

**SOME LIMIT THEOREMS RELATED TO  
MULTI-DIMENSIONAL DIFFUSIONS IN  
A RANDOM ENVIRONMENT**

DAEHONG KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider a multi-dimensional diffusion process in a self-similar random environment and prove a limit theorem for the shape of the full trajectory of the diffusion by using the localization phenomenon.

**1. Introduction**

Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the space of continuous functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  vanishing at the origin and let  $Q$  be a probability measure on it. We call an element of  $\mathcal{W}$  an environment. For given an environment  $w$ , let  $\mathbf{P}_x^w$  be the probability measure on  $\Omega$ , the canonical path space of real-valued continuous functions from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , such that  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^w, x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$  is a diffusion process with generator

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{L}^w = \frac{1}{2} e^w \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( e^{-w} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

It is well known that such a process  $X(t)$  can be constructed from a Brownian motion by a drift transformation ([2]).

Let  $\mathcal{P}_x$  be the probability measure on  $\mathcal{W} \times \Omega$  defined by  $\mathcal{P}_x(dw d\omega) = Q(dw) \mathbf{P}_x^w(d\omega)$ . Then the diffusion  $X(t)$  can be regarded as a stochastic process defined on the probability space  $(\mathcal{W} \times \Omega, \mathcal{P}_x)$  and it is called as a *diffusion process in a random environment*. This process has a close connection with the model of Sinai's random walk and exhibits some interesting features ([1], [5], [8], [9], [10]). Among those, Brox [1] showed when  $n = 1$  and  $w(x)$  is a Brownian environment that there exists a nontrivial measurable function  $b_1 : \mathcal{W} \mapsto \mathbf{R}$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{P}_x \left( \left| \alpha^{-2} X(e^\alpha) - b_1(w) \right| > \varepsilon \right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty$$

---

Received May 11, 2009; Revised October 13, 2009.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 60K37, 31C25, 60J60.

*Key words and phrases*. Dirichlet forms, random environment, set valued diffusion processes, subdiffusivity.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 17740062.

which is the so-called subdiffusivity. This result was a consequence of a localization phenomenon, the diffusion is trapped in some valleys of its potential  $w$ , and was extended to a large class of random environments ([5]). However, all their methods are heavily rely on the one dimensional situation.

The first analogue result of (2) in higher dimensional cases was obtained by Mathieu [8]. The novelty of his work was the introduction of some analytic approaches such as asymptotics of the first non-zero eigenvalue of the generator (1) with a small noise and the related Dirichlet form theory. Motivated by this work, Tanaka [13] also proved that  $\{X(t), \mathcal{P}_x, x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$  is to be recurrent for all dimensions.

We are interested in the long time asymptotics for the shape of the full trajectory of the multi-dimensional diffusion  $X(t)$  in a random environment. More precisely, let us consider the random set of trajectory of the diffusion  $X(t)$  up to time  $t$ :

$$\mathcal{X}(t) := \overline{\{X(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}},$$

where  $\bar{A}$  stands for the closure of a set  $A$ . We may call the process  $\mathcal{X}(t)$  on the probability space  $(\mathcal{W} \times \Omega, \mathcal{P}_x, x \in \mathbf{R}^n)$  a *set valued diffusion process in a random environment*. Our purpose in this article is to extend the result (2) of Brox to the case of set valued diffusion  $\mathcal{X}(t)$ .

To state our main theorem precisely, let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a family of non-empty compact sets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $D_r(w)$  the connected component containing the origin of the sub-level domain  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : w(x) < r\}$ . Let  $d_H$  be the Hausdorff distance on  $\mathcal{K}$ , that is, for  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$

$$d_H(K_1, K_2) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : U_\varepsilon(K_1) \supset K_2, U_\varepsilon(K_2) \supset K_1 \},$$

where  $U_\varepsilon(K)$  denotes the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $K$ . Our result is based on some assumptions for the random characteristics of the environment. The main result of this article is stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f$  be a probability density on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with compact support. Suppose that*

(A.1) *For any  $\alpha > 0$  and any fixed  $\lambda > 0$ , the environment*

$$\{w_{\alpha,\lambda}(x) := \alpha^{-1}w(\alpha^\lambda x), x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$$

*has the same law as  $\{w(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$  under  $Q$ , namely  $(\mathcal{W}, Q)$  is a  $\lambda^{-1}$ -self-similar random environment.*

(A.2) *For  $Q$ -a.s.  $D_r(w)$  is bounded for any  $r > 0$  and for  $Q$ -a.s.  $w(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .*

