# ON EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTIONS OF NEUMANN PROBLEM FOR QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS INVOLVING $p$-LAPLACIAN IN AN UNBOUNDED DOMAIN 
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Abstract. In this paper we study the existence of non-trivial weak solutions of the Neumann problem for quasilinear elliptic equations in the form

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(h(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u=f(x, u), \quad p \geq 2
$$

in an unbounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$, with sufficiently smooth bounded boundary $\partial \Omega$, where $h(x) \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\bar{\Omega}), \bar{\Omega}=\Omega \cup \partial \Omega, h(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in \Omega$. The proof of main results rely essentially on the arguments of variational method.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries results

We are concerned with the study of a Neumann problem of the type

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(h(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u=f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p \geq 2, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$, is an unbounded domain with sufficiently smooth bounded boundary $\partial \Omega, \bar{\Omega}=\Omega \cup \partial \Omega, n$ is the outward unit normal to $\partial \Omega, f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function which will be specified later, $h(x)$ and $b(x)$ are satisfied the following conditions:
(H) $h(x) \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\bar{\Omega}), h(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.
(B) $b(x) \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}), b(x) \geq b_{0}>0$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.

We first make some comments on the problem (1.1). In the case when $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ or $h(x)=1$ there were extensive studies in the last decades dealing with the Neumann problems of type (1.1). We just remember the papers $[1,2,4,3],[10,12,13,16]$, where different techniques of finding
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solutions are illustrated. We also find that in the case that $h(x) \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$, the quasilinear elliptic equations of type (1.1), with Dirichlet boundary condition, have been studied by D. M. Duc, N. T. Vu ([7]), H. Q. Toan, N. Q. Anh, N. T. Chung (see $[15,14,5]$ ). The goal of this work we study the existence of weak solutions of Neumann problem for quasilinear elliptic equations with singular coefficients involving the $p$-Laplace operator of type (1.1) in an unbounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with sufficiently smooth bounded boundary $\partial \Omega$.

In order to state our main results let us introduce following some hypotheses:
(F1) $f(x, t) \in C^{1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), f(x, 0)=0, x \in \bar{\Omega}$.
(F2) There exist functions $\tau: \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tau(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and constant $r \in\left(p-1, \frac{N+p}{N-p}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f_{z}^{\prime}(x, z)\right| \leq \tau(x)|z|^{r-1} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \bar{\Omega}, \\
& \tau(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap L^{r_{0}}(\Omega), \quad r_{0}=\frac{N p}{N p-(r+1)(N-p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(F3) There exists $\mu>p$ such that

$$
0<\mu F(x, z)=\mu \int_{0}^{z} f(x, t) d t \leq z f(x, z), x \in \bar{\Omega}, z \neq 0
$$

Denote by

$$
C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})=\left\{u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}): \operatorname{supp} u \text { compact } \subset \bar{\Omega}\right\}
$$

and $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space which can be defined as the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ under the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}+|u|^{p}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

We now consider following subspace of $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, defined by

$$
H=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)|\nabla u|^{p}+b(x)|u|^{p}\right) d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

and $H$ can be endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H}=\left(\int_{\Omega} h(x)|\nabla u|^{p}+b(x)|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Applying the method as those used in [14] or [5], we can prove that:
Proposition 1.1. $H$ is a Banach space. The embedding continuous $H \hookrightarrow$ $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ holds true.

Proof. It is clear that $H$ is a normed space. Let $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $H$. Then

$$
\lim _{m, k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla\left(u_{m}-u_{k}\right)\right|^{p}+b(x)\left|u_{m}-u_{k}\right|^{p}\right) d x=0
$$

and $\left\{\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H}\right\}$ is bounded.

