the following properties hold:*

- (a) $(r, s)\theta_t$ -preclosed sets are pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed sets,
- (b) $p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(A) \subset (r, s)pcl_{\theta_t}(A)$,
- (c) if $A \subset B$, then $p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(A) \subset p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(B)$,
- (d) intersection of an arbitrary family of pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed sets is pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed in X .

Proof. Proof of (a), (b), (c) are straight forward. So we prove (d) only.

(d) Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed sets. Let $x \in p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}(A_\alpha))$. Then for all $U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$, $\emptyset \neq (\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}(A_\alpha)) \cap pcl_{\omega_t}(U) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}(A_\alpha \cap pcl_{\omega_t}(U))$. So for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, $A_\alpha \cap pcl_{\omega_t}(U) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $x \in p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(A_\alpha) = A_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ and hence $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}(A_\alpha)$. Thus $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}(A_\alpha)$ is pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed in X . \square

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.9(a) is not true and so $p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(A) \neq (r, s)pcl_{\theta_t}(A)$.

Example 3.11. Consider the same bitopological space X as in Example 3.7. Then $\tau_{\omega_2} = P\omega_2 O(X) = P(X)$ and $(2, 1)PO(X) = (2)PO(X) = \tau_2$. Then $pcl_{\omega_2}(\{\sqrt{2}\}) = \{\sqrt{2}\}$ and $pcl_2(\{\sqrt{2}\}) = X$ and thus $p_{(\omega_2, 1)}cl_{\theta_2}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $(2, 1)pcl_{\theta_2}(\mathbb{Q}) = X$. So \mathbb{Q} is a pre- $(\omega_2, 1)$ - θ_2 -closed set but not $(2, 1)\theta_2$ -preclosed set in the bitopological space X .

4. $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed spaces

Definition 4.1. A subset G of a bitopological space X is called $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed (resp. $P_{(r, s)}^t$ -closed) relative to X if every (r, s) -preopen cover of X has a finite subfamily whose pre- ω_t -closures (resp. t -preclosures) cover G . If $G = X$, then the $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed (resp. $P_{(r, s)}^t$ -closed) set G relative to X is called $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed (resp. $P_{(r, s)}^t$ -closed) bitopological space.

It is obvious to note that $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closedness is identical to the P_ω -closedness if the topologies on X are taken the same. Also we note that every $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed

space is $P_{(r,s)}^t$ -closed. But a $P_{(r,s)}^t$ -closed space need not be $P_{(\omega_r,s)}^t$ -closed. For example, the bitopological space X as in Example 3.7, is $P_{(2,1)}^2$ -closed but not $P_{(\omega_2,1)}^2$ -closed. In fact the $(2,1)$ -preopen cover $\{\{x, \sqrt{2}\}, x \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ has no finite subfamily whose pre- ω_2 -closures cover X .

Definition 4.2. A filter base \mathcal{F} (resp. a grill \mathcal{G}) on a topological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converge to a point $x \in X$ if for each $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ (resp. $F \in \mathcal{G}$) such that $F \subset p_{\omega_t}cl(V)$. A filter base \mathcal{F} is said to pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -accumulate (or pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -adhere) at $x \in X$ if $p_{\omega_t}cl(V) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for every $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The collection of all the points of X at which the filter base \mathcal{F} pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -adheres is denoted by $p_{(\omega_r,s)-\theta_t}ad\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 4.3. An ultrafilter base \mathcal{F} pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converges to a point $x \in X$ if and only if it pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -accumulates to the point x .

Proof. Here only to prove is that if \mathcal{F} pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -accumulates to the point x , then \mathcal{F} pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converges to the point x . If \mathcal{F} does not pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converge to the point x , there exists a $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $F \not\subset p_{\omega_t}cl(V)$ and so $(X - p_{\omega_t}cl(V)) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Since \mathcal{F} is an ultrafilter base on X , $p_{\omega_t}cl(V) \in \mathcal{F}$. Again since \mathcal{F} pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -accumulates to the point x , $p_{\omega_t}cl(V) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and so $p_{\omega_t}cl(V) \in \mathcal{F}$. \square

Theorem 4.4. For a topological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) X is $P_{(\omega_r,s)}^t$ -closed,
- (b) for every family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of (r, s) -preclosed subsets such that $\cap\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$, there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Delta$ such that $\cap_{i=1}^n pint_{\omega_t}(V_{\alpha_i}) = \emptyset$,
- (c) every ultrafilter base pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converges to some point of X ,
- (d) every filter base pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -adheres at some point of X ,
- (e) every grill on X pre- ω - θ_t -converges to some point of X .