*Then for any  $r > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any fixed  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$\mathcal{P}_f \left( d_H \left( \alpha^{-\lambda} \mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r}), \overline{D_r(w_{\alpha,\lambda})} \right) > \varepsilon \right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

*That is, the law of the random set  $\alpha^{-\lambda} \mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r})$  under  $\mathcal{P}_f$  converges to the law of the compact set  $\overline{D_r(w)}$  under  $Q$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The natural and typical examples of the random environment satisfying the assumptions **(A.1)** and **(A.2)** can be provided by  $\mathcal{W} = \{w : w(x) = |B(x)|, x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$ , where  $B(x)$  is a Lévy's Brownian motion with a  $n$ -dimensional time (cf. [8]) and  $\mathcal{W} = \{w : w(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n |B(x_i)|, x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$ , where  $\{B_i(x)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  is a family of one dimensional Brownian motions which are mutually independent (cf. [12]).

Our theorem tells us that the shape of the  $\mathcal{K}$ -valued stochastic process  $r^\lambda \mathcal{X}(t)$  converges very slowly to that of the connected component containing the origin of  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : w(x) < r\}$  by the effect of environment.

To prove our theorem, we address in Section 2 some notations and facts on a one parameter family of diffusion processes and their associated Dirichlet forms (for the general theory of Dirichlet forms, we refer readers to [2]). In Section 3, we obtain some asymptotic results on the first exit times of parameterized diffusions originally due to Mathieu [8] with some modifications (cf. [6], [7]). The proof of the main theorem will be given in Section 4. A key point of the proof is to use a modified environment to show that the parameterized diffusions enter any ball before leaving the domain  $D_r(w)$ .

**2. Preliminaries on parameterized diffusions**

For a given  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , consider a symmetric closable form on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n; e^{-\alpha w} dx)$  (energy form) parameterized by  $\alpha > 0$ :

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{E}^{\alpha w}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) e^{-\alpha w(x)} dx, \quad u, v \in C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

where  $C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is the space of continuously differentiable functions with compact support in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then  $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w}, H^1(\mathbf{R}^n))$  becomes a regular (local) Dirichlet form defined by the smallest closed extension of (3) (see [2]). Here  $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n) : \partial_i u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n), 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . By a general theory of Dirichlet forms, there exists a diffusion process associated with  $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w}, H^1(\mathbf{R}^n))$  and we denote it by  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w}, x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$ . Let  $f$  be a probability density function on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and set

$$\mathbf{P}_f(\cdot) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \mathbf{P}_x(\cdot) f(x) dx$$

for a probability distribution  $\mathbf{P}_x$ . Then  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_f^{\alpha w}\}$  can be regarded as the diffusion process with an initial distribution  $f$  of the generator (1) replaced  $w$  by  $\alpha w$ .

For a fixed  $\lambda > 0$ , we simply write as

$$(4) \quad w_\alpha(x) := \alpha^{-1} w(\alpha^\lambda x), \quad f_\alpha(x) := \alpha^{\lambda n} f(\alpha^\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Let  $\{R_\beta^w\}_{\beta > 0}$  be the resolvent of  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_f^w\}$ . Then for any  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n; e^{-w} dx)$  and  $v \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{E}_\beta^w(R_\beta^w \varphi, v) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(x) v(x) e^{-w(x)} dx,$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_\beta^w(\phi, \psi) := \mathcal{E}^w(\phi, \psi) + \beta \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \phi(x)\psi(x)e^{-w(x)} dx$  for  $\phi, \psi \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . By the change of variable with  $x = \alpha^\lambda y$ , the equation (5) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^{2\lambda}\beta}^{\alpha w_\alpha}(R_\beta^w \varphi(\alpha^\lambda \cdot), v(\alpha^\lambda \cdot)) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha^{2\lambda} \varphi(\alpha^\lambda y) v(\alpha^\lambda y) e^{-\alpha w_\alpha(y)} dy \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^{2\lambda}\beta}^{\alpha w_\alpha}(R_{\alpha^{2\lambda}\beta}^{\alpha w_\alpha}(\alpha^{2\lambda} \varphi)(\alpha^\lambda \cdot), v(\alpha^\lambda \cdot)). \end{aligned}$$

So the fact that  $\{\alpha^{2\lambda} R_{\alpha^{2\lambda}\beta}^{\alpha w_\alpha}\}_{\beta>0}$  is the resolvent of  $\{\alpha^\lambda X(\alpha^{-2\lambda}t), \mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha w_\alpha}\}$  implies the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For  $w \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $\alpha > 0$ ,*

$$(6) \quad \{\alpha^{-\lambda} X(t), \mathbf{P}_f^w\} \stackrel{d}{=} \{X(\alpha^{-2\lambda}t), \mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha w_\alpha}\},$$

where  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  means the equality in distribution.

