

PERMUTING TRI- f -DERIVATIONS IN LATTICES

HASRET YAZARLI AND MEHMET ALI ÖZTÜRK

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to introduce the notion of permuting tri- f -derivations in lattices and to study some properties of permuting tri- f -derivations.

1. Introduction

Lattices play an important role in many fields such as information theory, information retrieval, information access controls and cryptanalysis ([2], [6], [20]). Recently the properties of lattices were widely researched ([1], [2], [5], [10], [12], [20], [22]). In the theory of rings and near rings, the properties of derivations are an important topic to study ([3], [4], [19]). In [21], G. Szász introduced the notion of derivation on a lattice and discussed some related properties. Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin [13] applied the notion of derivation in ring, near ring and lattice theory to BCI-algebras. In [24], J. Zhan and Y. L. Liu introduced the notion of left-right (or right-left) f -derivation of a BCI algebra and investigated some properties.

Recently, the notion of f -derivation, symmetric bi-derivations and permuting tri-derivations in lattices are introduced and proved some results ([7], [9], and [18]). The goal of this paper is to introduce the notion of permuting tri- f -derivations in lattices and to study some properties of permuting tri- f -derivations.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1 ([5]). Let L be a nonempty set endowed with operations \wedge and \vee . By a lattice (L, \wedge, \vee) , we mean a set L satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $x \wedge x = x, x \vee x = x,$
- (ii) $x \wedge y = y \wedge x, x \vee y = y \vee x,$
- (iii) $(x \wedge y) \wedge z = x \wedge (y \wedge z), (x \vee y) \vee z = x \vee (y \vee z),$
- (iv) $(x \wedge y) \vee x = x, (x \vee y) \wedge x = x$ for all $x, y, z \in L.$

Received February 23, 2010; Revised April 7, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06B35, 06B99, 16D30, 16S99, 16Y99.

Key words and phrases. lattice, derivation, permuting tri-derivation, permuting tri- f -derivation.

Definition 2 ([5]). Let (L, \wedge, \vee) be a lattice. A binary relation \leq is defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \wedge y = x$ and $x \vee y = y$.

Lemma 1 ([22]). Let (L, \wedge, \vee) be a lattice. Define the binary relation \leq as the Definition 2. Then (L, \leq) is a poset and for any $x, y \in L$, $x \wedge y$ is the g.l.b. of $\{x, y\}$ and $x \vee y$ is the l.u.b. of $\{x, y\}$.

Definition 3 ([5]). A lattice L is distributive if the identity (i) or (ii) holds:

- (i) $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$,
- (ii) $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$.

In any lattice, the conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Definition 4 ([1]). A lattice L is modular if the identity (i) holds:

- (i) If $x \leq z$, then $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge z$.

Definition 5. Let L be a lattice. A mapping $D : L \times L \times L \rightarrow L$ is called permuting if it satisfies following conditions $D(x, y, z) = D(x, z, y) = D(y, x, z) = D(y, z, x) = D(z, x, y) = D(z, y, x)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.

A mapping $d : L \rightarrow L$ defined by $d(x) = D(x, x, x)$ is called the trace of D , where D is a permuting mapping.

Definition 6. Let L be a lattice. A permuting mapping tri-derivation if

$$D(x \wedge w, y, z) = (D(x, y, z) \wedge w) \vee (x \wedge D(w, y, z))$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in L$.

It is obvious that D is a permuting tri-derivation then D satisfies the relations $D(x, y \wedge w, z) = (D(x, y, z) \wedge w) \vee (y \wedge D(x, w, z))$ and $D(x, y, z \wedge w) = (D(x, y, z) \wedge w) \vee (z \wedge D(x, y, w))$ for all $x, y, z, w \in L$.

3. The permuting tri- f -derivations in lattices

The following definitions introduce the notion of permuting tri- f -derivation for a lattice.

Definition 7. Let L be a lattice. A permuting mapping $D : L \times L \times L \rightarrow L$ is called permuting tri- f -derivation if there exists a function $f : L \rightarrow L$ such that

$$D(x \wedge w, y, z) = (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(w)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z))$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in L$.

