Honam Mathematical J. **33** (2011), No. 4, pp. 453–465 http://dx.doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2011.33.4.453

## THE LATTICE OF ORDINARY SMOOTH TOPOLOGIES

MINSEOK CHEONG, GAB-BYUNG CHAE<sup>†\*</sup>, KUL HUR AND SANG-MOK KIM

**Abstract.** Lim et al. [5] introduce the notion of ordinary smooth topologies by considering the gradation of openness[resp. closedness] of ordinary subsets of X. In this paper, we study a collection of all ordinary smooth topologies on X, say OST(X), in the sense of a lattice. And we prove that OST(X) is a complete lattice.

# 1. Introduction

In 1968, Chang [1] had first tried to fuzzify the concept of a topology on a set X by axiomatizing a collection of fuzzy sets in X. After that, Pu and Liu [8] and Lowen [6] had advanced the concept of fuzzy topology. Recently, a new concept of fuzzification of a topological space is considered by fuzzy topologists, the so-called smooth fuzzy topological space. The concept of smooth topological spaces was introduced by  $\check{S}ostak$ . After that some work has been done by Ramadan [9], Chattopadhyay et al. [2], Hazra et al [4], Chattopadhyay and Samanta [3], and Peeters [7]. They all have investigated the gradation of openness[resp. closedness] of fuzzy sets in a set X. Lim et al. [5] introduce the notion of ordinary smooth topologies by considering the gradation of openness[resp. closedness] of ordinary subsets of X.

In this paper, we study a collection of all ordinary smooth topologies on X, say OST(X), in the sense of a lattice. And we prove that OST(X) is a complete lattice.

Received August 17, 2011. Accepted September 16, 2011.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A40.

Key words and phrases. Complete lattice, Ordinary smooth topology, Ordinary smooth cotopology, Ordinary smooth base, Ordinary smooth subbase.

<sup>†</sup> The corresponding author

<sup>\*</sup> This paper was supported by Wonkwang University in 2009.

## 2. Preliminaries

We give a partial order on OST(X) by taking the pointwise order with respect to the canonical order-induced by the order of the real numbers in I.

We list some definitions, one result and one example needed in the next section.

**Definition 1.** [5] Let X be a nonempty set. Then a mapping  $\tau$ :  $2^X \to I$  is called an ordinary smooth topology on X or a gradation of openness of ordinary subsets of X if  $\tau$  satisfies the following axioms:

$$(OST_1) \ \tau(\emptyset) = \tau(X) = 1.$$
$$(OST_2) \ \tau(A \cap B) \ge \tau(A) \land \tau(B) \text{ for all } A, B \in 2^X.$$
$$(OST_3) \ \tau(\cup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha) \ge \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau(A_\alpha) \text{ for all } \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset 2^X.$$

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called an ordinary smooth topological space. We denote the set of all ordinary smooth topologies on X as OST(X).

**Definition 2.** [5] Let X be a nonempty set. Then a mapping  $\mathfrak{F}$ :  $2^X \to I$  is called an ordinary smooth cotopology on X or a gradation of closedness of ordinary subsets of X if  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfies the following axioms:

$$(OST_1) \mathfrak{F}(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{F}(X) = 1.$$

$$(OST_2) \ \mathfrak{F}(A \cup B) \geq \mathfrak{F}(A) \lor \mathfrak{F}(B) \text{ for all } A, B \in 2^X.$$

$$(OST_3) \ \mathfrak{F}(\cap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha) \ge \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{F}(A_\alpha) \text{ for all } \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset 2^X.$$

The pair  $(X, \mathfrak{F})$  is called an ordinary smooth cotopological space. We denote the set of all ordinary smooth cotopologies on X as OSCT(X).

**Result 3** ([5], Proposition 2.7). Let X be a nonempty set. We define two mappings  $f : OST(X) \rightarrow OSCT(X)$  and  $g : OSCT(X) \rightarrow OST(X)$  as follows, respectively:

$$[f(\tau)](A) = \tau(A^c)$$
, for all  $\tau \in OST(X)$  and  $A \in 2^X$ 

and

$$[g(\mathfrak{F})](A) = \mathfrak{F}(A^c), \text{ for all } \mathfrak{F} \in OSCT(X) \text{ and } A \in 2^X.$$

Then f and g are well-defined. Furthermore,  $g \circ f = id_{OST(X)}$  and  $f \circ g = id_{OSCT(X)}$ . In fact, if we write  $f(\tau) = \mathfrak{F}_{\tau}$  and  $g(\mathfrak{F}) = \tau_{\mathfrak{F}}$ , then  $\tau_{\mathfrak{F}_{\tau}} = \tau$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_{\mathfrak{F}}} = \mathfrak{F}$ .