For any  $r > 0$ , let  $r(\alpha) := r - (2\lambda/\alpha) \log \alpha$ . Clearly  $r(\alpha) \rightarrow r$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . Then (6) can be rewritten as

$$(7) \quad \{\alpha^{-\lambda} X(e^{\alpha r}), \mathbf{P}_f^w\} \stackrel{d}{=} \{X(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}), \mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha w_\alpha}\}$$

by taking  $t = e^{\alpha r}$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Under the assumption (A.1),  $\mathbf{P}^{\alpha w_\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{P}^{\alpha w}$  are same for  $Q$ -a.s.. Therefore we see from (7) that a subdiffusivity problem of the diffusion  $X(t)$  is reformulated as an  $\alpha$ -asymptotic problem of the parameterized diffusion  $\{X(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}), \mathcal{P}_{f_\alpha}^\alpha\}$ . Here  $\mathcal{P}_x^\alpha(dw d\omega) := Q(dw) \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w}(d\omega)$ .

Let  $D$  be an arbitrary bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We introduce the so-called 3-depths of  $D$  relative to an environment (cf. [4], [8]): the depths of  $D$ ,

$$d = d(D, w) = \inf_{\partial D} w - \inf_D w, \quad d' = d'(D, w) = \sup_D w - \inf_D w$$

and the critical depth (or elevation) of  $D$ ,

$$c = c(D, w) = \sup_{x, y \in D} \left\{ \inf_{\phi} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} w(\phi(t)) - w(x) - w(y) \right\} + \inf_D w,$$

where  $\phi$  is a continuous path from  $[0, 1]$  to  $D$  such that  $\phi(0) = x, \phi(1) = y$ . Note that the quantities  $d', d$  and  $c$  depend only on the landscape of  $w$  and do not depend on any boundary conditions (such as smoothness) of  $\partial D$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that if  $D \equiv D_r(w)$  ( $r > 0$ ), then  $d' = d$  and  $d > c$ .

Let  $H^1(D) = \{u \in L^2(D) : \partial_i u \in L^2(D), 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and denotes  $H_0^1(D)$  by the closure of  $C_0^1(D)$  in  $H^1(D)$ . It is well known that the absorbing process of the diffusion  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w}, x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$  on  $D$  is by definition the diffusion process  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w, D}, x \in D\}$  whose regular Dirichlet form on  $L^2(D; e^{-\alpha w} dx)$  is coincided with  $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}, H_0^1(D))$ , where

$$\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_D \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) e^{-\alpha w(x)} dx$$

([2]). On the other hand, by defining  $H_*^1(D) := (H_0^1(D) + \text{constant})$  as a new Sobolev space,  $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}, H_*^1(D))$  becomes a regular Dirichlet form on  $L^2(D^*; e^{-\alpha w} dx)$  as well ([6]). In fact, this form is the smallest one in the family of Dirichlet forms  $\mathcal{M} = \{(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}, \mathcal{F}^D) : H_0^1(D) \subset \mathcal{F}^D \subseteq H^1(D), 1 \in \mathcal{F}^D\}$ . Here  $D^*$  denotes the one-point compactification of  $D$ . Let us denote by  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w, *}, x \in D^*\}$  the diffusion process associated with  $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}, H_*^1(D))$ . This process is irreducible and recurrent on  $D^*$ . More general profound properties on this kind of process and its associated Dirichlet form can be found in [3].

For a notational convenience, we set

$$u(\alpha) \succ a \text{ if } \liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha} \log u(\alpha) \geq a, \quad u(\alpha) \prec a \text{ if } \limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha} \log u(\alpha) \leq a.$$

Let  $m_\alpha(dx)$  be the normalized underlying measure defined by

$$m_\alpha(dx) = I_D Z_\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w(x)} dx, \quad Z_\alpha = \int_D e^{-\alpha w(x)} dx.$$

Note that  $(1/\alpha) \log Z_\alpha \rightarrow -\inf_D w$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\gamma(\alpha)$  be the first non-zero eigenvalue of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}, H_*^1(D))$ , the so-called spectral gap, defined by

$$\gamma(\alpha) = \inf_{u \in H_*^1(D)} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}(u, u)}{\int_D (u - \int_D u dm_\alpha)^2 e^{-\alpha w} dx}.$$

The following asymptotic lower bound of  $\gamma(\alpha)$  for an arbitrary bounded domain  $D$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  was obtained by [6].

