

## PRECOVERS AND PREENVELOPES BY MODULES OF FINITE *FGT*-INJECTIVE AND *FGT*-FLAT DIMENSIONS

YUEMING XIANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $n$  a fixed non-negative integer.  $\mathcal{TI}_n$  (resp.  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ ) denotes the class of all right  $R$ -modules of *FGT*-injective dimensions at most  $n$  (resp. all left  $R$ -modules of *FGT*-flat dimensions at most  $n$ ). We prove that, if  $R$  is a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring, then every right  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover and every left  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope. A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $n$ -*TI*-injective in case  $\text{Ext}^1(N, M) = 0$  for any  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ . A left  $R$ -module  $F$  is said to be  $n$ -*TI*-flat if  $\text{Tor}_1(N, F) = 0$  for any  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ . Some properties of  $n$ -*TI*-injective and  $n$ -*TI*-flat modules and their relations with  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -(pre)covers and  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelopes are also studied.

### 1. Notation

In this section, we recall some known notions and facts needed in the sequel.

Throughout this paper,  $R$  is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary.  ${}_R\mathcal{M}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_R$ ) stands for the category of all left (resp. right)  $R$ -modules. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules.  $\text{Hom}(M, N)$  (resp.  $\text{Ext}^n(M, N)$ ) means  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  (resp.  $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$ ), and similarly  $M \otimes N$  (resp.  $\text{Tor}_n(M, N)$ ) denotes  $M \otimes_R N$  (resp.  $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N)$ ). The character module  $M^+$  is defined by  $M^+ = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . The dual module  $M^* = \text{Hom}(M, R)$ . The cardinality of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{Card}(M)$ . We will use the usual notations from [1], [7], [14].

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of  $R$ -modules. For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , a homomorphism  $g : C \rightarrow M$  is called a  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover (see [6]) of  $M$  if  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and the following hold: (1) For any homomorphism  $g' : C' \rightarrow M$  with  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ , there exists a homomorphism  $f : C' \rightarrow C$  with  $g' = gf$ . (2) If  $f$  is an endomorphism of  $C$  with  $gf = g$ , then  $f$  must be an automorphism. If (1) holds but (2) may not,  $g : C \rightarrow M$  is called a  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover. Dually we have the definition of a  $\mathcal{C}$ -(pre)envelope.  $\mathcal{C}$ -covers and  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelopes may not exist in general, but if they

---

Received September 23, 2009; Revised February 1, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E10, 16E05, 16D80.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -(pre)cover,  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope,  $n$ -*TI*-injective module,  $n$ -*TI*-flat module, weakly  $n$ -Gorenstein ring.

exist, they are unique up to isomorphism. If every right  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover, then every right  $R$ -module  $M$  has a *left  $\mathcal{C}$ -resolution*, that is, there is a  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, -)$  exact complex  $\bar{I} = \cdots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  with each  $I_i \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $I_0 \rightarrow M$ ,  $I_1 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(I_0 \rightarrow M)$ ,  $I_{i+1} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(I_i \rightarrow I_{n-1})$  for  $i \geq 1$ , are  $\mathcal{C}$ -covers,  $\bar{I}$  is called a *minimal left  $\mathcal{C}$ -resolution* of  $M$ . A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to have *left  $\mathcal{C}$ -dimension  $\leq n$* , denoted  $\text{left } \mathcal{C}\text{-dim } M \leq n$ , if there is a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -resolution of the form  $0 \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow I_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  of  $M$ . If there is no such  $n$ , we set  $\text{left } \mathcal{C}\text{-dim } M = \infty$ .

A right  $R$ -module  $T$  is called *torsionless* if the evaluation map  $\sigma : T \rightarrow T^{**}$  is injection. A ring  $R$  is said to be *right  $\Pi$ -coherent* if every finitely generated torsionless right  $R$ -module is finitely presented (see [3]). It is well known that right Noetherian rings  $\Rightarrow$  right  $\Pi$ -coherent rings  $\Rightarrow$  right coherent rings. The *right FGT-injective dimension* of a right  $R$ -module  $M$  (see [4]), denoted by  $\text{FGT-id}(M)$ , is defined as the least non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(T, M) = 0$  for any finitely generated torsionless right  $R$ -module  $T$ . The *left FGT-flat dimension* of a left  $R$ -module  $F$ , denoted by  $\text{FGT-fd}(F)$ , is defined as the least non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $\text{Tor}_{n+1}(T, F) = 0$  for any finitely generated torsionless right  $R$ -module  $T$ . A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *FGT-injective* if  $\text{Ext}^1(T, M) = 0$  for any finitely generated torsionless right  $R$ -module  $T$ . A left  $R$ -module  $F$  is called *FGT-flat* if  $\text{Tor}_1(T, F) = 0$  for any finitely generated torsionless right  $R$ -module  $T$ . We write  $\mathcal{TT}_n$  (resp.  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ ) for the class of all right  $R$ -modules of FGT-injective dimensions at most  $n$  (resp. all left  $R$ -modules of FGT-flat dimensions at most  $n$ ).

The following lemmas due to [4, Corollary 5.5.6] and [4, Proposition 5.6.11], respectively.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring and  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  an exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules with  $B$  FGT-injective. If  $A$  is FGT-injective, so is  $C$ . If  $A$  is not FGT-injective and  $\text{FGT-id}(A) < \infty$ , then  $\text{FGT-id}(A) = \text{FGT-id}(C) + 1$ .*

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then*

- (1)  $\text{FGT-fd}(M) = \text{FGT-id}(M^+)$  for any left  $R$ -module  $M$ .
- (2) If  $R$  is right  $\Pi$ -coherent, then  $\text{FGT-id}(N) = \text{FGT-fd}(N^+)$  for any right  $R$ -module  $N$ .