**Definition 4.** Let X be a nonempty set and  $I^{(2^X)}$  denote the set of all mapping from  $2^X$  into I. For  $t_1$  and  $t_2 \in I^{(2^X)}$ , we say that  $t_1$  is finer than  $t_2$ , or  $t_2$  is coarser than  $t_1$ , denoted by  $t_2 \leq t_1$ , if  $t_2(A) \leq t_1(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^X$ .

From Definition 4, it can be easily seen that  $(I^{(2^X)}, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set (in short, a poset). Since OST(X)[resp. OSCT(X)] $\subset I^{(2^X)}$ , we can define an order relation " $\leq$ " on OST(X)[resp. OSCT(X)], as the same way in  $I^{(2^X)}$ .

Furthermore, we can easily see that for any  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in OST(X), \tau_1$  is finer than  $\tau_2$ , i.e.  $\tau_2 \leq \tau_1$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_1}$  is finer than  $\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_2}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_2} \leq \mathfrak{F}_{\tau_1}$ , from Result 3.

**Example 5.** (a) Let X be a nonempty set. We define the mapping  $\tau_{\emptyset}: 2^X \to I$  as follows : For each  $A \in 2^X$ ,

$$\tau_{\varnothing}(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A = \emptyset \text{ or } A = X; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily verified that  $\tau_{\emptyset} \in OST(X)$  (called the ordinary indiscrete smooth topology). In fact,  $\tau_{\emptyset}$  is the coarsest ordinary smooth topology. Moreover, we can define the coarsest ordinary smooth cotopology on X, denoted by  $\mathfrak{F}_{\emptyset}$ .

- (b) Let X be a nonempty set. We define the mapping  $\tau_X : 2^X \to I$  as follows : For each  $A \in 2^X$ ,  $\tau_X(A) = 1$ . Then it can be easily see that  $\tau_X \in OST(X)$  (called the ordinary discrete smooth topology). In fact,  $\tau_X$  is the finest ordinary smooth topology. Furthermore, we can define the finest ordinary smooth cotopology on X, denoted by  $\mathfrak{F}_X$ .
- (c) Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a classical topological space. We define the mapping  $\tau_{\mathcal{T}}: 2^X \to I$  as follows : For each  $A \in 2^X$ ,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{T}}(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{T}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then it can be easily seen that  $\tau_{\mathcal{T}} \in OST(X)$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{T}$  is the classical indiscrete topology, then  $\tau_{\mathcal{T}} = \tau_{\emptyset}$ , and if  $\mathcal{T}$  is the classical discrete topology, then  $\tau_{\mathcal{T}} = \tau_X$ .

**Remark 6.** Let X be a nonempty set. Then, from(b) and (c) in Example 5, it is clear that  $\tau_{\emptyset} \leq \tau \leq \tau_X$  for each  $\tau \in OST(X)$ .

The following is the immediate result of Definition 4 and Remark 6.

**Proposition 7.** Let X be a nonempty set. Then  $(OST(X), \leq)$  is a poset with the least element  $\tau_{\emptyset}$  and the largest element  $\tau_X$ .

## 3. Bases and subbases of an ordinary smooth Topology

Any subset  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $2^X$ , the collection of subsets of X, is contained in a classical topology that is created by first adding  $\emptyset$  and X (if these are not in the subset yet), and then making it closed under the finite intersections and arbitrary unions. The topology we yield in this way is the coarsest one finer than  $\mathfrak{S}$  with respect to the inclusion.

We would like to establish the ordinary smooth topology which is the counterpart of this construction.