Since $\left\|u_{m}-u_{k}\right\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq \bar{b}\left\|u_{m}-u_{k}\right\|_{H}, \bar{b}$ is a positive constant for all $m, k$, $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and it converges to $u$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right|^{p}+\left|u_{m}-u\right|^{p}\right) d x=0
$$

It follows the sequence $\left\{\nabla u_{m}\right\}$ converges to $\nabla u$ and $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ converges to $u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$. Therefore $\left\{\nabla u_{m}(x)\right\}$ converges to $\nabla u(x)$ and $\left\{u_{m}(x)\right\}$ converges to $\{u(x)\}$ for almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$. Applying Fatou's lemma we get
$\int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)|\nabla u|^{p}+b(x)|u|^{p}\right) d x \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \inf \int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p}+b(x)\left|u_{m}\right|^{p}\right) d x<+\infty$.
Hence $u \in H$. Applying again Fatou's lemma

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right|^{p}+b(x)\left|u_{m}-u\right|^{p}\right) d x \\
& \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \inf \int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p}+b(x)\left|u_{m}-u_{k}\right|^{p}\right) d x\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ converges to $u$ in $H$. Thus $H$ is a Banach space and the continuous embedding $H \hookrightarrow W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ holds true.

Definition 1.1. A function $u \in H$ is a weak solution of the problem (1.1) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} h(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi d x=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$.
Remark 1.1. If $u_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfied the condition (1.2), hence $u_{0}$ is a classical solution of the problem (1.1). Indeed, since $u_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$, supp $u_{0}$ compact, hence there exists $R>0$ large enough such that $\partial \Omega \subset B_{R}(0)$, supp $u_{0} \subset$ $\bar{\Omega} \cap B_{R}(0)$ where $B_{R}(0)$ is ball of radius $R$.

By denote $\Omega_{R}=\Omega \cap B_{R}(0)$, then from (F1) we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_{R}} h(x)\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0} \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\Omega_{R}} b(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{p-2} u_{0} \varphi d x-\int_{\Omega_{R}} f\left(x, u_{0}\right) \varphi d x=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$.
Applying Green's formula and remark that supp $u_{0} \subset \bar{\Omega} \cap B_{R}(0)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{\Omega_{R}}-\operatorname{div}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0}\right) \varphi+b(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{p-2} u_{0} \varphi\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega} h(x)\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} \varphi d \sigma-\int_{\Omega_{R}} f\left(x, u_{0}\right) \varphi d x=0 \text { for all } \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\int_{\Omega_{R}}\left(-\operatorname{div}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0}\right) \varphi+b(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{p-2} u_{0} \varphi\right) d x-\int_{\Omega_{R}} f\left(x, u_{0}\right) \varphi d x=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{R}\right)$. From this it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0}\right)+b(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{p-2} u_{0}=f\left(x, u_{0}\right) \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.3}\\
\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus $u_{0}$ is a classical solution of (1.1).
Our main result given by the following theorem:
Theorem 1.1. Assuming hypotheses (F1)-(F3) are fulfilled then the problem (1.1) has at least one nontrivial weak solution in $H$.

Theorem 1.1 will be proved by using a variation of the Mountain pass theorem in [6].

## 2. Existence of a weak solution

We define the functional $J: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u) & =\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x  \tag{2.4}\\
& =T(u)-P(u)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
T(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p} d x
$$

and

$$
P(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x
$$

Firstly we remark that, due to the presence of $h(x) \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$, in general, the functional $T$ does not belong to $C^{1}(H)$. This mean that we cannot apply the classical Mountain pass theorem by Ambrossetti-Rabinowitz. In order to overcome this difficulty, we shall apply a weak version of the Mountain pass theorem introduced by D. M. Duc ([6]). Now we first recall the following useful concept:
Definition 2.1. Let $J$ be a functional from a Banach space $Y$ into $\mathbb{R}$. We say that $J$ is weakly continuously differentiable on $Y$ if and only if three following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $J$ is continuous on $Y$.
(ii) For any $u \in Y$ there exists a linear map $D J(u)$ from $Y$ into $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u+t \varphi)-J(u)}{t}=\langle D J(u), \varphi\rangle, \forall \varphi \in Y .
$$

(iii) For any $\varphi \in Y$, the map $u \mapsto\langle D J(u), \varphi\rangle$ is continuous on $Y$.