**Lemma 2.3.**  $\gamma(\alpha) \succ -c$ .

### 3. Lemmas on exit times

Let  $\tau_D^{\alpha w}$  be the first exit time of the diffusion  $X(t)$  out of  $D$ , that is,  $\tau_D^{\alpha w} := \inf\{t > 0 : X(t) \notin D\}$ . For any  $\beta > 0$ , set  $h_\beta^{\alpha w}(x) := \mathbf{E}_x^{\alpha w, *}( \exp(-\beta \tau_D^{\alpha w}) )$  the Laplace transform of  $\tau_D^{\alpha w}$ , where  $\mathbf{E}_x^{\alpha w, *}$  denotes the expectation relative to  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w, *}, x \in D^*\}$ .

In this section, we consider the asymptotics in  $\alpha$  of the distribution of  $\tau_D^{\alpha w}$  studied in [6], [8] under some modifications. We note that no additional condition is imposed (smoothness as like in [8]) on a domain  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , and it makes no difference to replace the diffusion  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w}, x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$  by  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w, *}, x \in D^*\}$  (or  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w, D}, x \in D\}$ ) as far as the exit time distribution from  $D$  is concerned.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\theta(\alpha)$  be the function such that  $\int_D h_{\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} dm_\alpha = 1/2$ .*

- (i)  $-d' \prec \theta(\alpha) \prec -d$ .
- (ii) *Assume  $d > c$ . Then for any  $k > 0$ ,  $\int_D h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} dm_\alpha \rightarrow 1/(1+k)$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, set  $D \equiv D_r(w)$  ( $r > 0$ ). Then for any open ball*

$B$  such that  $\bar{B} \subset D$ ,

$$(8) \quad \left\| h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} - \frac{1}{1+k} \right\|_{L^2(B)} \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $d > 0$  (otherwise the present lemma is trivial). Note that it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [6] that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(9) \quad \int_D h_{e^{-\alpha(d-\varepsilon)}}^{\alpha w} dm_\alpha \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, for the absorbing diffusion process  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w, D}, x \in D\}$ , put  $u_\alpha^D(t, x) = \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w, D}(t < \tau_D^{\alpha w})$ . Then by definition,

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}(u_\alpha^D(t, \cdot), u_\alpha^D(t, \cdot)) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{u_\alpha^D(t, \cdot) - u_\alpha^D(s+t, \cdot)}{s}, u_\alpha^D(t, \cdot) \right)_{e^{-\alpha w} dx} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_D \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |u_\alpha^D(t, x)|^2 e^{-\alpha w(x)} dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} H_\alpha^D(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mu$  denotes the inner product on  $L^2(D; \mu)$  and

$$H_\alpha^D(t) = \int_D |u_\alpha^D(t, x)|^2 e^{-\alpha w(x)} dx.$$

Let denote  $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H_0^1(D))$  by the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}, H_0^1(D))$  when  $\alpha = 0$ . By the boundedness of  $D$ , it is transient (Example 1.5.3 in [2]) and thus for any  $u \in H_0^1(D)$ , it holds that

$$\int_D u(x)^2 dx \leq 2 \|R^D 1\|_\infty \mathbf{D}(u, u),$$

where  $R^D$  is the Green operator of  $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H_0^1(D))$  ([11]). Using this, we have

$$(11) \quad H_\alpha^D(t) \leq 2 \|R^D 1\|_\infty e^{\alpha d'} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}(u_\alpha^D(t, \cdot), u_\alpha^D(t, \cdot)).$$

By combining (10) and (11),

$$e^{-\alpha d'} \|R^D 1\|_\infty^{-1} \leq -\frac{d}{dt} \log H_\alpha^D(t)$$

that implies

$$(12) \quad H_\alpha^D(t) \leq H_\alpha^D(0) \exp\left(-\|R^D 1\|_\infty^{-1} e^{-\alpha d'} t\right).$$

Take  $r' > 0$  such that  $r' \in (d', d' + \varepsilon)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Applying  $t = e^{\alpha r'}$  to (12), we see then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{m_\alpha}^{\alpha w, *} \left( e^{\alpha r'} < \tau_D^{\alpha w} \right) &= \mathbf{P}_{m_\alpha}^{\alpha w, D} \left( e^{\alpha r'} < \tau_D^{\alpha w} \right) \\ &= Z_\alpha^{-1} \int_D u_\alpha^D \left( e^{\alpha r'}, x \right) e^{-\alpha w(x)} dx \\ &\leq e^{\alpha (\inf_D w + \varepsilon)} H_\alpha^D \left( e^{\alpha r'} \right)^{1/2} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(13) \quad \int_D h_{e^{-\alpha(d'+\varepsilon)}}^{\alpha w} dm_\alpha \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, (i) of the lemma is a consequence of (9) and (13).

