

## STRONG CONVERGENCE OF A NEW ITERATIVE ALGORITHM FOR AVERAGED MAPPINGS IN HILBERT SPACES

YONGHONG YAO\*, HAIYUN ZHOU AND RUDONG CHEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be an averaged mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a real numbers in  $(0, 1)$ . For given  $x_0 \in H$ , let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated iteratively by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Assume that the following control conditions hold:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$ .

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 47H09; 47H10.

*Key words and phrases:* Averaged mapping; non-expansive mapping; fixed point; iterative algorithm; strong convergence.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space, and  $C$  a closed convex subset of  $H$ . Recall that a mapping  $S : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be non-expansive if

$$\|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|x - y\|,$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is called an averaged mapping on  $C$  if there exists a non-expansive mapping  $S : C \rightarrow C$  and a number  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$T = (1 - k)I + kS. \tag{1}$$

A point  $x \in C$  is a fixed point of  $S$  provided  $Sx = x$ . Denote by  $F(S)$  the set of fixed points of  $S$ ; that is,  $F(S) = \{x \in C : Sx = x\}$ . If  $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ , then we obtain immediately that  $F(T) = F(S)$ .

It is clear that an averaged mapping is non-expansive, but not vice versa. An example of an averaged mapping is the metric projection from a Hilbert

---

Received September 10, 2009. Revised September 24, 2009. Accepted October 18, 2009.

\*Corresponding author.

© 2010 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM .

space onto a closed convex subset. It is known that averaged mappings are always asymptotically regular and their Picard iterates converge weakly. These properties make averaged mappings favorably useful in applications in e.g. inverse problems and image recovery (see [1-5]). In recent years, some iterative algorithms have been proposed for approximating fixed point of non-expansive mappings under some assumptions, please see [6-12]. You can find the related works in [13-26].

It is our purpose in this paper that we introduce a new iterative algorithm for averaged mappings. Furthermore, we prove that the proposed iterative algorithm converges strongly to a fixed point of an averaged mapping in Hilbert spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect the following well-known lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. Then there hold the following well-known results:*

- (i)  $\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in H$ ;
- (ii)  $\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$  for all  $x, y \in H$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Demi-closed principle) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $S : C \rightarrow C$  be a non-expansive mapping. Then  $S$  is demi-closed on  $C$ , i.e., if  $x_n \rightarrow x \in C$  and  $x_n - Sx_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $x = Sx$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** ([6]) *Assume  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)a_n + \gamma_n\delta_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

- (i)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\delta_n \gamma_n| < \infty$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

## 3. Main results

In this section, we will prove our main result.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be an averaged mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a real numbers in  $(0, 1)$ . For given  $x_0 \in H$ , let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated iteratively by*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Assume that the following control conditions hold:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Take  $p \in F(T)$ . From (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n - p\| \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(Tx_n - p) - \alpha_np\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|p\|.\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we can obtain

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \max\{\|x_0 - p\|, \|p\|\}.$$

Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

Since  $T$  is an averaged mapping, from (1), there exists a non-expansive mapping  $S : H \rightarrow H$  and a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$T = (1 - k)I + kS.$$

It is clear that  $F(T) = F(S)$ . Since  $S$  is non-expansive, hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Sx - p, Sx - p \rangle &\leq \langle x - p, x - p \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \langle Sx - p, Sx - p \rangle &\leq \langle x - p, x - Sx \rangle + \langle x - p, Sx - p \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \langle Sx - p, Sx - x \rangle &\leq \langle x - p, x - Sx \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \langle Sx - x, Sx - x \rangle + \langle x - p, Sx - x \rangle &\leq \langle x - p, x - Sx \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \|Sx - x\|^2 &\leq 2\langle x - p, x - Sx \rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Setting  $y_n = Tx_n = (1 - k)x_n + kSx_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . From (2), (3) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)y_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(y_n - p) - \alpha_np\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2\|y_n - p\|^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_n - p - k(x_n - Sx_n)\|^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2k\langle x_n - Sx_n, x_n - p \rangle + k^2\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\alpha_n\langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - k\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 + k^2\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\alpha_n\langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - k(1 - k)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, so there exists a constant  $M \geq 0$  such that

$$-2\alpha_n\langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \leq M\alpha_n \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Consequently, from (4), we get

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 - \|x_n - p\|^2 + k(1 - k)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \leq M\alpha_n.\tag{5}$$

Now we divide two cases to prove that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $p$ .

