# Notes

# Photoluminescence Properties of YVO<sub>4</sub>: Eu Nanophosphors Prepared by the Hydrothermal Reaction

## Young-Sik Cho and Young-Duk Huh\*

Department of Chemistry, Center for Photofunctional Energy Materials, Dankook University, Gyeonggi-Do 448-701, Korea \*E-mail: ydhuh@dankook.ac.kr Received May 4, 2010, Accepted June 12, 2010

Key Words: Photoluminescence, YVO4:Eu nanophosphors, Hydrothermal reaction

YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu is an important red-emitting phosphor that is used widely in cathode ray tubes (CRTs) and fluorescent lamps.<sup>1</sup> Bulk YVO4:Eu is normally prepared by a solid state reaction.<sup>3-5</sup> Many synthetic methods have been developed for the fabrication of YVO4:Eu phosphors, such as solution combustion reactions, hydrolyzed colloid reactions, and urea precipitation followed by calcination.<sup>6-9</sup> YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu nanophosphors have also been prepared using sonochemical methods, hydrothermal reactions, and polymer complex processing methods.<sup>10-17</sup> However, relatively little is known about the synthetic methods for preparing YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu nanophosphors using emulsions as precursors. In this study, simple methods for the production of YVO4:Eu nanophosphors are reported using hydrothermal reactions of emulsions containing Y(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, and NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub>. YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu submicron-sized phosphors were also prepared by the calcination of YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu nanophosphors.

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns and Miller indices of the  $Y_{0.93}VO_4$ :0.07Eu nanophosphors obtained using the hydrothermal method at different water to surfactant ratios (*w*). Most of the peaks corresponded to tetragonal YVO<sub>4</sub> and were in good agreement with the data reported previously for this system (JCPDS 17-0341, *a* = 0.7119 nm, *c* = 0.6289 nm). Unidentified



**Figure 1.** XRD patterns of the  $Y_{0.93}VO_4$ :0.07Eu nanophosphors prepared by the hydrothermal reaction of emulsions at various H<sub>2</sub>O to CTAB molar ratios (*w*) (a) *w* = 5, (b) *w* = 10, (c) *w* = 15, and (d) *w* = 20.

peaks marked by the asterisks were also observed. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the photoluminescence excitation and emission spectra of the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu nanophosphors obtained by the hydro-thermal method, respectively. The broad excitation band of the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu nanophosphors at approximately 310 nm arose from a charge transfer transition between Eu<sup>3+</sup> and VO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> anions.<sup>5,18</sup> The emission spectrum was composed of sharp lines ranging from 500 to 800 nm that were associated with the  ${}^{5}D_{J} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$ 



**Figure 2.** Photoluminescence (a) excitation ( $\lambda_{em} = 618$  nm) and (b) emission ( $\lambda_{ex} = 310$  nm) spectra of Y<sub>0.93</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>:0.07Eu nanophosphors prepared by the hydrothermal reaction of emulsions at various H<sub>2</sub>O to CTAB molar ratios (*w*).

Notes



Figure 3. (a) SEM, (b, c) TEM, and (d) HRTEM images of the  $Y_{0.93}VO_4$ : 0.07Eu nanophosphors prepared by the hydrothermal reaction of the emulsions at w = 5.

transitions in Eu<sup>3+</sup>. The strongest red emission line at 618 nm was assigned to the  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$  transition. The deep red emission line at 698 nm was due to the  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{4}$  transition. The emission and excitation spectra in Figures 2(a) and 2(b) indicated that the highest excitation and emission intensities were observed at w = 5. Figure 3 shows SEM and TEM images of the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu nanophosphors at w = 5. Rod-like YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu crystals with average lengths and widths of 20 nm and 10 nm, respectively, were obtained (Figure 3(c)). Figure 3(d) shows a high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of an individual rod-like YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu crystal. The observed lattice spacing of 0.35 nm corresponded to the (200) plane of the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu crystal.