**Definition 8.** Let X be a nonempty set and let  $t : 2^X \to I$  be a mapping. We define the mappings  $t^C$ ,  $t^I$ , and  $t^S : 2^X \to I$  as follows : For each  $A \in 2^X$ 

$$t^{C}(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A = \emptyset \text{ or } A = X; \\ t(A), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$t^{I}(A) = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} t(A_{i}) : A = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right\},$$
$$t^{S}(A) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \left\{ \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} t(A_{\alpha} : A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_{\alpha} \right\}.$$

The obvious thing to remark now is that the succession of the modification of these three mappings should define an ordinary smooth topology. However, in order to show this, we first need some lemmas.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $t: 2^X \to I$  be a mapping. Then we have the following:

- (a) If  $t(\emptyset) = t(X) = 1$ , then  $t^C = t$ .
- (b) If  $t(A \cap B) \ge t(A) \land t(B)$  for any  $A, B \in 2^X$ , then  $t^I = t$ .
- (c) If  $t(\cup_{\alpha\in\Gamma}A_{\alpha}) \ge \bigwedge_{\alpha\in\Gamma} t(A_{\alpha})$  for each  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \subset 2^{X}$ , then  $t^{S} = t$ .

*Proof.* (a) It is obvious from the definition of  $t^C$ .

(b) Let  $A \in 2^X$ . Then, by the definition of  $t^I$ , it is obvious that  $t^I(A) \ge t(A)$ . Now, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset 2^X$  such that  $A = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$  and  $t^I(A) < \bigwedge_{i=1}^n t(A_i) + \varepsilon$ . By the hypothesis and the induction,

$$t\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right) \ge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} t(A_i).$$

Thus  $t(A) > t^{I}(A) - \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,

$$t(A) \ge t^I(A).$$

So  $t^{I}(A) = t(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^{X}$ . Hence  $t^{I} = t$ .

(c) By the definition of  $t^S$ , it is clear that  $t^S(a) \ge t(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^X$ . Let  $A \in 2^X$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then, by the definition of  $t^S$ , there exists  $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset 2^X$  such that  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha$  and  $t^S(A) < \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} t(A_\alpha) + \varepsilon$ . By the hypothesis,

$$t(A) = t\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_{\alpha}\right) \ge \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} t(A_{\alpha}).$$

Thus  $t(A) > t^{S}(A) - \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,

$$t(A) \ge t^S(A).$$

So  $t^{S}(A) = t(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^{X}$ . Hence  $t^{S} = t$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 10.** The three operators  $\cdot^{C}$ ,  $\cdot^{I}$ , and  $\cdot^{S} : I^{(2^{X})} \to I^{(2^{X})}$  are idempotent, i.e., for any mapping  $t : 2^{X} \to I$ , we have the followings:

- (a)  $t^{CC} = t^C$ .
- (b)  $t^{II} = t^{I}$ .
- (c)  $t^{SS} = t^S$ .

*Proof.* (a) From the definition of  $t^C$ , it is clear.

(b) By the definition of  $t^{I}$ , it is clear that  $t^{I}(A) \geq t(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^{X}$ . Thus  $t^{II}(A) \geq t^{I}(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^{X}$ . Now let  $A \in 2^{X}$ 

and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then by the definition of  $t^{II}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset 2^X$  such that

$$A = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_i$$

and

(1) 
$$t^{II}(A) < \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} t^{I}(A_{i}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By the definition of  $t^{I}(A_{i})$ , for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , there exists  $m(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $\{A_{i}\}_{i=1}^{m(i)} \subset 2^{X}$  such that

$$A_i = \bigcap_{j=1}^{m(i)} A_{ij}$$

and

(2) 
$$t^{II}(A) < \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{m(i)} t(A_{ij}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By (1) and (2),

$$t^{II}(A) < \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{m(i)} t(A_{ij}) + \varepsilon.$$

If we reindex the collection  $\{A_i\}_{(i,j)=(1,1)}^{(n,m(i))} \subset 2^X$ , we might as well write that  $\{A_i\}_{(i,j)=(1,1)}^{(n,m(i))} = \{B_k\}_{k=1}^M \subset 2^X$  such that

$$A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{M} B_k$$

and

(3) 
$$t^{II}(A) < \bigwedge_{k=1}^{M} t(B_k) + \varepsilon.$$

By the definition of  $t^{I}$ , and the induction

(4) 
$$t^{I}(A) \ge \bigwedge_{k=1}^{M} t(B_{k}).$$

By (3) and (4),

$$t^{II}(A) < t^{I}(A) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,

$$t^{II}(A) \leq t^{I}(A).$$
 So  $t^{II}(A) = t^{I}(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^{X}$ . Hence  $t^{II} = t^{I}$ .