Proposition 2.1. Assuming hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are fulfilled. We assert that
(i) $P$ is continuous on $H$. Moreover, $P$ is weakly continuously differentiable on $H$ and

$$
\langle D P(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x, \quad \forall u, v \in H .
$$

(ii) $T$ is continuous on $H$.
(iii) $T$ is weakly continuously differentiable on $H$ and

$$
\langle D T(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u v\right) d x, \quad \forall u, v \in H
$$

Thus $J=T-P$ is weakly continuously differentiable on $H$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle D J(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u v\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\forall u, v \in H
$$

Proof. (i) By hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, applying Theorem C1 in [11, p. 248], we have $P \in C^{1}\left(W^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$. Since the embedding $H \hookrightarrow W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is continuous, we also have $P \in C^{1}(H)$ and then $P$ is weakly continuously differentiable on H. Moreover,

$$
\langle D P(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x \quad \forall u, v \in H .
$$

(ii) Let $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ be a sequence converging to $u$ in $H$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{m \longrightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right|^{p}+b(x)\left|u_{m}-u\right|^{p}\right) d x=0 .
$$

Then $\left\{\left|\mid u_{m} \|_{H}\right\}\right.$ is bounded.
First we observe that: for some $\theta \in(0,1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\left.\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p}-|\nabla u|^{p} \mid & =p\left|\nabla u_{m}+\theta\left(\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right)\right|^{p-1}\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right| \\
& \leq p 2^{p-2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-1}\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right|+\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right|^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by applying the Holder's inequality we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left|\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h(x)\right| \nabla u_{m}\right|^{p} d x-\frac{1}{p} h(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x \right\rvert\,  \tag{2.6}\\
\leq & \left.\left.\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h(x)| | \nabla u_{m}\right|^{p}-|\nabla u|^{p} \right\rvert\, d x \\
\leq & 2^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} h(x)\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-1}\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right| d x+2^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} h(x)\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right|^{p} d x \\
\leq & 2^{p-2}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla\left(u_{m}-u\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +2^{p-2} \int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla\left(u_{m}-u\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x \\
\leq & c_{1}\left(\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H}^{p-1}\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{H}+\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{H}^{p}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left|\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} b(x)\right| u_{m}\right|^{p} d x-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p} d x \right\rvert\,  \tag{2.7}\\
\leq & c_{2}\left(\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H}^{p-1}\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{H}+\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{H}^{p}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7) we have

$$
\left|T\left(u_{m}\right)-T(u)\right| \leq c_{3}\left(\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H}^{p-1}\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{H}+\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{H}^{p}\right)
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}>0$. Letting $m \rightarrow+\infty$ since $\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{H} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\{\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H}\right\}$ bounded, we obtain

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} T\left(u_{m}\right)=T(u) .
$$

Thus $T$ is continuous on $H$.
(iii) For all $u, v \in H$, any $t \in(-1,1) \backslash\{0\}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{h(x)|\nabla u+t \nabla v|^{p}-h(x)|\nabla u|^{p}}{t}\right| \\
= & p\left|\int_{0}^{1} h(x)\right| \nabla u+\left.s t \nabla v\right|^{p-2}(\nabla u+s t \nabla v) \nabla v d s \mid \\
\leq & p \int_{0}^{1} h(x)|\nabla u+s t \nabla v|^{p-1}|\nabla v| d s \leq p 2^{p-2} h(x)\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}|\nabla v|+|\nabla v|^{p}\right) \\
\leq & p 2^{p-2}\left(h(x)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|\nabla u|^{p-1} h(x)^{\frac{1}{p}}|\nabla v|+h(x)|\nabla v|^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u, v \in H$, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|\nabla u|^{p-1} h(x)^{\frac{1}{p}}|\nabla v|+h(x)|\nabla v|^{p}\right) d x \\
\leq & \left(\int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega} h(x)|\nabla v|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c_{5}\|v\|_{H}^{p} \\
\leq & c_{4}\|u\|_{H}^{p-1}\|v\|_{H}+c_{5}\|v\|_{H}^{p}<+\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{4}, c_{5}$ two positive constants.
Hence $G(x)=h(x)|\nabla u|^{p-1}|\nabla v|+h(x)|\nabla v|^{p} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. Applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we get

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{h(x)|\nabla u+t \nabla v|^{p}-h(x)|\nabla u|^{p}}{t} d x=p \int_{\Omega} h(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x .
$$

Similarly we also have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)|u+t v|^{p}-b(x)|u|^{p}}{t} d x=p \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p-2} u v d x .
$$

This implies that

$$
\langle D T(u), v\rangle=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(u+t v)-T(u)}{t}=\int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u v\right) d x .
$$

Thus $T$ is weakly differentiable on $H$.
Let $v \in H$ be fixed, we now prove that the map $u \mapsto\langle D T(u), v\rangle$ is continuous on $H$.