## 2. Introduction

Precovers and preenvelopes were introduced by Enochs in 1980's [6]. Its turn out to be extremely fruitful for general module theory as well as for representation theory. The idea behind these concepts is to exploit interesting features of a special class of  $R$ -modules for the study of the whole module category. In particular, the existence of precovers and preenvelopes is also studied by many authors (see [2], [7], [9], [10], [12], [13], [16]). Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. In Section 3 of this paper, we consider the existence of  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -precovers

and  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelopes and obtain the relation between  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precovers and  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelopes. Moreover, we show when every right  $R$ -module has an epic  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover and when every left  $R$ -module has a monic  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope.

We introduce the concepts of  $n$ - $TI$ -injective and  $n$ - $TI$ -flat modules and obtain some interesting properties in Section 4. It is shown that a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is reduced  $n$ - $TI$ -injective if and only if  $M$  is the kernel of a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover. Furthermore,  $M$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective if and only if it is a direct sum of an injective right  $R$ -module and a reduced  $n$ - $TI$ -injective right  $R$ -module. If  $R$  is a commutative ring, we show that a simple  $R$ -module  $S$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective if and only if it is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat. We get a new characterization of  $QF$ -ring in terms of  $n$ - $TI$ -injective right  $R$ -modules. For a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring  $R$ , if  $C$  is the cokernel of a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope  $f : M \rightarrow F$  of a left  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $F$  flat, then  $C$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat, and if  $L$  is a finitely presented  $n$ - $TI$ -flat right  $R$ -module, then  $L$  is the cokernel of a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope  $g : K \rightarrow P$  with  $P$  flat. We call a ring  $R$  weakly  $n$ -Gorenstein if it is left and right  $\Pi$ -coherent and if  $FGT - id({}_R R) \leq n$  and  $FGT - id(R_R) \leq n$  for integer  $n \geq 0$ . It is shown that, if  $R$  is left and right  $\Pi$ -coherent, then  $R$  is weakly 1-Gorenstein if and only if every closed submodule of a finitely generated  $n$ - $TI$ -flat (left or right)  $R$ -module is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat. Finally, we study weakly  $n$ -Gorenstein rings with finitely  $FGT$ -injective dimensions.

### 3. $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precovers and $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelopes

The aim of this section is to study the existence of  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -(pre)covers and  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelopes. It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{TI}_n$  is closed under extensions, direct products and direct summands, and  $\mathcal{TF}_n$  is closed under extensions, direct sums and direct summands. If  $R$  is right  $\Pi$ -coherent, then  $\mathcal{TI}_n$  is closed under direct sums and  $\mathcal{TF}_n$  is closed under direct products. Moreover, we have the following:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. Then  $\mathcal{TI}_n$  and  $\mathcal{TF}_n$  are closed under pure submodules and pure quotient modules.*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$  be a pure exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules with  $FGT - id(A) \leq n$ . Then we have a split exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (A'')^+ \rightarrow A^+ \rightarrow (A')^+ \rightarrow 0$ . By Lemma 1.2(2),  $FGT - fd(A^+) \leq n$ . Thus  $FGT - fd((A')^+) \leq n$  and  $FGT - fd((A'')^+) \leq n$ . By Lemma 1.2(2),  $FGT - id(A') \leq n$  and  $FGT - id(A'') \leq n$ .

Now let  $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$  be a pure exact sequence of left  $R$ -modules and  $FGT - fd(A) \leq n$ . Then we have a split exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (A'')^+ \rightarrow A^+ \rightarrow (A')^+ \rightarrow 0$ . By Lemma 1.2(1),  $FGT - id(A^+) \leq n$ . Thus  $FGT - id((A')^+) \leq n$  and  $FGT - id((A'')^+) \leq n$ . Therefore,  $FGT - fd(A') \leq n$  and  $FGT - fd(A'') \leq n$  by Lemma 1.2(1) again.  $\square$

The next lemma is a special case of [2, Theorem 5].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then for each cardinal  $\lambda$ , there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that any  $R$ -module  $M$  and for any  $L \leq M$  with  $\text{Card}(M) \geq \kappa$  and  $\text{Card}(M/L) \leq \lambda$ , the submodule  $L$  contains a nonzero submodule that is pure in  $M$ .*

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. There is a cardinal number  $\kappa$  such that any morphism  $\varphi : D \rightarrow M$  with  $D \in \mathcal{TT}_n$  has a factorization  $D \rightarrow C \rightarrow M$  with  $C \in \mathcal{TT}_n$  and  $\text{Card}(C) \leq \kappa$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module with  $\text{Card}(M) = \lambda$ , and let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal as in Lemma 3.2. Take a morphism  $\varphi : D \rightarrow M$  with  $D \in \mathcal{TT}_n$ ,  $K = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ . If  $\text{Card}(D) \leq \kappa$ , then consider the factorization of  $D \rightarrow M$  as  $D \rightarrow D \rightarrow M$ , where the first arrow is the identity.