**Case 1.** Assume that the sequence  $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence. Then  $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$  is convergent. Clearly, we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 - \|x_n - p\|^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

this together with (i) and (5) imply that

$$\|x_n - Sx_n\| \rightarrow 0. \tag{6}$$

Since  $S$  is demi-closed (by Lemma 2.2), then it is easy to prove that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a fixed point  $p$  of  $S$  and  $T$ .

Next, we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  strongly converges to  $p$ . Indeed, from (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|y_n - p\|^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)\|(1 - k)(x_n - p) + k(Sx_n - p)\|^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

It is clear that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle = 0$ . Hence, applying Lemma 2.3 to (7), we immediately deduce that  $x_n \rightarrow p$ .

**Case 2.** Assume that  $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$  is not a monotonically decreasing sequence. Set  $\Gamma_n = \|x_n - p\|^2$  and let  $\tau : N \rightarrow N$  be a mapping for all  $n \geq n_0$  (for some  $n_0$  large enough) by

$$\tau(n) = \max\{k \in N : k \leq n, \Gamma_k \leq \Gamma_{k+1}\}.$$

Clearly,  $\tau$  is a non-decreasing sequence such that  $\tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\Gamma_{\tau(n)} \leq \Gamma_{\tau(n)+1}$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . From (5), it is easy to see that

$$\|x_{\tau(n)} - Sx_{\tau(n)}\|^2 \leq \frac{M\alpha_{\tau(n)}}{k(1 - k)} \rightarrow 0.$$

By the similar argument as that in Case 1, we conclude immediately that  $x_{\tau(n)}$  weakly converges to  $p$  as  $\tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$ . At the same time, we note that, for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$0 \leq \|x_{\tau(n)+1} - p\|^2 - \|x_{\tau(n)} - p\|^2 \leq \alpha_{\tau(n)}[2\langle p - x_{\tau(n)+1}, p \rangle - \|x_{\tau(n)} - p\|^2],$$

which implies that

$$\|x_{\tau(n)} - p\|^2 \leq 2\langle p - x_{\tau(n)+1}, p \rangle.$$

Hence, we deduce that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{\tau(n)} - p\| = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{\tau(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{\tau(n)+1} = 0.$$

Furthermore, for  $n \geq n_0$ , it is easily observed that  $\Gamma_n \leq \Gamma_{\tau(n)+1}$  if  $n \neq \tau(n)$  (that is,  $\tau(n) < n$ ), because  $\Gamma_j > \Gamma_{j+1}$  for  $\tau(n) + 1 \leq j \leq n$ . As a consequence, we obtain for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$0 \leq \Gamma_n \leq \max\{\Gamma_{\tau(n)}, \Gamma_{\tau(n)+1}\} = \Gamma_{\tau(n)+1}.$$

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_n = 0$ , this is,  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $p$ . This completes the proof. □

**Remark 3.1** It is well-known that the Picard iteration has only weak convergence. However, our algorithm which is similar to the Picard iteration has strong convergence.

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is easy to prove the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a non-expansive mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a real numbers in  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $k \in (0, 1)$  be a constant. For given  $x_0 \in H$ , let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated iteratively by*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)[(1 - k)x_n + kTx_n], \quad n \geq 0.$$

*Assume that the following control conditions hold:*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ .

*Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- 1 C. Byrne, *Iterative oblique projection onto convex sets and the split feasibility problem*, Inverse Problems **18**(2002), 441-453.
- 2 C. Byrne, *A unified treatment of some iterative algorithms in signal processing and image reconstruction*, Inverse Problems **20** (2004), 103-120.
- 3 C. I. Podilchuk and R. J. Mammone, *Image recovery by convex projections using a least-squares constraint*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. **7** (1990), 517-521.
- 4 H.K. Xu, *Relaxed projections, averaged mappings and image recovery*, the Proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications, Yokohama Publishers 2004,275-292.
- 5 Y. Yao and R. Chen, *Convergence to common fixed points of averaged mappings without commutativity assumption in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **67**(2007), 1758-1763.
- 6 H.K. Xu, *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, J. London Math. Soc. **2**(2002), 240-256.
- 7 B. Halpern, *Fixed points of nonexpansive maps*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 957-961.
- 8 R. Wittmann, *Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Arch. Math. **58** (1992), 486-491.
- 9 H.K. Xu, *Another control condition in an iterative method for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **65**(2002), 109-113.
- 10 T. Suzuki, *A sufficient and necessary condition for Halpern-type strong convergence to fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135**(2007), 99-106.
- 11 N. Shahzad, *Approximating fixed points of non-self nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **61**(2005), 1031-1039.
- 12 H. Zegeye and N. Shahzad, *Viscosity approximation methods for a common fixed point of finite family of nonexpansive mappings*, Appl. Math. Comput. **191** (2007), 155-163.
- 13 M. Aslam Noor, *General Variational Inequalities*, Appl. Math. Letters **1** (1988), 119-121.
- 14 M. Aslam Noor, *Some developments in general variational inequalities*, Appl. Math. Computations **152** (2004), 199-277.
- 15 M. Aslam Noor, K. Inayat Noor and Th. M. Rassais, *Some aspects of variational inequalities*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **47** (1993), 285-312.
- 16 M. Aslam Noor, *New approximation schemes for general variational inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 217-229.

- 17 Y. Yao, M. Aslam Noor, *Convergence of three-step iterations for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **187** (2007), 883-892.
- 18 M. Aslam Noor, Z. Huang, *Three-step methods for nonexpansive mappings and variational inequalities*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **187** (2007), 680-685.
- 19 Z. Huang, M. Aslam Noor, *Some new unified iteration schemes with errors for nonexpansive mappings and variational inequalities*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **194** (2007), 135-142.
- 20 M. Aslam Noor, Z. Huang, *Some resolvent iterative methods for variational inclusions and nonexpansive mappings*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **194** (2007), 267-275.
- 21 X. Qin, M. Aslam Noor, *General WienerHopf equation technique for nonexpansive mappings and general variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **201** (2008), 716-722.
- 22 M. Aslam Noor, *General variational inequalities and nonexpansive mappings*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **331** (2007), 810-822.
- 23 Y. Yao, M. Aslam Noor, *On viscosity iterative methods for variational inequalities*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **325** (2007), 776-787.
- 24 M. Aslam Noor, Y. Yao, *Three-step iterations for variational inequalities and nonexpansive mappings*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **190** (2007), 1312-1321.
- 25 Y. Yao, J.C. Yao, H. Zhou, *Approximation methods for common fixed points of infinite countable family of nonexpansive mappings*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications **53** (2007), 1380-1389.
- 26 Y. Yao, Y.C. Liou, R. Chen, *A general iterative method for an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings*, Nonlinear Analysis, **69** (2008), 1644-1654.

**Yonghong Yao**, Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300160, China

e-mail: yaoyonghong@yahoo.cn

**Haiyun Zhou**, Department of Mathematics, Shijiazhuang Mechanical Engineering College, Shijiazhuang 050003, China

e-mail: witman66@yahoo.com.cn

**Rudong Chen**, Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300160, China

e-mail: chenrd@tjpu.edu.cn