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). Let $\Sigma = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a cover of X by (r, s) -preclosed sets such that $\cap\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$. Then there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Delta$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^k p_{\omega_t}cl(X - V_{\alpha_i}) = X$. Hence $X - \cup_{i=1}^k p_{\omega_t}cl(X - V_{\alpha_i}) = \emptyset$ and so by Theorem 2.1, $\cap_{i=1}^k pint_{\omega_t}(V_{\alpha_i}) = \emptyset$.

Conversely, let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of (r, s) -preopen subsets of X covering X . Then $\{X - U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of (r, s) -preclosed subsets of X having empty intersection. Thus by (b), there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Delta$ such that $\cap_{i=1}^n pint_{\omega_t}(X - U_{\alpha_i}) = \emptyset$, i.e., by Theorem 2.1, $\cup_{i=1}^n p_{\omega_t}cl(U_{\alpha_i}) = X$. So X is $P_{(\omega_r,s)}^t$ -closed.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter base on X which does not pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converge to any point of X . Then by Theorem 4.3, \mathcal{F} can not pre- ω - θ_t -accumulate at any point of X . Thus for each $x \in X$, there are an $F_x \in \mathcal{F}$ and a $V_x \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $p_{\omega_t}cl(V_x) \cap F_x = \emptyset$ and so $F_x \subset X - p_{\omega_t}cl(V_x) =$

$pint_{\omega_t}(V_x)$ (by Theorem 2.1). Again $\{X - V_x : x \in X\}$ is a family of (r, s) -preclosed subsets of X having empty intersection. But then by (b), there exists a finite subset $X_0 \subset X$ such that $\bigcap_{x \in X_0} pint_{\omega_t}(V_x) = \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{F} is a filter base on X , there exists $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F_0 \subset \bigcap_{x \in X_0} (F_x)$ and thus $F_0 = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

(c) \Rightarrow (d). Let \mathcal{F} be any filter base on X and \mathcal{F}_0 be an ultrafilter base on X such that $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$. Then (c) ensures that \mathcal{F}_0 pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converges to some point $x \in X$. Therefore for each $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$, there exists $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ such that $F_0 \subset pcl_{\omega_t}(V)$. Now since for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $\emptyset \neq F_0 \cap F \subset pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \cap F$, $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for every $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. So the filter base \mathcal{F} pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -accumulates at $x \in X$.

(c) \Rightarrow (e). Let \mathcal{G} be a grill on X . Then Thron [30] has shown that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}) = \{F \subset X : F \cap E \neq \emptyset, \forall E \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a filter on X and there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{F} such that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$. Let $x \in X$ at which filter base \mathcal{F} pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converges. If possible, let \mathcal{G} does not pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converge to x . Then there exists $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $E \not\subset pcl_{\omega_t}(V)$ and so $E \cap (X - pcl_{\omega_t}(V)) \neq \emptyset$ for all $E \in \mathcal{G}$. So $X - pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{G}$. Again by Theorem 4.3, $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \in \mathcal{G}$, which is a contradiction.

(e) \Rightarrow (c). Since every ultrafilter base is a grill, (c) immediately follows.

(d) \Rightarrow (b). Let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of (r, s) -preclosed subsets of X such that $\bigcap\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$. If possible, let $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Gamma} pint_{\omega_t}(V_\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ for each finite subset Γ of Δ . Then the family $\mathcal{F} = \{\bigcap\{pint_{\omega_t}(V_\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma\}, \Gamma \subset \Delta, \text{card}(\Gamma) < \mathcal{N}_0\}$, where \mathcal{N}_0 is the cardinality of the set of all natural numbers is a filter base on X . Then by (d), \mathcal{F} pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -accumulates at some point x of X . Since $\{X - V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a (r, s) -preopen cover of X , $x \in X - V_{\alpha_0}$ for some $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$. Let $G = X - V_{\alpha_0}$. Then $G \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and $pint_{\omega_t}(V_{\alpha_0}) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $pcl_{\omega_t}(G) \cap pint_{\omega_t}(V_{\alpha_0}) = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. \square

Definition 4.5. A bitopological space X is called pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ -regular if for each $x \in X$ and $U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$, there exists a $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $cl_{\omega_t}(V) \subset U$.