Assume $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $H$, that is

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla u\right|^{p}+b(x)\left|u_{m}-u\right|^{p}\right) d x=0 .
$$

By hypotheses (H) and (B) it follows that $\nabla u_{m} \rightarrow \nabla u$ and $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$. Applying Theorem C. 2 in [11, p. 249] for function $g(x, s)=|s|^{p-2} s$, we deduce that

$$
g\left(x, \nabla u_{m}\right)=\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m} \longrightarrow|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u
$$

and

$$
g\left(x, u_{m}\right)=\left|u_{m}\right|^{p-2} u_{m} \longrightarrow|u|^{p-2} u
$$

in $\left(L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)\right)^{N}$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$, where $\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{N}=L^{r}(\Omega) \times L^{r}(\Omega) \times \cdots \times L^{r}(\Omega)$ ( $N$ times). Using this fact we shall proved that the map $u \rightarrow\langle D T(u), v\rangle$ is continuous on $H$ for every $v$ fixed in $H$.

Indeed for $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}), \omega=\operatorname{supp} \varphi$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\langle D T\left(u_{m}\right)-D T(u), \varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
= & \left|\int_{\Omega}\left\{h(x)\left(\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) \nabla \varphi+b(x)\left(\left|u_{m}\right|^{p-2} u_{m}-|u|^{p-2} u\right) \varphi\right\} d x\right| \\
= & \left|\int_{\omega}\left\{h(x)\left(\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) \nabla \varphi+b(x)\left(\left|u_{m}\right|^{p-2} u_{m}-|u|^{p-2} u\right) \varphi\right\} d x\right| \\
\leq & C(\varphi)\left\{\left\|g\left(x, \nabla u_{m}\right)-g(x, \nabla u)\right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}(\omega)}}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{p}(\omega)}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|g\left(x, u_{m}\right)-g(x, u)\right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}(\omega)}}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}(\omega)}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C(\varphi)$ is a constant positive. From this letting $m \rightarrow+\infty$ we get

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\left\langle D T\left(u_{m}\right)-D T(u), \varphi\right\rangle\right|=0 .
$$

Since $C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ is dense in $H$ we deduce that for every $v \in H$ fixed

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\left\langle D T\left(u_{m}\right)-D T(u), v\right\rangle\right|=0 .
$$

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is complete.
Proposition 2.2. Suppose that sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is weakly converging to $u$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Then we have

$$
T(u) \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \inf T\left(u_{m}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ weakly converging in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ hence for all bounded $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset$ $\Omega,\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is also weakly converging in $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$. By compactness of the embedding $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$, the sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ converges strongly in $L^{p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$
then $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ converges strongly in $L^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$. Applying Theorem 1.6 in [6, p. 9] or Theorem 4.5 [8, p. 129], we deduce that

$$
T(u) \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \inf T\left(u_{m}\right)
$$

The proof of Proposition 2.2 is complete.
Proposition 2.3. The functional $J: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by (2.4), i.e.,

$$
J(u)=T(u)-P(u), \quad u \in H
$$

satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $H$.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ be a sequence in $H$ such that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{m}\right)=c, \quad \lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|D J\left(u_{m}\right)\right\|_{H^{*}}=0
$$

First, we shall proved that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$. We suppose by contradiction that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is not bounded in $H$. Then there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ such that $\left\|u_{m_{k}}\right\|_{H} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$. Observe further that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)-\frac{1}{\mu}\left\langle D J\left(u_{m_{k}}\right), u_{m_{k}}\right\rangle \\
= & T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)-\frac{1}{\mu}\left\langle D T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right), u_{m_{k}}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\mu}\left\langle D P\left(u_{m_{k}}\right), u_{m_{k}}\right\rangle-P\left(u_{m_{k}}\right) \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\left\|u_{m_{k}}\right\|_{H}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(u_{m_{k}}\right) & \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\left\|u_{m_{k}}\right\|_{H}^{p}+\frac{1}{\mu}\left\langle D J\left(u_{m_{k}}\right), u_{m_{k}}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\left\|u_{m_{k}}\right\|_{H}^{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\left\|D J\left(u_{u_{m_{k}}}\right)\right\|_{H^{*}}\left\|u_{m_{k}}\right\|_{H} \\
& \geq\left\|u_{m_{k}}\right\|_{H}\left(\gamma_{0}\left\|u_{m_{k}}\right\|_{H}^{p-1}-\frac{1}{\mu}\left\|D J\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)\right\|_{H^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}>0$.
From this letting $k \rightarrow+\infty$, since $\left\|u_{m_{k}}\right\|_{H} \rightarrow+\infty,\left\|D J\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)\right\|_{H^{*}} \rightarrow 0$, we deduce $J\left(u_{m_{k}}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ yields a contradiction. Hence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$. By the continuous embedding $H$ into $W^{1, p}(\Omega),\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is bounded in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ converging weakly to $u$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Since the embedding $W^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ is continuous, the subsequence $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)$ and $u_{m_{k}} \rightarrow u$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. It follows that $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)$, that is there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