Example 1. Let L be a lattice of following Figure 1 and define mappings D and f on L by

$$D(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 2, & (x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0) \\ 2, & (x, y, z) = (0, 0, 1) \text{ or } (0, 1, 0) \text{ or } (1, 0, 0) \\ 2, & (x, y, z) = (0, 0, 2) \text{ or } (0, 2, 0) \text{ or } (2, 0, 0) \\ 1, & (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 1) \\ 0, & (x, y, z) = (2, 2, 2) \\ 1, & (x, y, z) = (0, 1, 1) \text{ or } (1, 0, 1) \text{ or } (1, 1, 0) \\ 0, & (x, y, z) = (0, 2, 2) \text{ or } (2, 0, 2) \text{ or } (2, 2, 0) \\ 2, & (x, y, z) = (0, 1, 2) \text{ or } (1, 0, 2) \text{ or } (1, 2, 0) \\ & \text{or } (2, 1, 0) \text{ or } (2, 0, 1) \\ 2, & (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2) \text{ or } (1, 2, 1) \text{ or } (2, 1, 1) \\ 0, & (x, y, z) = (1, 2, 2) \text{ or } (2, 1, 2) \text{ or } (2, 2, 1) \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2, & x = 0 \\ 1, & x = 1 \\ 0, & x = 2. \end{cases}$$

We can see that D is a permuting tri- f -derivation on L . But D is not permuting tri-derivation. Because

$$D(0 \wedge 1, 0, 2) = D(0, 0, 2) = 2$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} D(0 \wedge 1, 0, 2) &= (D(0, 0, 2) \wedge 1) \vee (0 \wedge D(1, 0, 2)) \\ &= (2 \wedge 1) \vee (0 \wedge 2) \\ &= 1 \vee 0 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2$$

Figure 1

Proposition 1. *Let L be a lattice and D be a permuting tri- f -derivation on L . Then the following identities hold for all $x, y, z, w \in L$:*

- (i) $D(x, y, z) \leq f(x)$, $D(x, y, z) \leq f(y)$ and $D(x, y, z) \leq f(z)$.
- (ii) $D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z) \leq D(x \wedge w, y, z) \leq D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z)$.
- (iii) $D(x \wedge w, y, z) \leq f(x) \vee f(w)$.
- (iv) *If L has a least element 0 , then $f(0) = 0$ implies $D(0, y, z) = 0$ for all $y, z \in L$.*

Proof. (i) Since $x \wedge x = x$ for all $x \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) &= D(x \wedge x, y, z) \\ &= (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(x, y, z)) \\ &= D(x, y, z) \wedge f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $D(x, y, z) \leq f(x)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$. Similarly, we see that $D(x, y, z) \leq f(y)$ and $D(x, y, z) \leq f(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.

- (ii) Since $D(x, y, z) \leq f(x)$ and $D(w, y, z) \leq f(w)$, from (i), we have

$$D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z) \leq f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)$$

and

$$D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z) \leq f(w) \wedge D(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in L$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z) &\leq (f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \vee (f(w) \wedge D(x, y, z)) \\ &= D(x \wedge w, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since $f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z) \leq D(w, y, z)$ and $f(w) \wedge D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, z)$, we get

$$(f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \vee (f(w) \wedge D(x, y, z)) \leq D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z).$$

That is, $D(x \wedge w, y, z) \leq D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z)$.

(iii) Since $D(x, y, z) \wedge f(w) \leq f(w)$ and $f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z) \leq f(x)$, we get

$$(D(x, y, z) \wedge f(w)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \leq f(x) \vee f(w).$$

That is, $D(x \wedge w, y, z) \leq f(x) \vee f(w)$.

(iv) Since 0 is the least element of L . We have

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, y, z) &= D(0 \wedge 0, y, z) \\ &= (D(0, y, z) \wedge f(0)) \vee (f(0) \wedge D(0, y, z)) \\ &= 0 \vee 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $y, z \in L$ □

Corollary 1. *Note that,*

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, x, x) &= D(x \wedge x, x, x) = (D(x, x, x) \wedge f(x)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(x, x, x)) \\ &= D(x, x, x) \wedge f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in L$. That is, $d(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in L$.

Definition 8. Let L be a lattice and D be a permuting tri- f -derivation on L .