Theorem 4.6. For a pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ -regular bitopological space X , the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) X is $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed,
- (ii) every cover of X by pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -open sets of X has a finite subcover.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let X be $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed and Σ be any cover of X by pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -open sets of X . Then for each $x \in X$, there exists an $U_x \in \Sigma$ and so Theorem 3.9 ensures the existence of a $V_x \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $pcl_{\omega_t}(V_x) \subset cl_{\omega_t}(V_x) \subset U_x$. Since $\{V_x : x \in X\}$ is a cover of X by the (r, s) -preopen sets of X , there exist finite number of points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k pcl_{\omega_t}(V_{x_i})$ and so $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{x_i}$. Hence $\{U_{x_i} : x \in X, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is the required finite subcover of Σ .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let X be a $(\omega_r, s)t$ -regular bitopological space. Consider Ω be a cover of X by (r, s) -preopen sets of X . Let $x \in X$ and $x \in U_x$ for some $U_x \in \Omega$. Since X is pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ -regular, there exists $V_x \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $pcl_{\omega_t}(V_x) \subset cl_{\omega_t}(V_x) \subset U_x$. Then by Lemma 3.9 for each $x \in X$, U_x is pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -open set of X . Thus by (ii), there exist $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^k U_{x_i} \subset \cup_{i=1}^k pcl_{\omega_t}(U_{x_i})$. \square

Theorem 4.7. *For a pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ -regular bitopological space X , the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) X is $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed,
- (ii) every family of pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -closed subsets of X with finite intersection property has a nonempty intersection.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let X be $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed and $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -closed subsets of X with finite intersection property having empty intersection. Then $\{X - V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a cover of X by a family of pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -open subsets of X . Then Theorem 4.6 ensures the existence of a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $\{X - V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ covers X and so $\cap\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let X be a pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ -regular bitopological space. If X be not $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed, Theorem 4.6 ensures the existence of a cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of X by a family of pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -open subsets of X without any finite subcover. Then $\{X - U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -closed subsets of X with finite intersection property. Therefore by the hypothesis (ii), $\cap\{X - U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \neq \emptyset$ and so $\cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \neq X$, which is a contradiction. \square

As an application of Theorem 4.7, we prove the following ‘‘fixed set theorem’’ for multifunction:

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed bitopological space and $\Omega : X \rightarrow X$ be any multifunction preserving pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -closed subsets to pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -closed subsets. Then there exists a subset $K \subset X$ such that $\Omega(K) = K$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\Gamma = \{G \subset X : \Omega(G) \subset G, G \neq \emptyset, G \text{ is pre-}(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t\text{-closed}\}$ is a totally order set under the set inclusion. Theorem 3.10(d) implies that every subfamily of Γ has a lower bound and hence Zorns Lemma implies that there is a minimal element K of Γ . Since the multifunction Ω preserves pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -closed subsets to pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -closed subsets and K is minimal, $K \subset \Omega(K) \subset K$ and hence $\Omega(K) = K$. \square

Definition 4.9. A point $x \in X$ is called pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -complete adherent point of a subset A of X if for each pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -open set U containing x , $\text{card}(A \cap U) = \text{card}(A)$.

Definition 4.10. A net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Upsilon}$ (where Υ is a directed set) on a bitopological space X is called pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -adheres at a point $x \in X$ if for every $U \in \mathcal{O}_x$,

$(r, s)PO(X, x)$ and for every $\lambda \in \Upsilon$, there exists $\mu (\succeq \lambda) \in \Upsilon$ such that $x_\mu \in pcl_{\omega_t}(U)$.