We remark that by hypotheses (F2) and (F3) we get

$$
0 \leq F(x, z) \leq \tau(x)|z|^{r+1} \text { for } x \in \bar{\Omega}, z \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}
$$

where $\tau(x) \in L^{r_{0}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Then by Holder's inequality and remark that $\frac{1}{r_{0}}+\frac{r+1}{p^{*}}=1$ we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)=\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{m_{k}}\right) d x & \leq \int_{\Omega} \tau(x)\left|u_{m_{k}}\right|^{r+1} \\
& \leq\|\tau(x)\|_{L^{r_{0}}(\Omega)}\left\|u_{m_{k}}\right\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)}^{r+1} \\
& \leq M^{r+1}\|\tau(x)\|_{L^{r_{0}}(\Omega)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 2.2 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(u) & \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \inf T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right) \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left[P\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)+J\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)\right] \\
& \leq c+\|\tau(x)\|_{L^{r_{0}}(\Omega)} M^{r+1}<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $u \in H$.
Since $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ is weakly converges to $u$ in $L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)$ and $u_{m_{k}} \rightarrow u$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then it is clear that $\left|u_{m_{k}}\right|^{r-1} u_{m_{k}}$ is converges weakly to $|u|^{r-1} u$ in $L^{\frac{p^{*}}{r}}(\Omega)$. With similar arguments as those in [9], we define the map $K(u): L^{\frac{p^{*}}{r}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\langle K(u), \omega\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \tau(x) u \omega d x \quad \text { for } \omega \in L^{\frac{p^{*}}{r}}(\Omega) .
$$

We remark that $K(u)$ is linear and continuous provided that $\tau(x) \in L^{r_{0}}(\Omega)$, $u \in L^{p^{*}}(\Omega), \omega \in L^{\frac{p^{*}}{r}}(\Omega)$ and $\frac{1}{r_{0}}+\frac{1}{p^{*}}+\frac{r}{p^{*}}=1$. Hence

$$
\left.\left.\left.\langle K(u),| u_{m_{k}}\right|^{r-1} u_{m_{k}}\right\rangle\left.\longrightarrow\langle K(u),| u\right|^{r-1} u\right\rangle \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty,
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \tau(x)\left|u_{m_{k}}\right|^{r-1} u_{m_{k}} u d x=\int_{\Omega} \tau(x)|u|^{r+1} d x . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \tau(x)\left|u_{m_{k}}\right|^{r+1} d x=\int_{\Omega} \tau(x)|u|^{r+1} d x \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.8), (2.9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \tau(x)\left|u_{m_{k}}\right|^{r-1} u_{m_{k}}\left(u_{m_{k}}-u\right) d x=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.10), (F1), (F2) we obtain

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{m_{k}}\right)\left(u_{m_{k}}-u\right) d x=0
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle D P\left(u_{m_{k}}\right), u_{m_{k}}-u\right\rangle=0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle D T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right), u_{m_{k}}-u\right\rangle= & \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle D J\left(u_{m_{k}}\right),\left(u_{m_{k}}-u\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle D P\left(u_{m_{k}}\right),\left(u_{m_{k}}-u\right)\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $T$ is convex we have

$$
T(u)-T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right) \geq\left\langle D T\left(u_{m_{k}}, u-u_{m_{k}}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow+\infty$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(u)-\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right) & =\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left[T(u)-T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)\right] \\
& \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle D T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right), u-u_{m_{k}}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
T(u) \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)
$$

On other hand, by Proposition 2.2 we have

$$
T(u) \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \inf T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)
$$

Hence, from two above inequalities, we get $T(u)=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} T\left(u_{m_{k}}\right)$.
Now, we shall prove that the subsequence $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ converges strongly to $u$ in $H$, i.e., $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{m_{k}}-u\right\|_{H}=0$.