If  $\text{Card}(D) > \kappa$ . There is  $K'$  maximal with the properties that  $K' \subseteq K \subseteq D$  and that  $K'$  is a pure submodule of  $D$ . So  $\varphi$  has the factorization  $D \rightarrow D/K' \rightarrow M$  in terms of [1, Theorem 3.6]. By Lemma 3.1,  $D/K' \in \mathcal{TT}_n$ . We claim that  $\text{Card}(D/K') \leq \kappa$ . Otherwise, if  $\text{Card}(D/K') > \kappa$ , consider  $K/K' \subseteq D/K'$ . Since  $D/K$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $M$ ,

$$\text{Card}\left(\frac{D/K'}{K/K'}\right) = \text{Card}(D/K) \leq \text{Card}(M) = \lambda.$$

In view of Lemma 3.2, there exists  $0 \neq K''/K' \subseteq K/K' \subseteq D/K'$  such that  $K''/K'$  is a pure submodule of  $D/K'$ . It is clear that  $K' \subsetneq K'' \subseteq K \subseteq D$ . By [8, Proposition 7.2],  $K''$  is a pure submodule of  $D$ , contradicting the maximality of  $K'$ . So let  $C = D/K'$ ,  $\text{Card}(C) \leq \kappa$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. Then every right  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -precover.*

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 3.3 and [7, Proposition 5.2.2].  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* (1) We can prove that  $\mathcal{TT}_n$  is closed under direct limits over a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. In fact, by [4, Proposition 5.5.3], there is an isomorphism:  $\varinjlim \text{Ext}^{n+1}(A, B_i) \cong \text{Ext}^{n+1}(A, \varinjlim B_i)$ , where  $A$  is a finitely generated torsionless right  $R$ -module and  $\{B_i | i \in I\}$  is an inductive system of right  $R$ -modules. Then, in view of [7, Corollary 5.2.7] and Theorem 3.4, every right  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -cover.

(2) Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. By [10, Theorem 3.4], every right  $R$ -module  $M$  has a left  $\mathcal{TT}_0$ -resolution  $\bar{I} = \cdots \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $K_0 = M, K_1 = \text{Ker}(I_0 \rightarrow M), K_i = \text{Ker}(I_{i-1} \rightarrow I_{i-2})$  for  $i \geq 2$ . We call  $K_i (i \geq 0)$  the  $n$ th  $\mathcal{TT}_0$ -syzygy of  $M$ . By [18, Lemma 2.2],  $I_n \rightarrow K_n$  is a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -precover of  $K_n$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $R$  is a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring, then every left  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module, and let  $\text{Card}(M) = \aleph_\beta$ . Then by [7, Lemma 5.3.12], there is an infinite cardinal  $\aleph_\alpha$  such that if  $\text{FGT} - \text{fd}(F) \leq n$  and  $S$  is a submodule of  $F$  with  $\text{Card}(S) \leq \aleph_\beta$ , there exists a pure submodule  $G$  of  $F$  such that  $S \subset G$  and  $\text{Card}(G) \leq \aleph_\alpha$ , where cardinal number  $\aleph_\alpha$  dependent on  $\text{Card}(S)$  and  $\text{Card}(R)$ . Note that  $\text{FGT} - \text{fd}(G) \leq n$  by Lemma 3.1. In addition,  $\mathcal{TF}_n$  is closed under direct products, so  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope by [7, Corollary 6.2.2].  $\square$

The following proposition elaborates the relationship between  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precovers and  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelopes.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. If  $\varphi : M \rightarrow F$  is a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope of left  $R$ -module  $M$ , then  $\varphi^+ : F^+ \rightarrow M^+$  is a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precover of  $M^+$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.2(1),  $F^+ \in \mathcal{TI}_n$  since  $F \in \mathcal{TF}_n$ . For any homomorphism  $g : D \rightarrow M^+$  with  $D \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ , we have  $g^+ : M^{++} \rightarrow D^+$ , hence  $g^+ \sigma_M : M \rightarrow D^+$ , where  $\sigma_M : M \rightarrow M^{++}$  is an evaluation map. By Lemma 1.2(2),  $D^+ \in \mathcal{TF}_n$  since  $R$  is right  $\Pi$ -coherent. Thus there exists a morphism  $f : F \rightarrow D^+$  such that  $f\varphi = g^+ \sigma_M$ . Whence  $\sigma_M^+ g^{++} = \varphi^+ f^+$ . Since  $g^{++} \sigma_D = \sigma_{M^+} g$ . Let  $f^+ \sigma_D : D \rightarrow F^+$ , note  $\sigma_M^+ \sigma_{M^+} = 1_{M^+}$ , then  $\varphi^+ f^+ \sigma_D = \sigma_M^+ g^{++} \sigma_D = \sigma_M^+ \sigma_{M^+} g = g$ . Therefore  $\varphi^+ : F^+ \rightarrow M^+$  is a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precover.  $\square$

In general,  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover need not be an epimorphism and  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope need not be a monomorphism. In the following theorem, we will consider when every right  $R$ -module has an epic  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover and when every left  $R$ -module has a monic  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $R$  be right  $\Pi$ -coherent. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{FGT} - \text{id}(R_R) \leq n$ .
- (2) For any right  $R$ -module, there is an epic  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover.
- (3) For any left  $R$ -module, there is a monic  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope.
- (4) Every injective ( $FP$ -injective) left  $R$ -module belongs to  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ .
- (5) Every flat right  $R$ -module belongs to  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). In view of Remark 3.5, every right  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover. By assumption, any projective right  $R$ -module belongs to  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ . Thus any  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover is epic.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is clear since  $R_R$  has an epic  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $M$  be any left  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope  $f : M \rightarrow F$  by Theorem 3.6. Since  $(R_R)^+$  is a cogenerator in the category of left  $R$ -modules, there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \prod (R_R)^+$ . By Lemma 1.2 (2),  $\text{FGT} - \text{fd}((R_R)^+) = \text{FGT} - \text{id}(R_R) \leq n$  since  $R$  is right  $\Pi$ -coherent, and so  $\text{FGT} - \text{fd}(\prod (R_R)^+) \leq n$ . Thus  $f$  is monic, and hence (3) follows.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let  $N$  be an  $FP$ -injective left  $R$ -module. By assumption, there is a pure exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  with  $F \in \mathcal{TF}_n$ . Then  $N$  belongs to  $\mathcal{TF}_n$  in terms of Lemma 3.1.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Let  $M$  be a flat right  $R$ -module. Then  $M^+$  is injective left  $R$ -module. By (4),  $M^+ \in \mathcal{TF}_n$ . Thus  $M \in \mathcal{TI}_n$  by Lemma 1.2(2).