Theorem 4.11. *For a pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ -regular bitopological space X , the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X is $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed,
- (ii) every net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Upsilon}$, where Υ is a well-ordered index set pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -adheres at a point in X ,
- (iii) every infinite subset A of X has a pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -complete adherent point in X .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let X be $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed and $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Upsilon}$, where Υ is a well-ordered index set be a net on X . If possible, let $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Upsilon}$ does not pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -adhere at any point of X . So for each $x \in X$, there exists an $U_x \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and a $\lambda(x) \in \Upsilon$ such that $x_\mu \notin pcl_{\omega_t}(U_x)$ for all $\mu (\succeq \lambda(x)) \in \Upsilon$. Since $\{U_x : x \in X\}$ forms a cover of X by (r, s) -preopen sets of X , there exist finite number of points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^k pcl_{\omega_t}(U_{x_i})$. Consider an $\eta \in \Upsilon$ such that $\eta \succeq \lambda(x_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $x_\mu \notin pcl_{\omega_t}(U_{x_i})$ for all $\mu \succeq \eta$, which is a contradiction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let A be an infinite subset of X . Then A can be well-ordered by some minimal well-ordering \preceq . Thus A may be thought as a net with a well-ordered index set as domain. Then by (ii), the net A pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -adheres at a point $x \in X$. Now consider an $U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$. Since X is pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ -regular, there exists $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \subset cl_{\omega_t}(V) \subset U$. Now since net A pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -adheres at a point $x \in X$, so for any $\lambda \in A$, there exists $\mu (\succeq \lambda) \in A$ such that $x_\mu \in pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \cap A$ and hence $\text{card}(A) = \text{card}(A \cap pcl_{\omega_t}(V))$ and for similar cause $\text{card}(A \cap U) = \text{card}(A \cap pcl_{\omega_t}(V))$. Therefore $\text{card}(A \cap U) = \text{card}(A)$. Hence x is a pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -complete adherent point of A .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let X be not $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed. Then Theorem 4.6 implies that X has a cover Σ by pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -open sets of X without any finite subcover. Let ρ be the minimum of the cardinal numbers of the subcover Σ_0 of Σ . Then clearly $\rho \geq \aleph_0$. Let Σ_0 be well-ordered by minimal well-ordering \prec . Then for each $U \in \Sigma_0$, $\text{card}(\{W \in \Sigma : W \prec U\}) < \rho$ and so $\{W \in \Sigma : W \prec U\}$ can not be a cover of X . Then for each $U \in \Sigma_0$, there exists $x_U \in X - \cup\{W \in \Sigma : W \prec U\}$. Now consider $P = \{x_U : U \in \Sigma_0\}$. Since $U, V \in \Sigma_0, U \neq V$ implies $x_U \neq x_V$, $\text{card}(P) = \rho$. So P is an infinite set. Now consider any $x \in X$. Then $x \in U_0$ for some $U_0 \in \Sigma_0$. Since $x_U \in U_0$ implies $U \prec U_0$. Therefore $\{U \in \Sigma_0 : x_U \in U_0\} \subset \{U \in \Sigma_0 : U \prec U_0\}$ and so by the minimality of \prec , we get $\text{card}(\{U \in \Sigma_0 : x_U \in U_0\}) < \rho$. Thus $\text{card}(A \cap U_0) < \rho = \text{card}(A)$. So x can not be pre- $(\omega_r, s)-\theta_t$ -complete adherent point of A . \square

5. Pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster sets

Definition 5.1. Let $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ be a function. Then the pre-

$(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster set (resp. $(r, s)t$ - θ_f -precluster set) of φ at the point $x \in X$ is the set $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x) = \cap\{cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(U))) : U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)\}$ (resp. $P_{(r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x) = \cap\{cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(pcl_t(U))) : U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)\}$).

It is clear that $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x) \subset P_{(r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$. The following example establishes that $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x) \neq P_{(r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$.

Example 5.2. Consider the same bitopological space X as in Example 3.7 and the identity function $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$. Then $P_{(\omega_2, 1)2}^{\theta_1}(\varphi, \sqrt{2}) = \cap\{cl_{\theta_1}(\varphi(pcl_{\omega_2}(U))) : U \in (2, 1)PO(X, \sqrt{2})\} = \cap\{cl_{\theta_1}(pcl_{\omega_2}(U)) : U \in \tau_2\} = \cap\{cl_{\theta_1}(U) : U \in \tau_2\} = \cap\{U : U \in \tau_2\} = \{\sqrt{2}\}$ and $P_{(2, 1)2}^{\theta_1}(\varphi, \sqrt{2}) = X$.

Theorem 5.3. For any function $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$, following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $y \in P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$,
- (ii) The filter base $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi^{-1}(cl_f(U)) : U \in \varrho_f(y)\}$ pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -adheres at x ,
- (iii) There exists a grill \mathcal{G} on X pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converging to x and $y \in \cap\{cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(K)) : K \in \mathcal{G}\}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $y \in P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$. It is obvious to note that \mathcal{F} is a filter base on X . If possible, let the filter base \mathcal{F} does not pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -adhere at x . Then there exist a $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and an $U \in \varrho_f(y)$ such that $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \cap \varphi^{-1}(cl_f(U)) = \emptyset$. So $\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V)) \cap cl_f(U) = \emptyset$. Hence $y \notin cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(U)))$, which is a contradiction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Consider the family $\mathcal{G} = \{G \subset X : G \cap F \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. We claim that \mathcal{G} is a grill on X . It is clear that \mathcal{G} is nonempty and does not contained empty set. Let $G \notin \mathcal{G}$ and $H \notin \mathcal{G}$. Then there exist $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $E \cap G = \emptyset$ and $F \cap H = \emptyset$. But since \mathcal{F} is a filter base on X , there exists $K \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $K \subset E \cap F$ and hence $K \cap (G \cup H) = \emptyset$. So $G \cup H \notin \mathcal{G}$. Again $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $H \supset G$ imply $H \in \mathcal{G}$ obviously. Hence \mathcal{G} is a grill on X . Let now $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$. Then by (ii), $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. So $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \in \mathcal{G}$. Hence the grill \mathcal{G} pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -converges to x . Let $K \in \mathcal{G}$. The definition of \mathcal{G} implies that $\varphi^{-1}(cl_f(U)) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for all $U \in \varrho_f(y)$. Therefore $cl_f(U) \cap \varphi(K) \neq \emptyset$ for all $U \in \varrho_f(y)$. So $y \in cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(K))$ for all $K \in \mathcal{G}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$. Then (iii) ensures the existence of a $K \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $K \subset pcl_{\omega_t}(U)$ and $y \in cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(K))$. Therefore $y \in cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(U)))$. \square