(i) If $x \leq w$ implies $D(x, y, z) \leq D(w, y, z)$, then D is called an isotone permuting tri- f -derivation.

(ii) If D is one-to-one, D is called a monomorphic permuting tri- f -derivation.

(iii) If D is onto, D is called an epic permuting tri- f -derivation.

Proposition 2. *Let L be lattice, D be a permuting tri- f -derivation on L and 1 be the greatest element of L . Then the following identities hold:*

(i) *If $f(x) \leq D(1, y, z)$, then $D(x, y, z) = f(x)$.*

(ii) *If $f(x) \geq D(1, y, z)$ and $f(1) = 1$, then $D(x, y, z) \geq D(1, y, z)$.*

Proof. (i) Since

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) &= D(x \wedge 1, y, z) \\ &= (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(1)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(1, y, z)) \\ &= D(x, y, z) \vee f(x), \end{aligned}$$

we get $f(x) \leq D(x, y, z)$. From Proposition 1(i), we obtain $D(x, y, z) = f(x)$.

(ii) Since

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) &= D(x \wedge 1, y, z) \\ &= (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(1)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(1, y, z)) \\ &= D(x, y, z) \vee D(1, y, z), \end{aligned}$$

we get $D(1, y, z) \leq D(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$. \square

Proposition 3. *Let L be a lattice and D be a permuting tri- f -derivation on L . If f is an increasing function, then $w \leq x$ and $D(x, y, z) = f(x)$ imply that $D(w, y, z) = f(w)$.*

Proof. Suppose $w \leq x$, then $x \wedge w = w$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} D(w, y, z) &= D(x \wedge w, y, z) \\ &= (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(w)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \\ &= (f(x) \wedge f(w)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \\ &= f(w) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \\ &= f(w) \vee D(w, y, z) \\ &= f(w). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 4. *Let L be a lattice and D be a permuting tri- f -derivation on L . Then for any $x, y, z, w \in L$ the followings hold:*

(i) *If D is isotone, then*

$$D(x, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \vee (D(x \vee w, y, z) \wedge f(x)).$$

(ii) *If $f(x \vee w) = f(x) \vee f(w)$, then*

$$D(x, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \vee (D(x \vee w, y, z) \wedge f(x)).$$

(iii) *If f is an increasing function, then*

$$D(x, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z)).$$

Proof. (i) Since D is an isotone permuting tri- f -derivation then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) &= D((x \vee w) \wedge x, y, z) \\ &= (D(x \vee w, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \vee (f(x \vee w) \wedge D(x, y, z)) \\ &= (D(x \vee w, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \vee D(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since $D(x, y, z) \leq f(x) \leq f(x) \vee f(w)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) &= D((x \vee w) \wedge x, y, z) \\ &= (D(x \vee w, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \vee (f(x \vee w) \wedge D(x, y, z)) \\ &= (D(x \vee w, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \vee D(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Since f is an increasing function and $x \leq x \vee y$ then $f(x) \leq f(x \vee y)$ and so;

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) &= D((x \vee w) \wedge x, y, z) \\ &= (D(x \vee w, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \vee (f(x \vee w) \wedge D(x, y, z)) \\ &= (D(x \vee w, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \vee D(x, y, z). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 5. *Let L be a lattice, D be an isotone permutating tri- f -derivation and f be a decreasing function. If $D(x, y, z) = f(x)$ and $D(w, y, z) = f(w)$, then $D(x \vee w, y, z) = f(x) \vee f(w)$.*

Proof. Since $x \leq x \vee w$, $w \leq x \vee w$ and D is isotone, we get $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x \vee w, y, z)$ and $D(w, y, z) \leq D(x \vee w, y, z)$. Hence $f(x) \vee f(w) \leq D(x \vee w, y, z)$. Also, $D(x \vee w, y, z) \leq f(x \vee w) \leq f(x) \vee f(w)$. Therefore $D(x \vee w, y, z) = f(x) \vee f(w)$. \square

Theorem 1. *Let L be a lattice with greatest element 1 and D be a permutating tri- f -derivation on L and $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) D is an isotone permutating tri- f -derivation.
- (ii) $D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z) \leq D(x \vee w, y, z)$.
- (iii) $D(x, y, z) = f(x) \wedge D(1, y, z)$.
- (iv) $D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) Suppose that D is an isotone permutating tri- f -derivation. Since $x \leq x \vee w$ and $w \leq x \vee w$, we have $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x \vee w, y, z)$ and $D(w, y, z) \leq D(x \vee w, y, z)$. Therefore, $D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z) \leq D(x \vee w, y, z)$.