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is trivial.  $\square$

Let  $n = 0$  in Theorem 3.8. Then we have the following result as corollary which have been prove in [10].

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $R$  be right  $\Pi$ -coherent. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R_R$  is FGT-injective.
- (2) For any right  $R$ -module, there is an epic FGT-injective cover.
- (3) For any left  $R$ -module, there is a monic FGT-flat preenvelope.
- (4) Every injective (FP-injective) left  $R$ -module is FGT-flat.
- (5) Every flat right  $R$ -module is FGT-injective.

A homomorphism  $g : M \rightarrow C$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope with the unique mapping property (see [5]) if for any homomorphism  $g' : M \rightarrow C'$  with  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is a unique homomorphism  $f : C \rightarrow C'$  such that  $fg = g'$ . Dually, we have the definition of  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover with the unique mapping property.

We conclude this section with the following result which is of independent interest.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. If every right  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover with unique mapping property, then  $\mathcal{TI}_n$  is closed under direct limits.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{I_i, \varphi_j^i\}$  be a direct system with each  $I_i \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ . By assumption,  $\varinjlim I_i$  has a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover  $g : I \rightarrow \varinjlim I_i$  with the unique mapping property. Suppose that  $\alpha : I_i \rightarrow \varinjlim I_i$  satisfy  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j \varphi_j^i$  whenever  $i \leq j$ . Then there exists  $f_i : I_i \rightarrow I$  such that  $\alpha_i = gf_i$  for any  $i$ , so  $gf_i = \alpha_j \varphi_j^i = gf_j \varphi_j^i$ . Hence  $f_i = f_j \varphi_j^i$  by the unique mapping property of  $g$ . Thus there exists  $h : \varinjlim I_i \rightarrow I$  such that  $h\alpha_i = f_i$ , hence  $(gh)\alpha_i = gf_i = \alpha_i$  for any  $i$ . Then  $gh = 1_{\varinjlim I_i}$  by the definition of direct limits. So  $\varinjlim I_i$  is a direct summand of  $I$ , and hence  $\varinjlim I_i \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ .  $\square$

#### 4. $n$ -TI-injective and $n$ -TI-flat modules

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $n$  a fixed non-negative integer. A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be  $n$ -TI-injective if  $\text{Ext}^1(N, M) = 0$  for any  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ . A left  $R$ -module  $F$  is called  $n$ -TI-flat if  $\text{Tor}_1(N, F) = 0$  for any  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ .

*Remark 4.2.* (1) By Wakamatsu's Lemma [16, Lemma 2.1.1], any kernel of  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover is  $n$ -TI-injective.

(2) It is clear that 0-TI-injective (resp. 0-TI-flat)  $R$ -modules are TI-injective (resp. TI-flat)  $R$ -modules in sense of [17]. If  $m \geq n$ , then  $m$ -TI-injective (resp.  $m$ -TI-flat)  $R$ -modules are  $n$ -TI-injective (resp.  $n$ -TI-flat)  $R$ -modules.

(3) A left  $R$ -module  $F$  is  $n$ -TI-flat if and only if  $F^+$  is  $n$ -TI-injective by the standard isomorphism  $\text{Ext}^1(N, F^+) \cong \text{Tor}_1(N, F)^+$  for any  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *The following are equivalent for a right  $R$ -module  $M$ :*

- (1)  $M$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective.
- (2) For every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$  with  $A \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ ,  $A \rightarrow B$  is a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precover of  $B$ .
- (3)  $M$  is the kernel of a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precover  $f : A \rightarrow B$  with  $A$  injective.
- (4)  $M$  is injective with respect to every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , where  $C \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (1) $\Rightarrow$ (4) are trivial.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is obvious since there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E(M) \rightarrow E(M)/M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $E(M)$  is the injective hull of  $M$ .

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let  $M$  be a kernel of a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precover  $f : A \rightarrow B$  with  $A$  injective. Then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/M \rightarrow 0$ . For any right  $R$ -module  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ , the sequence  $\text{Hom}(N, A) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Hom}(N, A/M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(N, M) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Note that  $A \rightarrow A/M$  is also a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precover, so  $\pi$  is epic. Thus  $\text{Ext}^1(N, M) = 0$ , and hence  $M$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). For any right  $R$ -module  $C \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ , there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  with  $A$  projective, which induces an exact sequence  $\text{Hom}(A, M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Hom}(K, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(C, M) \rightarrow 0$ . By assumption,  $\pi$  is epic. So  $\text{Ext}^1(C, M) = 0$ , and hence  $M$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective.  $\square$

It is clear that every injective right  $R$ -module (resp. flat left  $R$ -module) is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective (resp.  $n$ - $TI$ -flat) by Definition 4.1. The converse is not true in general. However, if  $R$  is a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring, we have:

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is injective if and only if  $M$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective and  $FGT - id(M) \leq n + 1$ .
- (2) A left  $R$ -module  $F$  is flat if and only if  $F$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat and  $FGT - fd(F) \leq n + 1$ .