Theorem 5.4. Let $(Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ be a pairwise (r, f) -Urysohn space. Then for some bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , and for some function $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$, $P_{(\omega_r, s)r}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$ at every point $x \in X$ is degenerate.

Proof. Let $(Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ be a pairwise (r, f) -Urysohn space. If the theorem does not hold, the identity function $I : Y \rightarrow Y$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that

$P_{(\omega_r, s)r}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, y)$ is not degenerate. So there exists $y_0 (\neq y) \in Y$ such that $y_0 \in P_{(\omega_r, s)r}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, y)$. Then for each $U_y \in (r, s)PO(Y, y)$ and $U_{y_0} \in \varrho_f(y_0)$ such that $pcl_{\omega_r}(U_y) \cap (cl_f(U_{y_0})) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\varrho_r \subset P(\omega_r, s)O(X)$, $cl_r(U_y) \cap (cl_f(U_{y_0})) \neq \emptyset$ for each $U_y \in \varrho_r(y)$ and for each $U_{y_0} \in \varrho_f(y_0)$, which is a contradiction. \square

Definition 5.5. A function $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ is called pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -irresolute if $cl_f(\varphi(V)) \subset \varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V))$ for every $V \subset X$.

Definition 5.6. A function $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ is called $(r, s)f$ -preopen if the image of every (r, s) -preopen set of X is f -open in Y .

Theorem 5.7. Let $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ be any pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -irresolute, $(r, s)f$ -preopen surjection whose pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster set at every point $x \in X$ is degenerate. Then Y is f -Urysohn space.

Proof. Let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $y_1 \neq y_2$. Since φ is surjective, there exists $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $\varphi(x_1) = y_1$ and $\varphi(x_2) = y_2$. The degeneracy of $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x_1)$ implies that $\varphi(x_2) \notin P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x_1)$. So by Theorem 5.3(ii), there exist $V_{x_2} \in (r, s)PO(X, x_2)$ and $U_{y_1} \in \varrho_f(y_1)$ such that $pcl_{\omega_t}(V_{x_2}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(cl_f(U_{y_1})) = \emptyset$ i.e., $\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V_{x_2})) \cap cl_f(U_{y_1}) = \emptyset$. Thus $cl_f(\varphi(V_{x_2})) \cap cl_f(U_{y_1}) = \emptyset$. So the space Y is f -Urysohn. \square

Definition 5.8. A function $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ is called strongly pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -continuous if for each $x \in X$ and for each $U \in \varrho_f(\varphi(x))$, there exists $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V)) \subset U$.

Theorem 5.9. A space $(Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ is f -Hausdorff if and only if for each space (X, τ_1, τ_2) and for any pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -continuous surjection $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster set at every point $x \in X$ is degenerate.

Proof. Let Y be f -Hausdorff and for any X , $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ be a strongly pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -continuous surjection. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ with $y \neq \varphi(x)$. Since φ is a strongly pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -continuous surjection, for each $U \in \varrho_f(\varphi(x))$, there exists $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V)) \subset U$. Now $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x) = \cap \{cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V))) : V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)\} \subset \cap \{cl_{\theta_f}(U) : U \in \varrho_f(\varphi(x))\} = \cap \{cl_f(U) : U \in \varrho_f(\varphi(x))\}$. Again since Y is f -Hausdorff, there exist disjoint sets $Q_1 \in \varrho_f(\varphi(x))$ and $Q_2 \in \varrho_f(y)$ and so $cl_f(Q_1) \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$. Hence $y \notin cl_f(Q_1)$ and so $y \notin P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$. \square

Conversely, let for each space (X, τ_1, τ_2) and for any strongly pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -continuous surjection $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$, $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$ is degenerate for each $x \in X$. Let y_1, y_2 be any two distinct points of Y . Then there exist two points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $y_1 = \varphi(x_1)$ and $y_2 = \varphi(x_2)$. The degeneracy of $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x_1)$ implies that $y_2 \notin P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x_1)$. Hence there exist $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x_1)$ and $U \in \varrho_f(y_2)$ such that $\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V)) \cap cl_f(U) = \emptyset$ and

so $\varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V)) \subset Y - cl_f(U)$. Therefore $Y - cl_f(U)$ and U are required disjoint f -open sets containing y_1 and y_2 respectively and making Y as a f -Hausdorff space.