Indeed, we suppose by contradiction that $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ does not converge strongly to $u$ in $H$. Then there exist a constant $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and a subsequence $\left\{u_{m_{k_{j}}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ such that $\left\|u_{m_{k_{j}}}-u\right\|_{H} \geq \varepsilon_{0}$ for any $j=1,2, \ldots$.

By recalling the Clarkson's inequality

$$
\left|\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right|^{p}+\left|\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}\right|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(|\alpha|^{p}+|\beta|^{p}\right), \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

We deduce that

$$
\frac{1}{2} T(u)+\frac{1}{2} T(v)-T\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \geq T\left(\frac{u-v}{2}\right), \forall u, v \in H
$$

From this, for any $j=1,2, \ldots$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} T\left(u_{m_{k_{j}}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} T(u)-T\left(\frac{u_{m_{k_{j}}}+u}{2}\right) \geq T\left(\frac{u_{m_{k_{j}}}-u}{2}\right) .
$$

Remark that

$$
T\left(\frac{u_{m_{k_{j}}}-u}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{p 2^{p}}\left\|u_{m_{k_{j}}}-u\right\|_{H}^{p} \geq \frac{1}{p 2^{p}} \varepsilon_{0}^{p} .
$$

We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} T\left(u_{m_{k_{j}}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} T(u)-T\left(\frac{u_{m_{k_{j}}}+u}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{p 2^{p}} \varepsilon_{0}^{p} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again instead of the remark that since $\left\{\frac{u_{m_{k_{j}}}+u}{2}\right\}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, by Proposition 2.2 we have

$$
T(u) \leq \lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \inf T\left(\frac{u_{m_{k_{j}}}+u}{2}\right) .
$$

From (2.12), letting $j \rightarrow+\infty$ we obtain that

$$
T(u)-\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \inf T\left(\frac{u_{m_{k_{j}}}+u}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{p 2^{p}} \varepsilon_{0}^{p} .
$$

Hence $0 \geq \frac{1}{p 2^{p}} \varepsilon_{0}^{p}$, which is a contradiction.
Therefore, $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ converges strongly to $u$ in $H$. Thus, the functional $J$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $H$. The proof of Proposition 2.3 is complete.

We remark that the critical points of the functional $J$ correspond to the weak solutions of the problem (1.1). Thus our idea is to apply a variation of the Mountain pass theorem (see [6]) in order to obtain at least one non-trivial weak solution of the problem (1.1).

In what follows, we will prove proposition which shows that the functional $J$ has the Mountain pass geometry.

Proposition 2.4. (i) There exist $\alpha>0$ and $\rho>0$ such that $J(u) \geq \alpha>0$ for all $u \in H,\|u\|_{H}=\rho$.
(ii) There exists $u_{0} \in H,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H}>\rho$ and $J\left(u_{0}\right)<0$.

Proof. (i) Using (F2) and L'Hospistal theorem we have

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, z)}{z^{p}}=\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, z)}{p z^{p-1}}=\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{z}^{\prime}(x, z)}{p(p-1) z^{p-2}}=0 .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, z)}{z^{p}}=0 . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (F2) there exists $A$ a positive constant such that

$$
|f(x, z)| \leq A|z|^{r}
$$

We integrate again

$$
0<F(x, z) \leq \bar{A}|z|^{r+1}
$$

where $\bar{A}$ is a positive constant. Then

$$
0 \leq \lim _{z \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(x, z)}{z^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}} \leq \lim _{z \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\bar{A}|z|^{r+1}}{z^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}}=0
$$

with $r \in\left(p-1, \frac{N+p}{N-p}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(x, z)}{z^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}}=0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.13), (2.14), we obtain
$\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists \delta_{1}>0$ such that $\left|\frac{F(x, z)}{z^{p}}\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $z$ with $|z|<\delta_{1}$.
$\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists \delta_{2}>0$ such that $\left|\frac{F(x, z)}{z^{N p} N-p}\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $z$ with $|z|>\delta_{2}$.
Thus $\forall \varepsilon>0$, there exist $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
F(x, z)<\varepsilon|z|^{p}, \quad|z|<\delta_{1} \text { and } F(x, z)<\varepsilon|z|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}, \quad|z|>\delta_{2} .
$$