*Proof.* (1) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) is clear.

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Let  $M$  be a  $n$ - $TI$ -injective right  $R$ -module. Then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  with  $E$  injective. By Lemma 1.1,  $FGT - id(N) \leq n$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}^1(N, M) = 0$ , and hence the exact sequence is split. Then  $M$  is injective.

(2) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) is clear.

( $\Leftarrow$ ). For any  $n$ - $TI$ -flat left  $R$ -module  $F$ . By Remark 4.2(3),  $F^+$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective right  $R$ -module. By Lemma 1.2(1),  $FGT - id(F^+) \leq n + 1$ . Then  $F^+$  is injective by (1). So  $F$  is flat.  $\square$

A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *reduced* (see [16]) if  $M$  has no nonzero injective submodules.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module over a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M$  is reduced  $n$ - $TI$ -injective.
- (2)  $M$  is the kernel of a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -cover  $f : A \rightarrow B$  with  $A$  injective.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). By Proposition 4.3, the nature map  $\pi : E(M) \rightarrow E(M)/M$  is a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -precover of  $E(M)/M$ . But  $E(M)/M$  has a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -cover by Remark 3.5.  $E(M)$  has no nonzero direct summand  $K$  contained in  $M$  since  $M$  is reduced. By [16, Corollary 1.2.8],  $\pi : E(M) \rightarrow E(M)/M$  is a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -cover of  $E(M)/M$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let  $M$  be the kernel of a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -cover  $f : A \rightarrow B$  with  $A$  injective. So  $M$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective by Proposition 4.3. Now let  $K$  be an injective submodule of  $M$ . Suppose  $A = K \oplus L$ .  $p : A \rightarrow L$  is projection and  $i : L \rightarrow A$  is inclusion. Note  $f(ip) = f$  since  $f(K) = 0$ . Thus  $ip$  is an isomorphism since  $f$  is cover. So  $i$  is epic,  $A = L$ . Then  $K = 0$ , and hence  $M$  is reduced.  $\square$

Now we get a construction theorem of  $n$ - $TI$ -injective  $R$ -module.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module over a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective.
- (2)  $M$  is a direct sum of an injective right  $R$ -module and a reduced  $n$ - $TI$ -injective right  $R$ -module.

*Proof.* The proof is modeled on that of [11, Theorem 2.6].

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is trivial.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). We consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E(M) \rightarrow E(M)/M \rightarrow 0$ . By Proposition 4.3,  $E(M) \rightarrow E(M)/M$  is a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -precover of  $E(M)/M$ . Since  $R$  is right  $\Pi$ -coherent, by Remark 3.5(1),  $E(M)/M$  admits a  $\mathcal{TT}_n$ -cover  $F \rightarrow E(M)/M$ , and hence we get the following commutative diagram with rows exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f} & F & \rightarrow & E(M)/M & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \phi \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & E(M) & \rightarrow & E(M)/M & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \sigma \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f} & F & \rightarrow & E(M)/M & \rightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\beta\gamma$  is an isomorphism, and hence  $E(M) \cong \text{Ker}(\beta) \oplus \text{im}(\gamma)$ . Thus  $F$  and  $\text{Ker}(\beta)$  are also injective. Therefore,  $K$  is reduced  $n$ - $TI$ -injective by Proposition 4.5. On the other hand, by the Five Lemma, we have  $\sigma\phi$  is isomorphic. Thus  $M \cong \text{Ker}(\sigma) \oplus \text{im}(\phi)$ , where  $\text{im}(\phi) \cong K$ . So we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Ker}(\sigma) & \rightarrow & \text{Ker}(\beta) & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E(M) & \rightarrow & E(M)/M & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \sigma \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f} & F & \rightarrow & E(M)/M & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & .
 \end{array}$$



Hence  $\text{Ker}(\sigma) \cong \text{Ker}(\beta)$  by [14, Exercise 6.16]. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $S$  be a simple  $R$ -module over a commutative ring  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is  $n$ -TI-injective.
- (2)  $S$  is  $n$ -TI-flat.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an irredundant set of representatives of the simple  $R$ -modules. Let  $E = E(\oplus_{i \in I} S_i)$ , the injective hull of  $\oplus_{i \in I} S_i$ . Then  $E$  is an injective cogenerator. For any  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ , there exists an isomorphism  $\text{Ext}^1(N, \text{Hom}(S, E)) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Tor}_1(N, S), E)$ . Note that  $\text{Hom}(S, E) \cong S$ . Thus  $S$  is  $n$ -TI-injective if and only if  $\text{Ext}^1(N, \text{Hom}(S, E)) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}(\text{Tor}_1(N, S), E) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Tor}_1(N, S) = 0$  if and only if  $S$  is  $n$ -TI-flat.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative  $\Pi$ -coherent ring and  $F$  be a flat  $R$ -module. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $M$  is  $n$ -TI-injective if and only if  $\text{Hom}(F, M)$  is  $n$ -TI-injective.
- (2)  $N$  is  $n$ -TI-flat if and only if  $F \otimes N$  is  $n$ -TI-flat.

*Proof.* (1)  $(\Leftarrow)$  holds by letting  $F = R$ .