Theorem 5.10. *Let the bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) be f -almost regular and for a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , $\varphi : (X, \tau_f) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_f)$ be a θ -closed map. If $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is θ_f -closed in X for each $y \in Y$ and τ_r is finer than τ_f , then $P_{(\omega_r, s)_f}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$ is degenerate for each $x \in X$.*

Proof. Since every f -open set is a pre- ω_f -open set of X , $P_{(\omega_r, s)_f}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x) = \cap\{cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(pcl_{\omega_f}(V))) : V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)\} \subset \cap\{cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(cl_f(V))) : V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)\} \subset \cap\{cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(cl_{\theta_f}(V))) : V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)\}$. Since X is f -almost regular and $\varphi : (X, \tau_f) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_f)$ is a θ -closed map, $cl_{\theta_f}(\varphi(cl_{\theta_f}(V))) = \varphi(cl_{\theta_f}(V))$ for each $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$. So $P_{(\omega_r, s)_f}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x) \subset \cap\{\varphi(cl_{\theta_f}(V)) : V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)\}$. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ with $y \neq \varphi(x)$. Then θ_f -closedness of $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ ensures the existence of a $G \in \tau_f(x)$ such that $cl_f(G) \cap \{\varphi^{-1}(y)\} = \emptyset$ and then the f -openness of G gives $\varphi(cl_f(G)) \cap \{y\} = \varphi(cl_{\theta_f}(G)) \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$. Since $\tau_f \subset \tau_r \subset (r, s)PO(X, x)$, $y \notin P_{(\omega_r, s)_f}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$. \square

Theorem 5.11. *Let space (X, τ_1, τ_2) be f -almost regular f -Hausdorff and for a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , $\varphi : (X, \tau_f) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_f)$ be a θ -closed injection. If τ_r is finer than τ_f , then $P_{(\omega_r, s)_f}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$ is degenerate for each $x \in X$.*

Proof. The f -almost regularity of X and θ -closedness of φ (as in Theorem 5.10) imply that $P_{(\omega_r, s)_f}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x) \subset \cap\{\varphi(cl_{\theta_f}(V)) : V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)\}$. Let any $x' \in X$ with $x \neq x'$. Since X is f -Hausdorff, there exist $H \in \tau_f(x) \subset \tau_r(x) \subset (r, s)PO(X, x)$ such that $x' \notin cl_{\theta_f}(H)$ and so $\varphi(x') \notin \varphi(cl_{\theta_f}(H))$. Hence $\varphi(x') \notin P_{(\omega_r, s)_f}^{\theta_f}(\varphi, x)$. \square

Definition 5.12. Let $\Omega : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ be a multifunction. Then the pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -cluster set of Ω at the point $x \in X$ is the set $P_{(\omega_r, s)_t}^{\theta_f}(\Omega, x) = \cap\{cl_{\theta_f}(\Omega(pcl_{\omega_t}(U))) : U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)\}$. For any $M \subset X$, the symbol $P_{(\omega_r, s)_t}^{\theta_f}(\Omega, M)$ is the set $\cup\{P_{(\omega_r, s)_t}^{\theta_f}(\Omega, x) : x \in M\}$.

Definition 5.13. A multifunction $\Omega : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ is said to have pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -closed graph if for each $(x, y) \notin G(\Omega)$, there exist $U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and $V \in \varrho_f(y)$ such that $(pcl_{\omega_t}(U) \times cl_f(V)) \cap G(\Omega) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 5.14. *For any multifunction $\Omega : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$, following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $P_{(\omega_r, s)_t}^{\theta_f}(\Omega, x) = \Omega(x)$ for each $x \in X$,
- (b) Ω has pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -closed graph.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let $(x, y) \notin G(\Omega)$. Then $y \notin \Omega(x)$. Hence (a) ensures the existence of $U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and $V \in \varrho_f(y)$ such that $\Omega(pcl_{\omega_t}(U)) \cap cl_f(V) = \emptyset$. Thus $(pcl_{\omega_t}(U) \times cl_f(V)) \cap G(\Omega) = \emptyset$. So Ω has pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -closed graph.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Let Ω have pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -closed graph. Let $y \notin \Omega(x)$. Then $(x, y) \notin G(\Omega)$. Since Ω has pre- $(\omega_r, s)t$ - θ_f -closed graph, there exist $U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and $V \in \varrho_f(y)$ such that $(pcl_{\omega_t}(U) \times cl_f(V)) \cap G(\Omega) = \emptyset$ and hence $\Omega(pcl_{\omega_t}(U)) \cap cl_f(V) = \emptyset$. Thus $y \notin P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\Omega, x)$ and so $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_f}(\Omega, x) = \Omega(x)$. \square