Using the relation $0<F(x, z) \leq \bar{A}|z|^{r+1}$ there exists a constant $b>0$ such that $F(x, z) \leq b$ for all $|z| \in\left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right]$. We conclude that for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $b_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, z) \leq \varepsilon|z|^{p}+b_{\varepsilon}|z|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.15) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) & =\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{H}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{H}^{p}-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x-b_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

For $p \leq q \leq \frac{N p}{N-p}, W^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous. So the embedding $H \hookrightarrow$ $L^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous, $|u|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq c| | u \|_{H}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
|u|_{L^{p}} \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{H} . \\
|u|_{L^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}} \leq C_{2}\|u\|_{H} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) & \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{H}^{p}-\varepsilon C_{1}^{p}\|u\|_{H}^{p}-b_{\varepsilon} C_{2}^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}\|u\|_{H}^{\frac{N p}{N-p}} \\
& \geq\|u\|_{H}^{p}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\varepsilon C_{1}^{p}-b_{\varepsilon} C_{2}^{\frac{N_{p}}{N-p}}\|u\|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}-p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{p C_{1}^{p}}\right)$ and $\|u\|_{H}=\rho$ small enough such that

$$
\frac{1}{p}-\varepsilon C_{1}^{p}-b_{\varepsilon} C_{2}^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}\|u\|_{H}^{\frac{N p}{N-p}-p}>0
$$

we obtain

$$
J(u) \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\varepsilon C_{1}^{p}-b_{\varepsilon} C_{2}^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}\|u\|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}-p}\right) \rho^{p}=\alpha>0
$$

ii) Denote $h(t)=\frac{F(x, t z)}{t^{\mu}}$ for all $t>0$.

Then using (F3) we get

$$
h^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{t^{\mu+1}}[t z f(x, t z)-\mu F(x, t z)] \geq 0, \quad \forall t>0
$$

Thus we deduce for any $t \geq 1, F(x, t z) \geq t^{\mu} F(x, z)$. Let $w_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ be such that meas $\left(\left\{x \in(\bar{\Omega}):\left|w_{0}(x)\right|>0\right\}\right)>1$ then with $t>1$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(t w_{0}\right) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla\left(t w_{0}\right)\right|^{p}+b(x)\left|t w_{0}\right|^{p}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, t w_{0}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{p}}{p}\left(h(x)\left|\nabla w_{0}\right|^{p}+b(x)\left|w_{0}\right|^{p}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, t w_{0}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{p}}{p}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{H}^{p}-t^{\mu} \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{0}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu>p$, the right hand-side of above inequality converges to $-\infty$ when $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Then there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $\left\|t_{0} w_{0}\right\|_{H}>\rho$ and $J\left(t_{0} w_{0}\right)<0$. Set $u_{0}=t_{0} w_{0}$, we have $J\left(u_{0}\right)<0$ and $\left\|u_{0}\right\|>\rho$.

The proof of Proposition 2.4 is complete.
Proposition 2.5. (i) $J(0)=0$.
(ii) The acceptable set $G=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], H): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=u_{0}\right\}$ is not empty, where $u_{0}$ is given in Proposition 2.4.

It is clear that: (i) follows from (F1) and the definition of $J$.
(ii) Let $\gamma(t)=t u_{0}$, then $\gamma(t) \in G$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Propositions 2.1-2.5, all assumptions of the variations of the Mountain pass theorem introduced in [6] are satisfied. Therefore there exists $\hat{\mathrm{u}} \in H$ such that

$$
0<\alpha \leq J(\hat{\mathrm{u}})=\inf \{\max J(\gamma([0,1])): \gamma \in G\}
$$

and $\langle D J(\hat{\mathrm{u}}), v\rangle=0$ for all $v \in H$, i.e., $\hat{\mathrm{u}}$ is a weak solution of the problem (1.1). Moreover since $J(\hat{\mathrm{u}})>0=J(0)$, $\hat{\mathrm{u}}$ is a nontrivial weak solution of the problem (1.1). The Theorem 1.1 is completely proved.
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