We also synthesized Y<sub>1-x</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>:xEu phosphors using the hydrothermal emulsion method with w = 5. The emission intensity of the Y<sub>1-x</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>:xEu phosphor depended on the Eu concentration. The photoluminescence intensity of the Y1-xVO4:xEu phosphor with a Eu concentration above 7 mol % decreased gradually with increasing Eu concentration. This concentration quenching could be explained in terms of the Eu<sup>3+</sup>-Eu<sup>3+</sup> interactions. To enhance the photoluminescence of the phosphor, the Y<sub>0.93</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>:0.07Eu nanophosphors were calcined at temperatures between 800 °C and 1200 °C. Figure 4 shows the photoluminescence spectra of the Y<sub>0.93</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>:0.07Eu phosphors prepared via hydrothermal synthesis followed by calcination at temperatures between 800 °C and 1200 °C. The emission intensity increased gradually with increasing calcination temperatures up to 1100 °C, then decreased considerably at 1200 °C. The XRD patterns of the Y<sub>0.93</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>:0.07Eu phosphors confirmed that the YVO4: Eu phosphors were synthesized without impurities. SEM images showed the presence of rod-like YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu particles with average lengths of 60 nm and 100 nm at, respectively, 800 °C and 900 °C. The YVO4: Eu particles tended to aggregate above 900 °C, and the size of the particles increased with increasing calcination temperatures. The mean size of the YVO4:



**Figure 4.** Photoluminescence emission spectra of  $Y_{0.93}VO_4$ :0.07Eu phosphors prepared by (a) hydrothermal reaction of emulsions at 150 °C followed by calcination at various temperatures: (b) 800 °C, (c) 900 °C, (d) 1000 °C, (e) 1100 °C, and (f) 1200 °C. The inset shows the relative intensity as a function of the calcination temperatures.



**Figure 5.** Relative photoluminescence emission of  $Y_{0.93}$ VO<sub>4</sub>:0.07Eu phosphors prepared by (a) the solid state reaction at 1100 °C or (b) the hydrothermal reaction of emulsions at 150 °C followed by calcination at 1100 °C.

Eu particles increased to 800 nm at 1100 °C.

Figure 5 shows the relative emission spectra of the  $Y_{0.93}VO_4$ : 0.07Eu phosphors prepared by two different methods. The first method was the typical solid state reaction of  $Y_2O_3$ ,  $Eu_2O_3$ , and NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> at 1100 °C. The YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphor prepared by the solid state reaction was also used as the reference material. The second method was the hydrothermal method using a mixture of Y(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, and NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> emulsions followed by calcination at 1100 °C. The particle size of the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors prepared by the solid state reaction was 5 µm, as shown in Figure 6(a). The YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors prepared by the hydrothermal reaction of the emulsion followed by calcination had an average size of 800 nm, as shown in Figure 6(b).

In general, the photoluminescence intensity increased with increasing phosphor size due to a decrease in the surface area to phosphor volume ratio because surface defects produced nonradiative recombination processes between electrons and holes. However, the emission intensities of the 800 nm YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors prepared by the hydrothermal method were 75%



**Figure 6.** SEM images of  $Y_{0.93}VO_4$ :0.07Eu phosphors prepared by (a) the solid state reaction at 1100 °C or (b) the hydrothermal reaction of emulsions at 150 °C followed by calcination at 1100 °C.

the intensity of the 5 µm YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors prepared by the solid state reaction method. Because the ratio of the total surface area to a given phosphor volume for the 800 nm YVO4:Eu phosphors was 6.25 times that of the 5 µm YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors, the photoemission intensities of the 800 nm YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors were expected to be only 16% of the intensity of the 5 µm YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors based on the simple contribution of the particle size. Therefore, photoemission intensities of the 800 nm YVO4:Eu phosphor prepared by the hydrothermal emulsion reaction followed by calcination were enhanced by a factor of 4.7 relative to that of YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors of the same size prepared by the solid state reaction. When the emulsion was used to prepare the YVO4: Eu phosphors, the surfaces were protected from ambient oxygen by the capping surfactant, which decreased the number of surface defects. This compensated for the adverse effects of surface defects in the small YVO4:Eu phosphors prepared by the hydrothermal method.

In conclusion, we prepared YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu nanophosphors using the hydrothermal reaction emulsions of Y(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, and NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub>. The H<sub>2</sub>O to CTAB molar ratio played an important role in the emission properties of the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu nanophosphors. The hydrothermal emulsion reaction, followed by calcination at 1100 °C, may be an effective synthetic strategy for preparing bright YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors with fewer surface defects and a particles size of 800 nm. When the emulsion was used to prepare the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphor, enhanced emission intensities were achieved due only to the decreased presence of surface defects.