Theorem 5.15. *If a multifunction $\Omega : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ has θ -closed graph in the product space $(X, \tau_f) \times (Y, \varrho_f)$, then $P_{(\omega_r, s)f}^{\theta_f}(\Omega, x) = \Omega(x)$ for each $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in P_{(\omega_r, s)f}^{\theta_f}(\Omega, x)$. Then for each $U \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$ and for each $V \in \varrho_f(y)$, $\Omega(pcl_{\omega_f}(U)) \cap cl_f(V) \neq \emptyset$ and so $cl_f(U) \cap \Omega^-(cl_f(V)) \supset pcl_{\omega_f}(U) \cap \Omega^-(cl_f(V)) \neq \emptyset$. Hence in particular there exist open sets $M \in \tau_f(x)$ and $N \in \varrho_f(y)$ such that $cl_f(M) \cap \Omega^-(cl_f(N)) \neq \emptyset$ and so $cl_f(M \times N) \cap G(\Omega) = (cl_f(M) \times cl_f(N)) \cap G(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$. So $(x, y) \in cl_\theta(G(\Omega)) = G(\Omega)$. Hence $y \in \Omega(x)$. Hence $P_{(\omega_r, s)f}^{\theta_f}(\Omega, x) = \Omega(x)$. \square

Theorem 5.16. *If a bitopological space X satisfies any one of the following two conditions:*

(a) *For any bitopological space $(Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ and any multifunction $\Omega : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$, $\cap\{r-cl_{\theta_t}(\Omega(U)) : U \in (r, s)PO(X, Q)\} \subset P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_t}(\Omega, Q)$ for each pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed subset Q of X ,*

(b) *for any any bitopological space $(Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ and any multifunction $\Omega : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$, $\cap\{p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(\Omega(U)) : U \in (r, s)PO(X, Q)\} \subset P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_t}(\Omega, Q)$ for each pre- (ω_r, s) - θ_t -closed subset Q of X , then X is $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed.*

Proof. Since for any subset $A \subset X$, $p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(\Omega(A)) \subset r-cl_{\theta_t}(\Omega(A))$, (a) implies (b). Now let (b) satisfies and \mathcal{F} be a filter base. Consider a point $q \notin X$ and the set $Y = X \cup \{q\}$. Then the family $\varrho_1 = \varrho_2 = P(X) \cup \{U \subset Y : q \in U \text{ and } F \in U \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a topology on Y [15]. Then for the identity function $\varphi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_1)$, we shall show that $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_t}(\varphi, X) = p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(X)$. Here (b) implies that $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_t}(\varphi, X) \supset \cap\{p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(\varphi(U)) : U \in (r, s)PO(Y, X)\} = \cap\{p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(U) : U \in (r, s)PO(Y, X)\} = p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(X)$ (in $(Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_1)$). To show $P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_t}(\varphi, X) \subset p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(X)$, it is sufficient to prove that $q \in p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(X)$ (in $(Y, \varrho_1, \varrho_1)$). It is clear that $\{q\} \notin \delta_Y$. Again we note that $int_r(cl_s(\{q\})) = \emptyset$ and so $\{q\} \notin (r, s)PO(Y, p)$. Thus $pcl_{\omega_t}(G) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for every $G \in (r, s)PO(Y, q)$ and hence $q \in p_{(\omega_r, s)}cl_{\theta_t}(X)$. Therefore $q \in P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_t}(\varphi, x)$ for some $x \in X$. Now consider $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $V \in (r, s)PO(X, x)$. Since $Y - (F \cup \{q\}), F \cup \{q\} \in \varrho_t$, $cl_t(F \cup \{q\}) = F \cup \{q\}$. Again since $q \in P_{(\omega_r, s)t}^{\theta_t}(\varphi, x)$, Theorem 5.3 ensures that $\varphi^{-1}(cl_t(F \cup \{q\})) \cap pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \neq \emptyset$

i.e., $cl_t(F \cup \{q\}) \cap \varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V)) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $pcl_{\omega_t}(V) \cap F = \varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V)) \cap (F \cup \{q\}) = \varphi(pcl_{\omega_t}(V)) \cap cl_t(F \cup \{q\}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence by the Theorem 4.4, X is $P_{(\omega_r, s)}^t$ -closed. \square