(c) It is obvious that  $t^{SS}(A) \ge t^S(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^X$ . Let  $A \in 2^X$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then by the definition of  $t^{SS}$ , there exists  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset 2^X$  such that

$$A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_{\alpha}$$

and

(5) 
$$t^{SS}(A) < \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} t^{S}(A_{\alpha}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By the definition of  $t^S(A_\alpha)$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , there exists  $\{A_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\beta \in \Gamma_\alpha} \subset 2^X$  such that

$$A_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} A_{\alpha\beta}$$

and

(6) 
$$t^{S}(A_{\alpha}) < \bigwedge_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} t(A_{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By (5) and (6),

$$t^{SS}(A) < \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \bigwedge_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} t(A_{\alpha\beta}) + \varepsilon.$$

If we reindex the collection  $\{A_{\alpha\beta}\}_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Gamma\times\Gamma_{\alpha}} \subset 2^X$ , we might as well write that  $\{A_{\alpha\beta}\}_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Gamma\times\Gamma_{\alpha}} = \{B_k\}_{k\in K} \subset 2^X$  such that

$$A = \bigcap_{k \in K} B_k$$

and

$$t^{SS}(A) < \bigwedge_{k \in K} t(B_k) + \varepsilon.$$

By the definition of  $t^S$ ,

$$t^S(A) \ge \bigwedge_{k \in K} t(B_k).$$

Thus  $t^{SS}(A) < t^{S}(A) + \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $t^{SS}(A) \le t^{S}(A)$ 

for each 
$$A \in 2^X$$
. Hence  $t^{SS}(A) = t^S(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^X$ . Therefore  $t^{SS} = t^S$ .

This completes the proof.

**Corollary 11.** The implications from Lemma 9 may be reserved, i.e., for each mapping  $t: 2^X \to I$ , we have the following :

(a)  $t^{C}(\phi) = t^{C}(X) = 1.$ (b)  $t^{I}(A \cap B) \ge t^{I}(A) \wedge t^{I}(B)$ , for any  $A, B \in 2^{X}$ . (c)  $t^{S}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_{\alpha}) \ge \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} t^{S}(A_{\alpha})$ , for each  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset 2^{X}$ .

*Proof.* (a) It is obvious from the definition of  $t^C$ .

(b) Let  $A, B \in 2^X$ . Then, by the definition of  $t^{II}$ ,  $t^{II}(A \cap B) \ge t^I(A) \wedge t^I(B)$ .

By Lemma 10 (b), we have

$$t^{II}(A \cap B) = t^I(A \cap B).$$

So  $t^{I}(A \cap B) \ge t^{I}(A) \wedge t^{I}(B)$ .

(c) It can be proved analogously.

The following lemma is the immediate result of Definition 8.

**Lemma 12.** The three operators  $\cdot^{C}$ ,  $\cdot^{I}$ , and  $\cdot^{S} : I^{(2^{X})} \to I^{(2^{X})}$  are increasing, i.e for any two mappings  $t_1, t_2 : 2^{X} \to I$  with  $t_2 \leq t_1$ , we have the followings:

- (a)  $t_2^C \le t_1^C$ .
- (b)  $t_2^I \le t_1^I$ .
- (c)  $t_2^S \le t_1^S$ .

Now we show that every mapping  $t: 2^X \to I$  can be extended to an ordinary smooth topology on X, just as in the case of classical topologies in T(X), where T(X) denotes the set of all classical topologies on X.

**Theorem 13.** [Ordinary smooth topological subbase theorem] Let  $t : 2^X \to I$  be any mapping, and let  $t^{CIS} : 2^X \to I$  be the mapping defined by  $t^{CIS} = ((t^C)^I)^S$ . Then  $t^{CIS} \in OST(X)$ .