The first assertion of (ii) was proved in Theorem [6] (also, in Theorem II.1 in [8] related to the reflected Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha w, D}, H^1(D))$  under the smoothness of  $D$ ). Finally, we prove the last assertion of (ii). The idea of the proof is originally due to [8], but we need to improve some technical tools in our settings ( $D$  is not smooth). Let  $B$  be an open ball such that  $\bar{B} \subset D \equiv D_r(w)$  ( $r > 0$ ) and  $r_B := \max_{\bar{B}} w \in (0, d)$ . Let  $B_1$  be an open ball such that  $B_1 \subset B$  and  $r_{B_1} = \max_{\bar{B}_1} w \in (0, d - c)$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, d - c - r_{B_1})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad & \left\| h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} - \int_D h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} dm_\alpha \right\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \\ & \leq e^{\alpha r_{B_1}} \left\| h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} - \int_D h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} dm_\alpha \right\|_{L^2(B_1; e^{-\alpha w} dx)}^2 \\ & \leq \gamma(\alpha)^{-1} e^{\alpha r_{B_1}} \mathcal{E}_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w, D} \left( h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w}, h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} \right) \\ & \leq \prec -(d - c - r_{B_1} - \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of Lemma 2.3, Lemma 3.1(i) and the fact that for  $\beta > 0$

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta^{\alpha w, D} (h_\beta^{\alpha w}, h_\beta^{\alpha w}) = \mathcal{E}_\beta^{\alpha w, D} (h_\beta^{\alpha w}, 1) = \beta \int_D h_\beta^{\alpha w} e^{-\alpha w} dx \leq \beta.$$

Therefore we see that (8) holds for the open ball  $B_1$  by combining (14) and the first assertion of (ii). On the other hand, by Poincaré inequality related to  $H^1(B)$  for the open ball  $B$ , there exists a constant  $\gamma_B^{-1}$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, d - r_B)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad & \left\| h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} - \left\langle h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} \right\rangle_B \right\|_{L^2(B)}^2 \leq \gamma_B^{-1} \int_B \left| \nabla h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2\gamma_B^{-1} e^{\alpha r_B} \mathcal{E}_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w, D} \left( h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w}, h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} \right) \\ & \prec -(d - r_B - \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle h \rangle_B := \int_B h dx / \int_B dx$ . Hence, for the open balls  $B_1$  and  $B$ ,

$$\left\| h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} - \frac{1}{1+k} \right\|_{L^2(B_1)} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \left\| h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} - \left\langle h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} \right\rangle_B \right\|_{L^2(B_1)} \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  and thus

$$(16) \quad \left\langle h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} \right\rangle_B \longrightarrow \frac{1}{1+k} \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, applying (16) to (15), we conclude that (8) also holds for any open ball  $B$  such that  $\overline{B} \subset D$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $f$  be a probability density function on  $D \equiv D_r(w)$  ( $r > 0$ ) and let  $f_\alpha$  be the scaled function of  $f$  defined in (4). Then for any  $k > 0$ ,*

$$(17) \quad \int_D h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} df_\alpha \longrightarrow \frac{1}{1+k} \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B_0$  be an open ball centered at 0 satisfying  $\overline{B_0} \subset D$ . In view of the proof of the last assertion of Lemma 3.1(ii), we see that (8) holds for  $B_0$  and its speed of decay is exponential. Note that the support of  $f_\alpha$  is contained in  $B_0$  for large enough  $\alpha$ . So by the similar argument in the proof of Theorem II.3 in [8], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} - \frac{1}{1+k} \right\|_{L^2(D; f_\alpha dx)} \\ & \leq 4 \int_{\{f \geq N\}} f(x) dx + \alpha^{\lambda n} N \left\| h_{k\theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} - \frac{1}{1+k} \right\|_{L^2(B_0)} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

by letting  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . This ends the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In what follows, let  $d_H$  be the Hausdorff distance on a family of non-empty compact sets  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Clearly,  $\overline{D_r(w)}$  (for fixed  $w$ ) is a non-decreasing set valued function and is an element of  $\mathcal{K}$  for any  $r > 0$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $Q$ -a.s.,  $\overline{D_r(w)}$  is continuous on  $(0, \infty)$  with respect to  $d_H$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove that (for fixed  $w$ )  $\overline{D_r(w)}$  is left continuous on  $(0, \infty)$  with respect to  $d_H$ . To do this, it suffices to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $s \in (0, r)$  such that  $U_\varepsilon(\overline{D_s(w)}) \supset \overline{D_r(w)}$ . Set

$$\ell_s(x) := \inf_{y \in \overline{D_s(w)}} |x - y|, \quad x \in \overline{D_r(w)}.$$