$(\Rightarrow)$ . For any  $FGT$ -injective  $R$ -module  $E$  and flat  $R$ -module  $F$ , we claim that  $E \otimes F$  is  $FGT$ -injective. In fact, any finitely generated torsionless  $R$ -module  $T$  is finitely presented since  $R$  is  $\Pi$ -coherent, then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$  with  $P$  and  $K$  finitely generated and  $P$  free, so  $P$  and  $K$  are finitely presented. On the other hand, the sequence  $\text{Hom}(P, E) \otimes F \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K, E) \otimes F \rightarrow 0$  is exact since  $E$  is  $FGT$ -injective. Furthermore, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(P, E) \otimes F & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(K, E) \otimes F \rightarrow 0 \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(P, E \otimes F) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(K, E \otimes F) \end{array}$$

Since  $P$  and  $K$  are finitely presented, by [7, Theorem 3.2.14],  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are isomorphisms. Then  $\text{Hom}(P, E \otimes F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K, E \otimes F) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Thus  $\text{Ext}^1(T, E \otimes F) = 0$ , and hence  $E \otimes F$  is  $FGT$ -injective.

Then, if  $I \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ , by the result above and [4, Proposition 5.5.4],  $I \otimes F \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ .

Now we prove that  $\text{Hom}(F, M)$  is  $n$ -TI-injective. For any  $I \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ , there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$  with  $P_1$  projective. Then we have an induced exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(P_1 \otimes F, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_1 \otimes F, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(I \otimes F, M) = 0.$$

So the sequence

$$\text{Hom}(P_1, \text{Hom}(F, M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_1, \text{Hom}(F, M)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus  $\text{Ext}^1(I, \text{Hom}(F, M)) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\text{Hom}(F, M)$  is  $n$ -TI-injective.

(2)  $N$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat if and only if  $N^+$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective if and only if  $\text{Hom}(F, N^+)$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective by (1) if and only if  $(F \otimes N)^+$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective by the standard isomorphism  $(F \otimes N)^+ \cong \text{Hom}(F, N^+)$  if and only if  $F \otimes N$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat.  $\square$

In the following proposition, we consider the relationship between  $n$ - $TI$ -flat modules and the cokernels of  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelopes.

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If  $C$  is the cokernel of a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope  $f : M \rightarrow F$  of a left  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $F$  flat, then  $C$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat.*
- (2) *If  $L$  is a finitely presented  $n$ - $TI$ -flat left  $R$ -module, then  $L$  is the cokernel of a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope  $g : K \rightarrow P$  with  $P$  flat.*

*Proof.* (1). There is an exact sequence of left  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow \text{im}(f) \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ . Using functor  $N \otimes -$  with  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(N, C) \rightarrow N \otimes \text{im}(f) \rightarrow N \otimes F.$$

Note that  $\text{im}(f) \rightarrow F$  is also a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope and  $N^+ \in \mathcal{TF}_n$ . Then the sequence  $\text{Hom}(F, N^+) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{im}(f), N^+) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. So  $(N \otimes F)^+ \rightarrow (N \otimes \text{im}(f))^+ \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Thus we have exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \otimes \text{im}(f) \rightarrow N \otimes F$ , so  $\text{Tor}_1(N, C) = 0$ . Then  $C$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat.

(2). Let  $L$  be a finitely presented  $n$ - $TI$ -flat left  $R$ -module. There is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{i} P \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  with  $P$  finitely generated projective and  $K$  finitely generated. It is enough to show that  $i : K \rightarrow P$  is a  $\mathcal{TF}_n$ -preenvelope. In fact, for any left  $R$ -module  $F \in \mathcal{TF}_n$ , we have  $\text{Tor}_1(F^+, L) = 0$ , and so we get the following commutative diagram with the first row exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & F^+ \otimes K & \xrightarrow{1_{F^+} \otimes i} & F^+ \otimes P \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow \\ & & \text{Hom}(K, F)^+ & \xrightarrow{h} & \text{Hom}(P, F)^+. \end{array}$$

Note that  $\alpha$  is an epimorphism and  $\beta$  is an isomorphism by [7, Theorem 3.2.11]. Thus  $h$  is a monomorphism, and hence  $\text{Hom}(P, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K, F)$  is epic, as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. Then*

$$FGT - id(R_R) = \sup\{FGT - fd({}_R E) \mid E \text{ injective left } R\text{-module}\}.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $FGT - id(R_R) = n < \infty$ . Then  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(T, R) = 0$  for every finitely generated torsionless right  $R$ -module  $T$ . Since  $R$  is right  $\Pi$ -coherent,  $T$  is finitely presented. Then, for any injective left  $R$ -module  $E$ ,

$$\text{Tor}_{n+1}(T, E) \cong \text{Tor}_{n+1}(T, \text{Hom}(R, E)) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Ext}^{n+1}(T, R), E) = 0,$$

and so, it follows that  $FGT - fd(E) \leq n$ . Conversely, let  $\sup\{FGT - fd({}_R M) \mid M \text{ injective left } R\text{-module}\} = n < \infty$ . Since  $R$  is right  $\Pi$ -coherent,  $FGT - id({}_R R) = FGT - fd((R_R)^+) \leq n$  by Lemma 1.2(2).  $\square$