(ii) \implies (i) Suppose that $D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z) \leq D(x \vee w, y, z)$ and $x \leq w$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) &\leq D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z) \leq D(x \vee w, y, z) \\ &= D(w, y, z), \end{aligned}$$

we get D is isotone.

(i) \implies (iii) Suppose that D is an isotone permutating tri- f -derivation. Since $D(x, y, z) \leq D(1, y, z)$, we get $D(x, y, z) \leq f(x) \wedge D(1, y, z)$ by Proposition 1(i). From Proposition 4(i), for $w = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) &= (D(1, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \vee D(x, y, z) \\ &= D(1, y, z) \wedge f(x). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) \implies (iv) From (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} D(x \wedge w, y, z) &= f(x \wedge w) \wedge D(1, y, z) \\ &= f(x) \wedge f(w) \wedge D(1, y, z) \\ &= (f(x) \wedge D(1, y, z)) \wedge (f(w) \wedge D(1, y, z)) \\ &= D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) \implies (i) Let $D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$ and $x \leq w$. Then, we get $D(x, y, z) = D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$. Therefore, $D(x, y, z) \leq D(w, y, z)$. \square

Theorem 2. *Let L be a modular lattice and D be a permuting tri- f -derivation on L . Then,*

- (i) *D is an isotone if and only if $D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$.*
- (ii) *If D is an isotone and $f(x \vee w) = f(x) \vee f(w)$, then $D(x, y, z) = f(x)$ implies $D(x \vee w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z)$.*

Proof. (i) Let D be isotone. Since $x \wedge w \leq x$ and $x \wedge w \leq w$, we get $D(x \wedge w, y, z) \leq D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z) &= (D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \wedge (f(x) \wedge f(w)) \\ &= (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(w)) \wedge (f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \\ &\leq (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(w)) \vee (D(w, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \\ &= D(x \wedge w, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain $D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$.

Conversely let $D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$ and $x \leq w$. Since $D(x, y, z) = D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$, we obtain $D(x, y, z) \leq D(w, y, z)$.

(ii) Suppose that D is isotone and $D(x, y, z) = f(x)$. From Proposition 4 and since L is a modular lattice, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(w, y, z) &= D(w, y, z) \vee (f(w) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z)) \\ &= f(w) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z) &= D(x, y, z) \vee (f(w) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z)) \\ &= (D(x, y, z) \vee f(w)) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z) \\ &= (f(x) \vee f(w)) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z) \\ &= f(x \vee w) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z) \\ &= D(x \vee w, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis. \square

Theorem 3. *Let L be a distributive lattice and D be a permuting tri- f -derivation on L where $f(x \vee w) = f(x) \vee f(w)$. Then the followings hold:*

- (i) *If D is isotone, then $D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$.*
- (ii) *If D is isotone if and only if $D(x \vee w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z)$.*

Proof. (i) Since D is isotone $D(x \wedge w, y, z) \leq D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$. From Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z) &= (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(x)) \wedge (f(w) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \\
&= (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(w)) \wedge (f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \\
&\leq (D(x, y, z) \wedge f(w)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(w, y, z)) \\
&= D(x \wedge w, y, z).
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$.