Then  $\ell_s(\cdot)$  is a continuous function on  $\overline{D_r(w)}$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{s \uparrow r} \ell_s(x) = 0$  for any  $x \in \overline{D_r(w)}$ . Indeed, by the connectedness of  $D_r(w)$ , there exists a continuous path  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow D_r(w)$  such that  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(1) = x$  for  $x \in D_r(w)$ . For this  $\phi$ , we can choose  $s > 0$  such that  $s \in (\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} w(\phi(t)), r)$



and  $x \in D_s(w)$ . Therefore we see that  $\ell_s(x)$  converges uniformly to 0 on  $\overline{D_r(w)}$  as  $s \uparrow r$  and consequently, there exists  $s \in (0, r)$  such that  $\ell_s(x) < \varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) for all  $x \in \overline{D_r(w)}$ . Now, we prove the assertion of the lemma. Let  $J(w)$  be the set of discontinuous points of  $\overline{D_r(w)}$ . By the left continuity of  $\overline{D_r(w)}$ ,  $J(w)$  is a denumerable set. Therefore  $\int_{J(w)} dr = 0$  and

$$(18) \quad \int_0^\infty Q(r \in J(w)) dr = \mathbf{E}^Q \left( \int_{J(w)} dr \right) = 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{E}^Q$  denotes the expectation related to  $(\mathcal{W}, Q)$ . Since for any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $r > 0$

$$D_r(w_\alpha) = \alpha^{-\lambda} D_{\alpha r}(w),$$

the  $\lambda^{-1}$ -self-similarity of the environment implies that

$$Q(r \in J(w)) = Q(r \in J(w_\alpha)) = Q(\alpha r \in J(w)) = 0.$$

Hence we see that  $Q(r \in J(w)) = 0$  does not depend on  $r > 0$  and we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $f, f_\alpha$  and  $D$  be the same as in Lemma 3.2. Let  $r(\alpha)$  be a function such that  $r(\alpha) \rightarrow r$  ( $r > 0$ ) as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . Then*

$$\left| \mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha w, *} \left( X(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}) \in B \right) - m_\alpha(B) \right| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty$$

for any open ball  $B$  such that  $\overline{B} \subset D$ .

*Proof.* Note that it makes no difference to replace an environment  $w$  by  $w - \inf_D w$  as far as the critical depth  $c$ , diffusion  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w, *}, x \in D^*\}$  and the associated spectral gap  $\gamma(\alpha)$  are considered. So we may assume  $\inf_D w = 0$  without loss of generality. Let  $\{p_t^{\alpha w, *}\}_{t>0}$  be the  $L^2(D; m_\alpha)$ -semigroup of  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w, *}, x \in D^*\}$  and  $\{F_\gamma^{\alpha w, *}\}$  the associated spectral family of  $\{p_t^{\alpha w, *}\}_{t>0}$ , that is,  $p_t^{\alpha w, *} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} dF_\gamma^{\alpha w, *}$ . Take a large enough  $\alpha > 0$  satisfying  $c < r(\alpha)$ . For an open ball  $B$  such that  $\overline{B} \subset D$ , define the function  $g$  on  $D$  by  $g(x) = I_B(x) - m_\alpha(B)$ . Then

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha w, *} \left( X(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}) \in B \right) - m_\alpha(B) \right| \\ &= \int_D \left| \mathbf{E}_x^{\alpha w, *} \left( g(X(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)})) \right) \right| f_\alpha(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{f \geq N\}} f(x) dx + \alpha^{\lambda n} N \int_D |p_{e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}}^{\alpha w, *} g(x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{f \geq N\}} f(x) dx + \alpha^{\lambda n} N Z_\alpha e^{\alpha r} \|p_{e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}}^{\alpha w, *} g\|_{L^2(D; m_\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3 and the fact that  $\|g\|_{L^1(D; m_\alpha)} = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_{e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}}^{\alpha w, *} g\|_{L^2(D; m_\alpha)}^2 &= \int_{\gamma(\alpha)}^\infty \exp\left(-2\gamma e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}\right) d(F_\gamma^{\alpha w, *} g, g)_{L^2(D; m_\alpha)} \\ &\leq \exp(-2\gamma(\alpha) e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}) \|g\|_{L^2(D; m_\alpha)}^2 \\ &\leq \exp\left(-2e^{\alpha(r(\alpha) - c - \varepsilon)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, r(\alpha) - c)$ . Applying this relation to (19), we obtain the lemma by letting  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