*Proof.* (OST<sub>1</sub>) By Corollary 11 (a), we have  $t^{C}(\emptyset) = t^{C}(X) = 1$ . Thus,

$$(t^{C})^{I}(\varnothing) = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} t^{C}(A_{i}) : \varnothing = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right\}$$
  
$$\geq t^{C}(\varnothing)$$
  
$$= 1.$$

Similarly, we have that  $(t^C)^I(X) = 1$ . So

$$t^{CIS}(\varnothing) = \bigvee \left\{ \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} (t^C)^I (A_\alpha) : \varnothing = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha \right\}$$
$$\geq (t^C)^I (\varnothing)$$
$$= 1.$$

Similarly, we have that  $t^{CIS}(X) = 1$ . Hence  $t^{CIS}(\emptyset) = t^{CIS}(X) = 1$ .

 $(OST_3)$  Let  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \subset 2^X$ . Then, by Corollary 11 (c), we have

$$t^{CIS}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma}A_{\alpha}\right) = (t^{CI})^{S}\left(\bigvee_{\alpha\in\Gamma}A_{\alpha}\right)$$
$$\geq \bigwedge_{\alpha\in\Gamma}(t^{CI})^{S}(A_{\alpha})$$
$$= \bigwedge_{\alpha\in\Gamma}t^{CIS}(A_{\alpha}).$$

 $(OST_2)$  Let  $A_1, A_2 \in 2^X$ . Then, by the definitions, we have

$$t^{CIS}(A_1 \cap A_2) = \bigvee \left\{ \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} (t^{CI})(C_\alpha) : A_1 \cap A_2 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} C_\alpha \right\},$$
$$t^{CIS}(A_1) = \bigvee \left\{ \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} (t^{CI})(A_{1\alpha}) : A_1 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} A_{1\alpha} \right\},$$

M. Cheong, G.-B. Chae, Kul Hur and S.-M. Kim

and

$$t^{CIS}(A_2) = \bigvee \left\{ \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2} (t^{CI})(A_{2\alpha}) : A_2 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2} A_{2\alpha} \right\}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $\{A_{1\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} \subset 2^X$  and  $\{A_{2\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2} \subset 2^X$  such that

$$A_1 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_{1\alpha}, \quad t^{CIS}(A_1) < \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} t^{CI}(A_{1\alpha}) + \varepsilon,$$

and

$$A_2 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_{2\alpha}, \quad t^{CIS}(A_2) < \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2} t^{CI}(A_{2\alpha}) + \varepsilon.$$

For each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ , let  $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{1\alpha} \cap A_{2\beta}$ . Then,

$$\bigcup_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Gamma_1\times\Gamma_2}A_{\alpha\beta} = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_1}A_{1\alpha}\right)\cap\left(\bigcup_{\beta\in\Gamma_2}A_{2\beta}\right) = A_1\cap A_2.$$

Thus,

$$\bigwedge_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Gamma_1\times\Gamma_2} t^{CI}A_{\alpha\beta} \ge \left(\bigwedge_{\alpha\in\Gamma_1} t^{CI}A_{1\alpha}\right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\beta\in\Gamma_2} t^{CI}A_{2\beta}\right)$$
$$> t^{CIS}(A_1) \wedge t^{CIS}(A_2) - \varepsilon.$$

Since  $t^{CIS}(A_1 \cap A_2)$  is defined as the supremum over such infima, we have

$$t^{CIS}(A_1) \wedge t^{CIS}(A_2) - \varepsilon < t^{CIS}(A_1 \cap A_2).$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$t^{CIS}(A_1) \wedge t^{CIS}(A_2) \le t^{CIS}(A_1 \cap A_2).$$

Hence,  $t^{CIS} \in OST(X)$ .

**Definition 14.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ordinary smooth topological space.

(a) A mapping  $\mathfrak{B}: 2^X \to I$  is called an ordinary smooth base for  $\tau$  if  $\mathfrak{B}^S = \tau$ .

(b) A mapping  $\mathfrak{S}: 2^X \to I$  is called an ordinary smooth subbase for  $\tau$  if  $\mathfrak{S}^{CIS} = \tau$ .

**Proposition 15.** Let X be a nonempty set and let  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \subset OST(X)$ . Then there exists a unique ordinary smooth topology  $\tau$  that is coarser than  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and the finest one with this property.