(ii) Let D be isotone. From (i), we have $D(x \wedge w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \wedge D(w, y, z)$. From Proposition 1 and Proposition 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D(w, y, z) &= D(w, y, z) \vee (f(w) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z)) \\
&= (D(w, y, z) \vee f(w)) \wedge (D(w, y, z) \vee D(x \vee w, y, z)) \\
&= f(w) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$D(x, y, z) = f(x) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z).$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z) &= (f(x) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z)) \vee (f(w) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z)) \\
&= (f(x) \vee f(w)) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z) \\
&= f(x \vee w) \wedge D(x \vee w, y, z) \\
&= D(x \vee w, y, z).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $D(x \vee w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z)$ and $x \leq w$. Since $D(w, y, z) = D(x \vee w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) \vee D(w, y, z)$, we get $D(x, y, z) \leq D(w, y, z)$. \square

References

- [1] R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, Mo., 1974.
- [2] A. J. Bell, *The co-information lattice*, in: 4th International Symposium on Independent Component Analysis and Blind Signal Separation (ICA2003), Nara, Japan, 2003, 921–926.
- [3] H. E. Bell and L. C. Kappe, *Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions*, Acta Math. Hungar. **53** (1989), no. 3-4, 339–346.
- [4] H. E. Bell and G. Mason, *On derivations in near-rings*, Near-rings and near-fields (Tubingen, 1985), 31–35, North-Holland Math. Stud., 137, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [5] G. Birkhoof, *Lattice Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium, 1940.
- [6] C. Carpineto and G. Romano, *Information retrieval through hybrid navigation of lattice representations*, Int. J. Human-Computers Studies **45** (1996), 553–558.
- [7] Y. Çeven, *Symmetric bi-derivations of lattices*, Quaest. Math. **32** (2009), no. 2, 241–245.
- [8] Y. Çeven and M. A. Öztürk, *On the trace of a permuting tri-additive mapping in left s -unital rings*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (2005), no. 4, 465–474.
- [9] ———, *On f -derivations of lattices*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **45** (2008), no. 4, 701–707.
- [10] C. Degang, Z. Wenxiu, D. Yeung, and E. C. C. Tsang, *Rough approximations on a complete completely distributive lattice with applications to generalized rough sets*, Inform. Sci. **176** (2006), no. 13, 1829–1848.
- [11] L. Ferrari, *On derivations of lattices*, Pure Math. Appl. **12** (2001), no. 4, 365–382.

- [12] A. Honda and M. Grabish, *Entropy of capacities on lattices and set systems*, Inform. Sci. **176** (2006), no. 23, 3472–3489.
- [13] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, *On derivations of BCI-algebras*, Inform. Sci. **159** (2004), no. 3-4, 167–176.
- [14] F. Karaçal, *On the direct decomposability of strong negations and S -implication operators on product lattices*, Inform. Sci. **176** (2006), no. 20, 3011–3025.
- [15] D. Özden, M. A. Öztürk, and Y. B. Jun, *Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semi-prime gamma rings*, Kyungpook Math. J. **46** (2006), no. 2, 153–167.
- [16] M. A. Öztürk, *Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semi-prime rings*, East Asian Math. J. **15** (1999), no. 2, 177–190.
- [17] M. A. Öztürk, Y. Çeven, and Y. B. Jun, *Generalized derivations of BCI-algebras*, Honam Math. J. **31** (2009), no. 4, 601–609.
- [18] M. A. Öztürk, H. Yazarlı, and K. H. Kim, *Permuting tri-derivations in lattices*, Quaest. Math. **32** (2009), no. 3, 415–425.
- [19] E. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1093–1100.
- [20] R. S. Sandhu, *Role hierarchies and constraints for lattice-based access controls*, in: Proceedings of the 4th European Symposium on Research in Computer Security, Rome, Italy, 1996, 65–79.
- [21] G. Szász, *Derivations of lattices*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **37** (1975), 149–154.
- [22] X. L. Xin, T. Y. Li, and J. H. Lu, *On derivations of lattices*, Inform. Sci. **178** (2008), no. 2, 307–316.
- [23] H. Yazarlı, M. A. Öztürk, and Y. B. Jun, *Tri-additive maps and permuting tri-derivations*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat. **54** (2005), no. 1, 1–8.
- [24] J. Zhan and Y. L. Liu, *On f -derivations of BCI-algebras*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2005** (2005), no. 11, 1675–1684.

HASRET YAZARLI
CUMHURİYET UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
58140 SIVAS, TURKEY
E-mail address: hyazarli@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

MEHMET ALI ÖZTÜRK
ADİYAMAN UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
02040 ADİYAMAN, TURKEY
E-mail address: maozturk@adiyaman.edu.tr