### **Experimental Section**

 $Y(NO_3)_3$ ·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Aldrich),  $Y_2O_3$  (Rhodia Chimie), Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (Aldrich), Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Rhodia Chimie), NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> (Aldrich), and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, TCI) were used as received. In this experiment, two methods were used to prepare the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors. In the first method, the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu precipitate was obtained by the hydrothermal reaction of a mixture of emulsions containing Y(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> with an emulsion containing Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub>, followed by calcination at 1100 °C for 3 h. The CTAB/water/cyclohexane/*n*-butanol system was used to prepare the emulsions. Typically, 2 mL 0.465 M Y(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.035 M Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O aqueous solution were added to a solution containing 8 g CTAB, 40 mL cyclohexane, and 8 mL *n*-butanol with vigorous stirring. The NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> emulsion was prepared by adding 2 mL of an aqueous

0.5 M NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> solution to a solution containing 8 g CTAB, 40 mL cyclohexane, and 8 mL *n*-butanol with vigorous stirring. The pH of the NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution was adjusted to 9 - 10 by the dropwise addition of an ammonium hydroxide solution with vigorous stirring. The two optically transparent solutions were mixed, a 60 mL aliquot of this solution was transferred to a 100 mL Teflon-lined autoclave, and the aliquot was heated to 150 °C for 12 h. The precipitates were centrifuged, washed several times with water and ethanol, then dried at 60 °C for 12 h. To determine the effects of the  $H_2O$  to CTAB molar ratio (w), different amounts of CTAB were used, holding the other conditions fixed. Various w values (5, 10, 15, and 20) were investigated. The second method used to prepare the YVO4:Eu phosphor was a typical solid state reaction. Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> were weighed stoichiometrically, mixed, ground with a mortar, then calcined in a box-type furnace at 1100 °C for 3 h.

The structures of the as-prepared YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors were analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, PANalytical, X'pert-pro MPD) using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. The morphology of the products was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-4300) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-3010). The photoluminescence excitation and emission spectra of the YVO<sub>4</sub>:Eu phosphors were measured using a spectrum analyzer (DARSA, PSI) with a 27.5 cm monochromator, a photomultiplier tube, and a 500 W Xe lamp as the excitation source. The incident beam was perpendicular to the sample surface, and the observation angle was  $45^{\circ}$  relative to the excitation source.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the GRRC program of Gyeonggi province (GRRC-Dankook 2010-B02).

#### References

- 1. Ozawa, L.; Itoh, M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 103, 3835.
- Jüstel, T.; Nikol, H.; Ronda, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3084.
- 3. Ropp, R. C. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1968, 115, 940.
- Rambabu, U.; Amalnerkar, D. P.; Kale, B. B.; Buddhudu, S. J. Mater. Res. Bull. 2000, 35, 929.
- 5. Tian, L.; Mho, S. I. J. Lumin. 2007, 122-123, 99.
- 6. Nguyen, H. D.; Mho, S. I.; Yeo, I. H. J. Lumin. 2009, 129, 1754.
- Sun, L.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Yan, C.; Liao, C.; Lu, Y. Solid St. Commun. 2002, 124, 35.
- Newpot, A.; Silver, J.; Vecht, A. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2000, 147, 3944.
- 9. Erdei, S. J. Mater. Sci. 1995, 30, 4950.
- Zhu, L.; Li, J.; Li, Q.; Liu, X.; Meng, J.; Cao, X. Nanotech. 2007, 18, 055604.
- He, F.; Yang, P.; Niu, N.; Wang, W.; Gai, S.; Wang, D.; Lin, J. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2010, 343, 71.
- 12. Ray, S. R.; Banerjee, A.; Pramanik, P. J. Mater. Sci. 2010, 45, 259.
- 13. Choi, S.; Moon, Y. M.; Jung, H. K. J. Lumin. 2010, 130, 549.
- Wang, J.; Xu, Y.; Hojamberdiev, M.; Wang, M.; Zhu, G. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2010, 119, 169.
- Wu, X.; Tao, Y.; Song, C.; Mao, C.; Dong, L.; Zhu, J. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 15791.
- 16. Althues, H.; Simon, P.; Kaskel, S. J. Mater. Chem. 2007, 17, 758.
- Huignard, A.; Buissette, V.; Franville, A. C.; Gacoin, T.; Boilot, J. P. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 6754.
- 18. Riwotzki, K.; Haase, M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 12709.