*Proof.* Let  $\tau = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}$ , and let us define the mapping  $\tau : 2^X \to I$  as follows : For each  $A \in 2^X$ ,

$$\tau(A) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}(A).$$

 $(OST_1) \ \tau(\emptyset) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}(\emptyset) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} 1 = 1.$  Similarly, we have that  $\tau(X) = 1.$ 

$$(OST_2)$$
 Let  $A, B \in 2^X$ . Then,

---

$$\tau(A \cap B) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}(A \cap B)$$
  

$$\geq \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} [\tau_{\alpha}(A) \wedge \tau_{\alpha}(B)] \quad \text{Since } \tau_{\alpha} \in OST(X)$$
  

$$= \left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}(A)\right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}(B)\right)$$
  

$$= \tau(A) \wedge \tau(B).$$

 $(OST_3)$  Let  $\{A_j\}_{j\in J} \subset 2^X$ . Then,

$$\tau(\cup_{j\in J}A_j) = \bigwedge_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}(\cup_{j\in J}A_j)$$
$$\geq \bigwedge_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \bigwedge_{j\in J} \tau_{\alpha}(A_j)$$
$$= \bigwedge_{j\in J} \left(\bigwedge_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}(A_j)\right)$$
$$= \bigwedge_{j\in J} \tau(A_j).$$

So  $\tau = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in OST(X)$ . It can be easily seen that  $\tau = \inf\{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ . This completes the proof.

The union of topologies need not be a topology in general. However, it can always be considered as a subbase for a unique topology, finer than all topologies involved, and the coarsest with that property. Now we have a description of ordinary smooth bases and ordinary smooth subbases for ordinary smooth topologies. The following is easily verified.

**Theorem 16.** Let X be a nonempty set. Then  $(OST(X), \leq)$  is a complete lattice with the least element  $\tau_{\emptyset}$  and the largest element  $\tau_X$ .

Proof. From Proposition 7,  $(OST(X), \leq)$  is a poset with the least element  $\tau_{\phi}$  and the largest element  $\tau_X$ . By Proposition 15, it is obvious that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha} = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha} \in OST(X)$ , for each  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset OST(X)$ . By Theorem 13,  $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha})^{CIS} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha} \in OST(X)$ , for each  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset$ OST(X). Hence  $(OST(X), \leq)$  is a complete lattice.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 17.** Let X be a nonempty set and let  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \subset OST(X)$ . Then there exists a unique ordinary smooth topology  $\tau$  on X that is finer than  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and is the coarsest with that property. In fact, the mapping  $\mathfrak{B}: 2^X \to I$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{B}(A) = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}\right)(A) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tau_{\alpha}(A) \text{ for each } A \in 2^{X}$$

and the mapping  $\tau: 2^X \to I$  is given by  $\tau = \mathfrak{B}^{CIS}$ .

#### References

- [1] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [2] K.C. Chattopadhyay, R.N. Hazra and S.K. Samanta, Gradation of openness: fuzzy topology, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 49 (1992), 237-242.
- [3] K.C. Chattopadhyay and S.K. Samanta, Fuzzy topology: Fuzzy closure operator, fuzzy compactness and fuzzy connectedness, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 54 (1993), 207-212.
- [4] R.N. Hazra, S.K. Samanta and K.C. Chattopadhyay, Fuzzy topology redefined, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 45 (1992), 79-82.
- [5] P. K. Lim, B. K. Ryou and K. Hur, Ordinary smooth topological spaces, to be submitted.
- [6] R. Lowen, Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness, J. Math Anal. Appl. 56 (1976), 621-623.
- [7] W. Peeters, The complete lattice  $(\mathbb{S}(X), \preccurlyeq)$  of smooth fuzzy topologies, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 125 (2002), 145-152.
- [8] P.M. Pu and Y.M. Liu, Fuzzy topology I, Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980), 571-599.
- [9] A.A. Ramadan, Smooth topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 48 (1992), 371-375.

MinSeok Cheong Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Korea. E-mail: macross@math.sogang.ac.kr

Gab-Byung Chae Division of Mathematics and Informational Statistics and Institute of Natural Basic Sciences, Wonkwang University, Iksan 570-749, Korea. E-mail: rivendell@wonkwang.ac.kr

Kul Hur Division of Mathematics and Informational Statistics and Nanoscale Science and Technology Institute, Wonkwang University, Iksan 570-749, Korea. E-mail: kulhur@wonkwang.ac.kr

Sang-Mok Kim Division of General Education - Mathematics, Kwangwoon University, Seoul 139-701, Korea. E-mail: smkim@kw.ac.kr