Following [4], let  $l.FGT - IF.\dim(R) = \sup\{l.FGT - fd({}_R E) \mid E \text{ injective left } R\text{-module}\}$ . Similarly, we have the definition of  $r.FGT - IF.\dim(R)$ . By Lemma 4.10, if  $R$  is a left and right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring, then  $FGT - id({}_R R) = l.FGT - IF.\dim(R)$  and  $FGT - id({}_R R) = r.FGT - IF.\dim(R)$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $R$  be a left and right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring,  $FGT - id({}_R R) \leq n$  and  $FGT - id(R_R) \leq n$  for integer  $n \geq 0$ . Then the following are equivalent for any (left or right)  $R$ -module  $M$ :*

- (1)  $FGT - id(M) < \infty$ .
- (2)  $FGT - id(M) \leq n$ .
- (3)  $FGT - fd(M) < \infty$ .
- (4)  $FGT - fd(M) \leq n$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the right case. The left case is similar.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are trivial.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Since  $FGT - fd(M_R) < \infty$ , in view of [4, Theorem 5.6.16(ii)],  $FGT - fd((M_R)^+) \leq l.FGT - IF.\dim(R) = FGT - id({}_R R) \leq n$ . Thus  $FGT - id(M_R) = FGT - fd((M_R)^+) \leq n$  by Lemma 1.2(2).

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Assume that  $FGT - id(M_R) < \infty$ . By [4, Proposition 5.6.16(iii)],  $FGT - fd(M_R) \leq r.FGT - IF.\dim(R) = FGT - id({}_R R) \leq n$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.12.** A ring  $R$  is called *weakly  $n$ -Gorenstein* if it is left and right  $\Pi$ -coherent and if  $FGT - id({}_R R) \leq n$  and  $FGT - id(R_R) \leq n$  for integer  $n \geq 0$ .

*Remark 4.13.* (1) Obviously, every  $n$ -Gorenstein ring [7] (that is,  $R$  is a left and right Noetherian ring and  $id({}_R R) \leq n$  and  $id(R_R) \leq n$ ) is a weakly  $n$ -Gorenstein ring. But the converse is not true in general. For example, let  $F$  be a field and  $V$  be an infinite dimensions vector space over  $F$ . Then  $R = \text{End}_F V$  is a weakly 0-Gorenstein ring but it is not a 0-Gorenstein ring because it is not Noetherian.

(2) Recall that  $R$  is a *QF-ring* [1](i.e., 0-Gorenstein ring) if  $R$  is left and right noetherian and  $R_R$  and  ${}_R R$  are injective. Here we have a new characterization of QF-ring.

**Theorem 4.14.**  *$R$  is a QF-ring if and only if every (left or right)  $R$ -module is  $n$ -TI-injective.*

*Proof.* If  $R$  is a QF-ring, then  $R$  is weakly 0-Gorenstein ring by Remark 4.13 (1). For any  $R$ -module  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ , in view of Proposition 4.11,  $N$  is  $FGT$ -injective. By [15, Remark 5],  $R$  is also a  $D$ -ring, so  $N$  is injective in terms of [4, Proposition 5.5.1], and hence  $N$  is projective by [1, Theorem 31.9]. Thus every  $R$ -module is  $n$ -TI-injective. Conversely, note that, for any injective right

$R$ -module  $M$ ,  $FGT - id(M) \leq n$ . By assumption, any  $R$ -module  $N$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective, so  $Ext^1(M, N) = 0$ , hence  $M$  is projective. Therefore,  $R$  is a  $QF$ -ring by [1, Theorem 31.9] again.  $\square$

Let  $K$  be a submodule of left (or right)  $R$ -module  $M$ .  $K$  is called a *closed submodule* (see [15]) if  $M/K$  is torsionless.

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let  $R$  be a left and right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $R$  is weakly 1-Gorenstein.
- (2) Every closed submodule of a finitely generated  $n$ - $TI$ -flat (left or right)  $R$ -module is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let  $K$  be a closed submodule of a finitely generated  $n$ - $TI$ -flat left  $R$ -module  $M$ . For any right  $R$ -module  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_n$ , there is an exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_2(N, M/K) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(N, K) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(N, M) = 0.$$

By Proposition 4.11,  $FGT - fd(N_R) \leq 1$ . Note that  $M/K$  is finitely generated torsionless, so  $\text{Tor}_2(N, M/K) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Tor}_1(N, K) = 0$ , and hence  $K$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). For any finitely generated torsionless left  $R$ -module  $M$ , there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $K$  is a closed submodule of a finitely generated free  $R$ -module  $F$ . So there is an induced exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Tor}_2(({}_R R)^+, F) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2(({}_R R)^+, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(({}_R R)^+, K) \rightarrow \dots .$$

By assumption,  $K$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -flat. Then  $\text{Tor}_1(({}_R R)^+, K) = 0$ , and hence  $\text{Tor}_2(({}_R R)^+, M) = 0$ . So  $FGT - fd(({}_R R)^+) \leq 1$ . By Lemma 1.2(2),  $FGT - id({}_R R) \leq 1$ .