Now, we are prepared to prove our main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* In view of (7) and its related remark mentioned right after, it holds that

$$\{\alpha^{-2} \mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r}), \mathcal{P}_f\} \stackrel{d}{=} \{\mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}), \mathcal{P}_{f_\alpha}^\alpha\},$$

where  $r(\alpha) = r - (2\lambda/\alpha) \log \alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_x^\alpha(dw d\omega) = Q(dw) \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w}(dw)$  and  $f_\alpha$  is the scaled function of  $f$  defined in (4). Using this, we shall prove that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathcal{P}_{f_\alpha}^\alpha \left( d_H \left( \mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}), \overline{D_r(w)} \right) > \varepsilon \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

First, take  $\varepsilon'$  such that  $\varepsilon' \in (0, r - r(\alpha))$  and apply  $k = e^{\alpha \varepsilon'}$  to (17). Then by Lemma 3.1(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha w} \left( \tau_{D_r(w)}^{\alpha w} < e^{\alpha r(\alpha)} \right) &= \mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha w, *} \left( \tau_{D_r(w)}^{\alpha w} < e^{\alpha r(\alpha)} \right) \\ &\leq e \int_{D_r(w)} h_{e^{\alpha \varepsilon'}}^{\alpha w} e^{-\alpha(r(\alpha) + \varepsilon')} f_\alpha dx \\ &\leq e \int_{D_r(w)} h_{e^{\alpha \varepsilon'} \theta(\alpha)}^{\alpha w} f_\alpha dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}) \subset U_\varepsilon(\overline{D_r(w)})$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{f_\alpha}^\alpha$ -a.s. as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, it remains to prove that under  $\mathcal{P}_{f_\alpha}^\alpha$ ,

$$(20) \quad \overline{D_r(w)} \subset U_\varepsilon(\mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)})) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

To this end, let  $B(x)$  be an open ball of  $D_r(w)$  centered at  $x$  with radius  $\varepsilon''/2$ , where  $x \in \overline{D_{r-\varepsilon''/2}(w)}$  and  $\varepsilon'' \in (0, \varepsilon)$ . By the same reason in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we may assume that  $\inf_{D_r(w)} w = 0$ . Consider a modified environment  $\tilde{w}(x)$  of  $w(x)$  on  $\overline{D_r(w)}$  relative to  $B(x)$  defined as follows:

$$\tilde{w}(x) = w(x) \text{ on } B(x)^c, \quad \tilde{w}(x) \leq w(x) \text{ on } \overline{B(x)}$$

and

$$\inf_{x \in \overline{B(x)}} \tilde{w}(x) = -\delta \quad (\delta > 0).$$

For this  $\tilde{w}$ , let consider the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\tilde{w}}, H_*^1(D_r(w)))$ , the associated diffusion  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha\tilde{w},*}, x \in D_r(w)^*\}$ , the normalized underlying measure  $\tilde{m}_\alpha$  on  $D_r(w)$ , the depth  $\tilde{d}$  and the critical depth  $\tilde{c}$  of  $D_r(w)$ :

$$\tilde{d} = d(D_r(w), \tilde{w}), \quad \tilde{c} = c(D_r(w), \tilde{w}) = \sup_{x,y \in D_r(w)} c_{x,y}(\tilde{w})$$

with

$$c_{x,y}(\tilde{w}) = \inf_{\phi} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \tilde{w}(\phi(t)) - \tilde{w}(x) - \tilde{w}(y) - \delta,$$

in a similar way of Section 2. Then since  $D_r(w)$  is also a sub-level domain of  $\tilde{w}$ , it is easy to check that  $\tilde{m}_\alpha(B(x)) \rightarrow 1$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$(21) \quad \tilde{c} < r + \delta.$$

In particular, we claim that (21) can be regarded as  $\tilde{c} < r$  by choosing sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . Indeed, let  $\tilde{E}(r_0)$  be a connected component of the level set  $\{x \in D_r(w) : \tilde{w}(x) < r_0, \sup_{\overline{B(x)}} \tilde{w} < r_0 < r\}$  containing  $\overline{B(x)}$ . Then,

$$\tilde{c}_1 := \sup_{x \in D_r(w) \setminus \tilde{E}(r_0), y \in \tilde{E}(r_0)} c_{x,y}(\tilde{w}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_2 := \sup_{x,y \in D_r(w) \setminus \tilde{E}(r_0)} c_{x,y}(\tilde{w})$$

are strictly less than  $r$  by the definition of the critical depth. On the other hand, since  $\tilde{E}(r_0)$  is also a sub-level domain of  $\tilde{w}$ ,