References

- [1] A. A. K. Abd El-Aziz, *On generalized forms of compactness*, Master's thesis, Faculty of Science, Taunta University, Egypt, 1989.
- [2] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, and R. A. Mahmoud, *β -open sets and β -continuous mapping*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. A **12** (1983), no. 1, 77–90.
- [3] K. Al-Zoubi and B. Al-Nashef, *The topology of ω -open subsets*, Al-Manarah Journal **9** (2003), no. 2, 169–179.
- [4] C. K. Basu and M. K. Cohosh, *β -closed spaces and β - θ -subclosed graphs*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. **1** (2008), no. 3, 40–50.
- [5] ———, *Locally β -closed spaces*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. **2** (2009), no. 1, 85–96.
- [6] C. K. Basu and B. M. Uzzal Afsan, *P_ω -Closedness and Its generalization with respect to a grill*, communicated.
- [7] C. K. Basu, B. M. Uzzal Afsan, and S. S. Mandal, *Degeneracy of some cluster sets*, Italian J. of Pure and Appl. Math. (accepted and to appear).
- [8] ———, *Functions with strongly β - θ -closed graphs*, Mathematica (Cluj), Tome 51(74), No. 2 (Dec 2009), 119-128.
- [9] S. Bose and D. Sinha, *Almost open, almost closed, θ -continuous and almost quasi-compact mappings in bitopological spaces*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **73** (1981), no. 6, 345–354.
- [10] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster, and T. Noiri, *On p -closed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **24** (2000), no. 3, 203–212.
- [11] H. Z. Hdeib, *ω -closed mappings*, Rev. Colombiana Mat. **16** (1982), no. 1-2, 65–78.
- [12] ———, *ω -continuous functions*, Dirasat Journal **16** (1989), no. 2, 136–153.
- [13] D. Jankovic, I. Reilly, and M. Vamanamurthy, *On strongly compact topological spaces*, Questions Answers Gen. Topology **6** (1988), no. 1, 29–40.
- [14] M. Jelić, *A decomposition of pairwise continuity*, J. Inst. Math. Comput. Sci. Math. Ser. **3** (1990), no. 1, 25–29.
- [15] J. E. Joseph, *Multifunctions and cluster sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), no. 2, 329–337.
- [16] C. G. Kariofillis, *On minimal pairwise Hausdorff bitopological spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **19** (1988), no. 8, 751–760.
- [17] J. C. Kelly, *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **13** (1963), 71–89.
- [18] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 36–41.
- [19] S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, *Some new separation axioms in bitopological spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **12(27)** (1975), no. 2, 159–162.
- [20] ———, *Semi-open sets and semicontinuous functions in bitopological spaces*, Math. Notae **26** (1977/78), 29–37.
- [21] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, and S. N. El-Deeb, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt No. **53** (1982), 47–53.
- [22] M. N. Mukherjee and A. Debray, *On S -cluster sets and S -closed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **23** (2000), no. 9, 597–603.
- [23] O. Njastad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 961–970.
- [24] T. Noiri, A. Al-Omari, and M. S. M. Noorani, *Weak forms of ω -open sets and decompositions of continuity*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. **2** (2009), no. 1, 73–84.
- [25] ———, *Slightly ω -continuous functions*, Fasc. Math. No. **41** (2009), 97–106.

- [26] A. Al-Omari and M. S. M. Noorani, *Contra- ω -continuous and almost contra- ω -continuous*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2007** (2007), Art. ID 40469, 13 pp.
- [27] ———, *Regular generalized ω -closed sets*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2007** (2007), Art. ID 16292, 11 pp.
- [28] M. K. Singal and S. Prabha Arya, *On almost-regular spaces*, Glasnik Mat. Ser. III **4** (24) (1969), 89–99.
- [29] T. Soundararajan, *Weakly Hausdorff spaces and the cardinality of topological spaces*, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, III (Proc. Conf., Kanpur, 1968) pp. 301–306 Academia, Prague, 1971.
- [30] W. J. Thron, *Proximity structures and grills*, Math. Ann. **206** (1973), 35–62.
- [31] N. Veličko, *H-closed topological spaces*, Mat. Sb. **70** (1966), 98–112; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **78** (1968), no. 2, 103–118.

BIN MOSTAKIM UZZAL AFSAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SRIPAT SINGH COLLEGE
JIAGANJ-742123, MURSHIDABAD
WEST BENGAL, INDIA
E-mail address: uzlafsan@gmail.com

CHANCHAL KUMAR BASU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
WEST BENGAL STATE UNIVERSITY
BERUNANPUKURIA, P.O. MALIKAPUR
BARASAT, NORTH 24 PARGANAS
PIN-700126, WEST BENGAL, INDIA
E-mail address: ckbasu1962@yahoo.com