Similarly, we can prove that  $FGT - id(R_R) \leq 1$ .  $\square$

Set  $FGT - I.\dim(R) = \sup\{FGT - id(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}_R\}$  and call  $FGT - I.\dim(R)$  *right  $FGT$ -injective dimension of  $R$* . In the end of this article, we give a theorem which character the weakly  $n$ -Gorenstein rings with finite  $FGT$ -injective dimensions. It needs the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.16.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring. Then every  $(n + 1)$ th  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ -syzygy of minimal left  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ -resolution of any right  $R$ -module is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{I} = \dots \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be a minimal left  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ -resolution of  $M$ . By Remark 3.5(2),  $I_n \rightarrow K_n$  is a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -precover, where  $K_n$  is the  $n$ th  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ -syzygy of  $\bar{I}$ . Note that  $I_n \rightarrow K_n$  is also a  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ -cover, then  $I_n \rightarrow K_n$  is a  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover of  $K_n$ . By Remark 4.2(1), the  $(n + 1)$ th  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ -syzygy  $K_{n+1}$  of  $\bar{I}$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.17.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Pi$ -coherent ring with  $FGT - id(R_R) \leq n$  and  $n \geq 1$ . If  $M$  is an  $(n - 1)$ - $TI$ -injective right  $R$ -module, then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  such that  $E$  is  $FGT$ -injective and  $K$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of [12, Lemma 3.3(1)]. □

**Theorem 4.18.** *Let  $R$  be a weakly  $n$ -Gorenstein ring and integer  $n \geq 1$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $FGT - I.\dim(R) < \infty$ .
- (2)  $FGT - I.\dim(R) \leq n$ .
- (3) Every  $n$ - $TI$ -injective right  $R$ -module is  $FGT$ -injective.
- (4) Every  $n$ - $TI$ -injective right  $R$ -module has a monic  $FGT$ -injective cover.
- (5) Every  $((n - 1)$ - $TI$ -injective) right  $R$ -module has a monic  $\mathcal{TI}_{n-1}$ -cover.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows from Proposition 4.11.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). For any  $n$ - $TI$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $M$  and any finitely generated torsionless right  $R$ -module  $N$ , note that  $FGT - id(N) \leq n$  by (2), then  $Ext^1(N, M) = 0$ . Thus  $M$  is  $FGT$ -injective.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) is clear.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. For any minimal left  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ -resolution  $\bar{I} = \cdots \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , the  $(n + 1)$ th  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ -syzygy  $K_{n+1}$  of  $\bar{I}$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective by Lemma 4.16. Thus  $K_{n+1}$  has a monic  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ -cover  $f : I \rightarrow K_{n+1}$  by (4). But  $K_{n+1}$  is a quotient of an  $FGT$ -injective right  $R$ -module by Lemma 4.17, so  $f$  is an isomorphism, and hence  $K_{n+1}$  is  $FGT$ -injective. Then left  $\mathcal{TI}_0$ - $\dim M \leq n + 1$ . By [17, Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.7],  $FGT - I.\dim(R) \leq n + 3 < \infty$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (5). For any right  $R$ -module  $N \in \mathcal{TI}_{n-1}$  and an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , note that  $K \in \mathcal{TI}_n$  by (2), then  $M \in \mathcal{TI}_{n-1}$  by [4, Proposition 5.5.5(iii)]. But it is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{TI}_{n-1}$  is closed under direct sums. By [9, Proposition 4], every right  $R$ -module has a monic  $\mathcal{TI}_{n-1}$ -cover.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let  $M$  be any right  $R$ -module. By Theorem 3.8,  $M$  has an epic  $\mathcal{TI}_n$ -cover  $f : I \rightarrow M$ . Then there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow I \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $K = \text{Ker}(f)$ . Then  $K$  is  $n$ - $TI$ -injective by Remark 4.2(1). Note that  $K$  is also  $(n - 1)$ - $TI$ -injective, so  $K$  has a monic  $\mathcal{TI}_{n-1}$ -cover  $g : I' \rightarrow K$  by (5). But  $K$  is a quotient of an  $FGT$ -injective right  $R$ -module by Lemma 4.17, then  $g$  is an isomorphism, and hence  $K \in \mathcal{TI}_{n-1}$ . Thus  $M \in \mathcal{TI}_n$  by [4, Proposition 5.5.5], as desired. □

### References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974.
- [2] L. Bican, R. El Bashir, and E. E. Enochs, *All modules have flat covers*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), no. 4, 385–390.
- [3] V. Camillo, *Coherence for polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **132** (1990), no. 1, 72–76.
- [4] F. C. Cheng and Z. Yi, *Homological Dimensions of Rings*, Guangxi Normal University Press, Guilin, 2000.
- [5] N. Q. Ding, *On envelopes with the unique mapping property*, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), no. 4, 1459–1470.
- [6] E. Enochs, *Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents*, Israel J. Math. **39** (1981), no. 3, 189–209.

- [7] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, Now York, 2000.
- [8] D. J. Fieldhouse, *Pure theories*, Math. Ann. **184** (1969), 1–18.
- [9] J. R. García Rozas and B. Torrecillas, *Relative injective covers*, Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), no. 8, 2925–2940.
- [10] L. X. Mao,  *$\Pi$ -coherent dimensions and  $\Pi$ -coherent rings*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **44** (2007), no. 3, 719–731.
- [11] L. X. Mao and N. Q. Ding, *FI-injective and FI-flat modules*, J. Algebra **309** (2007), no. 1, 367–385.
- [12] ———, *Relative copure injective and copure flat modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **208** (2007), no. 2, 635–646.
- [13] J. Rada and M. Saorin, *Rings characterized by (pre)envelopes and (pre)covers of their modules*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), no. 3, 899–912.
- [14] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [15] M. Y. Wang, *Some studies on  $\Pi$ -coherent rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), no. 1, 71–76.
- [16] J. Z. Xu, *Flat Covers of Modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1634. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [17] Y. M. Xiang, *TI-injective and TI-flat modules*, J. Nat. Sci. Hunan Norm. Univ., preprint.
- [18] ———, *FGT-injective dimensions of  $\Pi$ -coherent rings and almost excellent extension*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **120** (2010), no. 2, 149–161.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
CHANGSHA 410006, P. R. CHINA  
E-mail address: xym1s999@126.com