$$\tilde{c}_3 := \sup_{x,y \in \tilde{E}(r_0)} c_{x,y}(\tilde{w}) = c(\tilde{E}(r_0), \tilde{w}) < d(\tilde{E}(r_0), \tilde{w}) = r_0 + \delta$$

and thus,  $\tilde{c}_3$  is also strictly less than  $r$  by choosing the sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . Noting  $\tilde{c} = \max\{\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3\}$  we conclude that the claim is true. Therefore we see that  $\tilde{c} < r(\alpha)$  for sufficiently large  $\alpha > 0$  and

$$\mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha\tilde{w},*} \left( \sigma_{\overline{B(x)}}^{\alpha\tilde{w}} < e^{\alpha r(\alpha)} \right) \geq \mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha\tilde{w},*} \left( X \left( e^{\alpha r(\alpha)} \right) \in B(x) \right) \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty$$

by virtue of Lemma 4.2. Here  $\sigma_B^{\alpha\tilde{w}}$  denotes the first hitting time of the diffusion  $X(t)$  to  $B$ . Since the processes  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha w}\}$  and  $\{X(t), \mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha\tilde{w}}\}$  have the same law on  $B(x)^c$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha w} \left( \sigma_{B(x)}^{\alpha w} < e^{\alpha r(\alpha)} \right) = \mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha\tilde{w},*} \left( \sigma_{B(x)}^{\alpha\tilde{w}} < e^{\alpha r(\alpha)} \right) \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty$$

which implies that  $B(x) \subset U_\varepsilon(\mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)}))$ ,  $\mathbf{P}_{f_\alpha}^{\alpha w}$ -a.s.. Hence we have

$$\overline{D_{r-\varepsilon''}(w)} \subset U_\varepsilon(\mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r(\alpha)})) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty$$

under  $\mathcal{P}_{f_\alpha}^\alpha$ . Letting  $\varepsilon'' \rightarrow 0$ , we can derive (20) from Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* (i) Excepting the one dimensional case, we do not know how to determine the compact set  $K(w, r) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  such that under  $\mathcal{P}_f$ ,  $\alpha^{-\lambda} \mathcal{X}(e^{\alpha r})$  converges in probability to  $K(w, r)$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  without the second assumption of **(A.2)**.

(ii) It is possible to apply our result to an arbitrary initial distribution (that is, a point). To deduce this, one may use a priori Gaussian bounds on the transition probabilities.

**Acknowledgement.** A part of this paper is based on my talk in “International Workshop on Probability Theory and its Applications” held at Seoul National University, Korea. I am grateful to Professor Panki Kim for his kind invitation.

### References

- [1] T. Brox, *A one-dimensional diffusion process in a Wiener medium*, Ann. Probab. **14** (1986), no. 4, 1206–1218.
- [2] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 19. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994.
- [3] M. Fukushima and H. Tanaka, *Poisson point processes attached to symmetric diffusions*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **41** (2005), no. 3, 419–459.
- [4] R. A. Holley, S. Kusuoka, and D. W. Stroock, *Asymptotics of the spectral gap with applications to the theory of simulated annealing*, J. Funct. Anal. **83** (1989), no. 2, 333–347.
- [5] K. Kawazu, Y. Tamura, and H. Tanaka, *Limit theorems for one-dimensional diffusions and random walks in random environments*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **80** (1989), no. 4, 501–541.
- [6] D. Kim, *On spectral gaps and exit time distributions for a non-smooth domain*, Forum Math. **18** (2006), no. 4, 571–583.
- [7] D. Kim and Y. Oshima, *Some inequalities related to transience and recurrence of Markov processes and their applications*, J. Theor. Probab. **23** (2010), no. 1, 148–168.
- [8] P. Mathieu, *Zero white noise limit through Dirichlet forms, with application to diffusions in a random medium*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **99** (1994), no. 4, 549–580.
- [9] ———, *Limit theorems for diffusions with a random potential*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **60** (1995), no. 1, 103–111.
- [10] Y. G. Sinai, *The limit behavior of a one-dimensional random walk in a random environment*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. **27** (1982), no. 2, 247–258.
- [11] P. Stollmann and J. Voigt, *Perturbation of Dirichlet forms by measures*, Potential Anal. **5** (1996), no. 2, 109–138.
- [12] H. Takahashi, *Recurrence and transience of multi-dimensional diffusion processes in reflected Brownian environments*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **69** (2004), no. 2, 171–174.
- [13] H. Tanaka, *Recurrence of a diffusion process in a multidimensional Brownian environment*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **69** (1993), no. 9, 377–381.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND ENGINEERING  
 FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
 KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY  
 KUMAMOTO 860-8555, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* daehong@gpo.kumamoto-u.ac.jp